



University Engineering Design Challenge Program

**Jon Gerhardt
THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON**

**11/04/2014
Final Report**

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

**Air Force Research Laboratory
AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ RTB
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Air Force Materiel Command**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 31-10-2014		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 01-09-2011 to 31-07-2014	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE University engineering Design Challenge Program				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER FA9550-11-1-0226	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Final	
6. AUTHOR(S) JON gerhardt				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) The University of Akron Office of Research Administration 302 Buchtell Commons Akron, ohio 44325-0002				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ASAF, AFRL Air Force Office of Scientific Research 875 North Randolph St Rm 3112 Arlington VA 22203				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT open					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT The University Engineering Design Challenge Program is a competition among Universities utilizing engineering seniors to bring design solution ideas to AFOSR as well as bring a structured design program for the students. Each year a problem of interest to the military is posed as a design challenge, with a competition among the design solutions occurring at the end of each year.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS engineering design					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 2	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON jON gERHARDT
a. REPORT UU	b. ABSTRACT UU	c. THIS PAGE UU			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 330-805-0363

This is the final report for The University of Akron "University Engineering Design Challenge Program" for the period Sept. 2011 through July 2014.

The first year of the challenge we were tasked with designing a system to scale a 30 foot obstacle which was later changed to an 80 foot concrete silo. With very good support from the team from AFOSC our team came up with a solution utilizing a powered nail gun fixtured to attach specially designed hand/foot holds to the silo. A battery operated winch was also designed and built to rapidly lift further troops to the top once the first person arrived at the top.

The hand/foot hold devices with the nail gun worked successfully up to the point where the concrete silo ended to the point the nail gun could not penetrate the concrete. Our lift worked for the students, but was not sufficiently powered to carry the appropriate weight.

Although not as successful as we had hoped, the students gained a very good understanding of the design process and learned a tremendous amount for observing the other Universities designs.

The second year challenge was to cross a gap of up to 20 feet with a portable device. That year's team designed a portable bridge constructed from telescoping carbon fiber tubes with attached latching devices. The side tubes supported cargo netting for footing. The system worked very well allowing our team to go the full distance and return. Drawbacks included having dayglo yellow cargo netting making the bridge a visible target and attachment points for the cross support subject to breakage. One further problem noted at the competition was significant deflection which made rapid crossing less stable. If we had been concerned with this in the design we could have made the tubes a larger diameter with only a small increase in weight.

Again, The competition was organized very well and efficiently run. The students received much feedback, both positive and instructive, making the competition an extremely valuable experience.

The third year of the competition was a "heavy lift" rescue mission. Our team made a very unique system to lift the weight. It consisted of 4 ball screw jacks with a remote controller. Our team learned a valuable lesson in time management as they were finishing the construction of the controller in the hotel the night before the competition. It turns out that they did not tighten the contacts and there was sparking, so they were not able to demonstrate the operation.

As usual, the competition was very well run and many design lessons were learned not only from their own failures, but also observing the other teams compete.

From an educator's viewpoint, this program was an example of exactly how a good design competition should be run, and was a valuable learning tool in design for all students involved.