

available at www.sciencedirect.comjournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/burns

Correlation of culture with histopathology in fungal burn wound colonization and infection[☆]

Christina M. Schofield^a, Clinton K. Murray^b, Edward E. Horvath^{b,c},
Leopoldo C. Cancio^{b,c}, Seung H. Kim^{b,c}, Steven E. Wolf^{b,c}, Duane R. Hospenthal^{b,*}

^a Wilford Hall USAF Medical Center, Lackland AFB, TX, United States

^b Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, TX, United States

^c US Army Institute of Surgical Research, Fort Sam Houston, TX, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 24 August 2006

Keywords:

Fungal
Wound infection
Culture
Histopathology
Burn

ABSTRACT

An increasing number of burn wound infections are now due to fungi. Historically, therapy of fungal burn wound infections (FWI) consisted of debridement, topical antifungals and/or IV amphotericin B, negating the need to categorize disease further than fungal burn wound colonization (FWC) versus FWI. Newer antifungal agents have varying spectrums of activity, increasing the importance of identifying fungi, often to species. The records of patients admitted to our burn center from April 2000 to March 2005 were reviewed for fungi identified by histopathology. Wound specimens with fungi were classified as FWC or FWI and culture results were compared. The 1515 surgical wound tissue specimens were obtained from 2036 patients. Fungi were detected in the histopathology of 68 patients, 19 with FWI (3.8 FWI/year); 9 had corresponding growth on culture. Forty nine patients were identified with FWC, 16 with fungi recovered in corresponding cultures. FWI was associated with increased mortality (OR 25.3, CI 3.12–204.8). Correlation between histopathologic and culture identification of fungi was inconsistent. The etiology of FWI was diverse; fungi with known resistance to each of the three major classes of antifungals were isolated, suggesting empirical use of one class may be inadequate to treat FWI. Future burn wound management must seek to identify fungal pathogens to species.

Published by Elsevier Ltd and ISBI

1. Introduction

Burn wound infections remain an important source of morbidity and mortality in burn centers. In the past, the predominant pathogens were bacterial, but with advancements in burn wound care and the introduction of topical mafenide in 1964, the epidemiology of burn wound infections has shifted such that fungal pathogens are now more common. In the years subsequent to the introduction of mafenide (1964–1969), the United States Army Institute of

Surgical Research (USAISR) noted a four-fold increase in incidence of fungal burn wound infections (FWI) [1]. In a follow on study, this trend continued with the yearly incidence of bacterial wound infections decreasing while the incidence of FWI remained unchanged [2]. During this second time period fungi represented the most common burn wound pathogens.

Recovery of fungi in culture from wounds can be difficult. In the past, identification to genus and species was of limited importance, as therapy consisted mainly of surgical intervention and intravenous amphotericin B (or topical antifungal

[☆] This work was presented in part at the 43rd Annual Meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, San Francisco, CA, October 7, 2005.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 210 916 4355; fax: +1 210 916 0388.

E-mail address: duane.hospenthal@amedd.army.mil (D.R. Hospenthal).

0305-4179/\$30.00. Published by Elsevier Ltd and ISBI

doi:10.1016/j.burns.2006.08.040

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 01 MAY 2007	2. REPORT TYPE N/A	3. DATES COVERED -		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Correlation of culture with histopathology in fungal burn wound colonization and infection		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
		5b. GRANT NUMBER		
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Schofield C. M., Murray C. K., Horvath E. E., Cancio L. C., Kim S. H., Wolf S. E., Hospenthal D. R.,		5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
		5e. TASK NUMBER		
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) United States Army Institute of Surgical Research, JBSA Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT				
15. SUBJECT TERMS				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6
				19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Table 1 – Spectrum of activity of currently available systemic antifungal drugs

Drugs	Spectrum	Fungi with proven clinical or in vitro resistance	Fungi with reports of clinical resistance or decreased in vitro susceptibility ^a
Polyene Amphotericin B ^b	Most yeasts and moulds	<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> , <i>Scedosporium</i> (<i>Pseudallescheria</i>) species, <i>Candida lusitanae</i>	<i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Trichosporon</i>
Azole Fluconazole Itraconazole Voriconazole	Most yeasts Most yeasts and moulds Most yeasts and moulds	Most moulds, <i>Candida krusei</i> Zygomycetes Zygomycetes	<i>Candida glabrata</i> <i>Fusarium</i>
Echinocandin Anidulafungin, caspofungin, micafungin	Candidal yeasts and <i>Aspergillus</i>	Zygomycetes, <i>Cryptococcus</i> , <i>Trichosporon</i> , <i>Fusarium</i>	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i> , <i>Candida guilliermondii</i>

^a At least in some strains or clinical isolates.
^b Includes lipid formulations of amphotericin B.

compounds). FWI have previously been identified and classified by wound histopathology. The introduction of systemically available azoles (fluconazole and itraconazole), and more recently, the broad-spectrum azole voriconazole and the echinocandins (anidulafungin, caspofungin and micafungin), has greatly impacted the treatment of fungal infections in general. The newest of these agents are effective against a wide range of fungi; however, none of them cover all potential pathogens (Table 1). Although amphotericin B still has the broadest spectrum of all antifungal agents, these newer agents do provide coverage against several fungi that are not typically responsive to amphotericin B (e.g., *Pseudallescheria boydii* and *Aspergillus terreus*).

Identification of the genus (and often species) causing FWI has become important for patient care, as no one agent can provide adequate empirical therapy in all infected patients. Herein, we review and compare the culture recovery and histopathological diagnosis of FWI and fungal burn wound colonization (FWC) at our institution over a 5-year period to examine the correlation between these methods and its impact (or potential impact) on selection of antifungal therapy.

2. Methods

All patients admitted to the USAISR burn center from April 1, 2000 to March 30, 2005 were identified. Electronic medical records, the USAISR research database, and histopathology reports were reviewed to select those patients with FWC or FWI. For those identified, demographic details were collected, including age, sex, total body surface area (TBSA) burn and select comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, malignancy, alcohol abuse). Survival to discharge or death was also recorded for each patient. Research was conducted under an Institutional Review Board approved protocol.

Wound histopathology reports from all identified patients were reviewed for presence and description of fungi microscopically. Tissue specimens were obtained both routinely with excision and grafting operations, as well as when wound infection was suspected. Autopsy reports were also reviewed,

when available. Patients with fungi found on histopathology were further classified as having colonization or infection based on the system developed at the USAISR [3]. FWC was defined as observation of fungal elements in the burn eschar




Fig. 1 – Fungi causing fungal burn wound infection are grouped based on histopathological characteristics. *Aspergillus*-like morphology (top panel); presence of parallel-walled, branching, septate hyphae, *Mucor*-like morphology (zygomycosis/mucormycosis) (middle panel); presence of wide, ribbon-like, rarely septate hyphae or Yeast-like morphology (bottom panel); presence of budding yeasts or rounded, Yeast-like structures (arrow), with or without septate or pseudohyphae (arrow head).

without penetration to the level of viable tissue. FWI was defined as invasion of fungi into the viable tissue below the eschar in a specimen. Reported morphology of fungi in tissue was grouped into three categories: (1) *Aspergillus*-like morphology; presence of parallel-walled, branching, septate hyphae, (2) *Mucor*-like morphology (zygomycosis/mucormycosis); presence of wide, ribbon-like, rarely septate hyphae and (3) Yeast-like morphology; presence of budding yeasts or rounded, yeast-like structures (many yeasts including most *Candida* species also produce hyphae and pseudohyphae in tissue) (Fig. 1). Fungal culture results were reviewed and matched based on anatomic site and date of culture with histopathology results. Material sent for fungal culture during this time period was not standardized, and included surgically obtained tissue as well as swabs of wounds. Recovery of fungi in the clinical mycology laboratory was performed using standard methods, including mycologic media both with and without inhibitory antimicrobial agents.

Univariate analysis was first used to compare the relationship of different risk factors to mortality such as age, gender, TBSA burn and fungal wound colonization versus infection. The Chi-square test was used for categorical variables and the Student's *t*-test was used for continuous variables. All variables found to be associated with a $p < 0.1$ were included in a multivariate logistic regression model of mortality. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 13.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) with $p < 0.05$ accepted as statistically significant.

3. Results

During the period from April 1, 2000 to March 30, 2005, 2036 patients were admitted to the USAISR burn center. Of 1515 surgical specimens examined by histopathology, 68 contained fungi, 19 of which were consistent with a diagnosis of FWI (3.8 FWI/year) (Table 2). This represents an incidence of FWI of 0.69 per 1000 hospital days or 12.1 per 1000 discharges during the

defined study period. Over the study period, the incidence of FWI ranged from 1 to 5 per year, with per 1000 hospital day rates of 0.58 and 0.52 during 2004 and the first 3 months of 2005, respectively. The majority of patients with both colonization and infection were less than 50 years of age and male. Overall, the prevalence of studied comorbidities in our population was quite low. The median TBSA for patients with FWC was significantly less than that of those with FWI ($p = 0.046$).

Overall mortality in both univariate (OR 8.63, CI 2.57–28.98) and multivariate (OR 25.3, CI 3.12–204.8) analysis of patients with FWI was significantly higher than that seen in those with FWC. Other factors such as age and TBSA burn also contributed to an increased mortality per unit (either per year or per % surface area) in the multivariate analysis but to a lesser extent, odds ratios of 1.12 (CI 1.04–1.20) and 1.10 (CI 1.03–1.17), respectively. Male gender was associated with a significantly lower odds of death (OR 0.10, CI 0.01–0.80). Autopsy was performed in 17 patients. Of these, invasive fungal disease was identified as a contributing cause of death in three patients, all of which were identified as having FWC on pre-mortem burn wound histopathology. Five other patients had fungi identified on post-mortem histopathology, but in none of these was this identified as a contributing cause of death. Of the five, four were identified with FWI on pre-mortem wound histopathology while the fifth was identified as FWC.

Of the 68 patients identified with fungi on wound histopathology, 25 patients were identified as having 36 specimens with corresponding growth in culture. Twenty-three of these specimens were found to have *Aspergillus*-like morphology on histopathology (Table 3). All cultures corresponding to these 23 specimens recovered fungi that can produce this morphology in tissue. Fifteen corresponding cultures recovered an *Aspergillus* species; nine grew *Aspergillus* species alone and the remaining six grew multiple genera, which included *Aspergillus*. The remaining eight cultures grew fungi other than *Aspergillus*, including *Fusarium* and *Candida*

Table 2 – Demographic characteristics of patients with burn wound histopathology positive for fungal colonization or infection

Characteristic	Total (n = 68)	Fungal wound colonization (n = 49)	Fungal wound infection (n = 19)
Median age (range)	41 (11–74)	42 (11–88)	33 (19–74)
Male gender	55	41	14
Comorbid conditions			
DM	7	6	1
HTN	10	7	3
Cancer	2	2	0
Alcohol abuse	2	1	1
Median % TBSA burn (range)	48 (4–95)	39 (4–95)	63 ^a (35–85)
Mortality			
Overall (%)	40	24	79
<50 years old (%)	35	18	79
>50 years old (%)	50	40	90
Men (%)	35	22	71
Women (%)	62	38	100

DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; TBSA, total body surface area.

^a *p*-Value 0.046 for colonization vs. infection.

Table 3 – Correlation of culture results with microscopic morphology found on burn wound histopathology

Wound histopathology ^a	Corresponding wound culture
Parallel, branching, septate hyphae (<i>Aspergillus</i> -like morphology) <i>n</i> = 23 specimens, 18 patients	<i>Aspergillus</i> sp only—9 <i>Fusarium</i> sp only—5 <i>Candida albicans</i> only—1 <i>Aspergillus</i> sp + <i>Trichosporon</i> sp + <i>Candida glabrata</i> —1 <i>Aspergillus</i> sp + <i>Fusarium</i> sp + <i>Mucor</i> sp—1 <i>Aspergillus</i> sp + <i>Fusarium</i> sp—1 <i>Aspergillus</i> sp + <i>Mucor</i> sp—1 <i>Aspergillus</i> sp + <i>Bipolaris</i> sp—1 <i>Aspergillus</i> sp + <i>Trichosporon</i> sp—1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp + <i>Trichosporon</i> sp—1 <i>Curvularia</i> sp + <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> —1
Wide, ribbon-like, non-septate hyphae (<i>Mucor</i> -like morphology, zygomycosis/mucormycosis) <i>n</i> = 5 specimens, 4 patients	<i>Mucor</i> sp + <i>Fusarium</i> sp + <i>Paecilomyces</i> sp—1 <i>Mucor</i> sp + <i>Aspergillus</i> sp—1 <i>Alternaria</i> sp only—1 <i>Candida albicans</i> only—1 <i>Paecilomyces</i> sp only—1
Yeast-like organisms (Yeast-like morphology) <i>n</i> = 8 specimens, 7 patients	<i>Candida</i> sp only ^b —5 <i>Candida albicans</i> + <i>Aspergillus terreus</i> —1 <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> + <i>Fusarium</i> sp—1 <i>Fusarium</i> sp only—1

^a Data from 25 patients with 36 wound histopathology specimens with corresponding burn wound cultures.

^b *Candida* species were *Candida albicans* (*n* = 4) and *Candida parapsilosis* (*n* = 1).

species. Pathology specimens containing fungi with *Mucor*-like morphology (zygomycosis/mucormycosis) grew *Mucor* species mixed with one or two other fungi in 40%. The remaining cultures grew *Alternaria* species, *Candida albicans* and *Paecilomyces* species without recovery of a Zygomycete. Finally, those surgical specimens found to have Yeast-like organisms on histopathology grew *Candida* species the majority of the time; however, a *Fusarium* species was recovered alone in one corresponding culture.

Overall the death rate for patients with *Candida* species by culture was 60%, not statistically different compared with a death rate of 66.7% for those with mould species by culture, $p = 0.729$ (Table 4). In the patients with *Candida* species by culture, there was no statistically significant difference in mortality between those with FWC and those with FWI. In addition, there was no difference in mortality between patients with *C. albicans* compared with non-*albicans* species, $p = 0.326$.

Of the patients with mould species, the majority had an *Aspergillus*, followed by *Fusarium*, *Trichosporon*, and *Mucor* species. Of those with *Aspergillus*, six patients grew *A. terreus*. For patients with *Aspergillus* species by culture there was no statistical difference in mortality when comparing FWI to FWC. Mortality was 100% in patients with *Mucor*, *Alternaria*, *Bipolaris*, *Curvularia* or *Paecilomyces* species; 90% in those patients with *Fusarium* species.

4. Discussion

Fungal wound infections remain an important source of morbidity and mortality in burn units. In an early case series of 30 patients admitted to this institute from 1954 to 1970, there

was a 50% overall mortality with a 30% attributable mortality for invasive fungal infections [4]. A later review from the USAISR reviewed cases of fungal burn wound infections from 1973 to 1977. Mortality was 83% in those treated for invasive candidal infections and 87% for treated non-candidal invasive fungal infections [5]. A later retrospective review at Ohio State University revealed five patients with fungal burn wound sepsis with a mortality of 60% [6]. A 10-year review of patients with fungal burn wound infection at the USAISR from 1979 to 1989 studied 141 fungal burn wound infections diagnosed by histopathology. Mortality in this series was 74.5%. It was noted over the study period that the yearly incidence of bacterial wound infections continued to decrease, while that for fungal burn wound infections remained stable and represented the most common type of burn wound infection [2].

Researchers have associated this trend with the introduction of topical mafenide (a drug with limited antifungal activity) in 1964. A follow-up retrospective histological review of post-mortem examinations from 1960 to 1969, periods before and after the institution of mafenide, was undertaken. This showed an increase both in fungal colonization of burn wounds as well as deep invasion. The incidence of deep fungal infection increased four-fold from 1964 to 1969 in this study [1]. More recently a study from 1993 of data from the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System revealed that the rates of nosocomial fungal infections increased between 1980 and 1990. The overall rate of fungal surgical wound infection increased from 1.0 to 3.1 per 1000 discharges. For the later period from 1986 to 1990, the study specifically focused on units with the highest rates of infection and showed that the burn/trauma unit had the highest rate of nosocomial fungal infections at 16.1 per 1000 discharges [7]. We found an incidence of FWI of 12.1 per 1000 discharges, which is lower

Table 4 – The mortality associated with fungal organisms recovered from burn wound colonization and infections

Culture results	Total	Fungal wound colonization	Fungal wound infection
CANDIDA ^a	10	7	3
Deaths	6 (60)	4 (57)	3 (100)
<i>C. albicans</i>	6	4	2
Deaths	3 (50)	1 (25)	2 (100)
Non-albicans <i>Candida</i>	5	3	2
Deaths	4 (90)	2 (67)	2(100)
MOULDS ^b	19	12	7
Deaths	12 (63)	5(42)	7 (100)
<i>Aspergillus</i>	13	8	5
Deaths	8 (62)	3 (38)	5 (100)
<i>A. fumigatus</i>	4	3	1
<i>A. terreus</i>	6	2	4
<i>A. flavus</i>	2	1	1
<i>A. nidulans</i>	1	1	0
Unspciated	1	1	0
<i>Mucor</i> sp	2	0	2
Deaths	2 (100)	0 (0)	2 (100)
Hyalohyphomycoses	7	5	2
Deaths	6 (86)	4 (90)	2 (100)
<i>Fusarium</i> sp	6	5	1
<i>Paecilomyces</i> sp	1	0	1
Phaeohyphomycoses	3	1	2
Deaths	3 (100)	1 (100)	2 (100)
<i>Alternaria</i> sp	1	0	1
<i>Bipolaris</i> sp	1	1	0
<i>Curvularia</i> sp	1	0	1
<i>Trichosporon</i> sp	3	2	1
Deaths	3 (100)	2 (100)	1(100)

Values in parentheses are percentages.

^a Multiple patients had two or more species of *Candida* from wound culture.

^b Multiple patients had multiple different moulds from wound culture.

than previous reports likely related to our strict definition of FWI as having a surgical specimen with histopathology showing invasion of fungal elements into viable tissue and not just a positive culture [2,4-6,8,9]. In spite of the lower prevalence of FWI, our study highlights the importance of this entity as the presence of fungal wound infection carried a very high risk of death in our population.

With the continued problem of fungal wound infections and the introduction of newer antifungal therapies, accurate microbiological diagnosis of fungal burn wound infections has become increasingly important. No antifungal provides optimal coverage for all fungi (Table 1). Traditional amphotericin products have good coverage of most *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, but have inconsistent activity against *A. terreus*, *P. boydii* and *Trichosporon* species. Although decreased in their lipid-based formulations, all amphotericin-containing products have treatment-associated toxicities. The older azole agents, fluconazole and itraconazole, cover most *Candida* species, but lack reliable activity against *Candida krusei*. Fluconazole has limited or no activity against most moulds. Itraconazole coverage does not include all moulds and this agent has many drug-drug interactions. The newer broad-spectrum azole voriconazole has improved mould

coverage, including efficacy against *P. boydii* and many *Fusarium* species, but still lacks activity against the Zygomycetes. Like itraconazole, this drug also has many drug-drug interactions. The echinocandins, anidulafungin, caspofungin and micafungin, are good agents for most *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, but lack reliable coverage of the Zygomycetes, other less common moulds, as well as *Cryptococcus* and *Trichosporon* species. Of the currently approved agents, only amphotericin B has proven efficacy against the agents of zygomycosis (mucormycosis). This varying spectrum of activity emphasizes the importance of accurate identification of infecting fungi often down to the species level.

Cultures recovered fungi that correlated with the morphology seen on histopathology in the majority of cases (88%, 32/36). Among those specimens with *Aspergillus*-like morphology, all cultures recovered organisms, which could produce the described morphology in tissue. Unfortunately, if one limited the interpretation of correlation to *Aspergillus*-like morphology specimens only recovering *Aspergillus* species and Yeast-like morphology only yeasts, this correlation drops to 39% (14/36). There are a large number of common and uncommon fungal pathogens that can produce parallel-walled, septate hyphae (or structures that appear very similar) in tissue. In this study, we recovered *C. albicans*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Bipolaris*, *Curvularia*, *Fusarium*, and *Trichosporon* species from cultures that corresponded to specimens with *Aspergillus*-like morphology on histopathology. Additionally, we found that cultures recovered multiple fungal organisms in association with 12 specimens. Clearly, there are several instances in our series in which empiric therapy based on histological appearance may have required a change in therapy once microbiological data was obtained. Of those patient identified with *Aspergillus*-like morphology on initial surgical pathology, empirical therapy with amphotericin B may have resulted in incomplete antifungal therapy in 35% (8/23) of cases (in which fungi such as *A. terreus*, *Trichosporon* and *Fusarium* species were recovered). The best histopathology-to-culture correlation was with *Candida*, in which 63% of specimens ultimately also grew a *Candida* species alone.

Limitations of this study include the retrospective nature of our study, the low numbers of FWI and FWC, and the limited number of cultures corresponding to the tissue with fungi by histopathology. Additionally, our data were likely affected by the known difficulties in culturing the infecting fungi from tissue specimens. Tissue grinding, used commonly in clinical microbiology laboratories to prepare samples for culture, is also widely believed to reduce recovery of the Zygomycetes. Recovery of most moulds is reportedly reduced by the use of swab cultures. Currently, no alternative molecular methods (e.g., DNA probes or PCR techniques) or direct immunohistochemistry are available to detect the wide variety of fungal pathogens. Thus, no good alternative to culture is available.

5. Conclusion

With the expanding armamentarium of antifungal agents with differing spectrums of activity it is becoming increasingly

important to correlate wound histopathology with corresponding cultures. Our study highlights that histopathology alone is often inadequate for determining the best antifungal agent as the histopathology-to-culture correlation was poor. As the fungi involved in FWI are common normal flora or environmental contaminants, the role of confirming infection with histopathology remains. However, with the data presented herein and the increased prevalence of fungi as etiologic agents of burn infection, emphasis should be given to ensure the best possible specimens are sent for fungal culture. Future study must focus on development of better diagnostic systems to identify fungi in tissues to the species level. Furthermore, our data highlight the importance of sending tissue for fungal culture at the same time as histopathological specimens when a diagnosis of fungal burn wound infection is suspected, allowing the best possible antifungal therapy to be selected.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Mark Rasnake for his help with the statistical analysis.

Disclaimer: The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Air Force, Department of the Army, Department of Defense or the US Government. The authors are employees of the US government. This work was prepared

as part of their official duties and, as such, there is no copyright to be transferred.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nash G, Foley FD, Goodwin MN, Bruck HM, Greenwald KA, Pruitt Jr BA. Fungal burn wound infection. *JAMA* 1971;215:1664-6.
- [2] Becker WK, Cioffi WG, McManus AT, et al. Fungal burn wound infection. *Arch Surg* 1991;126:44-8.
- [3] Howard PA, Cancio LC, McManus AT, Goodwin CW, Kim SH, Pruitt Jr BA. What's new in burn-associated infections? *Curr Surg* 1999;56:397-405.
- [4] Bruck HM, Nash G, Foley FD, Pruitt Jr BA. Opportunistic fungal infection of the burn wound with phycomyces and *Aspergillus*. A clinical-pathologic review. *Arch Surg* 1971;102:476-82.
- [5] Spebar MJ, Lindberg RB. Fungal infection of the burn wound. *Am J Surg* 1979;138:879-82.
- [6] Burdge JJ, Rea F, Ayers L. Noncandidal, fungal infections of the burn wound. *J Burn Care Rehabil* 1988;9:599-601.
- [7] Beck-Sague C, Jarvis WR. Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal infections in the United States, 1980-1990. *J Infect Dis* 1993;167:1247-51.
- [8] Bruck HM, Nash G, Stein JM, Lindberg RB. Studies on the occurrence and significance of yeasts and fungi in the burn wound. *Ann Surg* 1972;176:108-10.
- [9] Pruitt Jr BA, McManus AT. The changing epidemiology of infection in burn patients. *World J Surg* 1992;16:57-67.