

U. S. AIR FORCE
PROJECT RAND
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

OPTIMAL SETS FOR GAMES OVER THE SQUARE

I. Glicksberg and O. Gross

RM-889

25 July 1952

Assigned to _____

This is a working paper. It may be expanded,
modified, or withdrawn at any time.

The **RAND** *Corporation*

1700 MAIN ST. • SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

Copyright 1952
The RAND Corporation

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 25 JUL 1952		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-1952 to 00-00-1952	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Optimal Sets for Games Over the Square				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Rand Corporation, Project Air Force, 1776 Main Street, PO Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA, 90407-2138				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Summary: It is shown that every non-void weak* closed convex set of distributions on the unit interval is the set of all optimal strategies for one player in some game with continuous payoff over the square.

OPTIMAL SETS FOR GAMES OVER THE SQUARE

I. Glicksberg and O. Gross

In this note we shall call the set of all optimal strategies for one player in a game an optimal set. Optimal sets, in two-person zero-sum games with continuous payoff have the obvious properties of convexity and closure in the weak* topology, so that one might ask whether these properties characterize such sets. The answer, in the case of compact metric pure strategy spaces, is in the affirmative, as will be shown.

We shall begin with games over the square. Since the space $C(0,1)$, of continuous functions over the unit interval, is separable, the space S of distributions over the unit interval is, in the weak* (w^*) topology, compact and metric.

Let us set $(\varphi, f) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x) df(x)$ for $\varphi \in C(0,1)$ and f a distribution, so that $\{f | (\varphi, f) \geq \epsilon\}$ is a w^* closed half space of distributions.¹ The fundamental fact that we need is that any non-void w^* closed convex set K of distributions is the intersection of a sequence of such half spaces. This can be established via Brouwer's Reduction Theorem (cf. Hurewicz and Wallman, Dimension Theory, Index) which states the following: In a space satisfying the second axiom of countability a family of closed sets, with the property that every decreasing sequence of elements of the family has its intersection in the family, contains a minimal (or irreducible) element. S certainly satisfies the second axiom of countability as a compact metric space, and if we take as our family of closed sets all countable intersections of half spaces which contain K , we clearly may apply the theorem to obtain a minimal set K_0 which is the intersection of a sequence of half spaces and contains K . But certainly it can be no larger than K , for if f is in K_0 but not in K then we have a closed half space H containing K but not f , so that $K_0 \cap H$ is in the family and properly contained in K_0 contradicting the minimal character of K_0 . Thus we may write

¹ We may clearly take such functions φ and constants ϵ to be bounded by 1, as we shall do below.

$$K = \bigcap_n \{f | f \in S \text{ and } (\varphi_n, f) \geq a_n\}.$$

We may now construct a game over the square having K as the optimal set for, say, the maximizing player. We set

$$M(x, \frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n} (\varphi_n(x) - a_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and extend M to a continuous function over the square by setting $M(x, 0) = 0$ and interpolating linearly along vertical lines. Since K is non-void, we have clearly

$$\int M(x, \frac{1}{n}) df(x) = \frac{1}{n} [(\varphi_n, f) - a_n] \geq 0$$

for all n , and thus for all y

$$\int M(x, y) df(x) \geq 0$$

for $f \in K$. Since $M(x, 0) = 0$, 0 is the value of the game, and we see that a distribution f is optimal only if $(\varphi_n, f) - a_n \geq 0$ for all n , or that f is in K . Thus K is the optimal set for the maximizing player in this game.

In the case of a metric pure strategy space A for the maximizing player the procedure is similar, inasmuch as $C(A)$ is again separable (this is only true when A is metric, so that the method used for the square can only extend to this case) and again we obtain our set K as the intersection

of a sequence of half spaces. In order to construct the appropriate payoff we must require the minimizing player's pure strategy space B (which we assume compact though not necessarily metric) be infinite. (Indeed if B contains just n points then the maximizing player always may play just n points and some sets K will not be optimal sets.)

Since B is infinite, at most one point y_0 in B has the property that each of its open neighborhoods has a finite complement (if we had two such points we would have disjoint neighborhoods with finite complements, and the space B would be finite). Choose a point $y_1 \neq y_0$ and an open neighborhood W_1 of y_1 for which W_1^c (the complement of W_1) is infinite, further, a neighborhood V_1 of y_1 for which $V_1^c \subset W_1$ (B is of course regular). Since W_1^c is an infinite compact space we may find by the same argument a point $y_2 \in W_1^c$ with a relative open neighborhood $W_2 \cap W_1^c$ (where W_2 is open in B) with infinite complement in W_1^c , and thus by the regularity of B an open neighborhood V_2 of y_2 for which $V_2^c \cap V_1^c = \emptyset$. The relative complement in W_1^c of $W_2 \cap W_1^c$ is of course $W_1^c \cap W_2^c = (W_1 \cup W_2)^c$, again an infinite compact space, and thus by induction we obtain a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of points and $\{V_n\}$ of pairwise disjoint open neighborhoods.

Let $y_n \in U_n$, $U_n \subset V_n$ where U_n is open, and let h_n be a continuous function, $0 \leq h_n \leq 1$, $h_n(y_n) = 1$, which vanishes outside U_n , and define M by

$$M(x,y) = \sum \frac{1}{n} h_n(y) [\varphi_n(x) - a_n],$$

where $K = \bigcap \{f \mid (\varphi_n, f) \geq a_n\}$. Since at most one term of the sum differs from zero M is well defined; it is easily seen to be continuous. For, given $\varepsilon > 0$ and a point $(x_0, y_0) \in AxB$, if $y_0 \in \bigcup V_n$ then $y_0 \in V_k$, and, in AxV_k , the function is $\frac{1}{k} h_k(y) [\varphi_k(x) - a_k]$ and neighborhoods U of x_0 and V of y_0 exist for which

$$|M(x,y) - M(x_0,y_0)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } x \in U, y \in V,$$

by virtue of the continuity of h_k and φ_k . If $y_0 \notin \bigcup V_k$ then y_0 has for each n a neighborhood $V^{(n)}$ for which $V^{(n)} \cap U_k = \emptyset$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, so that

$$|M(x,y)| < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for } x \in A, y \in V^{(n)}$$

whence M is continuous.

Since B is compact, $\{y_n\}$ has at least one cluster point y_0 , and clearly we must have $M(x, y_0) = 0$ for all x . Since $\int M(x,y) df(x) = \sum \frac{1}{n} h_n(y) [(\varphi_n, f) - a_n] \geq 0$ for $f \in K$, the value is 0, and f is optimal only if

$$\int M(x, y_n) df(x) = \frac{1}{n}[(\varphi_n, f) - a_n] \geq 0$$

or $f \in K$.

In summary: If the pure strategy space of the maximizing player is compact metric and that of his opponent is compact and infinite, every w^* closed convex set of his mixed strategies is an optimal set for some continuous payoff.

Unfortunately, this does not have an obvious bearing on the important problem of determining structure of solution sets, i.e. the pair of optimal sets in a game. Not all possible pairs of optimal sets, for example, are attainable. Consider the following special case on the square. Let the optimal set for the maximizing player be the uniform distribution, $f(x) = x$, and that of the minimizing player be the set of all distributions. Suppose M is the continuous payoff of a game with this solution set, and let the value of the game be v . Since any pure strategy is optimal for the minimizing player $M(x, y) \leq v$ for all x, y . If $M(x, y) < v$ for some point in the square, then obviously this holds in a neighborhood and $\int M(x, y) dx < v$, which contradicts the optimality of f . Therefore $M \equiv v$, and all distributions are optimal for the maximizing player, a contradiction.