



Ultraviolet (UV)-Curable Coatings for Department of Defense (DoD) Applications

Approved for public release by 88 ABW/PA –
Case Number 88ABW-2009-2533

Mr. Tom Naguy (AFRL/RXSC)
Mr. Randy Straw (CTC)



Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE SEP 2009		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2009 to 00-00-2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Ultraviolet (UV)-Curable Coatings for Department of Defense (DoD) Applications				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory, AFRL/RXSC, Wright Patterson AFB, OH, 45433				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ASETSDefense 2009: Sustainable Surface Engineering for Aerospace and Defense Workshop, August 31 - September 3, 2009, Westminster, CO. Sponsored by SERDP/ESTCP.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 33	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Overview


- Problem Statement
- Technology Review
- Air Force UV-Curable Coatings Program
 - Plans and Approach
 - Program Status and Results
 - Primers/One-Coats
 - Topcoats
 - Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP)
 - Specialty Coatings Efforts
 - Lamp Technologies
 - The Future
- Summary
- Questions



Problem Statement

- Current USAF coatings require minimum 72 hour “dry to fly” time for polyurethane topcoat

Full Repaint Benefits (F-16 Example)			
Application	Current Dry Time	Using UV Cure	Time Saved
Primer	4 to 6 hours	30 minutes to 1 hour	3 to 5.5 hours
Topcoat	16 to 24 hours	30 minutes to 1 hour	15 to 23.5 hours
Stencils	72 hours	30 minutes to 1 hour	71 to 71.5 hours
Total possible savings per aircraft			89 to 100.5 hours



- Painting operations in depot and field work are a bottleneck in work flow
- Less time in maintenance = more availability in field
- Typical USAF coatings contain VOC of 340-420 g/L



Technology Maturity

- Multi-billion dollar industry with over 25 year history
 - Wood finishing
 - Printing
 - CD coatings
 - Automotive refinishing
- Automotive refinishing environments are similar to aerospace maintenance environments
 - Commercially available automotive lamps can be adapted to aerospace maintenance applications





Technology Description

Benefits

No isocyanates, no HAPs, no VOCs

Cured in minutes

Apply by spray or brush


Single component, high solids

Wavelength in nanometers (nm)

UVV	400 to 445
UVA	400 to 315
UVB	315 to 280
UVC	280 to 200

UV-curable Components


Component	Description
Photoinitiators	Absorb specific frequencies of UV light and initiate polymerization
Oligomers	High molecular weight; control final cured film properties
Monomers	Diluents, adjust viscosity; contribute to coating performance and cure speed
Additives and Fillers	Performance enhancements/gloss reduction
Pigments	Larger size particles cure more easily





Air Force UV-Curable Program

UV-Curable Technology Team






Plans and Approach

- Leverage efforts
 - DoD/USAF R&D Investments
 - Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR)
 - Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)
 - Other
 - Industry and Education R&D
 - Commercial off-the-shelf technology
- Determine State of Industry
- Identify USAF Needs
- Transition UV-Curable Coating Technology




UV-Curable Coatings Strategy



Manual (simple geometries)

Automated (Full Aircraft)





Site Surveys

USAF Depots Surveyed

- Oklahoma City (OC-ALC), Ogden (OO-ALC), and Warner Robins (WR-ALC) Air Logistics Centers
- Potential field applications also identified



Results

- Phased Approach
 - Manual applications/simple geometries/on and off-aircraft applications
 - Further coating development/manual and automated applications
 - Full aircraft applications
- Initial targets
 - Topcoats
 - Stencil coatings and off-aircraft components
 - UVA curing frequency



COTS Evaluation

- Open RFI sent to commercial UV-curable coating vendors
- Minimal requirements
 - Opaque coating
 - Identify as topcoat, primer, one coat, or topcoat/primer system
 - Test panels applied and cured by vendor
- Coatings to be tested at CTIO
- Screening Test Protocol (2 phases)



COTS Screening Phase One

Primer	Topcoat	Code	Phase Two
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	A	Yes
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	B	Yes
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	C	Yes
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	D	Yes
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	E	Yes
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	F	Yes
UV-cure		G	Yes
UV-cure		I	No
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	J	Yes
Deft 02-Y-40	UV-cure	L	Yes
UV-cure		M	Yes
Deft 02-Y-40	UV-cure	N	Yes

Primer	Topcoat	Code	Phase Two
Deft 02-Y-40	UV-cure	O	No
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	P	Yes
UV-cure	Deft 99-GY-001(Color 36173)	Q	Yes
UV-cure	UV-cure	R	Yes
UV-cure		XA	Yes
Deft 02-Y-40	UV-cure	XB	No
UV-cure		XC	Yes
UV-cure		XD	Yes
Deft 02-Y-40	UV-cure	XE	No
Deft 02-Y-40	UV-cure	XF	No
Deft 02-Y-40	UV-cure	XG	Yes



COTS Phase One Tests

Tests	Primers (9 tested)	Topcoats (7 tested)	One-Coats and Systems (7 tested)	Target Criteria
Color	N/A	No Pass/Fail	No Pass/Fail	Opaque (no clear coats)
Gloss	N/A	No Pass/Fail	No Pass/Fail	Opaque (no clear coats)
Dry Film Thickness	No Pass/Fail	No Pass/Fail	No Pass/Fail	Per vendor; or 0.7-1.2 mils primer, 1.5-2.5 mils topcoat
Fluid Resistance				
Passed Pencil hardness	All pass	All pass	All pass	B or harder; baseline for fluid resist
Passed Fluid Resistance (lube oil)	N/A	L, N, O, XE, XF, XG	G, XC, XD,	Soften no more than 1 pencil hardness
Adhesion				
Passed Wet Tape	All pass	L, N, XG	G, M, R, XA, XC, XD	No peel; rate 4A or 5A
Passed Cross hatch	N/A	L, N, XE, XG	G, M, R, XC, XD	No peel; rate 4B or 5B
Flexibility				
Passed Low Temp	A, B, C, D, E, F, J, P	L, N, XB, XG	G, M, XC, XD	No cracking or adhesion loss
Passed GE Impact	B, D, E, J, Q	N	G, I	Minimum 5% elongation

Eighteen moved to Phase Two





COTS Phase Two Tests

Phase Two Testing		
Phase Two Tests	Accelerated Weathering (Xenon Arc)	Salt Spray Resistance
Coatings Tested	All Topcoats, Systems, and One-Coats	All Primers, Systems, and One-Coats
Pass/Fail Criteria	Delta E color change less than 1 after 500 hours; Gloss after 500 hours: <i>Type "gloss": Minimum 80 Type "semi-gloss": Minimum 15 Type "flat": Maximum 5</i>	No blistering, lifting, pitting, or corrosion after 2,000 hours of 5% salt spray

Coating Stack-up	Pass/Fail (w/ comments)	
	Accelerated Weathering	Salt Spray
Topcoat "L"	Pass Color Delta, gloss change >10	N/A
Topcoat "N"	Failed Color Delta, gloss change <5	N/A
System "M"	Failed Color Delta, gloss change <5	Pass
System "R"	Pass (best performer for weathering)	Fail
Topcoat "XG"	Pass Color Delta, gloss change <6	N/A
One-Coat "G"	Pass Color Delta, gloss change >10	Fail
One-Coat "XA"	Failed (panels pulled after 100 hours)	Pass (best performer)
One-Coat "XC"	Failed (color and gloss change >20)	Fail
One-Coat "XD"	Failed (color and gloss change >20)	Fail
Primer "A"	N/A	Fail
Primer "B"	N/A	Fail
Primer "C"	N/A	Fail
Primer "D"	N/A	Fail
Primer "E"	N/A	Fail
Primer "F"	N/A	Fail
Primer "J"	N/A	Pass
Primer "P"	N/A	Pass
Primer "Q"	N/A	Fail



Screening Test Conclusions

- COTS topcoats showed the most promise
 - Weather resistance and flexibility still key issues
- COTS primers and one-coats showed good corrosion protection potential
- All vendors provided results
- Round 2 Test Protocol Created
 - Apply/Cure at CTIO in UVA range
 - Protocol applies to topcoats, primers, one-coats, and systems
 - UV-cure topcoats began testing in Fall 2008
 - UV-cure primers, one-coats, and systems began testing in Spring 2009



Second Round

Primer, One-Coat, System Testing

Preliminary Results for Primers, One-coats, and UV-cure Systems

System	Primer	Topcoat	GE Impact	Low Temp Flex	Initial Hardness	Color Match	Gloss Match	MEK Rub	Initial Adhesion	Minimal Heat Resistance	Optimal Heat Resistance	30-Day Water
A	Deft 02-Y-40	Deft 03-GY-321	Fail	Pass	HB	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
B	Deft 02-Y-40	Deft 99-GY-001	Fail	Pass	HB	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
G	None	UV-curable coating	Fail	Fail	B	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Fail
E	None	UV-curable coating	Fail	Fail	< 6B	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail
I	None	UV-curable coating	Fail	Pass	2H	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail
K	None	UV-curable coating	Fail	Pass	HB	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
D	UV-curable coating	UV-curable coating	Fail	Fail	F	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Fail
C	UV-curable coating	UV-curable coating	Fail	Fail	< 6B	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail
F	UV-curable coating	UV-curable coating	Fail	Pass	F	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass
J	Deft 02-Y-40	UV-curable coating	Fail	Pass	HB	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass
M	Deft 02-Y-40	UV-curable coating	Fail	Pass	HB	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass
H	UV-curable coating	None	Pass	NR*	4H	NR*	NR*	Pass	Pass	NR*	NR*	Pass
L	UV-curable coating	None	Fail	NR*	F	NR*	NR*	Pass	Pass	NR*	NR*	Fail

*No requirement





Second Round

Primer, One-Coat, System Testing (cont.)

1000 Hour Filiform Corrosion

System	Primer	Topcoat	Filiform Growth Description	Result
A	Deft 02-Y-40	Deft 03-GY-321	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
B	Deft 02-Y-40	Deft 99-GY-001	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
C	UV-curable coating	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
D	UV-curable coating	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
F	UV-curable coating	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
J	Deft 02-Y-40	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
M	Deft 02-Y-40	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
E	None	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
G	None	UV-curable coating	The majority of the growth was over 1/4 inch	Fail
I	None	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
K	None	UV-curable coating	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
H	UV-curable coating	None	All growth less than 1/8 inch	Pass
L	UV-curable coating	None	The majority of growth was less than 1/8 inch, with a few between 1/8 and 1/4 inch	Pass





Second Round Topcoat Testing

CODE	Color	Identifier
X	36173 Gray	Deft 03-GY-321 (Control corresponding to MIL-PRF-85285)
Y	36173 Gray	Deft 99-GY-001 (control corresponding to "Advanced performance Topcoat")
A	Camo Black	Based on Screening "N"
B	Camo Black (1)	Based on Screening "N"
C	Camo Black (2)	Based on Screening "N"
D	Camo Black (3)	Based on Screening "N"
E	Gloss White	Based on Screening "G"
F	Gloss White	Based on Screening "O"
G	Camo Gray	Based on Screening "O"
H	Camo Gray	Based on Screening "G"



Second Round Topcoat Test Panels





Second Round Topcoat Test Results

Coating System	Color	Color Match	Gloss Match	Wet Tape	Cross Hatch	Low Temp Flex	GE Impact	Pencil Hardness	Weathering (500-hrs)			
									Color Change	Gloss Change	Post Test Low Temp Flexibility	Post Test GE Impact
X (CONTROL)	Camo Gray 36173	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	HB	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A
Y (CONTROL)	Camo Gray 36173	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	HB	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A
A	Camo Black 37038	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	F	Pass	N/A	Pass	N/A
B	Camo Black 37038	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	HB	Pass	N/A	Pass	N/A
C	Camo Black 37038	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	HB	Pass	N/A	Pass	N/A
D	Camo Black 37038	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	HB	Fail	N/A	Pass	N/A
E	Gloss White 17925	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	B	Pass	N/A	Fail	N/A
F	Gloss White 17925	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	HB	Fail	N/A	Fail	N/A
G	Camo Gray 36173	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	2B	Pass	Fail	Pass	N/A
H	Camo Gray 36173	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	< 6B	Pass	N/A	Pass	N/A





Second Round

Topcoat Results (cont.)

Coating System	Color	Cleanability	Heat Resistance (1-hr 250 F)	Opacity	Lube Oil Resistance	Hydraulic Fluid Resistance (24-hr)	Jet Fuel Resistance (7-day)
X (CONTROL)	Camo Gray 36173	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Y (CONTROL)	Camo Gray 36173	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
A	Camo Black 37038	Not required	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
B	Camo Black 37038	Not required	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
C	Camo Black 37038	Not required	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
D	Camo Black 37038	Not required	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
E	Gloss White 17925	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass
F	Gloss White 17925	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass
G	Camo Gray 36173	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
H	Camo Gray 36173	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass





Second Round

Topcoat Conclusions

- Coatings A, F, and G closest to DoD aerospace requirements for their colors
 - Proceed to optimize topcoats under follow-on Topcoat Project

Color	FED-STD-595C Color Number	Applications
Camo Black	37038	Used in many stencil applications across platforms and services (including C-130)
Camo Gray	36118	F-16 markings
Camo Gray	36173	C-130 escape hatch, life raft cover
Gloss White	17860	Coast Guard White HH-60, HH-25
Gloss White	17925	White used for Air Force and Navy (P-3 stencils, F-16 wheels)



UV-Curable Topcoat Project

- Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP) Project “UV-Curable Coatings for Aerospace Applications”
 - Demonstrate and evaluate UV-curable topcoats
 - Verify through laboratory and field testing that UV-curable topcoats can
 - Meet aerospace performance requirements
 - Reduce environmental burden
 - Reduce costs
 - Increase production throughput
- Joint Service Effort
 - Air Force: Ogden Air Logistics Center (OO-ALC)
 - Navy: Fleet Readiness Center Southeast (FRCSE)
 - Coast Guard: United States Coast Guard Aviation Logistics Center (USCG ALC)





Topcoat Project Organization

ESTCP Principal Investigator
Glenn Baker



Program Management
Tom Naguy
Randy Straw



Principal Stakeholders

OO-ALC
OC-ALC
WR-ALC
FRCSE
USCG ALC



CTC
Georgette Nelson, *CTC* Project Manager
Matthew Campbell, Technical Support
Steve Finley, Technical Support



Coating Suppliers

CTIO Lab Testing
Corey Bliss





Topcoat Technical Approach

Project Approach



Task III – Technology Transition

- Modify specifications and process documents
- Transition equipment to OO-ALC and train staff
- Compare performance versus baseline data
- Prepare Final Reports & Briefing

Task II – Demonstration/Validation

- Make final selection of coatings for dem/val (*in-progress*)
- Conduct lab testing and optimization (*in-progress*)
- Conduct field testing

Task I – Planning for Demonstration/Validation

- Draft Project Management Plan (PMP) (*complete*)
- Conduct Initial Cost-Benefit Analysis (ICBA) and Performance Baseline (*complete*)
- Draft Joint Test Protocol (JTP) (*complete*)
- Draft Demonstration Plan (*complete*)



Topcoat Targeted Applications

- OO-ALC

C-130

- Stenciling
- Escape hatches
- Life raft covers
- Prop tips

F-16

- Stenciling
- Wheels



- USCG ALC

HH-60

- Crew entry door
- External fuel tanks
- Fuel tank pylons

HU-25


- Panel covers
- Stenciling



- FRCSE

P-3

- Stenciling
- Wheels





Joint Test Protocol

Appearance	
Color	ΔE of less than 1 from standard
Gloss	At 60°: ≥ 90 for gloss; ≤ 5 for flat;
Adhesion	
Wet Tape	No peel away; target rating of 4A or 5A
Cross Hatch	No peel away; target rating of 4B or 5B
Flexibility	
Low Temperature	No cracking or adhesion loss over 1 inch bend (gloss and semi-gloss) or 2 inch bend (flat)
GE Impact	Minimum of 40% elongation; no cracking, crazing, or loss of adhesion
Resistance	
Pencil Hardness	2B or harder; initial hardness - data point for fluid resistance
Fluid Resistance	Softening no more than two (2) pencil hardness unit; no blistering or defects after exposure to lube oil, hydraulic fluid and JP-8 fuel

Resistance	
Accelerated Weathering (Color and Gloss)	Color change (ΔE) of less than 1 after 200 hours; Min gloss of 90 for gloss; max five (5) for flat
Heat Resistance	Color change (ΔE) of less than 1 after exposure to $250 \pm 5^\circ F$ for 60 minutes
Humidity Resistance	No blistering, softening, loss of adhesion or defects
Cleanability	Cleaning Efficiency $\geq 75\%$
Repairability	
Scuff sand /Wet Tape	No peel away; target rating of 4A or 5A
Scuff sand /Cross Hatch	No peel away; target rating of 4B or 5B
Strippability	
Chemical Strippers	Removal of the coating to the substrate
Dry Media (blasting)	Removal of the coating to the substrate

Based on MIL-PRF-85285 / MIL-PRF-32239 and input from subject matter experts



Future Plans

- Demonstration Plan to be approved by stakeholders and ESTCP
- Selected vendors to conduct final reformulation and submit for testing to JTP at the CTIO
- Purchase portable lamp system for use during demonstration
- Conduct demonstrations at stakeholder sites
 - Collect additional cost and performance data to verify savings
 - Monitor field performance of UV-curable coatings for a year
- Transfer technology to primary stakeholder at OO-ALC



Specialty UV-Cure Coatings

- Rain Erosion Coatings
 - Current coating high VOCs/HAPs
 - Production burden
 - ✓ 12 hours apply; 5 to 7 days cure
 - ✓ Fluctuates with temperature and humidity
 - ✓ Cause bottlenecks in production
 - ✓ Often results in rework
 - One commercial source
 - Suggested minimum performance
 - ✓ 2000-3000 PSI tensile strength
 - ✓ 300%-500% elongation
 - Four potential UV-curable rain erosion suppliers identified
 - On-going







UV-Cure Lamp Technology

Three broad types of lamp technology

- Arc (electrode) lamp
 - Mature technology, wide use
 - Arc across electrodes excites mercury vapor
 - Simple, cost-effective
 - Electrode degradation and short bulb life are concerns
- Microwave (electrodeless) lamp
 - Microwave radiation excites mercury
 - Offers more reliable, longer lasting bulbs at higher cost
- LED Lamp
 - A semiconductor diode emits light
 - Manufactured to emit a specific, narrow optical spectrum
 - Very long life (50,000 – 100,000 hrs)
 - Instant on/off control and efficient energy conversion
 - High equipment costs, particularly for panels required for large area curing



UV Lamp systems work well with automation



The Future

- Depot and field level transition of UV-curable topcoats
- Primers, One-coats, and Systems
 - Preliminary data indicates corrosion protection
 - Reformulation and continued test and evaluation
 - Depot and field level transition
- Robotics
 - Full spectrum light manipulated by robot in enclosed chamber
 - Small robotic systems for specialty applications such as rain erosion coatings on radomes
 - Full aircraft paint and cure with the same robot
- Lamp technology development for aerospace applications



Summary

- DoD has dedicated significant funds and time to develop UV-curable coatings and transition to end users
- UV-curable coatings will significantly impact DoD maintenance processes
 - “Dry to fly” time reduction
 - Environmental benefits
 - Reduced man hours per painting event
- Multi-step technology transition program leading up to full scale aircraft painting

Maintenance Benefits		
Process	Current Practice	Using UV Cure
F-16 Maintenance	4 days repair paint and stencil	2 days repair paint and stencil
Off aircraft parts and support equipment	Paint, wait for part to dry 24 to 36 hours	Paint, put part into service or reassembly



Contact Info

Environmental and Energy Quality Team	
Mr. Tom Naguy	Thomas.Naguy@wpafb.af.mil (937) 656-5709
Mr. Randy Straw (CTC)	Randall.Straw@wpafb.af.mil (937) 255-5598
AF Coatings Technology Integration Office	
Mr. Corey Bliss	Corey.Bliss@wpafb.af.mil (937) 255-0943
Concurrent Technologies Corporation	
Ms. Georgette Nelson	nelsong@ctc.com (412) 992-5355
Mr. Matthew Campbell	campbell@ctc.com (412) 992-5382



Questions

