

Research Article

LIFETIME PREVALENCE OF DSM-IV MENTAL DISORDERS AMONG NEW SOLDIERS IN THE U.S. ARMY: RESULTS FROM THE ARMY STUDY TO ASSESS RISK AND RESILIENCE IN SERVICEMEMBERS (ARMY STARRS)

Anthony J. Rosellini, Ph.D.,¹ Steven G. Heeringa, Ph.D.,² Murray B. Stein, M.D., M.P.H.,^{3,4} Robert J. Ursano, M.D.,⁵ Wai Tat Chiu, A.M.,¹ Lisa J. Colpe, Ph.D., M.P.H.,⁶ Carol S. Fullerton, Ph.D.,⁵ Stephen E. Gilman, Sc.D.,⁷ Irving Hwang, M.A.,¹ James A. Naifeh, Ph.D.,⁵ Matthew K. Nock, Ph.D.,⁸ Maria Petukhova, Ph.D.,¹ Nancy A. Sampson, B.A.,¹ Michael Schoenbaum, Ph.D.,⁶ Alan M. Zaslavsky, Ph.D.,¹ and Ronald C. Kessler, Ph.D.^{1*}

Background: *The prevalence of 30-day mental disorders with retrospectively reported early onsets is significantly higher in the U.S. Army than among socio-demographically matched civilians. This difference could reflect high prevalence of preenlistment disorders and/or high persistence of these disorders in the context of the stresses associated with military service. These alternatives can to some extent be distinguished by estimating lifetime disorder prevalence among new Army recruits.* **Methods:** *The New Soldier Study (NSS) in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS) used fully structured measures to estimate lifetime prevalence of 10 DSM-IV disorders in new soldiers reporting for Basic Combat Training in 2011–2012 (n = 38,507). Prevalence was compared to estimates from a matched civilian sample. Multivariate regression models examined socio-demographic correlates of disorder prevalence and persistence among new soldiers.* **Results:** *Lifetime prevalence of having at least one internalizing, externalizing, or either type of disorder did not differ significantly between new soldiers and civilians, although three specific disorders (generalized anxiety, posttraumatic stress, and conduct disorders) and multi-morbidity were significantly more common among new soldiers than civilians. Although several socio-demographic characteristics were significantly associated with disorder prevalence and persistence, these associations were uniformly weak.* **Conclusions:** *New soldiers differ somewhat, but not consistently, from civilians*

¹Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

²University of Michigan Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor, Michigan

³Departments of Psychiatry and Family and Preventive Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California

⁴VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, California

⁵Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress, Department of Psychiatry, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland

⁶National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, Maryland

⁷Departments of Social and Behavioral Sciences, and Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

⁸Department of Psychology, Harvard College, Cambridge, Massachusetts

Contract grant sponsor: Department of the Army, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and NIH/NIMH; contract grant number: U01MH087981.

*Correspondence to: Ronald C. Kessler, Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, 180 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115. E-mail: Kessler@hcp.med.harvard.edu

Received for publication 27 June 2014; Revised 15 August 2014; Accepted 24 August 2014

DOI 10.1002/da.22316

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 2014		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2014 to 00-00-2014	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Lifetime Prevalence of DSM-IV Mental Disorders Among New Soldiers in the U.S. Army: Results from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army Starrs)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Harvard Medical School, Department of Health Care Policy, 180 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA, 02115				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

in lifetime preenlistment mental disorders. This suggests that prior findings of higher prevalence of current disorders with preenlistment onsets among soldiers than civilians are likely due primarily to a more persistent course of early-onset disorders in the context of the special stresses experienced by Army personnel. Depression and Anxiety 00:1–12, 2014. © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: *military personnel; mental disorders; prevalence; epidemiology; demographics*

INTRODUCTION

Mental disorders are leading causes of U.S. military morbidity.^[1] This high relative burden of mental disorders could reflect the fact that soldiers are physically healthy at the time of enlistment due to serious physical disorders being exclusions from military service, but might also be due partly to a high absolute burden of mental disorders in the military compared to civilians. The scant data on this issue suggest that military personnel on active duty have higher rates of some mental disorders than civilians.^[2] The most rigorous study of this issue to date comes from a self-report survey, the All-Army Study (AAS), in the *Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers* (Army STARRS).^[3,4] The AAS assessed a representative sample of nondeployed U.S. Army soldiers exclusive of those in Basic Combat Training (BCT) and found that the prevalence of having at least one common psychiatric disorder in the 30 days before interview was considerably higher among these soldiers (25.1%) than a civilian sample calibrated to have similar socio-demographics as soldiers and not to have exclusions for enlistment (11.6%).^[5] Although this higher prevalence in the Army might be due to the unique stressors associated with military service,^[6–9] another possibility is that differential selection exists into military service on the basis of preenlistment mental disorders or risk factors for such disorders. Evaluating the relative importance of these two possibilities is important given recent discussions about optimal recruitment, retention, and health care delivery strategies for an all-volunteer Army during times of war.^[10,11]

The AAS provided some limited information on this issue by asking respondents retrospectively to report the age-of-onset (AOO) of their 30-day mental disorders. Three-quarters (76.6%) of respondents reported onsets prior to enlistment. This high proportion should not be surprising, as general population epidemiological studies find most lifetime mental disorders have childhood-adolescence onsets.^[12–14] But a more striking result was that a significantly higher proportion of respondents with 30-day disorders in the civilian comparison sample reported early onsets (91.2% vs. 76.6%, $\chi^2_1 = 10.7$, $P = .001$). However, absolute prevalence of 30-day disorders with preenlistment onsets was nonetheless significantly higher among soldiers than civilians (19.2% vs. 10.6%, $\chi^2_1 = 10.4$, $P = .001$).

The higher absolute prevalence of 30-day disorders with early onsets among soldiers than civilians could be due to any of three processes: (1) recall error in retrospective AOO reports; (2) early-onset disorders and/or their risk factors being positively associated with Army enlistment; and (3) higher chronicity of early-onset disorders among soldiers than civilians (possibly due to the special stressors associated with Army service). The first possibility is implausible because methodological research suggests that the tendency in such dating errors is to recall first onset as more recent than actually occurred,^[15] and there is no reason to think that recall error would be greater among soldiers than civilians. However, the remaining possibilities are both plausible.

Adjudication between these two possibilities could be important in helping to design Army preventive interventions, including early interventions for high-risk groups or early treatment if soldiers were found to have significantly higher rates of child-adolescent disorders than civilians. The data in the AAS did not allow for this analysis, as lifetime prevalence was assessed only among soldiers with 30-day disorders. However, useful information on this issue could be obtained by comparing lifetime prevalence of mental disorders among new Army recruits and civilians. We present the results of such a study in the current report, examining preenlistment prevalence and socio-demographic correlates of a number of common mental disorders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLE

Data come from the Army STARRS New Soldier Study (NSS). Unlike the AAS, which did not include soldiers in BCT, the NSS was carried out exclusively among new soldiers who had already been successful in passing the screening hurdles for Army enlistment (i.e., for histories of criminal behaviors, severe physical disorders-handicaps, and severe mental illness)^[16] and were about to begin BCT at one of three Army Installations (Fort Benning, GA; Fort Jackson, SC; and Fort Leonard Wood, MO) between April 2011 and November 2012. Data collection occurred during the days immediately prior to starting BCT when new soldiers were processed (e.g., completing physical exams; issuance of uniforms). Samples sizes were proportional to the relative size of the cohorts across installations. Recruitment began by selecting a weekly sample of 200–300 new soldiers in each installation to attend a study overview and informed consent presentation for the study. Army STARRS staff worked closely with Army coordinators to

guarantee that these samples were representative of all new soldiers in each weekly cohort. The overview and informed consent presentation explained study purposes, confidentiality, emphasized that participation was voluntary, and answered all questions before seeking written informed consent to (i) complete a self-administered questionnaire (SAQ), (ii) link administrative records to SAQ responses, and (iii) participate in future data collections. Identifying information (e.g., name, SSN) was collected from consenting respondents and kept in a separate secure file. These recruitment, consent, and data protection procedures were approved by the Human Subjects Committees of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences for the Henry M. Jackson Foundation (the primary grantee), the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan (the organization collecting the data), and all other collaborating organizations.

The 38,507 NSS respondents considered here represent all consenting soldiers who completed the SAQ April 2011–November 2012. All new soldiers selected to attend the informed consent session did so, virtually all (99.9%) provided consent, and most (93.7%) completed the full SAQ (see Appendix Table 5, available at www.armystarrs.org/publications). Incomplete surveys were primarily due to time constraints (e.g., cohorts arriving late or having to leave early; certain respondents being unable to fully complete the surveys during the allotted time). Most soldiers who completed the survey also provided consent for and were successfully linked to their administrative records (77.0%). All analyses reported here utilize a combined analysis weight that both adjusts for differential administrative record linkage consent among soldiers who completed the survey and includes a poststratification of these consent weights to known demographic and service characteristics of the population of new soldiers attending BCT during the study period. A detailed description of NSS clustering and weighting is available elsewhere.^[17]

THE COMPARISON CIVILIAN SAMPLE

Lifetime prevalence of DSM-IV disorders was compared to estimates from a subsample of the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R)^[18] limited to respondents who lacked self-reported exclusions for Army service (histories of criminal behaviors, severe physical disorders-handicaps, and severe mental illness) and was weighted to have the same multivariate distribution as the NSS on a range of socio-demographics separately among soldiers in the Regular Army and in the Army National Guard or Army Reserve. A detailed discussion of the civilian sample and calibration is presented elsewhere.^[19]

MEASURES

Diagnostic Assessment. NSS respondents self-administered a computerized version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview screening scales (CIDI-SC)^[20] and a screening version of the PTSD Checklist (PCL)^[21] to assess 10 lifetime DSM-IV mental disorders. We focused on lifetime prevalence rather than 30-day prevalence because we were interested in studying differences in the rates of any preenlistment mental disorders rather than current disorders at the time of accession. The NCS-R assessed the same lifetime disorders with the full CIDI,^[20] which means that between-survey comparisons of prevalence are inexact. Respondents in the NSS but not NCS-R with lifetime disorders were also asked how many years each disorder had been present at least some of the time. We examined these responses to assess persistence of preenlistment disorders both by studying the absolute number of years in which each disorder occurred beyond the year of onset and also the ratio of number of years in which each disorder occurred beyond the year of onset divided by the total number of years since onset. The latter ratios were calculated at the aggregate level for each disorder to adjust for some disorders having much earlier

ages-of-onset than others.^[13] Although this is only a rough measure of persistence, it is nonetheless useful in providing a general sense of how often preenlistment disorders are persistent rather than short lived.

We distinguished between internalizing and externalizing disorders based on empirical evidence for this distinction.^[22] Five internalizing disorders were assessed: major depressive episode (MDE), bipolar I-II or subthreshold bipolar disorder (BPD), generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), panic disorder (PD), and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), along with five externalizing disorders: intermittent explosive disorder (IED), conduct disorder (CD), oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), substance use disorder (SUD; alcohol or drug abuse or dependence), and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). The SUD assessment included not only illicit drugs but also misused prescription drugs based on evidence that prescription drug misuse is considerably more common than illicit drug use in the Army.^[23] Diagnoses in both surveys were made without DSM-IV diagnostic hierarchy or organic exclusion rules. As reported in detail elsewhere,^[24] an Army STARRS clinical reappraisal study found good concordance between CIDI-SC and modified PCL diagnoses and independent clinical diagnoses based on blinded Structured Clinical Interviews for DSM-IV (SCID).^[25] The clinical reappraisal study also found CIDI-SC and PCL prevalence estimates were unbiased relative to SCID estimates ($\chi^2_1 = 0.0\text{--}0.6$, $P = .89\text{--}.43$). The earlier report,^[24] which included detailed concordance results for each of the 10 disorders studied here, is available elsewhere (www.armystarrs.org/publications).

Socio-Demographics. Socio-demographics included respondent age, sex, race-ethnicity, soldier education, marital status, religion, soldier and parent nativity, and parent education relative to respondent education. Separate questions were asked about Hispanic ethnicity (yes–no) and race (*White, Black or African-American, American Indian or Native American, Asian [e.g., Chinese, Filipino, Indian], Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and Other*), with responses collapsed into summary categories of Non-Hispanic Black, Non-Hispanic White, Hispanic, and Other.

ANALYSIS METHODS

Cross-tabulations were used to estimate disorder prevalence. Comparison of prevalence estimates in the NSS and the comparison civilian sample was used to determine if preenlistment prevalence was higher among new soldiers than civilians. Socio-demographic predictors of disorder onset and persistence were examined to determine if high preenlistment disorder risk was isolated in a small subset of new soldiers or widely distributed. Logistic regression was used to predict lifetime disorders and negative binomial regression to predict disorder persistence controlling for AOO and number of years since onset. Coefficients and standard errors were exponentiated in logistic models to create odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals and in negative binomial models to create incident rate ratios (IRRs; the expected difference in mean number of years of persistence associated with a 1 unit increase in the predictor) with 95% confidence intervals. Strength of associations was evaluated with Cramer's V (φ_c).

All analyses were carried out using weighted data. Design effects due to weighting and implicit stratification by location and clustering were handled by using the design-based Taylor series linearization method^[26] to estimate standard errors. Pseudo-strata were defined to implement this method based on location and bi-weekly time windows treating each weekly time-space cluster as a separate sampling error calculation unit. Significance of predictor sets was evaluated using design-based Wald χ^2 tests. All analyses were carried out with SAS Version 9.3,^[27] with *proc surveyfreq* to estimate prevalence, *proc surveylogistic* to estimate logistic models, and *proc genmod* to estimate negative binomial models.

RESULTS

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTIONS

Distributions of socio-demographic variables in the weighted NSS Regular Army and National Guard/Army Reserve (Guard/Reserve) were comparable to those in the target population of all new soldiers (Table 1).

LIFETIME DISORDER PREVALENCE

The estimated lifetime prevalence in the total NSS sample was 38.7% for any DSM-IV/CIDI-PCL disorder, 19.8% for internalizing disorder, and 31.8% for any externalizing disorders. PTSD was the most common internalizing disorder (12.6%) and IED the most common externalizing disorder (14.6%). These general patterns were very similar in the Regular Army and Guard/Reserve, although prevalence was consistently somewhat higher in the latter than former, with the Guard/Reserve having higher prevalence of any disorder (40.0% vs. 37.6%; $\chi^2_1 = 14.0$, $P < .001$), any internalizing disorder (21.0% vs. 18.8%; $\chi^2_1 = 19.4$, $P < .001$), each internalizing disorder other than BPD (3.3–13.3% vs. 2.7–12.1%; $\chi^2_1 = 7.2$ –19.7, $P < .001$ to $P = .007$), and two externalizing disorders (IED, ADHD; 7.0–15.1% vs. 5.9–14.2%; $\chi^2_1 = 4.5$ –9.3, $P = .002$ –.034; Table 2).

Lifetime prevalence differences between all new soldiers and the civilian sample were not significant for the aggregate variables representing any DSM-IV/CIDI-PCL disorder (38.7% vs. 36.5%; $\chi^2_1 = 0.1$, $P = .76$), any internalizing disorder (19.8% vs. 20.3%; $\chi^2_1 = 0.0$, $P = .93$), or any externalizing disorder (31.8% vs. 28.8%; $\chi^2_1 = 0.2$, $P = .62$). However, prevalence of three individual disorders (GAD, PTSD, CD) were significantly higher among soldiers than civilians. The differences in GAD (8.2% vs. 1.2%; $\chi^2_1 = 245.0$, $P < .001$) and PTSD (12.6% vs. 2.5%; $\chi^2_1 = 44.5$, $P < .001$) were much more striking than the difference in CD (5.9% vs. 3.3%; $\chi^2_1 = 3.9$, $P = .048$). These differences resulted in a significantly higher proportion of new soldiers than civilians having multi-morbidity (3+ lifetime disorders; 11.3% vs. 6.5%; $\chi^2_1 = 4.0$, $P = .046$). These soldier-versus-civilian differences were broadly similar when examined separately in the Regular Army and Guard/Reserve excluding that the prevalence of CD was not significantly higher among new soldiers in the Guard/Reserve than civilians (5.5% vs. 3.6%; $\chi^2_1 = 1.6$, $P = .21$).

PERSISTENCE OF LIFETIME DSM-IV/CIDI-PCL DISORDERS

Mean years of disorder persistence (exclusive of ADHD, for which persistence was not assessed) across disorders was comparable among new soldiers in the Regular Army (1.3–4.5) and Guard/Reserve (1.2–4.4) (Table 3). IED was the only disorder with mean persistence significantly different in the Regular Army than Guard/Reserve, although the difference was

substantively small (3.6 vs. 3.4; $\chi^2_1 = 4.9$, $P = .027$). Mean persistence ratios were in the range 33.2–60.7% for the Regular Army and 31.6–62.0% for the Guard/Reserve and BPD was the only disorder with a persistence ratio that significantly differed in the Regular Army than Guard/Reserve (41.9% vs. 48.3%, $\chi^2_1 = 4.9$, $P = .027$). Mean persistence was generally higher for externalizing (3.4–4.5) than internalizing (1.4–3.0) disorders with the exception of SUD. It is noteworthy that the two highest persistence ratios were for PD (60.7–62.0%) and IED (57.0–58.4%), both of which are characterized by repeated and uncontrollable attacks (of fear in the case of PD and anger in the case of IED) out of proportion to precipitating events.

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PREDICTORS OF PREVALENCE AND PERSISTENCE

The vast majority of associations between socio-demographics and lifetime disorders were statistically significant in multivariate models, including 22 of 24 in pooled models (Table 4) and 51 of 80 in models for individual disorders. (The tables for individual disorders are available at (www.armystarrs.org/publications)). These associations were for the most part in the direction predicted by previous research: higher rates of internalizing disorders among women and soldiers with Non-Western religions; higher rates of externalizing disorders among men and the unmarried; and inverse associations of age, minority status (Non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic), soldier and parent education, and immigrant status with both internalizing and externalizing disorders. However, these statistically significant associations were all small in substantive terms (ϕ_c in the range .00–.07).

The significant associations of socio-demographics with disorder persistence were less consistent: 16 of 27 associations in pooled models and 29 of 81 in models for individual disorders. Persistence was higher among women than men and Non-Hispanic Whites than minorities (only for externalizing disorders), lower among immigrants than 1st and later generation Americans, and inversely related both to AOO and to time-since-onset (see Table 5). Parent education was related inversely to persistence of internalizing disorders and positively to persistence of externalizing disorders. Religion, soldier education, and marital status were unrelated to persistence. As with prevalence, the statistically significant associations with persistence were small in substantive terms (ϕ_c in the range .02–.09) other than those involving age-of-onset and time-since-onset (ϕ_c in the range .06–.27).

DISCUSSION

The above results are important in demonstrating that new soldiers in the U.S. Army during 2011–2012, although having higher rates of GAD, PTSD, CD, and

TABLE 1. Distributions of socio-demographic and Army career variables in quarter 2 2011 through quarter 4 2012 of the Army STARRS New Soldier Study analysis sample and the target population of all comparable new U.S. Army soldiers^a

	Regular Army					Guard/Reserve				
	Unweighted		Weighted		Population	Unweighted		Weighted		Population
	%	SE	%	SE		%	SE	%	SE	
Gender ^b										
Male	86.4	0.4	86.2	0.5	86.3	78.6	0.6	78.2	0.7	78.5
Female	13.6	0.4	13.8	0.5	13.7	21.4	0.6	21.8	0.7	21.5
Race/ethnicity ^b										
Non-Hispanic Black	17.5	0.4	17.7	0.4	20.3	16.4	0.3	16.0	0.4	17.9
Non-Hispanic White	61.2	0.4	58.0	0.5	61.3	60.4	0.5	61.0	0.6	64.3
Hispanic	14.4	0.3	16.4	0.3	12.9	15.9	0.3	15.0	0.4	11.9
Other	6.9	0.2	7.9	0.2	5.5	7.3	0.2	8.1	0.3	5.8
Soldier education ^b										
Less than high school	4.3	0.1	4.3	0.1	4.4	20.7	0.4	28.8	0.7	29.2
Completed high school	87.1	0.4	87.6	0.4	87.6	70.9	0.5	63.5	0.7	63.1
Some college/college graduate	8.6	0.3	8.1	0.4	8.0	8.3	0.4	7.7	0.4	7.7
Marital status ^b										
Currently married	13.8	0.3	15.3	0.4	15.1	9.7	0.3	8.9	0.4	8.4
Never married	86.2	0.3	84.7	0.4	84.1	90.2	0.3	90.9	0.4	90.4
Previously married	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.2
Religion ^c										
Protestant	55.7	0.4	54.8	0.5	56.5	57.2	0.4	57.1	0.5	50.3
Catholic	16.9	0.3	17.2	0.3	14.9	19.2	0.3	19.2	0.4	11.9
Other religion	3.9	0.1	4.3	0.2	1.7	4.2	0.1	4.2	0.2	1.3
No religion	23.5	0.3	23.8	0.4	26.8	19.5	0.3	19.5	0.4	36.5
Nativity ^d										
Immigrant	6.7	0.2	7.4	0.3	—	7.2	0.2	6.9	0.2	—
First generation	12.1	0.2	13.2	0.3	—	12.4	0.3	12.3	0.3	—
Second+ generation	81.2	0.4	79.5	0.4	—	80.4	0.4	80.8	0.4	—
Parent education relative to Soldier education ^d										
Parents college graduate	27.0	0.4	26.5	0.4	—	27.8	0.4	28.1	0.4	—
Parents some college completed	23.4	0.3	23.6	0.3	—	24.0	0.4	23.9	0.4	—
All other	49.6	0.4	49.9	0.4	—	48.2	0.5	48.0	0.5	—
Age-at-enlistment ^b										
17-18	22.6	0.7	24.3	0.8	23.5	28.3	0.6	33.2	0.9	33.5
19	21.1	0.4	21.1	0.4	21.3	20.3	0.4	19.6	0.4	18.8
20	15.1	0.3	14.6	0.3	14.7	13.6	0.3	12.9	0.3	12.9
21	10.2	0.3	10.0	0.3	10.0	8.9	0.2	8.6	0.3	8.3
22-24	18.3	0.4	17.2	0.4	17.2	14.6	0.3	13.0	0.3	13.5
25+	12.7	0.3	12.9	0.4	13.2	14.3	0.4	12.7	0.5	13.0

Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; SE, standard error.

^aThe population data were obtained from the Defense Manpower Data Center Master Personnel and Contingency Tracking System (CTS) for all new soldiers in the Regular Army, Army National Guard, and Army Reserve. Results are based on monthly CTS snapshots for the 20-month period between April 2011 and November 2012. The Army STARRS New Soldier Study analysis included 38,507 participants (Regular Army $n = 21,840$; Guard/Reserve $n = 16,667$), and the target population of comparable new U.S. Army soldiers included 251,068 (Regular Army $n = 150,337$; Guard/Reserve $n = 100,731$). The estimate of population size is averaged over the 20 months to generate the population data.

^bGender, race/ethnicity, soldier education, marital status, and age-at-enlistment were used to poststratify the sample to the population. This allowed the population estimates to be identical to the weighted estimates, except for the small number of cases where self-report data differed from administrative data.

^cReligion was included in the poststratification for the Regular Army and Guard soldiers, but was not included in the poststratification to the population for Reserve soldiers because it was not significant in the final stepwise regression model.

^dNativity and parent education were not used for poststratification because no measures of these variables were available in the total population. Immigrant = the soldier was not born in the United States; First generation = the soldier was born in the United States but at least one parent was not born in the United States; Second+ generation = the soldier and both the soldier's parents were born in the United States; Parents college graduate = at least one parent completed college and the soldier had a lower level of education than college graduation; Parents some college = at least one parent completed some college and the soldier had a lower level of education.

TABLE 2. Estimated lifetime prevalence of DSM-IV internalizing and externalizing disorders in quarter 2 2011 through quarter 4 2012 of the Army STARRS New Soldier Study and separately in a calibrated national civilian comparison sample

	Total sample				Regular Army				Guard/Reserve			
	NSS		NCS-R		NSS ^a		NCS-R ^b		NSS ^a		NCS-R ^b	
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE
I. Internalizing disorders												
MDE	7.8	0.2	11.2	4.0	7.2	0.2	11.8	4.7	8.6	0.4	10.5	3.4
BPD	3.6	0.1	6.5	2.9	3.5	0.2	7.0	3.1	3.6	0.2	5.9	2.8
GAD	8.2*	0.2	1.2	0.4	7.5*	0.2	0.5	0.1	9.1*	0.3	2.0	0.8
PD	2.9	0.1	4.0	2.6	2.7	0.1	4.2	2.9	3.3	0.2	3.7	2.2
PTSD	12.6*	0.2	2.5	1.5	12.1*	0.3	2.3	1.6	13.3*	0.3	2.9	1.5
Any internalizing disorder	19.8	0.3	20.3	5.6	18.8	0.3	21.7	6.2	21.0	0.4	18.7	4.9
II. Externalizing disorders												
IED	14.6	0.2	13.5	3.9	14.2	0.3	14.8	4.5	15.1	0.3	11.8	3.4
CD	5.9*	0.2	3.3	1.3	6.2*	0.2	3.0	1.2	5.5	0.2	3.6	1.5
ODD	10.3	0.2	6.9	3.2	10.3	0.2	7.0	3.4	10.2	0.3	6.8	3.0
SUD	12.6	0.2	13.9	3.6	12.6	0.3	15.0	3.9	12.6	0.3	12.6	3.4
ADHD ^c	6.4	0.2	5.1	2.8	5.9	0.2	5.1	2.8	7.0	0.3	5.2	2.7
Any externalizing disorder	31.8	0.3	28.8	6.1	31.3	0.4	30.8	6.3	32.4	0.4	26.4	6.1
III. Total												
Any of the above disorders	38.7	0.3	36.5	7.3	37.6	0.4	38.5	7.6	40.0	0.5	34.1	7.2
Exactly 1 lifetime disorder	18.9	0.2	17.1	4.5	18.3	0.3	17.7	4.7	19.6	0.4	16.4	4.2
Exactly 2 lifetime disorders	8.5	0.2	12.8	4.3	8.3	0.2	13.8	4.6	8.8	0.3	11.6	4.1
3+ lifetime disorders	11.3*	0.2	6.5	2.4	11.1	0.3	6.9	2.7	11.6*	0.3	6.0	2.0
(n)	(38,507)		(3,514)		(21,840)		(1,757)		(16,667)		(1,757)	

DSM-IV, diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition; Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; SE, standard error; NSS, New Soldier Study; NCS-R, National Comorbidity Survey-Replication; MDE, major depressive episode; BPD, bipolar I-II or subthreshold bipolar disorder; GAD, generalized anxiety disorder; PD, panic disorder; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; IED, intermittent explosive disorder; CD, conduct disorder; ODD, oppositional defiant disorder; SUD, substance use disorder; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder.

*Significant difference between NSS and NCS-R (within the total sample, Regular Army, and Guard/Reserve samples) at the .05 level, two-sided test.

^aSix individual disorders were significantly more prevalent in the NSS Guard/Reserve than the NSS Regular Army at the .05 level, two-sided test: MDE, GAD, PD, PTSD, IED, ADHD. Rates of any internalizing disorder, any lifetime disorder, and exactly one lifetime disorder were also significantly more prevalent in the NSS Guard/Reserve than the NSS Regular Army. CD was the only disorder that was significantly more prevalent in the NSS Regular Army than the NSS Guard/Reserve.

^bPrevalence rates in the NCS-R Regular Army and NCS-R Guard/Reserve did not significantly differ from one another at the .05 level, two-sided test.

^cADHD symptoms were assessed in the NSS only over the past six months, while they were assessed for childhood in the NCS-R and only respondents who met criteria during childhood were then assessed for the past six months. Thus, imputed ADHD was used to estimate rates in the NCS-R.

multi-morbidity than civilians, did not differ significantly from otherwise comparable civilians in lifetime prevalence of having at least one earlier-onset mental disorder (38.7% of new soldiers vs. 36.5% of respondents in the calibrated civilian sample). While this rate of disorders might seem high at a superficial level, it is important to recognize that many or most of these cases could have been relatively mild. The finding of higher lifetime PTSD prevalence among new soldiers than civilians is consistent with research showing high rates of preenlistment trauma exposure among military trainees,^[28] while preenlistment PTSD may have also contributed to high preenlistment GAD due to GAD often developing either in conjunction with (particularly when ignoring DSM's hierarchy exclusion, as we did here) or secondary to PTSD.^[29–31] The higher lifetime prevalence of CD among new soldiers than civilians could reflect

selection bias into military service based on such personality characteristics as sensation-seeking, impulsivity, and physical aggressiveness.^[32–35] These differences in prevalence of PTSD, GAD, and CD contributed to a significantly higher proportion of new soldiers than civilians having a history of 3+ multi-morbid mental disorders (11.3% vs. 6.5%). The preenlistment disorders of new soldiers were also relatively persistent, with recurrences occurring in 32.5–61.3% of years subsequent to onset across disorders. These persistence results are generally consistent with the small amount of previous research that has been carried out on between-disorder differences in persistence.^[36,37]

Lifetime prevalence and persistence were not strongly related to the socio-demographic characteristics of new soldiers, although the signs of these modest associations were generally consistent with those found in previous

TABLE 3. Number of years of recurrence of DSM-IV internalizing and externalizing disorders in quarter 2 2011 through quarter 4 2012 in the Army STARRS New Soldier Study

	Total				Regular Army				Guard/Reserve				Total (<i>n</i>)
	Mean	SE	Proportion ^a	SE	Mean	SE	Proportion ^a	SE	Mean	SE	Proportion ^a	SE	
I. Internalizing disorders													
MDE	2.0	0.1	49.2	1.2	2.1	0.1	48.5	1.5	2.0	0.1	49.9	1.5	(2,544)
BPD	1.8	0.1	44.7	1.4	1.7	0.1	41.9*	2.0	1.9	0.1	48.3	2.1	(1,225)
GAD	2.1	0.1	52.3	1.0	2.2	0.1	51.4	1.4	2.1	0.1	53.3	1.5	(2,524)
PD	3.0	0.1	61.3	1.4	3.0	0.1	60.7	2.1	3.0	0.1	62.0	1.7	(1,016)
PTSD	1.5	0.0	34.6	0.9	1.5	0.0	34.9	1.3	1.4	0.0	34.3	1.1	(4,171)
II. Externalizing disorders ^b													
IED	3.5	0.0	57.7	0.6	3.6*	0.0	57.0	0.8	3.4	0.1	58.4	0.8	(5,387)
CD	3.6	0.1	43.4	1.1	3.7	0.1	43.7	1.3	3.5	0.1	42.9	1.9	(1,907)
ODD	4.4	0.1	51.8	0.8	4.5	0.1	51.6	1.0	4.4	0.1	52.2	1.2	(3,663)
SUD	1.2	0.0	32.5	0.7	1.3	0.0	33.2	1.0	1.2	0.1	31.6	1.2	(3,796)

DSM-IV, diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition; Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; SE, standard error; MDE, major depressive episode; BPD, bipolar I-II or subthreshold bipolar disorder; GAD, generalized anxiety disorder; PD, panic disorder; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; IED, intermittent explosive disorder; CD, conduct disorder; ODD, oppositional defiant disorder; SUD, substance use disorder; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder.

*Significant difference between the NSS Regular Army and NSS Guard/Reserve at the .05 level, two-sided test. Only one disorder differed significantly in mean persistence (IED) and another in proportional persistence (BPD) across samples.

^aProportion of years with recurrence is the ratio of number of years in episode beyond the year of onset to total number of years since onset.

^bPersistence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is not assessed by the CIDI screening scales and is thus excluded from this table.

studies. For instance, women had higher rates of internalizing disorders than men,^[5,38] while Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Blacks had lower rates of most disorders than Non-Hispanic Whites.^[39-42] The lower rates of preenlistment disorders among immigrants are consistent with the “healthy immigrant” effect found in general population studies.^[43-45]

We noted in the introduction that an earlier Army STARRS report of active duty soldiers excluding those in BCT found the 30-day prevalence of having at least one common DSM-IV disorder to be considerably higher among soldiers (25.1%) than a calibrated sample of civilians (11.6%) and that the absolute prevalence of 30-day disorders with retrospectively-reported preenlistment onsets was significantly higher among soldiers (19.2%) than civilians (10.6%). We also noted that this difference between soldiers and civilians could be due either to higher prevalence of preenlistment disorders or higher persistence of preenlistment mental disorders in the years after enlistment among soldiers than civilians. The possibility of higher preenlistment prevalence is of special importance because it raises the question whether early interventions should be carried out with new soldiers.

How should we make sense of the earlier Army STARRS finding that the proportion of soldiers with 30-day disorders and preenlistment first onsets is higher than in a calibrated civilian sample in light of the finding reported here from the NSS of less consistent differences in lifetime prevalence between new soldiers and civilians? Differential selection out of the Army (i.e., soldiers with preenlistment mental disorders being more likely than other soldiers to remain in service beyond a first

tour of duty) is one possibility, but this would seem unlikely given evidence that soldiers who have been treated for mental disorders while in service are less likely than other soldiers to remain in service.^[46,47] Recall bias in dating age of disorder onset also seems unlikely given the tendency for such dating errors toward telescoping.^[15] A more plausible possibility, in our view, is that early-onset mental disorders became more chronic in the context of the higher preenlistment prevalence of some anxiety disorders and CD among soldiers than civilians in conjunction with exposure to the special stresses experienced by Army personnel. This possibility is indirectly consistent with evidence that childhood adversities, which are strongly related to early-onset mental disorders, interact with later traumatic experiences to increase risk and severity of adult mental disorders^[48-50] as well as with the finding in the earlier Army STARRS report that 30-day mental disorders with preenlistment onsets were more severely impairing than those that only started after enlistment.^[5]

If preenlistment disorders do, in fact, have higher persistence among soldiers than civilians, then targeted postenlistment interventions might make sense with soldiers having a history of persistent preenlistment mental disorders. Although these would presumably be clinical interventions, they could also have a secondary prevention focus given that preenlistment disorders are powerful risk factors for serious emotional problems during later years of service. Screening to exclude applicants from service based on common preenlistment mental disorders, in comparison, would seem less feasible given the high prevalence and wide socio-demographic distribution of such disorders.

TABLE 4. Socio-demographic predictors of lifetime disorders in quarter 2 2011 through quarter 4 2012 of the Army STARRS New Soldier Study ($n = 38,507$)^a

	Any internalizing		Any externalizing		Any disorder	
	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Sex						
Women	1.7*	(1.6–1.9)	0.9*	(0.9–1.0)	1.2*	(1.2–1.3)
Men	–	–	–	–	–	–
χ^2_1		184.1*		5.0*		38.6*
ϕ_c		0.03		0.00		0.01
Race/ethnicity						
Non-Hispanic Black	0.6*	(0.5–0.6)	0.8*	(0.8–0.9)	0.7*	(0.7–0.8)
Non-Hispanic White	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic	0.7*	(0.6–0.8)	0.9*	(0.8–1.0)	0.8*	(0.8–0.9)
Other	0.9	(0.8–1.1)	1.0	(1.0–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)
χ^2_3		162.8*		46.7*		138.0*
ϕ_c		0.03		0.02		0.02
Soldier education						
Some college/college graduate	0.8*	(0.7–0.9)	0.8*	(0.8–0.9)	0.8*	(0.7–0.9)
Completed high school	–	–	–	–	–	–
Less than high school	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(1.0–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)
χ^2_2		10.3*		16.0*		16.4*
ϕ_c		0.01		0.01		0.01
Marital status						
Married	–	–	–	–	–	–
Previously/never married	0.9	(0.8–1.1)	1.1*	(1.0–1.2)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)
χ^2_1		1.0		5.6*		0.6
ϕ_c		0.00		0.00		0.00
Religion						
Protestant	–	–	–	–	–	–
Catholic	0.9	(0.8–1.0)	1.0	(0.9–1.0)	0.9	(0.9–1.0)
Other religion	1.3*	(1.1–1.4)	1.1	(1.0–1.3)	1.2*	(1.1–1.3)
No religion	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(1.0–1.1)	1.0	(1.0–1.1)
χ^2_3		15.6*		8.3*		15.3*
ϕ_c		0.01		0.01		0.01
Nativity						
Immigrant	0.8*	(0.7–0.9)	0.8*	(0.7–0.9)	0.8*	(0.7–0.9)
First generation	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)
Second+ generation	–	–	–	–	–	–
χ^2_2		9.1*		21.2*		20.0*
ϕ_c		0.01		0.01		0.01
Parent education relative to Soldier education						
Parents college graduate ^b	1.0	(0.9–1.0)	1.0	(0.9–1.0)	1.0	(0.9–1.0)
Parents some college completed ^b	0.9*	(0.8–0.9)	0.9*	(0.8–0.9)	0.9*	(0.8–0.9)
All other	–	–	–	–	–	–
χ^2_2		12.0*		14.3*		18.1*
ϕ_c		0.01		0.01		0.01
Age ^c						
Standardized age	0.8*	(0.8–0.8)	0.9*	(0.8–0.9)	0.8*	(0.8–0.9)
χ^2_1		73.8*		78.9*		100.2*
ϕ_c		0.02		0.02		0.02

DSM-IV, diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition; Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*Significantly different from the reference group (indicated by –) at the .05 level, two-sided test.

^aBased on a series of multivariate logistic regression equations controlling for version of the New Soldier Study survey, site of BCT, service component, and all socio-demographic predictors listed here.

^bParents college graduate = at least one parent completed college and the soldier had a lower level of education than college graduation; Parents some college = at least one parent completed some college and the soldier had a lower level of education.

^cThe mean age of new soldiers was 20.8 years.

TABLE 5. Socio-demographic predictors of persistence of lifetime disorders in quarter 2 2011 through quarter 4 2012 of the Army STARRS New Soldier Study^a

	Any internalizing		Any externalizing		Any disorder	
	IRR	(95% CI)	IRR	(95% CI)	IRR	(95% CI)
Sex						
Women	1.2*	(1.1–1.3)	1.1*	(1.0–1.2)	1.1*	(1.1–1.2)
Men	–	–	–	–	–	–
χ^2_1		11.1*		5.3*		17.8*
φ_c		0.03		0.02		0.03
Race/ethnicity						
Non-Hispanic Black	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	0.9*	(0.8–0.9)	0.9*	(0.8–1.0)
Non-Hispanic White	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	0.9*	(0.8–1.0)	0.9*	(0.8–1.0)
Other	1.0	(0.8–1.1)	0.7*	(0.6–0.8)	0.8*	(0.8–0.9)
χ^2_3		0.5		45.0*		25.1*
φ_c		0.01		0.06		0.03
Soldier education						
Some college/college graduate	0.9	(0.7–1.1)	0.9	(0.8–1.1)	0.9	(0.8–1.1)
Completed high school	–	–	–	–	–	–
Less than high school	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)
χ^2_2		1.2		0.9		0.9
φ_c		0.01		0.01		0.01
Marital status						
Married	–	–	–	–	–	–
Previously/never married	1.0	(0.9–1.2)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)
χ^2_1		0.1		0.1		0.2
φ_c		0.00		0.00		0.00
Religion						
Protestant	–	–	–	–	–	–
Catholic	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.1)
Other religion	1.1	(0.9–1.3)	1.0	(0.9–1.2)	1.1	(1.0–1.2)
No religion	1.1	(1.0–1.2)	1.0	(1.0–1.1)	1.0	(1.0–1.1)
χ^2_3		2.6		0.9		4.0
φ_c		0.02		0.01		0.01
Nativity						
Immigrant	0.7*	(0.6–0.8)	0.8*	(0.7–1.0)	0.8*	(0.7–0.9)
First generation	0.9	(0.8–1.0)	0.8*	(0.7–0.9)	0.8*	(0.8–0.9)
Second generation	–	–	–	–	–	–
χ^2_2		16.4*		21.4*		33.4*
φ_c		0.04		0.04		0.04
Parent education relative to Soldier education						
Parents college graduate ^b	0.9*	(0.8–1.0)	1.1*	(1.0–1.2)	1.0	(0.9–1.0)
Parents some college completed ^b	0.9	(0.8–1.0)	1.0	(1.0–1.1)	1.0	(0.9–1.0)
All other	–	–	–	–	–	–
χ^2_2		10.2*		8.5*		0.2
φ_c		0.03		0.02		0.00
Age						
AOO	0.9*	(0.9–0.9)	0.9*	(0.9–0.9)	0.9*	(0.9–0.9)
χ^2_1		91.8*		86.7*		154.4*
φ_c		0.09		0.08		0.08
Time since onset	0.9*	(0.9–0.9)	0.8*	(0.8–0.9)	0.9*	(0.8–0.9)
χ^2_1		184.7*		623.0*		800.9*
φ_c		0.13		0.20		0.18
(n)		(11,480)		(14,753)		(26,233)

Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; IRR, incident rate ratio; AOO, age of onset.

*Significantly different from the reference group (indicated by –) at the .05 level, two-sided test.

^aBased on a series of negative binomial equations controlling for version of the New Soldier Study survey, site of BCT, service component, and all socio-demographic predictors listed here.

^bParents college graduate = at least one parent completed college and the soldier had a lower level of education than college graduation; Parents some college = at least one parent completed some college and the soldier had a lower level of education.

The above results need to be interpreted in the context of three important limitations. First, although the NSS included an assessment of several childhood adversities known to play a role in developing mental disorders (e.g., poverty, abuse, neglect),^[48–51] these data are not yet available and thus we could not examine the associations of childhood adversities with lifetime mental disorders. We plan to examine these associations in a future report, including the possibility that childhood adversities moderate the relationships between Army-specific stressors (e.g., BCT, deployment, promotions/demotions) and mental disorder onset and persistence. Second, assessments of mental disorders in the NSS and NCS-R were not identical, although both assessments were validated and shown to yield prevalence estimates consistent with those based on blinded clinical interviews.^[24,52] Third, the calibration methods used to make the weighted NCS-R sample equivalent to the NSS were necessarily incomplete given that we have an incomplete understanding of selection factors into Army service. The only practical way to address these latter limitations would be to assess a very large and representative general population survey of late adolescents for mental disorders and follow this sample over a period of several years to study the associations of baseline mental disorders with subsequent Army enlistment. We are unaware of any existing dataset that contains this information. In the absence of such data, the results presented here represent the best available evidence on differences between new soldiers and comparable civilians in prevalence of preenlistment lifetime mental disorders, although subsequent follow-ups of the Army STARRS sample will provide data that could make a significant advance over the current findings.

Acknowledgments. Army STARRS was sponsored by the Department of the Army and funded under cooperative agreement number U01MH087981 with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Mental Health (NIH/NIMH). The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Health and Human Services, NIMH, the Department of the Army, or the Department of Defense.

The Army STARRS Team consists of Co-Principal Investigators: Robert J. Ursano, M.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences) and Murray B. Stein, M.D., M.P.H. (University of California San Diego and VA San Diego Healthcare System);

Site Principal Investigators: Steven Heeringa, Ph.D. (University of Michigan) and Ronald C. Kessler, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); NIMH collaborating scientists: Lisa J. Colpe, Ph.D., M.P.H. and Michael Schoenbaum, Ph.D.; Army liaisons/consultants: COL Steven Cersovsky, M.D., M.P.H. (USAPHC) and Kenneth Cox, M.D., M.P.H. (USAPHC). Other team members:

Pablo A. Aliaga, M.A. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); COL David M. Benedek, M.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Susan Borja, Ph.D. (National Institute of Mental Health); Gregory G. Brown, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Laura Campbell-Sills, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Catherine L. Dempsey, Ph.D., M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Richard Frank, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); Carol S. Fullerton, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Nancy Gebler, M.A. (University of Michigan); Robert K. Gifford, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Stephen E. Gilman, Sc.D. (Harvard School of Public Health); Marjan G. Holloway, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Paul E. Hurwitz, M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Sonia Jain, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Tzu-Cheg Kao, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Karestan C. Koenen, Ph.D. (Columbia University); Lisa Lewandowski-Romps, Ph.D. (University of Michigan); Holly Herberman Mash, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); James E. McCarroll, Ph.D., M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Katie A. McLaughlin, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); James A. Naifeh, Ph.D. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Matthew K. Nock, Ph.D. (Harvard University); Rema Raman, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Sherri Rose, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); Anthony Joseph Rosellini, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School); Nancy A. Sampson, B.A. (Harvard Medical School); LCDR Patcho Santiago, M.D., M.P.H. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Michaelle Scanlon, M.B.A. (National Institute of Mental Health); Jordan Smoller, M.D., Sc.D. (Harvard Medical School); Michael L. Thomas, Ph.D. (University of California San Diego); Patti L. Vegella, M.S., M.A. (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences); Christina Wassel, Ph.D. (University of Pittsburgh); and Alan M. Zaslavsky, Ph.D. (Harvard Medical School).

Disclosure. Dr. Kessler worked as a consultant for Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., Johnson & Johnson Wellness and Prevention, and Sanofi-Aventis Groupe; and served on advisory boards for Mensante Corporation, Plus One Health Management, Lake Nona Institute, and U.S. Preventive Medicine. He owns 25% share in DataStat, Inc. The remaining authors report nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Army Medical Surveillance Activity. Relative burdens of selected illnesses and injuries, US Armed Forces, 2001. *MSMR* 2002;8:24–28.

2. Hoerster KD, Lehavot K, Simpson T, McFall M, Reiber G, Nelson KM. Health and health behavior differences: US Military, veteran, and civilian men. *Am J Prev Med* 2012;43:483–489.
3. Kessler RC, Colpe LJ, Fullerton CS, et al. Design of the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2013;22:267–275.
4. Ursano RJ, Colpe LJ, Heeringa SG, Kessler RC, Schoenbaum M, Stein MB. The Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *Psychiatry* 2014;77:107–119.
5. Kessler RC, Heeringa SG, Stein MB, et al. Thirty-day prevalence of DSM-IV mental disorders among nondeployed soldiers in the US Army: results from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *JAMA Psychiatry* 2014;71:504–513.
6. Bryan CJ, Hernandez AM, Allison S, Clemans T. Combat exposure and suicide risk in two samples of military personnel. *J Clin Psychol* 2013;69:64–77.
7. Hoge CW, Castro CA, Messer SC, McGurk D, Cotting DI, Koffman RL. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:13–22.
8. Prigerson HG, Maciejewski PK, Rosenheck RA. Population attributable fractions of psychiatric disorders and behavioral outcomes associated with combat exposure among US men. *Am J Public Health* 2002;92:59–63.
9. Ursano RJ, Holloway HC, Jones DR, Rodriguez AR, Belenky GL. Psychiatric care in the military community: family and military stressors. *Hosp Community Psychiatry* 1989;40:1284–1289.
10. Buddin RJ. Success of First-Term Soldiers: The Effects of Recruitment Practices and Recruit Characteristics. Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation; 2005.
11. Korb LJ, Duggan, SE. An all-volunteer Army? Recruitment and its problems. *Polit Sci Politics* 2007;40:467–471.
12. de Girolamo G, Dagani J, Purcell R, Cocchi A, McGorry PD. Age of onset of mental disorders and use of mental health services: needs, opportunities and obstacles. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* 2012;21:47–57.
13. Kessler RC, Amminger GP, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Lee S, Ustun TB. Age of onset of mental disorders: a review of recent literature. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2007;20:359–364.
14. McGorry PD, Purcell R, Goldstone S, Amminger GP. Age of onset and timing of treatment for mental and substance use disorders: implications for preventive intervention strategies and models of care. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2011;24:301–306.
15. Janssen SM, Chessa AG, Murre JM. Memory for time: how people date events. *Mem Cognit* 2006;34:138–147.
16. Department of Defense. Medical standards for appointment, enlistment, or induction in the military service; 2010. Available at: <http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/613003p.pdf>, accessed on August 15, 2014.
17. Kessler RC, Heeringa SG, Colpe LJ, et al. Response bias, weighting adjustments, and design effects in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2013;22:288–302.
18. Kessler RC, Merikangas KR. The National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R): background and aims. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2004;13:60–68.
19. Gadermann AM, Gilman SE, McLaughlin KA, et al. Projected rates of psychological disorders and suicidality among soldiers based on simulations of matched general population data. *Mil Med* 2012;177:1002–1010.
20. Kessler RC, Ustun TB. The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2004;13:93–121.
21. Weathers F, Litz B, Herman D, Huska J, Keane T. The PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, Validity, and Diagnostic Utility. Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, San Antonio, TX; 1993.
22. Kessler RC, Petukhova M, Zaslavsky AM. The role of latent internalizing and externalizing predispositions in accounting for the development of comorbidity among common mental disorders. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2011;24:307–312.
23. Bray RM, Pemberton MR, Lane ME, Hourani LL, Mattiko MJ, Babeu LA. Substance use and mental health trends among U.S. military active duty personnel: key findings from the 2008 DoD Health Behavior Survey. *Mil Med* 2010;175:390–399.
24. Kessler RC, Santiago PN, Colpe LJ, et al. Clinical reappraisal of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Screening Scales (CIDI-SC) in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2013;22:303–321.
25. First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Non-patient Edition (SCID-I/NP). New York: Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute; 2002.
26. Wolter KM. Introduction to Variance Estimation. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1985.
27. SAS Institute Inc. SAS/STAT® Software, Version 9.3 for Unix. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.; 2010.
28. Merrill LL, Hervig LK, Newell CE. Pre-enlistment maltreatment histories of US navy basic trainees: prevalence of abusive behaviors (No. NHRC-95-26). San Diego, CA: Naval Health Research Center; 1995.
29. Calabrese JR, Prescott M, Tamburrino M, et al. PTSD comorbidity and suicidal ideation associated with PTSD within the Ohio Army National Guard. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2011;72:1072–1078.
30. Deering CG, Glover SG, Ready D, Eddleman HC, Alarcon RD. Unique patterns of comorbidity in posttraumatic stress disorder from different sources of trauma. *Compr Psychiatry* 1996;37:336–346.
31. Perkonig A, Kessler RC, Storz S, Wittchen HU. Traumatic events and post-traumatic stress disorder in the community: prevalence, risk factors and comorbidity. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2000;101:46–59.
32. Maremmanni I, Dell’Osso L, Rovai L, et al. TEMPS-A[p] temperament profile related to professional choice: a study in 1548 applicants to become a cadet officer in the Italian air force. *J Affect Disord* 2010;124:314–318.
33. Montes KS, Weatherly JN. The relationship between personality traits and military enlistment: an exploratory study. *Mil Behav Health* 2014;2:98–104.
34. Morey LC, Lowmaster SE, Coldren RL, Kelly MP, Parish RV, Russell ML. Personality Assessment Inventory profiles of deployed combat troops: an empirical investigation of normative performance. *Psychol Assess* 2011;23:456–462.
35. Russell M, Marrero JM. Personality styles of effective soldiers. *Mil Rev* 2000;80:69–74.
36. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. Prevalence, persistence, and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2012;69:372–380.
37. Nock MK, Kazdin AE, Hiripi E, Kessler RC. Lifetime prevalence, correlates, and persistence of oppositional defiant disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2007;48:703–713.

38. Rona RJ, Fear NT, Hull L, Wessely S. Women in novel occupational roles: mental health trends in the UK Armed Forces. *Int J Epidemiol* 2007;36:319–326.
39. Harris KM, Edlund MJ, Larson S. Racial and ethnic differences in the mental health problems and use of mental health care. *Med Care* 2005;43:775–784.
40. Huang B, Grant BF, Dawson DA, et al. Race-ethnicity and the prevalence and co-occurrence of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, alcohol and drug use disorders and Axis I and II disorders: United States, 2001 to 2002. *Compr Psychiatry* 2006;47:252–257.
41. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62:593–602.
42. Riddle JR, Smith TC, Smith B, et al. Millennium Cohort: the 2001–2003 baseline prevalence of mental disorders in the U.S. military. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2007;60:192–201.
43. Alegria M, Mulvaney-Day N, Torres M, Polo A, Cao Z, Canino G. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders across Latino subgroups in the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2007;97:68–75.
44. Breslau J, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Borges G, Kendler KS, Su M, Kessler RC. Risk for psychiatric disorder among immigrants and their US-born descendants: evidence from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2007;195:189–195.
45. Williams DR, Haile R, Gonzalez HM, Neighbors H, Baser R, Jackson JS. The mental health of Black Caribbean immigrants: results from the National Survey of American Life. *Am J Public Health* 2007;97:52–59.
46. Hoge CW, Lesikar SE, Guevara R, et al. Mental disorders among US military personnel in the 1990 s: association with high levels of health care utilization and early military attrition. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159:1576–1583.
47. Ireland RR, Kress AM, Frost LZ. Association between mental health conditions diagnosed during initial eligibility for military health care benefits and subsequent deployment, attrition, and death by suicide among active duty service members. *Mil Med* 2012;177:1149–1156.
48. Gilman SE, Ni MY, Dunn EC, et al. Contributions of the social environment to first-onset and recurrent mania. *Mol Psychiatry* 2014. Available at: <http://www.nature.com/mp/journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/mp201436a.html>
49. Heim C, Shugart M, Craighead WE, Nemeroff CB. Neurobiological and psychiatric consequences of child abuse and neglect. *Dev Psychobiol* 2010;52:671–690.
50. McLaughlin KA, Conron KJ, Koenen KC, Gilman SE. Childhood adversity, adult stressful life events, and risk of past-year psychiatric disorder: a test of the stress sensitization hypothesis in a population-based sample of adults. *Psychol Med* 2010;40:1647–1658.
51. Miech RA, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Entner Wright BR, Silva PA. Low socioeconomic status and mental disorders: a longitudinal study of selection and causation during young adulthood. *Am J Sociol* 1999;104:1096–1131.
52. Haro JM, Arbabzadeh-Bouchez S, Brugha TS, et al. Concordance of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Version 3.0 (CIDI 3.0) with standardized clinical assessments in the WHO World Mental Health surveys. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2006;15:167–180.