

Front Resolving Observational Network with Telemetry: Turbulence Characterization from an AUV

Edward R. Levine
Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Division Newport
Code 8211, Bldg 679/2
Newport, RI 02841-1708
phone: (401) 832-4772 fax: (401) 832-2146 email: levineer@npt.nuwc.navy.mil

Document Number: N0001401WX20577
http://www.sp.uconn.edu/~wwwmsd/nopp_pgm/noppprop.html

LONG-TERM GOALS

A Front-Resolving Observational Network with Telemetry (FRONT) is being developed for a region of the coastal ocean. The long-term goal is to demonstrate and evaluate a real-time data collection network in concert with a data-assimilative dynamical model, which is designed to resolve or parameterize the needed range of scales, from mesoscales to microscales.

OBJECTIVES

The lack of observations of vertical mixing imposes a severe limitation on modeling of coastal mixing processes near dynamic features such as fronts. The specific objectives of the AUV-based turbulence characterization component of this network are to evaluate the system on the microstructure scale.

APPROACH

The FRONT system includes data-assimilative models that mitigate the impact of sampling error by producing dynamically consistent maps from the data, enabling physical and biological forecasting in four dimensions (Bogden and O'Donnell, 1998). The multi-disciplinary demonstration site lies in a region of strongly varying bathymetry offshore of Long Island Sound, out to the 50m isobath. Tides and energetic wind and buoyancy forced motions combine to produce a complex flow field. Satellite measurements of surface temperature and color show recurrent front-like features at the FRONT site (Ullman and Cornillon, 1999).

The FRONT network is evaluated in repeated, five day, rapid, high-resolution, surveys of hydrography, circulation, and microstructure. Information is obtained on scales of turbulent dissipation, frontal scales, and mesoscales. The turbulence surveys should improve frontal behavior in the model, based on the MIT general circulation model (MITgcm) (i.e., Marshall et al., 1997), adapted to the coastal ocean for FRONT. The non-hydrostatic capability is important for the strong convergence/divergence of fronts, and in large aspect ratio flows near topography. MITgcm includes the Large et al. (1994) nonlocal "K profile parameterization" (KPP) of vertical mixing.

The microstructure instrument (Levine et al, 1999) is a modified REMUS AUV with: 1) shear sensors for turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rates, 2) an ultra-fast thermistor for thermal dissipation rates, and 3) an Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter for 3D velocity. In addition, the AUV has two CTDs, and an

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2001		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2001 to 00-00-2001	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Front Resolving Observational Network with Telemetry: Turbulence Characterization from an AUV				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Division Newport,,Code 8211, Bldg 679/2,,Newport,,RI,02841				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

up/down looking ADCP. The shear probe data are processed to remove noise associated with vehicle vibrations, using accelerometers, and the techniques of Levine and Lueck (1999). In addition to microstructure, these sensors provide stratification and finescale shear, enabling estimation of Richardson number, eddy diffusivity (Gargett and Moum, 1995), eddy viscosity (truncated TKE equation), fluxes (correlation technique) and turbulent kinetic energy. This provides a direct comparison between co-located measurements of microstructure and larger scales, critical to develop bulk representations of microscale processes. The AUV will be deployed with the MicroSoar (URI), which uses a rugged conductivity probe to resolve the thermal dissipation rate. In close proximity, they will allow turbulence data to be collected for correlations of mixing processes. Previously, this was done using ships several miles apart (Moum et al., 1994).

WORK COMPLETED

A modified REMUS AUV has been integrated with a comprehensive instrument suite for measuring turbulence in the coastal ocean, including the terms in the truncated TKE equation. The noise floor of the dissipation estimate has been lowered to 10^{-9} W/kg, using vibration analysis techniques. This resulted in the fabrication and installation of an aluminum 3-probe stiffener, which shortens the probe cantilever, and raises the shear probe resonance frequency into the kilohertz range. In addition, the AUV, itself, has been modified for deployment and recovery from a large research vessel in the open waters of the mid-shelf.

During fall and spring 2000 and 2001, using the improved AUV-based turbulence measurement system, a mixing studies was conducted in the FRONT region, in the context of supporting frontal scale observations, including shipboard ADCP and CTD, and moored ADCP. Turbulence data were obtained in upper ocean fronts offshore of Long island Sound, in the region of maximum near surface salinity gradient, as determined from profiling and hull mounted CTD data. In addition, a brief study of the intense front associated with the Connecticut River plume, an upstream condition for the main study, was also completed.

RESULTS

Fronts Offshore of Long Island Sound

Results from the fall and spring surveys in 2000 (FRONT 2) and the fall survey in 2001 (FRONT 3) indicate low levels of turbulent velocity shear and thermal microstructure encountered in the region offshore of the front, east of the Montauk buoy. Correspondingly, low dissipation rates (ϵ) of 10^{-9} to 10^{-8} W/kg were estimated for this trajectory. In this region, low values of both eddy diffusivities of 10^{-7} m²/s, and eddy viscosities of 10^{-7} m²/s are estimated. Also, in the frontal region, east of Montauk, the Richardson number is estimated to be intermediate, 10^0 . These estimates indicate that the frontal region was weakly turbulent. The nature of the turbulent processes can be examined by constructing a buoyancy Reynolds number versus Froude number scatterplot of the data. For this frontal region, the data lie in the region of buoyancy dominated turbulence, where the buoyancy Reynolds number is lower than a value of 20. The low kinetic energy dissipation estimates in these datasets persist throughout the region offshore of the tidal mixing front location, consistent with the MITgcm model (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1 Map of FRONT study region of L. I. Sound with location of tidal mixing front indicated. The black lines are AUV trajectories

Results from the spring survey in 2001 (to the SW of the previous line closer to Montauk, further inshore, FRONT 4) indicate that high levels of turbulent velocity shear and thermal microstructure are encountered in the region inshore of the front and in the transition through the front. Correspondingly, high dissipation rates (ϵ) of 10^{-7} to 10^{-6} W/kg were estimated for this trajectory. In this region, high values of both eddy diffusivities of 10^{-5} m²/s, and eddy viscosities of 10^{-3} m²/s are estimated. The data enable an estimate of the thermal dissipation rate (λ), 10^{-8} to 1×10^{-7} (°C)²/s, and the mixing efficiency (γ), 10^{-2} to 10^{-1} near the front (Fig. 2). Also, in the frontal region, the Richardson number is estimated to be low, 10^{-1} to 10^0 .

These estimates indicate that the frontal region was strongly turbulent. The buoyancy Reynolds number versus Froude number scatterplot was done for this frontal region (Gargett et al, 1984). The data lie in the region of isotropic turbulence, where the buoyancy Reynolds number exceeds a value of 200. The high kinetic energy dissipation estimates persist from the inshore region near Monauk Pt. across the tidal mixing front location predicted from a version of the MITgcm, which includes only tides, bathymetry, and bottom mixing. The spatial distribution of the thermal dissipation and mixing efficiency will be investigated in relation to the front. Also, versions of the model which include additional physics will be utilized in this effort.




Fig. 2 Mixing parameters from the region of the predicted tidal mixing front from FRONT 4

Connecticut River Plume Front

Results from the April 2000 survey indicate that very high levels of turbulent velocity shear and thermal microstructure are encountered in the transition through the plume front. Correspondingly, very high dissipation rates (ϵ) of 10^{-6} to 10^{-5} W/kg were estimated in the frontal region. In this region, high values of both eddy diffusivities of 10^{-4} to 10^{-3} m^2/s , and eddy viscosities of 10^{-4} to 1×10^{-2} m^2/s are estimated. Also, in the frontal region the Richardson number is estimated to be low, 10^{-1} to 10^0 . These estimates indicate that the frontal region was strongly turbulent, and dominated by finescale shear. The buoyancy Reynolds number versus Froude number scatterplot was done for the plume front. The majority of the data lie in the region of isotropic turbulence, where the buoyancy Reynolds

number exceeds a value of 200. The cross front scale of the enhanced turbulence in the data is approximately 50 m, previously untested in the field, and in agreement with the model predictions of O'Donnell et al (1998).

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

The AUV-based turbulence measurements provide a unique horizontal profiling view of the variability of the mixing environment that cannot be obtained by more conventionally sampling measurements, and this approach can be further exploited in yo-yoed horizontal sections. These techniques are invaluable in frontal process studies utilizing the coastal version of the MITgcm model.

TRANSITIONS

This research demonstrates an Autonomous Ocean Sampling Network (AOSN) in the context of an Integrated Coastal Observing System in a region with tactically significant features. This is a prototype demonstration, which can be extrapolated to an environmental description of the Battlespace for superiority in ASW and MCM.

RELATED PROJECTS

My AUV-based turbulence measurement system has also been utilized in NOPP/ONR studies with the Rutgers University led LEO and Harvard University led LOOPS projects.

REFERENCES

Bogden, P.S. and J. O'Donnell, Generalized inverse analysis of current measurements from a moving ship: estimating the tidal and non-tidal flows in Long Island Sound. *J. Marine Res.* 56, 1998 , 995-1027.

Gargett, A. E. and J. N. Moum, 1995: Mixing effects in tidal fronts: results from direct and indirect measurements of density flux. *J. Phys. Ocean.* , 25, 2583-2608.

Gargett, A. E., T. R. Osborn, and P. W. Naysmyth, Local isotropy and the decay of turbulence in a stratified fluid, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 144, 1984, 231-280 .

Large, W. G., J. C. McWilliams, and S. C. Doney, 1994: Oceanic vertical mixing: a review and model with a non-local boundary parameterization. *Rev. Geophys.*, 32, 363-403.

Levine, E. R., R. G. Lueck, 1999: Turbulence measurements with an autonomous underwater vehicle. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, Special Issue on Ocean Turbulence Measurement, 16, 11, part 1, 1533-1544.

Levine, E. R., R. G. Lueck, R. R. Shell, and P. Licis, 1999: Coastal turbulence estimates and physical process studies utilizing a small AUV, *Proceedings, Eleventh International Symposium on Unmanned Untethered Vehicle Technology (UUST99)*, Durham, NH. 94-102.

Marshall, J. , C. Hill, L. Perelman, and A. Adcroft, 1997: Hydrostatic, quasi-hydrostatic, and non-hydrostatic ocean modeling. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(C3), 5733-5752.

Moum, J.N., M.C. Gregg, R.C. Lien and M.E. Carr, 1994: Comparison of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rates from two ocean microstructure profilers. *J. Atmos. Ocean Tech.*, 12, 346-366, 1994.

O'Donnell, J., G. O. Marmorino, and C. L. Trump, 1998: Convergence and downwelling at a river plume front. . *J. Phys. Ocean.* , 28, 1481-1495.

Ullman, D. S. and P. C. Cornillon, 1999: Surface temperature fronts off the east coast of North America from AVHRR imagery. submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*

PUBLICATIONS

O'Donnell, J., S. Ackelson, and E. R. Levine, 2001: Anatomy of the Connecticut River plume: A comparison of model predictions with finestructure, microstructure, and optical observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, to be submitted 10/01.

Levine, E. R., L. Goodman, and R. G. Lueck, 2001: Turbulence characterization of the coastal front offshore of L.I Sound in the FRONT experiments, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, to be submitted 11/01.

Levine, E. R., R. G. Lueck, 2001: Turbulence characterization for Integrated Sampling Networks. Conference on Integrated Sampling Networks, NOPP Spec. Sess., AMS, Albuquerque, NM, Jan 2001.

Levine, E. R., L. Goodman, and R. G. Lueck, Sensing requirements to quantify turbulence from an AUV. AUV Sensor Workshop, Oceanology International 2001, Miami Beach, FL, April 2001 Paper on CD-ROM, Autonomous Undersea Systems Institute, Durham N. H.

Levine, E. R., R. G. Lueck, R.R. Shell, and P. Licis, 2001: AUV-based turbulence characterization for coastal predictive networks. Fifth Symposium on Integrated Observing Systems (NOPP Special Session), 81st AMS Annual Meeting, American Meteorological Society, Albuquerque, N.M., paper 1.10, abstract in *Proceed.*, 16.

Bogden, P. S., and FRONT Partners, 2000: Front-Resolving Observational Network with Telemetry (FRONT). Abstract invited for AGU/ASLO Ocean Sciences Meeting, Special Session on Coastal Ocean Dynamics and Prediction, San Antonio, January 2000.

Levine, E. R., R. G. Lueck, 2000: Turbulence process studies in coastal predictive networks. ONR Workshop on Vertical Mixing in the Ocean. Seattle, WA, Apr. 2000, report ed. by D. Haidvogel (Invited).

Levine, E. R., R. G. Lueck, 1999: Turbulence measurements with an autonomous underwater vehicle. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, Special Issue on Ocean Turbulence Measurement, 16, 11, part 1, 1533-1544.

Levine, E. R., R. G. Lueck, R. R. Shell, and P. Licis, 1999: Coastal turbulence estimates and physical process studies utilizing a small AUV, Proceedings, Eleventh International Symposium on Unmanned Untethered Vehicle Technology (UUST99), Durham, NH. 94-102.

Glenn, S. M., D. B. Haidvogel, O. M. E. Scofield, C J. von Alt, and E. R. Levine, Coastal Predictive Skill Experiments. Sea Technology, April 1998, 66-72.

Levine, E. R., D. Connors, R. Shell and R. Hanson, 1997: Autonomous Underwater Vehicle-based hydrographic sampling. J Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 14, 6, 1444-1454.