

Development and qualification of S53 ultrahigh-strength corrosion resistant steel for cadmium replacement

JCAT


January 26, 2006 – San Diego, CA

Charlie Kuehmann



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 26 JAN 2006		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2006 to 00-00-2006	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Development and qualification of S53 ultrahigh-strength corrosion resistant steel for cadmium replacement				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) QuesTek Innovations LLC,1820 Ridge Avenue,Evanston,IL,60201				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 26th Replacement of Hard Chrome and Cadmium Plating Program Review Meeting, January 24-26, 2006, San Diego, CA. Sponsored by SERDP/ESTCP.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 28	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Drivers



SCC failure



HE failure


Benefits:

- Dramatic reduction in LG cost (60%)
 - savings of \$120 million per year
- Significant reduction in SCC failures
- Cadmium plating not required
- General corrosion mitigated
- 80% of Steel Condemns Avoided

Issues:


- Over \$200 million spent in LG per year
 - 80% corrosion related
- SCC failures
- Cad plating used to protect current steel known carcinogen (Hill AFB ~ 2000 lbs/yr)

Milestones and Plans




S53 System Flow-Block Diagram


PROCESSING



STRUCTURE




PROPERTIES



P E R F O R M A N C E

S53 Processing Schematic



ESTCP Program Objectives

- 3 Commercial scale heats
- Identify initial implementation components
- Qualification testing for AMS (S-basis) allowables
 - Execution of Joint Test Protocol (JTP)
 - Estimate MMPDS A & B-basis allowables by AIM
- Specifications for manufacturing process
 - Alloy Production
 - Forging
 - Rough Machining
 - Heat Treatment
 - Finish Machining/Surface Preparation
- Cost/Benefit Analysis
- Future Implementation Plan

ESTCP Production Line-up

Primary VIM




~ 20" Ingots (7 tons)



Secondary VAR – 24 in.



~ 24" Ingot (7 tons)




Homogenization




Press Forge



~ 16" DOC (2.5 tons)



Demo Plan



Bar Rolling



~ 8.5" RND (2500 lbs)



Bar Forging




JTP


4" RCS (~2000 lbs)




8" RND (~2000 lbs)




Joint Test Protocol



S53A Scale-up Properties



S53 Nanostructured UHS Stainless Results



Baseline Data

Ideal Heat Treatment Condition:

1100C 70 min + OQ + -78C 1 hr + AW(RT) + 505C 3 hrs + WQ

+ -78C 1 hr + AW(RT) + 492C 12 hrs + AC

209126

		0.2% YS	UTS	CVN	K _{IC}
8" round	Longitudinal	229.4	288.5	21	67
	Transverse	230.6	285.0	20	74
4" RCS	Longitudinal	229.8	285.3	19	

209193

8" round	Longitudinal	222.3	287	23	
4" RCS	Longitudinal	223.7	287.2	24	

Heat Treatment Conclusions

- Cryo not necessary to go all the way to liquid nitrogen


	0.2% YS	UTS	CVN
-78C	229.4	288.5	21
-196C	227.1	288.1	21

- Up to 8 hours after quench is acceptable before cryo-treatment

	0.2% YS	UTS	CVN
1 hour	225.1	287.9	22
5 hours	221.6	289.1	22
8 hours	231.3	284.4	20
24 hours	214.7	285.4	23

Successful scale-up: Fatigue of Production S53 alloy product forms from large Heat meet or exceed the 300M alloy fatigue trendline (L & T) of MIL-HDBK-5, and Longitudinal data matches L data of SERDP program's S53 alloy R&D small Heat


ESTCP Program on Ferrium S53 Corrosion Resistant Steel: $R = -0.33$ Fatigue Data: for 300M ($S_u = 284$ ksi) & S53-6F ($S_u = 291$ ksi) (from 300 lbs Heat) of SERDP/2003 and production grade S53 ($S_u = 284$ ksi) (from 10,000 lbs Heats).




- | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| — MIL-HDBK- 5; $R = -0.33$ Trendline | ✕ L- 300M unpeened, polished; different lot (E) | ○ T – 8" Φ bar HT# 1; 1" re-HT# 3 |
| ◆ L- 300M peened | ◇ L- Ferrium S53-6F peened | ■ L – 4"RCS bar HT# 1; 1" re-HT# 3 |
| ■ L- 300M peened; discontinued | ○ L- Ferrium S53-6F unpeened; discontinued | □ T – 4"RCS bar HT# 1; 1" re-HT# 3 |
| ● L- 300M unpeened; discontinued | — Ferrium S53-6F peened | ■ T – 0.75" x 4"RCS bar; HT# 1 |
| — 300M peened | ● L – 8" Φ bar HT# 1; 1" re-HT# 3 | ▲ T – 0.86" t x 5" Φ die-fg.; HT# 2 |

Sensitivity Analysis



- +/- 7C in temperature (solution and tempering)
- +/- 30 minutes in time (solution and tempering)
- Represents 28 samples




Corrosion Results from Anodic Polarization



K_{ISCC} Results of Ferrium™ S53 vs 4340




Weld Microstructure & Mechanical Properties



	Base Metal	Weld Metal
UTS (ksi)	276	275
YS (ksi)	226	220
Elong.%	15	9
CVN (ft-lbs.)	7	6

Study completed on S53A heat HC56 rejected for high N content.



Annealed S53 Machinability Evaluations

- Turning annealed Ferrium S53 at 38 to 40 HRC is harder than turning 300M and AerMet 100 in the normalized and annealed condition.
- Ferrium S53 hardens during turning inducing an unusual wear of the inserts.
- Lower speed is needed to have a reasonable inserts wear.
- A very important deformation (TIR) was noted on a 7.75" bar (0.040"), even with a low speed, which is not acceptable.
- Feed is found to be the most critical parameter to decrease the deformation (TIR) of the bars. Feeds as low as 0.006" are needed (compared with 0.012" for Aermet 100).
- Very good finishes after turning could be reached (34 Ra) with the most performing inserts.
- S53D Spec. incorporates a cryo treatment to address high annealed hardness and high work hardening rate – initial results are positive.



(a) cutting



(b) mill facing



(c) rounded end milling



(d) center drilling

P/N	Dimension	Number of parts	Interrupted turning	Continuous turning	Drilling	Tapping
SK-0110	3.5" x 3.5" x 7.75"	6	√	√		
SK-0112	3.5" x 3.5" x 7.75"	5	√	√		
SK-0113	3.5" x 3.5" x 23"	1	√	√		
SK-0114	3.5" x 3.5" x 7.75"	2	√	√		
SK-0115	3.5" x 3.5" x 7.75"	2	√	√		
SK-0116	3.5" x 3.5" x 17.3"	1			√	√
SK-0117	0.7" x 6" x 24"	2			√	

Production S53 Annealed Machinability

ESTCP Program on S53 Alloy;
GA Drawing # 15 - Machineability Test Article:
S53 alloy, condition N + CRYO + ANN by CarTech; 35HRC
Production Heat # 209126



ESTCP Program on S53 Alloy;
GA Drawing # 15 - Machineability Test Article:
S53 alloy, condition N + CRYO + ANN by CarTech; 35HRC
Production Heat # 209126



S53 Fully Hardened Machining Evaluations

Threaded S53 sample piece



P/N – Dia (inch)	Number of parts	Turning	Threading	Drilling
SK-0110 – 1.50	6	√ (parts prepared for the grinding trials)		
SK-0111 – 1.75	1	√		
SK-0112 – 3.00	5	√		
SK-0113 – 3.00	1	√		
SK-0114	2, three diam		√	
SK-0115 – 3.80	2	√		
SK-0117 – plate	2			√

Alloy	Insert	Speed (SFM) (1)	Infeed (Inch per Revolution)	Depth of cut (inch)	BNI/Finish (2)
300M	Carbide KC5010	160R	0.010	0.075	
		180F	0.008	0.030	
	Ceramics	550R/F	0.006	0.030	
Aermet 100	Carbide	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		160F	0.010	0.010	
Ferrium S53	Carbide	150R	0.008	0.060	
	KC5010/positive				
	Carbide	180F	0.008	0.015	A/58-64Ra
	KC7310/positive				
	Carbide KC5010 OR	120F	0.008	0.005	A/55-71Ra
	EH510Z/positive				
	Carbide	90F	0.006	0.015	A/15-16Ra
	KC5010/positive				

Grinding Results

- S53 is very difficult induce grind damage
- Grind burns could not be detected with a standard nital etch
- New etchant needs to be developed

Forging Study

A10 MLG Piston

Kropp Forge

5/17/05

Takeaways:

- Forges easily
- Forges better than AerMet
- Minimal (if any) change in mechanical properties




A10 Forging Characterization

- Mechanical

(Longitudinal Orientation)	0.2% YS	UTS	CVN
8" Bar Stock	229.4	288.5	21
A10 Forging	233.7	284.2	18

- Decarb



Decarb approximately 0.060" (1500 μm)

Demonstration Target Components

A-10 Main Landing Gear



- A-10 main landing gear piston (4330 – 240 ksi)
 - More complex loading
 - Forged component
 - Currently in production for spares


A-10 Nose Gear



- A-10 drag brace (300M - 270 ksi)
 - Simple tension loading
 - No forging required
 - Corrosion related failures


Accelerating the Materials Development Cycle

Materials by Design™



AIM Methodologies

Probabilistic-Property-Prediction (P^3) Roadmap



S53 Robust/Sensitivity Analysis with Compositional Variations

Compositional Variations

(wt%, $\pm 6\sigma$):

C ± 0.01	Cr ± 0.2	Mo ± 0.1
W ± 0.1	Co ± 0.3	Ni ± 0.1
V ± 0.02		


CMD/
iSIGHT

Variations of:

Structure — carbide solvus Ts, martensite Ms, precipitation control ΔG 's


Property — hardness HRc, toughness CVN

Results of 1000 runs (12 minutes on a Pentium IV 2.2GHz CPU)



ESTCP AIM Objectives

- Objective is to predict MIL-HBK 5 “A”- Allowables with only 3 heats available.
- Designers can design new LG components with confidence 3-5 years earlier.
- Testing costs are 70% lower, overall costs are 50% lower.



Summary and Takeaways

- S53 has demonstrated property goals in multiple production scale heats.
- Primary manufacturing evaluations have been completed for machining, surface treatments, and welding.
- Yield stress is the property most sensitive to process variation.
- AIM methods will predict MMPDS (MIL-HNBK-5) A-allowables with 3 heats completed.
- First applications to be completed for Air Force replacement requirements. A-10, 2007-2008

