

Design-of-Experiment Approach to Hydrogen Re-Embrittlement Evaluation

WP - 2152

**Prepared by
Scott M. Grendahl
U.S. Army Research Laboratory, APG, MD**


**Presented by:
Steve Gaydos
The Boeing Company, St. Louis, MO**

ASETS DEFENSE February 7-10, 2011




Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE FEB 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Design-of-Experiment Approach to Hydrogen Re-Embrittlement Evaluation				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U. S. Army Research Laboratory,Aberdeen Proving Ground,MD,21005				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ASETSDefense 2011: Sustainable Surface Engineering for Aerospace and Defense Workshop, February 7 - 10, 2011, New Orleans, LA. Sponsored by SERDP/ESTCP.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 29	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Type 1a.2 in Fluid Cell




Re-Embrittlement Test Issues



Re-Embrittlement Test Issues


- Not Standardized Across the Industry – **Variations of ASTM Annex A5 Used**
 - ◆ Various ASTM F519 Specimens Used
 - Type 1.a.1, 1.a.2, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, and 2a
 - ◆ Various Specimen Immersion Methods
 - Wet for 150 hrs, Wet Then Dry, Concentrated or Diluted Chemicals
 - Volume of Fluid, Temperature
 - ◆ Various Loading Methods
 - Tension, Bending, Sustained Load, Incremental Step Loading (24 hours)
 - 45%,65%,75% NFS, 80%YS for 150 or 200 hrs
 - ◆ Various Strength Levels to Bake or No-Bake
 - 160 ksi, 180 ksi, 200 ksi, 220 ksi

Re-Embrittlement Testing Is Our Tower of Babel



The Confusion of Tongues by [Gustave Doré](#) (1865)

Typical ASTM F07.04 Hydrogen Embrittlement Meeting



Steve
Gaydos
F07.04
Subcommittee
Chairman

F07.04
Subcommittee
Members

Project Team

- **Lead PI – S. Grendahl, ARL**
- **E. Babcock, S. Gaydos, J. Osborne, S. Zhu – The Boeing Co.**
- **C. Willan – Omega Research Inc.**
- **J. Green – NAVAIR Pax River**
- **C. Hogan – Hill AFB**
- **R. Green – Green Specialty Service**
- **D. Kelly – ASKO Processing Inc.**

Technical Objective

- **Increase the implementation and utilization of environmentally friendly maintenance chemicals and cadmium alternatives by alleviating the HE obstacle.**
- **Year 1 – Life models for aerospace grade 4340 steel**
- **Year 2 – Life models for prospective maintenance chemicals**
- **Year 3 – Life models for prospective alternative coatings**

Technical Approach

- He testing has traditionally been done pass/fail on worst case material



- DoE approach develops life prediction models over a range of material strength, applied stress, and environment
 - ♦ 280 ksi
 - ♦ Stress varies with geometry
 - ♦ Cad plated steel
 - 140 - 280 ksi
 - 10 - 95% NFS
 - % of NaCl, or Conc. or Plating
- Vs.**
- Statistical analysis allows a reasonable matrix size while accounting for full spectrum of variables with prediction.
 - ♦ 5x5x5x5 (625)
 - 400

Technical Approach

Condition	$-\alpha$	-	0	+	$+\alpha$
Strength (ksi)	140	158	210	262	280
Test Load (% NFS)	40	45	60	75	80
NaCl Concentration (wt% NaCl)	1.25E-05	0.01	0.50	2.36	3.5


- Linear with Center points
- Quadratic
- Confirmation Runs
- Base model is developed from Linear and Quadratic portions
 - $Y = \ln X = 19.01 - 11.67 \cdot \text{strength} - 9.93 \cdot \text{test_load} - 0.88 \cdot \text{NaCl} + \text{error}$
 - Run confirmations, re-compute, and refine model

Technical Approach


Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T5 (280 KSI)



- Material Strength (140 -280 ksi)
- Applied Stress (% of NFS)
- Environment (wt% of NaCl, or Conc. of chemical or thickness of coating)
- Model Yields - TTF (Time to Failure)



Technical Approach



Technical Approach



Technical Approach

- Year 1 models will be created for aerospace 4340 steel
- Assess results via team to determine best geometry for program
- 1 geometry then used to assess applicable maintenance chemicals or platings
- Results will provide the airworthiness authority data to assess which processes (chemicals or platings) and applications are safe zones.


Seed Funding Results

- **Air-melted 4340 steel, 10 - 95% NFS, 0 - 3.5% NaCl**
- **5 geometries, 5 heat treatments**
- **Separate models for each heat treatment (or material strength)**
 - ◆ *T1 140 ksi*
 - ◆ *T2 158 ksi*
 - ◆ *T3 210 ksi*
 - ◆ *T4 262 ksi*
 - ◆ *T5 280 ksi*


1a1 Results




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T1 (140 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T2 (158 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T3 (210 KSI)



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T4 (262 KSI)



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T5 (280 KSI)




T1 140 ksi
T2 158 ksi
T3 210 ksi
T4 262 ksi
T5 280 ksi

1a2 Results




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T1 (140 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T2 (158 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T3 (210 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T4 (262 KSI)



Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T5 (280 KSI)




T1 140 ksi
T2 158 ksi
T3 210 ksi
T4 262 ksi
T5 280 ksi


1c Results




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T1 (140 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T2 (158 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T3 (210 KSI)



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T4 (262 KSI)



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T5 (280 KSI)




T1 140 ksi
T2 158 ksi
T3 210 ksi
T4 262 ksi
T5 280 ksi



1d Results


Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T1 (140 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T2 (158 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T3 (210 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T4 (262 KSI)



Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T5 (280 KSI)




T1 140 ksi
T2 158 ksi
T3 210 ksi
T4 262 ksi
T5 280 ksi

1e Results




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T1 (140 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T2 (158 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T3 (210 KSI)




Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T4 (262 KSI)



Predicted Median Lifetime

Strength=T5 (280 KSI)



T1 140 ksi

T2 158 ksi

T3 210 ksi


T4 262 ksi

T5 280 ksi

T2 (158 ksi) Results


Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T2 (158 KSI)

1a2




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T2 (158 KSI)

1d




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T2 (158 KSI)

1a1




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T2 (158 KSI)

1e



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T2 (158 KSI)


1c



T3 (210 ksi) Results


Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T3 (210 KSI)

1a2




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T3 (210 KSI)

1d




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T3 (210 KSI)

1a1




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T3 (210 KSI)

1e



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T3 (210 KSI)


1c



T4 (262 ksi) Results


Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T4 (262 KSI)

1d




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T4 (262 KSI)

1a2




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T4 (262 KSI)

1a1




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T4 (262 KSI)

1e



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T4 (262 KSI)


1c



T5 (280 ksi) Results


Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T5 (280 KSI)

1d




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T5 (280 KSI)

1a2




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T5 (280 KSI)

1a1




Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T5 (280 KSI)

1e



Predicted Median Lifetime
Strength=T5 (280 KSI)

1c



Transition Plan

- Work has been briefed and discussed by ASTM committee F07 on Aerospace and Aircraft, and in detail within subcommittee F07.04 on hydrogen embrittlement
- Most active participants of the committee are directly involved
- Changes to F-519 are likely upon completion and data review
- Lifetime prediction models for the targeted maintenance chemicals will be utilized by AMCOM/AMRDEC to alleviate the presently existing requirement of bake relief treatments for processes that have failed HE testing
 - ◆ Material applications below susceptibility threshold (e.g. 180 ksi)
 - ◆ Service stress applications below threshold (e.g. below 50% UTS)
- Lifetime prediction models for cadmium alternatives will be transitioned to service use for applications shown to be below the HE susceptibility threshold (e.g. ZnNi below 1.5 mils on 200 ksi steel)
- Commercial partners will follow guidance from the aviation authority in implementing targeted applications deemed safe.

BACKUP MATERIAL

Acronyms and Symbols

- **HE - Hydrogen Embrittlement**
- **NFS - Notch Fracture Strength**

Prior and Leveraged Work

- Boeing Ruggedness Study
 - ◆ Aimed at establishing which factors were most important
 - Surface condition plated or bare
 - Notch condition plated or bare
 - Solution Volume
 - Solution Temperature
 - Solution Concentration
 - Exposure Time
 - Exposure Temperature
- Boeing Risk Reduction Study
 - ◆ 1a1 and 1d geometries at 519 strength and load levels
 - ◆ Assessment of NaCl solution merit, low strength material procedure
- SPOTA/ARL for re-machining and Aerospace Grade material purchase
- ASTM Committee and coordination work - unfunded
- ASKO Plating for developmental work
- Boeing and ARL labor to date

Publications

- Barron, J., “Effect of Coatings on the Structural Integrity of Fasteners”, ASTM F16.96 workshop, Northrop Grumman Shipbuilding, Newport News, 20 May 2009.
- Gaydos, S., “ASTM F 519 Annex A5 DoE Test Plan Status”, Presentation at ASTM F07.04 Subcommittee Meeting, The Boeing Company, St. Louis, MO, 15 April 2008.
- Babcock, E. A., “Aqueous Cleaning of High Strength Steel”, Whitepaper WP 3M11:07-051, To AED, The Boeing Company, Mesa, Arizona, 24 May 2007
- Gaydos, S., “SERDP Hydrogen Re-Embrittlement DoE Test Plan Status”, Presentation to DoD Metal Finishing Workshop – Chromate Alternatives for Metal Treatment and Sealing, The Boeing Company, St. Louis, 17 May 2007
- Babcock, E. A., “Update on ASTM F 519”, Presentation to AMCOM G-4 OEM at Redstone Arsenal, The Boeing Company Mesa, Arizona, April 24-25, 2007
- Babcock, E. A., “Annex 5 Ruggedness DoE Results + SERDP”, Presentation to ASTM International Committee F07.04 on Hydrogen Embrittlement, The Boeing Company, Mesa, Arizona, 17 April 2007
- Babcock, E. A., “Codifying Hydrogen Embrittlement Testing Protocols - Sound Tools for Alternatives Testing”, Presentation at Seventeenth Annual Cleaner Sustainable Industrial Materials & Process (CSIMP) Workshop, The Boeing Company, Mesa, Arizona January 21, 2007
- Babcock, E. A., “Hydrogen Embrittlement Testing and Evaluation: Progress and Status of Ongoing Research and Development”, Presentation to ASTM International Committee F07.04 on Hydrogen Embrittlement, The Boeing Company, Mesa, Arizona, 15 November 2006.