

Modeling Coastal Ocean Optical Properties for Coupled Circulation and Ecosystem Models

Curtis D. Mobley
Sequoia Scientific, Inc.
2700 Richards Road, Suite 107
Bellevue, WA 98005
phone: 425-641-0944 x 109 fax: 425-643-0595 email: mobley@sequoiasci.com

Award Number: N00014D01610002
www.opl.ucsb.edu/hycode.html

LONG-TERM GOAL

The overall goal of this work is to develop an extremely fast but accurate radiative transfer model, called EcoLight, for use in coupled physical-biological-optical ecosystem models, and then to use those models for understanding the ocean optical environment.

OBJECTIVES

Currently available ecosystem models often use fairly sophisticated treatments of the physics (e.g., advection and near-surface thermodynamics and mixing) and biology (e.g., primary production and grazing) but use grossly oversimplified treatments of the optics. The optics component of coupled ecosystem models is sometimes just a single equation parameterizing the scalar irradiance or photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) in terms of the chlorophyll concentration. Such simple models often fail even in Case 1 waters, and they can be wrong by orders of magnitude in Case 2 waters. The objective of this year's work was to continue developing a radiative transfer model that can be used in coupled models to bring the optics component up to the level of accuracy and sophistication needed for ecosystem models that are being applied to any water body, including Case 2 waters.

APPROACH

The Hydrolight radiative transfer model (www.hydrolight.info; see also Mobley and Sundman, 2001a,b) provides an accurate solution of the radiative transfer equation (RTE) for any water body, given the absorption and scattering properties of the water body and boundary conditions such as incident sky radiance and bottom reflectance. Unfortunately, the standard version of Hydrolight requires too much computer time to make it suitable for use in ecosystem models where the light field must be computed at many grid points and at time intervals of order one hour. However, ecosystem models require only the scalar irradiance as a function of depth and wavelength, $E_o(z, \lambda)$, which makes it possible to optimize the Hydrolight code to run extremely fast. In particular, because irradiances are computed as azimuthal integrals of the radiance, it is possible to solve the azimuthally integrated RTE to obtain azimuthally averaged radiances, from which the irradiances are easily obtained. Working with the azimuthally integrated RTE removes much of the computation load in Hydrolight, which solves for azimuthally dependent radiances. I therefore tailored the Hydrolight 4.1 code to run as fast as possible with the constraint that the computed $E_o(z, \lambda)$ values at the bottom of the euphotic zone must

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2003		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2003 to 00-00-2003	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Modeling Coastal Ocean Optical Properties for Coupled Circulation and Ecosystem Models				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Sequoia Scientific, Inc., 2700 Richards Road, Suite 107, Bellevue, WA, 98005				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT The overall goal of this work is to develop an extremely fast but accurate radiative transfer model, called EcoLight, for use in coupled physical-biological-optical ecosystem models, and then to use those models for understanding the ocean optical environment.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

be accurate to ten percent. The resulting highly optimized version of Hydrolight 4.1 is called EcoLight 4.1.

WORK COMPLETED

This year's work continued the development of EcoLight and its merger with the ROMS/EcoSim models (Bissett, *et al.*, 1999), which are being used for ecosystem simulation in the HyCODE program. The EcoLight 4.1 subroutine takes the component concentration profiles generated by EcoSim, converts the concentrations to absorption, scattering, and backscattering coefficients, generates scattering phase functions having the proper backscatter fraction, and uses those IOPs along with sky conditions and other input passed down from ROMS/EcoSim to compute the scalar irradiance as a function of depth and wavelength. EcoLight also computes the nadir-viewing remote-sensing reflectance R_{rs} , which is useful for comparison with hyperspectral imagery of the region being simulated. No other ecosystem light model can predict R_{rs} for prediction validation. Figure 1 shows examples of these EcoLight inputs and outputs for one particular station and time.

Full use of the coupled ROMS-EcoSim-EcoLight ecosystem model is awaiting final code development for the ROMS component. However, we have performed preliminary optical studies in a "post run" fashion, as follows. A lengthy ROMS/EcoSim run had been made previously to simulate the physics and biology of the West Florida Shelf (WFS). That run used the simple optics model originally built into EcoSim to generate the in-water irradiances needed for the phytoplankton primary production calculations. The IOPs as saved from that run were then used as input to the EcoLight 4.1 subroutine to recompute the irradiances and, more importantly, to compute the remote-sensing reflectance R_{rs} corresponding to the ecosystem conditions predicted by the original ROMS/EcoSim run. (The ROMS/EcoSim model as originally formulated cannot predict R_{rs} .) The EcoLight-computed R_{rs} values were then consistent with the IOPs as predicted by the ROMS-EcoSim model using the original optics model. These R_{rs} spectra were then compared with the corresponding SeaWiFS spectra.

RESULTS

The left panel of Fig. 2 shows the WFS study area, which consists of 30 transects from the 10 m isobath to 60 km offshore, with stations at 1 km intervals along each transect. The middle panel of the figure shows the SeaWiFS $R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})$ values for June 8, 1998 for the WFS. The right panel of the figure shows the SeaWiFS $R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})$ values for November 8, 1998, after Tropical Storm Mitch passed over this area. The $R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})$ values are generally higher after the storm, presumably due to resuspended sediments in the water.

Figure 3 compares the ROMS-EcoSim-EcoLight predicted $R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})$ values with the SeaWiFS values, for the average of the 30 transects shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. Before the storm, the water was generally Case I, and the predicted values are in good agreement with SeaWiFS. After the storm, the predicted values are much less than the SeaWiFS values. We believe that the post-storm discrepancy can be explained as follows. The storm caused much runoff of both CDOM, which is highly absorbing, and of terrestrial particles, as well as resuspension of sediment particles. The particles are highly scattering. CDOM absorption is accounted for in the ROMS-EcoSim models, so that the predicted post-storm R_{rs} is decreased by the extra CDOM input from the storm. However, the ROMS code does not at present have a sediment resuspension component. Thus the storm-generated suspended sediments are not accounted for in the input given to EcoLight. These particles greatly increase the backscatter in nature and give the increased R_{rs} values as seen in the SeaWiFS data,

especially in the shallow water near shore. Thus the predicted R_{rs} values are much too low after the storm. This result highlights the need to include sediment resuspension in the physical model, if accurate simulations are to be made for storm events in shallow waters. Additional comparisons of this type can be found in the related annual report OP08 by P. Bissett.

This year's work has shown that it is computationally feasible and scientifically valuable to perform exact radiative transfer computations within the context of a time- and space-dependent ecosystem predictive model.




Figure 1. Example input and output for EcoLight for one station and time. The upper left panel is the particulate and dissolved absorption as a function of depth and wavelength. The upper right panel is the particle backscatter fraction, which is used to determine a depth and wavelength dependent scattering phase function. The lower left panel is the computed scalar irradiance, as used by EcoSim. The lower right panel is the remote-sensing reflectance, as used for comparison with SeaWiFS data.

[the figures are color coded by value]




Figure 2. The West Florida Shelf (WFS) study area and SeaWiFS images before and after Tropical Storm Mitch. Left panel: the 30 transect lines on the WFS study area. Middle panel: SeaWiFS R_{rs} at 443 nm for the WFS on June 8, 1998. Right panel: SeaWiFS R_{rs} at 443 nm for the same area on November 8, 1998, after Tropical Storm Mitch.

[The pictures are color coded to show the value of $R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})$. After the storm, $R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})$ is generally higher.]




Figure 3. Comparison of predicted (red and green lines) and SeaWiFS (black line) R_{rs} values at 443 nm, before (left panel) and after (right panel) the storm.

IMPACT/APPLICATION

Predictive ecosystem models are playing an increasingly important role in our understanding of the oceans. Applications of such models range from predictions of water clarity for military purposes to management of coastal waters for fisheries. The incorporation of the EcoLight model developed here into coupled ecosystem models will give improved accuracy in the predictions of primary production and related quantities made by such models. As the coupled models become more trustworthy in their predictions, they will become even more valuable as tools for ocean science.

TRANSITIONS

All versions of the EcoLight code have been delivered to Dr. Paul Bissett, who is using the code in coupled ecosystem models for the West Florida Shelf and the LEO-15 site off the coast of New Jersey. His work is also part of the HyCODE program. The algorithm for dynamic determination of phase functions has been incorporated into Hydrolight version 4.2 and distributed to all Hydrolight users.

RELATED PROJECTS

The coupled ROMS/EcoSim/EcoLight code will next be used in *de novo* simulations for the LEO-15 site. That collaboration with Drs. Paul Bissett of FERI and Hernan Arango of Rutgers University is underway and we expect that this collaboration will continue to be the focus of next year's work.

REFERENCES

Bissett, W. P., J. J. Walsh, D. A. Dieterle, and K. L. Carder, 1999. Carbon cycling in the upper waters of the Sargasso Sea: II. Numerical simulation of apparent and inherent optical properties. *Deep-Sea Res.* I, 46, 271-317.

Mobley, C. D. and L. K. Sundman, 2001a. Hydrolight 4.2 Users' Guide. Sequoia Scientific, Inc., Mercer Island, WA, 88 pages (downloadable as a pdf file at www.hydrolight.info).

Mobley, C. D. and L. K. Sundman, 2001b. Hydrolight 4.1 Technical Documentation. Sequoia Scientific, Inc., Mercer Island, WA, 79 pages (downloadable as a pdf file at www.hydrolight.info).

PUBLICATIONS

Bissett, W. P., R. Arnone, S. DeBra, D. Dye, G. Kirkpatrick, C. Mobley, and O. M. Schofield, 2003. The integration of ocean color remote sensing with coastal nowcast/forecast simulations of harmful algal blooms (HABs). *UNESCO Monographs on Oceanographic Methodology—Manual of Harmful Marine Microalgae*, UNESCO [submitted]

Chang, G. C., T. D. Dickey, C. D. Mobley, E. Boss, and W. S. Pegau, 2003. Toward closure of upwelling radiance in coastal waters. *Appl. Optics*, 42(9), 1574-1582 [published, refereed]

HONORS/AWARDS/PRIZES

C. D. Mobley of Sequoia Scientific, Inc. was invited to give the prestigious Riley Memorial Lecture at Dalhousie University (www.dal.ca/~wwwocean/ocean_1093.html) in September 2003. This honor is

conferred each year on a scientist of international reputation by the Oceanography Dept. of Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. Mobley discussed some of the EcoSystem simulation work in his presentation.