

Intermediate Scale Coastal Behaviour: Measurement, Modelling And Prediction

David Huntley
Institute of Marine Studies
University of Plymouth
Plymouth, PL4 8AA, Devon, UK.
Phone: (44) 1752 232431 fax: (44) 1752 232406 email: dhuntley@plymouth.ac.uk

Rob Holman,
College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences,
Oregon State University,
104 Ocean Admin. Building,
Corvallis, Oregon, 97331-5503
Phone: (1) 541 737 2912 Fax: (1) 541 737 2064 email: holman@oce.orst.edu

Huib de Vriend
University of Twente,
PO Box 217,
7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands.
Phone: (31) 53 489 4475 Fax: (31) 53 489 2511 email: h.j.devriend@sms.utwente.nl

Tony Bowen,
Department of Oceanography,
Dalhousie University,
Halifax, B3H 4J1,
Nova Scotia, Canada.
Phone: (1) 902 494 7082 Fax: (1) 902 494 3877 email: tony.bowen@dal.ca

Rolf Deigaard,
Institute of Hydrodynamics and Hydraulic Engineering (ISVA),
Technical University of Denmark,
DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark.
Phone: (45) 42 884829 Fax: (45) 45 932860 email: rolf@email.isva.dtu.dk

Ed Thornton,
Oceanography Department,
Naval Postgraduate School,
Monterey, CA 93943-5000.
Phone: (1) 831 656 2847 Fax: (1) 831 656 2712 email: thornton@oc.nps.navy.mil

Richard Soulsby,
HR Wallingford Ltd.,
Howbery Park, Wallingford,
Oxon, OX10 8BA, UK
Phone: (44) 1491 835381 Fax: (44) 1491 825743 email: rls@hrwallingford.co.uk

Award #: N00014-97-1-0792
<http://www.hrwallingford.co.uk/projects/COAST3D>

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 1999		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-1999 to 00-00-1999	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Intermediate Scale Coastal Behaviour: Measurement, Modelling And Prediction				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Oregon State University, College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, 104 Oceanography Admin Bldg, Corvallis, OR, 97331				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

LONG TERM GOAL

Our overall goal is to achieve a better understanding and better predictions of coastal behaviour at intermediate (event/season/year/decade) scales. We aim to bring together researchers from Europe and North America to gain the best possible benefit from developments in field observation, theory and numerical modelling.

SCIENTIFIC OBJECTIVES

We are following a four-pronged collaborative approach. Data on intermediate scale behaviour from both sides of the Atlantic are being studied and ways are being sought to project these observations onto a manageable number of descriptive parameters or basic patterns. Top-down modelling uses these data products to develop black-box (data extrapolation) and grey-box (behaviour-oriented) models for the observed behaviour. Bottom-up modelling investigates the predictive potential of process-based models, making best use of process results from US and European field campaigns, combined with existing modelling expertise. There is also a vital linking activity aimed at ensuring that the data, top-down modelling and bottom-up modelling activities interact fully, in order to bring together the most productive aspects of each into a predictive capability for intermediate-scale coastal change.

APPROACH

This project is designed to create interaction between European and North American scientists who are already involved in research related to intermediate scale coastal behaviour. We have a four part approach to achieving this interaction, through workshops, exchanges, support for Research Fellows, and additional research support.

WORK COMPLETED

Workshops:

The fourth Workshop under this NICOP project was held in June 1999 in Long Island, New York, immediately prior to the Coastal Sediments '99 Conference. The overall title of the Workshop was **'Verification, Calibration or Assimilation? Examples of model-data comparisons'**. It was organised by Nathaniel Plant and Huib de Vriend (U. Twente), and was attended by 10 participants with representation from each of the seven NICOP collaborating Institutions. Each participant made a presentation, focussed generally on the link between models and field data, followed by extensive and very productive discussions. At the end of the meeting the progress of the NICOP was reviewed and plans for future activity were discussed, including planning for the next NICOP Workshops, to be held in Oregon in January 2000 (an Argus Workshop) and in Plymouth in September 2000.

The open format of the Workshop, and the extensive opportunities for discussion, were much appreciated by the participants.

Exchanges:

Cross-Atlantic exchanges and visits supported by this NICOP project are listed in table 1.

Table 1: Table of exchanges and visits between Europe and North America.

Name	Position	Home Institution	Location visited	Purpose	Dates
Stefan Aarnikhof	PhD student	Delft Uni. and Delft Hydraulics	OSU	Research on ARGUS imagery	July-October 1998
Giovanni Coco	PhD student	U. Plymouth	Long Island, NY	4 th NICOP Workshop	June 1999
Huib deVriend	Professor	U. Twente	Long Island, NY	4 th NICOP Workshop	June 1999
Rolf Deigaard	Assoc. Professor	ISVA/DHI	Long Island, NY	4 th NICOP Workshop	June 1999
Nils Dronen	PhD student	ISVA	Long Island, NY	4 th NICOP Workshop	June 1999
Edie Gallagher +support staff	PhD student	NPS, Monterey	Netherlands	COAST3D fieldwork	October/November 1998
Rob Holman	Professor	Oregon State Uni	Teignmouth, UK	New ARGUS station	Feb 1998
David Huntley	Professor	U. Plymouth	Long Island, NY	4 th NICOP Workshop	June 1999
Andy Peet	Project leader	HR Wallingford	a) Long Island, NY b) U. Delaware c) Dalhousie U.	a) 4 th NICOP Workshop b) and c) Research discussions	June/ July 1999
Nathaniel Plant	Post-doctoral Fellow	U. Twente	a) San Francisco b) Long Island, NY	a) AGU Fall Meeting b) 4 th NICOP Workshop	June 1999
John Stanley	Research technician	Oregon State Uni	Teignmouth, UK	New Argus station	Feb 1998
Ed Thornton	Professor	NPS, Monterey	Netherlands	COAST3D fieldwork	October/November 1998

Further exchanges for 1999/2000 have also been planned during this year. Researchers from Plymouth, Twente and Dalhousie will attend the ARGUS Workshop being planned for January 2000. Post-doctoral Fellow Ken Kingston (Plymouth) will remain at OSU after the Workshop, for a period of around 2 months, to pursue his Genetic Algorithm/Genetic Programming analysis of ARGUS images. Gerben Ruessink and Irene van Enckevort (Utrecht University, Netherlands) plan to spend 3 months at OSU between mid-December 1999 and mid-March 2000.

Research Fellows supported:

Ken Kingston (Plymouth). PhD student. Appointed 1st October 1998. Genetic Algorithms and Neural Nets for the analysis of ARGUS images.

Brad Morris (Plymouth). Post-doctoral Fellow (25% NICOP: 75% EC INDIA Project). Video observations at Faro, Portugal, and from ARGUS station at Teignmouth, UK.

Nils Dronen, Ken Haste Andersen and Erik Ostergaard Madsen (ISVA). Research Assistants. Funded by NICOP for March and April 1999 but with continuing collaboration. Process modelling. Andy Peet (HR Wallingford). Research Fellow. Measures of predictive skill for coastal morphodynamic models.

Nathaniel Plant (U. Twente). Post-doctoral Fellow. Appointed May 1998 (after a PhD at OSU with Rob Holman). Analysis of field data and idealised morphologic models.

Additional Research Support.

In February 1999 a new ARGUS station was established at the COAST3D site at Teignmouth, UK. Prof Rob Holman, with technician John Stanley and a PhD student (OSU) worked with Ken Kingston, Cyril Mallet, Mark Davidson and David Huntley (Plymouth) to establish a 5 camera array which is capable of viewing essentially a full 180° field of view covering the extensive outer estuary sand bank system. This system has been in operation from that date, providing imagery during the COAST3D pilot experiments during March 1999, and also during the main experiment to take place in October and November 1999. An example of the images from this site is given in figures 1 and 2. In addition to the link to the COAST3D project, Dr Cyril Mallet, a Post-doctoral Fellow funded by the EC SWAMIEE (Sediment and Water Movement in Industrialised Estuarine Environments) is involved with this ARGUS site, studying the expected cyclic motion of the Teignmouth Banks, and also assessing the prospects for measuring surface currents using the ARGUS images.

Despite heroic efforts by Mark Davidson (Plymouth) and colleagues, deployment of a pressure sensor for waves and currents at the ARGUS site at Perranporth, UK, has run into problems associated with the very exposed site. The cable connection to the pressure sensor was severed during an unusually heavy storm. However, much of the cost of the lost equipment has been recovered through an insurance claim, and an alternative means of deployment is now being planned.

RESULTS

At this midway stage of the project all of the participants are making good progress, and the list of publications below shows the accelerating productivity of the project. The following is a summary of the primary results achieved during this year.

The work of Ken Kingston and Mark Davidson (Plymouth) on the application of neural network programmes to coastal problems, and particularly the analysis of ARGUS images, has begun to produce very promising results. A paper has been accepted for publication showing that neural net techniques provide a very robust method for estimating the wave reflection coefficient of shorelines using arrays of sensors. The technique works well in the reflective wave field in front of a reflector with a performance which, unlike most other techniques, is independent of distance from the reflector.

Neural net techniques have also been shown to be highly successful in removing the apparent movement of nearshore bars seen in ARGUS images due to changing tidal and wave conditions. This work, a collaboration between Plymouth and Utrecht (Gerben Ruessink), has involved analysis of ARGUS images from the NICOP-funded site at Egmond, Netherlands, combined with in situ measurements of bar topography using the WESP (a version of the CRAB) during the COAST3D field work. A paper on this work has been submitted for publication.

A detailed investigation of 'self-organisation' modelling for beach cusps has been undertaken by Giovanni Coco and David Huntley (Plymouth). Although it appears to be difficult to distinguish between the self-organisation and edge wave hypotheses for cusp formation on the basis of cusp wavelength (it has been shown that predicted wavelengths are essentially the same), the self-organisation model provides interesting insights into the growth rates and stability of cusps under stochastic forcing. Some of the model results suggest ways in which field measurements might be used to test for self-organisation processes.

At Twente, Nathaniel Plant has been working on a number of projects related to the linking of idealised models for coastal morphology with field measurements. A simple but effective model for interannual sand bar behaviour has been developed, and, in collaboration with colleagues at Utrecht University, has been compared with observations on the Dutch coast. A linear stability analysis for beach profiles has also been developed.

The ISVA and DHI participants have concentrated primarily on process (bottom-up) modelling. Linear and non-linear stability analysis is being used to study the development of rip channels on a barred coast. The different mechanisms involved in net sediment transport in the turbulent boundary layer under regular waves and regular wave groups have been analysed, and the overall net sediment transport calculated by the use of a numerical model. Numerical modelling has also been used to study the processes involved in sediment transport over wave ripples, and the morphological development of such ripples. Detailed two-dimensional models of instabilities in a wave boundary layer have been developed, in collaboration with Tony Bowen and Diane Foster (Dalhousie U.). Sandy Duck field data has been analysed for application in modelling the hydrodynamic and sediment transport conditions during the experiment.

Andy Peet and Richard Soulsby (HR Wallingford) have continued to look for the best methods to assess model performance using various possible statistical tests. They have also started to look at methods for linking top-down models, bottom-up models and data. Basic examples of this linking are model calibration and model validation (which connects well with the skill assessment work). Other examples are data assimilation and the projection of bottom-up models onto top-down models (the latter requiring as input the specification of several free parameters). These methods allow the periodical calibration of a top-down model for morphological behaviour.

NICOP funded travel for the Naval Postgraduate School to participate in the COAST3D nearshore field experiment which took place in October and November 1998 at Egmond aan Zee, the Netherlands. An array of sonar altimeters and a side-scan sonar was mounted on the WESP (a 13m-high amphibious vehicle used for surveying the beach) to measure the small-scale morphological features that the WESP cannot resolve. The WESP made surveys of a 1500m x 500m area three or four times per week during the six week experiment, with the sonars mounted for approximately half of these surveys.

The beach at Egmond aan Zee has three or four shore parallel bars and, similar to the beach at Duck, NC (with one or two bars), the small-scale morphology is highly dependent on the large-scale morphology. However, preliminary results suggest that the pattern seen at Duck (large megaripples predominating in the inner trough, where water depths are around 2m) is not the same on the multi-barred beach at Egmond, where large bumps are seen on the seaward face of the sand bars, also at depths of approximately 2m. Possible factors driving bedform distribution and variation include changes in sediment grain size distribution, wave transformation in shallow water

and wave-driven and tidal currents. These factors were measured by other participants in the experiment and the dependence of bedforms on them is being investigated.

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

This NICOP project aims to assess prospects for the understanding and prediction of intermediate and large-scale coastal change. This will be the theme of the final workshop, planned for the Spring of 2001. Progress made so far, in process understanding, in linking simple models and observations, in developing methods for making best use of remote video imagery, and in assessment methods for model performance, is already of practical as well as research value.

TRANSITIONS

The interlinking of a number of US and European projects, in modelling and fieldwork, is central to this NICOP project.

RELATED PROJECTS Examples are given above of the way in which the NICOP funds are creating collaborative links between US programmes, particularly the Sandy Duck field work, and European projects COAST3D, INDIA, PACE, SASME and SWAMIEE..

REFERENCES

SASME Web page: <http://www.wldelft.nl/sasme/sasme.htm>

COAST3D Web page: <http://www.hrwallingford.co.uk/projects/COAST3D>

INDIA Web Page: <http://www.pol.ac.uk/jjw/INDIA.html>

Andersen, K.H. and Fredsoe, J., 1999. How to calculate the geometry of vortex ripples. Proc. Coastal Sediments '99. Hauppauge, Long Island, New York. ASCE, New York, NY.

Coco, G., O'Hare, T.J. and Huntley, D.A. 1999. Beach cusps: a comparison of data and theories for their formation. *J. Coastal Research*, **15**(3), 741-749.

Coco, G., Huntley, D.A. and O'Hare, T.J. 1999. Investigation of a self-organisation model for beach cusp formation and development. *J. Geophys. Res.* (in review)

Davidson, M.A., Kingston, K.S. and Huntley, D.A. 1999. A New Parametric Solution for Directional Wave Analysis in Reflective Wave Fields. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering* (in press)

Deigaard, R., Jakobsen, J.B. and Fredsoe, J. 1999. Net sediment transport under wave groups and bound long waves. *J. Geophys. Res.* **104** (C6), 13559-13575.

Deigaard, R., Dronen, N., Fredsoe, J., Jensen, J.H. and Jergensen, M.P., 1999. A morphological stability analysis for a long straight barred coast. *Coastal Engineering*, **36**, 171-195.

Dronen, N., Karunarathna, H., Fredsoe, J., Sumer, B.M. and Deigaard, R., 1999. The corculation over a longshore bar with rip channels. Proc. Coastal Sediments '99. Hauppauge, Long Island, New York. ASCE, New York, NY.

Falques, A., Coco, G. and Huntley, D.A., 1999. A mechanism for the generation of wave-driven rhythmic patterns on the surf zone. *J. Geophys. Res.* (accepted).

Hanson, H., Aarnikhof, S., Capobianco, M., Jimenez, J.A., Larson, M., Nicholls, R., Plant, N.G., Southgate, H.N., Steetzel, H.J., Stive, M.J.F., and de Vriend, H.J., (in review). Modelling of coastal evolution on yearly to decadal time scales. *J. Coastal Research*.

Kingston, K.S. and Davidson, M.A., 1999. Artificial Neural Network Model of Sand Bar Location for a Macro-Tidal Beach, Perranporth, UK. IAHR Symposium on River Coastal and Estuarine Modelling, Genoa, Italy, pp 227-236

Kingston, K.S., Ruessink, R.G., Van Enckevort, I.M.J. and Davidson, M.A. 1999. (in review). Artificial Neural Network Correction of Remotely Sensed Sandbar Location. *Marine Geology*

Plant, N.G., Hulscher, S.J.M. and Falques, A. (in review). Prediction of instabilities of the nearshore profile. AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts.

Plant, N.G. and Wijnberg, K.M. 1999. Testing a simple sand bar migration model at Katwijk, NL. In: Abstract PACE Final Workshop. MAS3-CT95-0002.

Plant, N.G., Ruessink, B.G. and Wijnberg, K.M., (in review). Morphologic properties derived from a simple cross-shore sediment transport model. *J. Geophys. Res.*

Plant, N.G., Freilich, M.H. and Holman, R.A., (in review). The role of morphologic feedback in surf zone sand bar response. *J. Geophys. Res.*

Plant, N.G., Holman, R.A., Freilich, M.H. and Birkemeier, W.A., 1999. A simple model for interannual sand bar behaviour. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104 (C7)**, 15755-15776.

Plant, N.G., Holman, R.A. and Freilich, M.H., 1998. Lessons learned from a simple model for interannual sand bar behavior. Am. Geophys. Union, Fall Meeting Abstracts, F450.

*Figure 1: Oblique view of Teignmouth
(merging of cameras 1,2 and 3)*



*Figure 2: Rectified plan- view of Teignmouth
(merging of 3 cameras)*

