

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) May 2015		2. REPORT TYPE Briefing Charts		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) May 2015- June 2015	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE COMPUTATIONAL MODELING APPROACHES FOR STUDYING TRANSVERSE COMBUSTION INSTABILITY IN A MULTI-ELEMENT INJECTOR (Briefing Charts)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Harvazinski, M., Shipley, K., Talley, D., Sankran, V., Anderson, W.				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER Q0A1	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RQRC 10 E. Saturn Blvd Edwards AFB CA 93524-7680				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RQR 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-RQ-ED-VG-2015-160	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Technical Paper presented at the JANNAF Propulsion Meeting in Nashville, TN; 4 June 2015. PA# 15284					
14. ABSTRACT The current study describes two modeling approaches to model an unstable seven element linear array of shear coaxial injectors. The first approach is a reduced model where the driving injectors are replaced with an artificial forcing term. The forcing amplitude can be adjusted so that the effect of the transverse instability on the center study element can be examined parametrically. The second approach models the entire domain, and can capture additional details such as the inter-element interactions and the self-excited nature of the instability. Both sets of results are compared with experimental measurements and used to provide physical insights into the underlying instability mechanisms.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Dough Talley
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NO (include area code) 661-275-6174



Computational Modeling Approaches for Studying Transverse Combustion Instability in a Multi-element Injector



Matt Harvazinski¹, Kevin Shipley², Doug Talley¹, Venke Sankaran¹, Bill Anderson²

¹ Air Force Research Laboratory

² Purdue University

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.



History



Combustion instability is an organized, oscillatory motion in a combustion chamber sustained by combustion.

CI caused a four year delay in the development of the F-1 engine used in the Apollo program

- > 2000 full scale tests
- > \$400 million for propellants alone (2010 prices)

Irreparable damage can occur in less than 1 second.



Damaged engine injector faceplate caused by combustion instability

“Combustion instabilities have been observed in almost every engine development effort, including even the most recent development programs”

– JANNAF Stability Panel Draft (2010)



Overview




- Review of single-element simulations
- Multi-element experiments
- Modeling approaches
- Results
 - Approach 1 – reduced model
 - Approach 2 – complete model
- Summary




Single Element Studies


Short Post
Marginally Stable





Intermediate Post
Unstable



Long Post
Stable



Long Post
Unstable






Instability Mechanism



- A series of 3D simulations for the short, intermediate, and long post lengths was completed to identify the instability mechanism.
- The marginally stable short length showed continuous heat release
- The unstable results were the result of a fuel cut off event.







Instability Mechanism



- For the intermediate length combustion was reinitiated when the returning oxidizer post wave pushed the accumulated fuel into the warm recirculating gases






Instability Mechanism



- For the long length combustion was reinitiated later in the cycle and was the result of mixing between the recirculating gases and the accumulated fuel
- Simulations only predicted the unstable long length
- Experimentally this length showed the most variability





Single & Multi-element Studies



Single Element

- Less expensive
- Smaller domains
- Substantial work published
- Wall effect is exaggerated

Multi-element


- More expensive
- Larger domains
- Complex geometries
- Less literature, limited work
- Captures inter-element interactions




Transverse Instability Combustor



- Transverse Instability Combustor – TIC
- Experimental rig developed at Purdue University
- Four major iterations to date
- Rectangular chamber with 7 elements
- Linear array of 7 elements
- Injectors are similar to the single element work
- Instability is self-excited




TIC 1a



TIC 1b



TIC Configuration





TIC Experiments


		TIC 1a	TIC 1b	TIC 1c	TIC 1d
Oxidizer		H ₂ O ₂	H ₂ O ₂	H ₂ O ₂	H ₂ O ₂
Fuel	Driving	JP-8	RP-1	CH ₄	CH ₄
	Study	C ₁₂ H ₂₆	C ₂ H ₆	CH ₄	CH ₄
Oxidizer Inlet	Driving	Perforated Plate	Perforated Plate	Perforated Plate	Choked Venturi
	Study	Perforated Plate	Choked Slots	Choked Slots	Choked Venturi
Notes		Two-phase flow		Multiple study ox-post lengths considered	Multiple ox-post lengths considered
Companion Simulations			3-element	7-element	Future Work




Amplitude Control – TIC 1a&b







Transverse Stability, Test #23Case: XOXOXO (Master) - DC-Dev1-Chan0



Transverse Stability, Test #39Case: OXXOXO (Master) - DC-Dev1-Chan0



Test 17 OOXOXO 07/16/2012 (Master) - DC-Dev1-Chan0



- F** - Fuel & Oxidizer
- O** - Oxidizer Only




Increasing Amplitude



Amplitude Control – TIC 1c&d



- Length of the study element proved to be largely unimportant
 - Low < 170 kPa
 - High > 680 kPa





Two Distinct Modeling Approaches



Full Simulation

- Captures self-excited instability
- Captures inter-element interactions
- Amplitude is difficult to control
- Expensive

Reduced Model

- Does not capture driving
- Limited inter-element interactions
- Amplitude is prescribed
- Low cost




Virtual Injector Screening Tool



- The reduced model can be used as a virtual injector screening tool
- The element of interest is subjected to forcing and the response is observed
- An artificial boundary condition is used to drive the instability



$$u_{\text{wall}} = A \sin(2\pi f + \varphi)$$





Amplitude Control

- The amplitude is tunable




Observed
Wall
Pressure

Distribution Statement A: approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



Comparison to Experiments



An excellent comparison to the experimental results can be achieved by prescribing a single sine wave





Combustion Response




Observer the effect of transverse oscillations on the study element

Unsteady
Pressure at the
Side Wall





Cycle



Acoustic coupling visible in the side elements

Temperature in the side region is artificially high from the imposed boundary condition


Heat release oscillates with pressure wave



Seven Element Simulation



- Considerably more expensive based on the added grid points for the additional elements
- Simulation captures the self-excited nature of the experiment, inter-element interactions







Self-excited Simulation

Can be used to test:

- Self-excited simulations
- Element to element interaction
- Element to wall interaction

Captures initial transient which includes a period of low instability before transitioning to high amplitude instability




Distribution Statement A: approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



Inter-element Interactions


Shed vortex



Delayed heat release

Transverse wave increase inter-element interaction

Increased vorticity at the wall from impingement



More heat release when the pressure is high



Summary



- **Two modeling approaches were presented for modeling transverse instability along with a sample result of each**
- **Virtual injector screening tool**
 - Observe the injectors response to excitation in a controlled environment
 - Precise control of amplitude, frequency
- **Full Simulation**
 - Captures self-excited transverse instability, inter-element interactions
 - Coupling between injectors and the main chamber



Future Work



- **Modeling TIC 1d**
 - Attempting to capture what happens when the length of all injectors are changed
 - Preliminary experimental suggest that different amplitude are obtainable
 - The unstable single element length showed stable combustion in the transverse chamber! This is unlike prior results.