



**RDECOM**



**TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.**

# High Purity Aluminum Policy Technical Update

I Carl Handsy, Dan Nymberg, Scott Porter  
SUR/FIN 2010 conference

15 June 2010

# Report Documentation Page

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE <b>15 JUN 2010</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>High Purity Aluminum Policy Technical Update</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Army Research, Development and Engineering Command ,Tank Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center (TARDEC),6501 E. 11 Mile Road,Warren,MI,48397</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>SUR/FIN 2010, 14-17 June, Grand Rapids, MI. Sponsored by SERDP/ESTCP.</b>					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			
<b>unclassified</b>	<b>unclassified</b>	<b>unclassified</b>	<b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	<b>19</b>	

- DoD and TACOM direction - Go Green
- Overview of draft high purity aluminum policies
- Path forward
- Testing of aluminum coatings on electrical shell connectors and fasteners
  - Test results
  - Future testing
  - Coating thickness variation of shell connectors
  - Lessons learned
- High purity aluminum and threaded fasteners technical update

## *Revision to original draft*

- This memorandum provides guidance to the DoD Components on the replacement of cadmium plating for fasteners and electrical connectors in the procurement and maintenance of DoD materiel. **This policy applies to parts that are currently coated with cadmium.** DoD fasteners and electrical connectors currently plated with cadmium shall be procured with high purity deposited aluminum coatings without hexavalent chromium treatment except where specific requirements dictate a particular coating (including cadmium if other alternatives are technically unacceptable).

- Continue with implementation effort
  - Technological
    - Looking at other methods e.g. ionic liquid plating
    - Standardizing post-treatments for durability, corrosion, and lubricity
  - Business case
    - Automation alley is assisting in developing new sources
    - Contracted business case analysis with US2
  - New source coming online with Title III funding in Michigan
- Get consensus on test protocols for new product introduction

- Test results
  - CTC shell connectors and fasteners
- Future testing
  - Discussion with Boeing to identify relevant testing
    - Scribing
    - Coating thickness of test sample
    - Test methods (corrosion, adhesion, torque tension, friction)
    - Environmental, laboratory, both
- Lessons learned
  - Qualification tests do not always predict service usage
  - Community needs consensus on test protocol to better predict service life (developmental & qualification)
    - Over-reliance on B117 and its correlation to real use
    - Propose combination of laboratory and outdoor exposure
    - Thickness control, scribing
    - What does test really mean

Panel Coating System	Vendor-Provided Coating Thickness Range (mils)	Average Measured Thickness (mils)
Cadmium / hex Cr	0.8 to 1.5 per side	0.43
		0.30
		0.30
Al / TCP	0.6 to 1.0 per side	0.05
		0.02
		0.01
ZnNi / TCP	0.8 to 1.5 per side	0.96
		0.92
		0.90
ZnNi / NCP	0.7 to 1.2 per side	0.97
		0.92
		0.77
SnZn / TCP	0.2 minimum	0.27
		0.35
		0.38
SnZn / NCP	0.2 minimum	0.35
		0.43
		0.48
Durmalon	(none provided)	1.60
		1.61
		1.44
PIN	0.8 to 1.5 per side	1.38
		1.35
		1.40



**TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.**

# High Purity Aluminum and Threaded Fasteners Technical Update

I Carl Handsy, Dan Nymberg, Scott Porter  
SUR/FIN 2010 conference

15 June 2010

- Stryker wheel stud field exposure
- Future work with high purity deposited aluminum
- Concurrent Technologies Corporation threaded fastener study
- Coating thickness measurement systems analysis
- Conclusions / Recommendations

Zinc-Plated  
Plus CARC Topcoat



Electroplated  
High Purity Aluminum with TCP





# Future Work with High Purity Deposited Aluminum



- E-coat
- Anodize
- TCP
- Friction modifiers

- Two fastener sizes:  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch and  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch
- Six fastener finishes evaluated for...
  - Clamp load
  - **Affect of Corrosion on Run-on torque at fixed clamp load**
  - Affect of Corrosion on Breakaway torque



# Fastener Finish Study Concurrent Technologies Corp.



<b>FINISH</b>	<b>POST-TREATMENT</b>	<b>SEALER</b>
Cadmium	Hexavalent Chromium	Enseal C22
Zinc	Hexavalent Chromium	Enseal C22
Zinc	Trivalent Chromium Process (TCP)	Enseal C22
Zinc Nickel	Trivalent Chromium Process (TCP)	Enseal C22
Aluminum (High Purity)	Trivalent Chromium Process (TCP)	Enseal C22
Geomet	N/A	Plus L

GM9540P	0 Cycles	
FINISH	Run-On Torque (in/lb)	Percent Difference from Cd-Hex
Cd/Hex	1483	
Al/TCP	1455	-2%
Zn/Hex	1543	4%
Zn/Ni	693	-53%
Zn/TCP	622	-58%
Geomet	521	-65%

1/2-Inch  
Fasteners

GM9540P	20 Cycles	
FINISH	Run-On Torque (in/lb)	Percent Difference from Cd-Hex
Cd/Hex	1778	
Al/TCP	1990	12%
Zn/Hex	2019	14%
Geomet	667	-62%
Zn/TCP	655	-63%
Zn/Ni	625	-65%

GM9540P	40 Cycles	
FINISH	Run-On Torque (in/lb)	Percent Difference from Cd-Hex
Cd/Hex	1859	
Al/TCP	2023	9%
Zn/Hex	2313	24%
Zn/TCP	901	-52%
Zn/Ni	827	-56%
Geomet	742	-60%

1/2-Inch  
Fasteners

GM9540P	60 Cycles	
FINISH	Run-On Torque (in/lb)	Percent Difference from Cd-Hex
Cd/Hex	1927	
Al/TCP	1792	-7%
Zn/Hex	2283	18%
Zn/TCP	1168	-39%
Zn/Ni	803	-58%
Geomet	671	-65%

GM9540P	80 Cycles	
FINISH	Run-On Torque (in/lb)	Percent Difference from Cd-Hex
Cd/Hex	1704	
Al/TCP	1651	-3%
Zn/TCP	914	-46%
Zn/Ni	773	-55%
Geomet	624	-63%
Zn/Hex	N/A	N/A

- Different finish, different friction
- Different friction, different torque, @ same clamp load
- High purity aluminum closest to cadmium
- High purity aluminum least risk of affecting torque and clamp load

• **Specify target coefficient of friction and test method**

1/2-Inch  
Fasteners

- Bench-top magnetic induction/eddy current coating thickness instrument
- M10.9 fasteners - quantity of ten
- Zinc-rich inorganic base + organic topcoat
- Two operators, three trials each - Randomized
- Full Factorial Design of Experiments (DOE) \*

\* *Fundamental Statistical Process Control – Reference Manual* by the Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG)

<b>Measurement Systems Analysis</b>	<b>Process Variation</b>
Repeatability (Equipment Variation)	73%
Reproducibility (Appraiser Variation)	33%
<b>Repeatability &amp; Reproducibility</b>	<b>81%</b>

<b>Gage System OK</b>	<b>Gage System Questionable</b>	<b>Gage System Must Improve</b>
< 10%	10% - 30%	> 30%

## ***Multiple Cadmium Alternatives Multiplies Risk***

- Incorrect fastener installed
- Improper applied torque
- Joint failure
- Premature corrosion failure

## ***Few Cadmium Alternatives Decreases***

- Risk
- Logistics cost & complexity

- Community consensus needed
  - Corrosion tests that identify finish strengths & weaknesses
  - Define acceptable coating thickness for comparison testing
    - For various fastener applications
    - Establish side-by-side comparison (not necessarily identical thicknesses)
  - Other tests

Friction	Smoothness	Post-treatments
Dimensional conformity	Heat treatment	Durability
Conductivity	Strength	

- Propose Working IPT
  - DoD Research labs, commodity commands and industry