



REFERENCE ONLY

TM No. 861032

NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER
NEW LONDON LABORATORY
NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT 06320

Technical Memorandum

REFERENCE ONLY

COMPUTATION OF COMPLEX AIRY FUNCTIONS

Date: 19 March 1986

Prepared by: Marvin J. Goldstein
Marvin J. Goldstein
Surface Ship Sonar Dept

REFERENCE ONLY

Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 19 MAR 1986		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Memo		3. DATES COVERED 19-03-1986 to 19-03-1986	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Computation of Complex Airy Functions				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Marvin Goldstein				5d. PROJECT NUMBER W65000	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Underwater Systems Center, New London, CT, 06320				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER TM No. 861032	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NUWC2015					
14. ABSTRACT In this paper, we discuss an efficient way to evaluate scaled complex Airy functions by asymptotic series. By obtaining an a-priori estimate of the number of monotonically decreasing terms of the asymptotic series for $\exp(z^{1.5/1.5})\text{Ai}(z)$ that can be summed without underflowing the unit round-off of the computer arithmetic, we avoid unnecessary computations during the summation. Using this method, we develop an efficient computational routine for obtaining numerically linearly independent Airy functions whose Wronskian is stable throughout the complex plane. The scaled complex Airy function of the second kind $\exp(-z^{1.5})\text{Bi}(z)$ may be obtained with well-known connecting formulas from the numerically independent computations. However, the Wronskian of the Airy functions of the first and second kind is not stable in the sector $180^\circ > \arg z > 60^\circ$, when $\text{abs}(z)$ is sufficiently large.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Airy Function; Wronskian					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

86-1032
cy001

REFERENCE ONLY

TM No. 861032

NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER
NEW LONDON LABORATORY
NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT 06320

Technical Memorandum

COMPUTATION OF COMPLEX AIRY FUNCTIONS

Date: 19 March 1986

Prepared by: *Marvin J. Goldstein*
Marvin J. Goldstein
Surface Ship Sonar Dept

Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we discuss an efficient way to evaluate scaled complex Airy functions by asymptotic series. By obtaining an a-priori estimate of the number of monotonically decreasing terms of the asymptotic series for $\exp(z^{1/3}/1.5)Ai(z)$ that can be summed without underflowing the unit round-off of the computer arithmetic, we avoid unnecessary computations during the summation. Using this method, we develop an efficient computational routine for obtaining numerically linearly independent Airy functions whose Wronskian is stable throughout the complex plane. The scaled complex Airy function of the second kind $\exp(-z^{1/3}/1.5)Bi(z)$ may be obtained with well-known connecting formulas from the numerically independent computations. However, the Wronskian of the Airy functions of the first and second kind is not stable in the sector $180^\circ > \text{abs}(\arg z) > 60^\circ$, when $\text{abs}(z)$ is sufficiently large.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This memorandum was prepared under Job Order No. W65000, EVA Prog. Modeling Efforts, Principal Investigator, H. Weinberg (Code 3332). The author of this memorandum is located at the New London Laboratory, Naval Underwater Systems Center, New London, Ct. 06320.

INTRODUCTION

Typically the asymptotic expansion of the scaled Airy function $\exp(zta)Ai(z)$ ($zta=z^{1.5}/1.5$) is truncated to obtain a function that approximates $\exp(zta)Ai(z)$ with arbitrary accuracy for sufficiently large values of $\text{abs}(z)$. On the other hand, for any specific value of z there is a limit to the accuracy with which we can compute $\exp(zta)Ai(z)$ by truncating its asymptotic expansion, and the accuracy cannot always be improved by taking additional terms of the asymptotic expansion. Accuracy may be improved by adding additional terms as long as the magnitude of the terms continue to decrease without underflowing the computer's unit round-off error. Although Thomson [1] has implemented a complex Airy function computer code, the code runs slowly because comparison tests are performed to assure monotonicity and avoid the accumulation of terms that underflow the smallest positive floating-point computer number instead of the computer's larger unit round-off error.

In this paper, we discuss an efficient way to evaluate scaled complex Airy functions by asymptotic series. By obtaining an a-priori estimate of the number of monotonically decreasing terms of the asymptotic series for $\exp(zta)Ai(z)$ that can be summed without underflowing the unit round-off of the computer arithmetic, we avoid unnecessary computations during the summation. Using this method, we develop an efficient computational routine for obtaining numerically linearly independent Airy functions whose Wronskian is stable throughout the complex plane. The scaled complex Airy function of the second kind $\exp(-zta)Bi(z)$ may be obtained with well-known connecting formulas from the numerically independent computations. However, the Wronskian of the Airy functions of the first and second kind is not stable in the sector $180^\circ > \text{abs}(\arg z) > 60^\circ$, when $\text{abs}(z)$ is sufficiently large.

ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS

Consider approximating the scaled Airy function $\exp(zta)Ai(z)$ for values of z of large magnitude by evaluating a partial sum of the asymptotic series [2]

$$\exp(zta)Ai(z) \sim (\pi^{-0.5} z^{-0.25}/2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k c_k zta^{-k} \quad (1)$$

where

$$zta = z^{1.5}/1.5, \quad \text{abs}(\arg z) < \pi$$

$$c_0 = 1, \quad c_{k+1}/c_k = k/2 + 5(k+1)^{-1}/72. \quad (2)$$

Writing

$$G_k = (-1)^k c_k zta^{-k}$$

we see that the terms of the sum in (1) can be generated recursively:

$$G_{k+1} = -(c_{k+1}/c_k) zta^{-1} G_k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (3)$$

and, a fortiori,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{abs}(G_{k+1}) &= \text{abs}(zta^{-k-1}) \prod_{j=0}^k (c_{j+1}/c_j) \\ &\doteq 2^{-k} k! \text{abs}(zta^{-k-1}) 5/72 \doteq \text{abs}(\hat{G}_{k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let

$$S_{n-1}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} G_k. \quad (5)$$

By definition of an asymptotic series [3], as $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim zta^n (2\pi^{-0.5} z^{-0.25} \exp(zta) Ai(z) - S_{n-1}(z)) = (-1)^n c_n.$$

Therefore, for values of z of sufficiently large magnitude

$$2\pi^{-0.5} z^{-0.25} \exp(zta) Ai(z) - S_{n-1}(z) \doteq G_n. \quad (6)$$

For a given value of z , if G_n is the first term in series $S_{\infty}(z)$ for which

$$\text{abs}(G_{n+1}) > \text{abs}(G_n) \quad (7)$$

then the magnitude of the error in $S_{n-1}(z)$ is "minimized", since G_n will have the smallest magnitude of all the terms in the series. By (3), condition (7) is equivalent to

$$c_{n+1}/c_n > m = \text{abs}(zta). \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the first value of n for which (7) holds is

$$n = [(9m^2 + 9m + 1)^{0.5}/3 + m - 0.5] \sim [2m - (14.4m)^{-1}] \quad (9)$$

where $[x]$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to x .

However, if m is sufficiently large, the computation of G_k will cause computer underflow well before k assumes the value of n given in (9), and the evaluation of (5) out to G_n , then becomes impractical. In this case, (5) could be summed until $\text{abs}(G_k)$ underflows the smallest positive computer number s . In [4], it was shown how to accomplish this without executing comparison tests on G_k , resulting in a 40% improvement in execution efficiency. However, an additional 50% improvement in execution efficiency is possible by considering the asymptotic behavior of the relative error in the approximation $S_{n-1}(z)$ to

$$2\pi^{0.5}z^{0.25}\exp(zta)Ai(z). \quad (10)$$

Since

$$S_{n-1}(z) - 2\pi^{0.5}z^{0.25}\exp(zta)Ai(z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty \quad (11)$$

it follows that

$$2\pi^{0.5}z^{0.25}\exp(zta)Ai(z) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty \quad (12)$$

and, a fortiori, for the relative error in $S_{n-1}(z)$ we have

$$\frac{S_{n-1}(z) - 2\pi^{0.5}z^{0.25}\exp(zta)Ai(z)}{2\pi^{0.5}z^{0.25}\exp(zta)Ai(z)} \rightarrow (S_{n-1}(z) - 1)/1$$

$$\rightarrow 0/1 \quad (13)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. But the difference between a function and any partial sum of its asymptotic series is of the order of the first neglected term of the series as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, (13) suggests that for sufficiently large

TM 861032

values of $abs(z)$, we should be able to approximate (10) almost to the relative precision of the computer arithmetic by summing (5) until $abs(G_k)$ is smaller than the computer's unit round-off error μ instead of the computer's smallest positive number s . For a practical computer evaluation of $S_{n-1}(z)$ that avoids comparison tests on G_k , we develop an a-priori estimate that depends on z and μ of the number of monotonically decreasing terms of $S_{n-1}(z)$ that can be summed without underflowing the computer's unit round-off error μ . To do this, we proceed in essentially the same way we did in [4], replacing the smallest positive computer number s with the computer unit round-off error μ .

An estimate of the largest value of $m=abs(zta)$ for which the magnitude of the terms of the partial sum $S_n(z)$ is monotonically decreasing and

$$\mu < abs(G_n) < abs(G_{n+1}) = \mu \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + (j+1)^{-1}/(7.2j)) \quad (14)$$

can be obtained by setting approximation (4) for $abs(G_{n+1})$ equal to μ with $n=2m$, applying Stirling's asymptotic formula for factorials and solving the resulting equation for m . This gives m^* as the largest value of m , where m^* is the limit of the rapidly convergent sequence

$$m_j = -\ln(m_{j-1})/4 + m_0, \quad m_0 = -\ln(7.2\mu n^{0.5})/2 \quad (15)$$

In other words, for any value of z for which $m < m^*$, the theoretical "minimal" error in $S_{n-1}(z)$ (namely $abs(G_n)$ with $n=2m$) is computationally attainable, because it exceeds μ . On the other hand, for any value of z for which $m > m^*$, the theoretical "minimal" error in $S_{n-1}(z)$ will underflow μ or be close to μ ,

since it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{abs}(G_n(m)) &< \text{abs}(G_k(m)) & (k = 2m^*) \\
 &\doteq \text{abs}(\hat{G}_k(m)) \\
 &= \text{abs}(\hat{G}_{k+1}(m^*)) (m/m^*)^{-k}, \text{ by (4)} \\
 &= \mathcal{U} (m/m^*)^{-k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m/m^* \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for values of z for which $m > m^*$, we can approximate (10) almost to the relative precision of the computer arithmetic by summing no more than $k=2m^*$ terms of $S_{n-1}(z)$; in fact, we evaluate $S_{[k]-p-1}(z)$ for a positive integer value of $p < [k]$, in order to avoid computing terms of $S_{[k]-1}(z)$ that underflow $\mathcal{U}$ when m/m^* is large.¹

To select $S_{[k]-p-1}(z)$ when $m > m^*$, we determine the index $[k]-p$ ($= [k-p]$) of the error term

$$\text{abs}(G_{[k]-p}(m)) = \text{abs}(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(m)) \prod_{j=1}^{[k]-p-1} (1 + (j+1)^{-1}/(7.2j))$$

for which

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{U} < \text{abs}(\hat{G}_{[k]-(p+1)}(m)) > \text{abs}(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(m)) \leq \mathcal{U} \quad (17) \\
 (p < [k], k = 2m^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

in the following manner.

¹ If $\mathcal{U}=2^{-60}$, then eq.(15) gives $m^*=19.35$ ($\text{abs}(z)=9.44$); therefore, for $\text{abs}(z) > 9.44$, no more than 39 terms of $S_{n-1}(z)$ are required to approximate (10) to the relative precision of the computer arithmetic. The number of required terms falls off at a moderate rate as m/m^* moves away from 1; e.g. for $m/m^*=2, 5.7$ ($\text{abs}(z)=15, 30$), the number of terms required is 15, 10, respectively. A list of the required number of terms $[2m^*-p]$ for corresponding values of m and m/m^* appears in Table 1.

Obtain a table of values of m , namely m_p , for positive integer values of $p \leq [k]$, which satisfy the equation

$$abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(m)) = \mu. \quad (18)$$

For a given value of $m > m^*$, say $m = \tilde{m}$, if $m_p < \tilde{m} < m_{p+1}$,

then

$$\mu = abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(m_p)) > abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(\tilde{m})) \quad (19)$$

and

$$\mu = abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-(p+1)}(m_{p+1})) \leq abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p-1}(\tilde{m})) \quad (20)$$

since

$$abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(m)) \begin{cases} \geq \mu, & \text{if } m \leq m_p \\ < \mu, & \text{if } m > m_p. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if $m_p < \tilde{m} < m_{p+1}$, we evaluate

$S_{[k]-(p+1)}(z)$, since $\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(\tilde{m})$ satisfies (17), by

(19) and (20). For example, assuming $\mu = 2^{-60}$, if a given value of $m > m^*$, say $m = 19.6$, lies between the values of m for $p = 5, 6$ in Table 1, then take $[2m^* - 5] = 34$ for $[k - p]$.

p	m	m/m*	[2m* - p]
0	19.35258	1	39
1	19.36194	1.000484	38
2	19.38579	1.001716	37
3	19.42577	1.003782	36
4	19.48381	1.006781	35
5	19.5621	1.010827	34
6	19.66328	1.016055	33
7	19.79037	1.022622	32
8	19.94698	1.030714	31
9	20.1374	1.040554	30
10	20.36676	1.052406	29
11	20.64125	1.066589	28
12	20.96842	1.083495	27
13	21.35754	1.103602	26
14	21.8201	1.127504	25
15	22.37055	1.155947	24
16	23.02719	1.189877	23
17	23.81359	1.230513	22
18	24.76054	1.279444	21
19	25.90883	1.338779	20
20	27.31371	1.411373	19
21	29.05135	1.501162	18
22	31.22937	1.613706	17
23	34.00401	1.757079	16
24	37.60926	1.943372	15
25	42.4084	2.191357	14
26	48.99014	2.531453	13
27	58.35861	3.015547	12
28	72.336	3.737796	11
29	94.49151	4.882632	10
30	132.5262	6.847986	9
31	205.2731	10.60702	8
32	367.2325	18.97589	7
33	818.2973	42.28363	6
34	2605.586	134.6377	5
35	15653.95	808.882	4
36	342085.9	17676.5	3
37	2.000795E+08	1.033865E+07	2
38	8.006342E+16	4.137093E+15	1

Number of terms needed to obtain $\exp(zta) Ai(z)$
to a relative precision of $\epsilon = 2^{-60}$ for values of
 $m > m^* = 19.35$ is $[2m^* - p]$

TABLE 1

Analyzing the asymptotic series for $\exp(zta)Ai'(z)$:

$$\exp(zta)Ai'(z) \sim -(n^{-0.5}z^{0.25}/2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k d_k zta^{-k} \quad (21)$$

where

$$zta = z^{1.5}/1.5, \quad \text{abs}(\arg z) < \pi$$

$$d_0 = 1, \quad d_{k+1}/d_k = k/2 - 7(k+1)^{-1}/72 \quad (22)$$

in the same way we analyzed (1) produces similar results. Writing

$$G_k = (-1)^k d_k zta^{-k}$$

we see that the terms of the sum in (21) can be generated recursively:

$$G_{k+1} = -(d_{k+1}/d_k) zta^{-1} G_k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (23)$$

and, a fortiori,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{abs}(G_{k+1}) &= \text{abs}(zta^{-1}) (7/72) \prod_{j=1}^k (d_{j+1}/d_j) \\ &\doteq 2^{-k} k! \text{abs}(zta^{-1}) 7/72 \equiv \text{abs}(\hat{G}_{k+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Let

$$S_{n-1}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} G_k \quad (25)$$

For a given value of z , the magnitude of the theoretical error in $S_{n-1}(z)$ (namely $\text{abs}(G_n)$) is minimized when

$$n = [2m + 7/(12m)] \quad (26)$$

An estimate of the largest value of m for which the minimal error satisfies:

$$\text{abs}(G_n) = \mu' \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - 14(j+1)^{-1}/(72j)) \quad (\mu' = 7\mu/5)$$

can be obtained by setting approximation (24) for $\text{abs}(G_n)$ equal

to μ' with $n-1=2m$, applying Stirling's asymptotic formula for factorials and solving the resulting equation for m . This gives m^* as the largest value of m , where m^* is the limit of the rapidly convergent sequence

$$m_j = -\ln(m_{j-1})/4 + m_0, \quad m_0 = -\ln(7.2\mu n^{-0.5})/2$$

Therefore, for values of z for which $m > m^*$, we can approximate

$$-(2\pi^{0.5}z^{-0.25})\exp(zta)Ai'(z). \quad (27)$$

to the relative precision of the computer arithmetic by summing no more than $k=2m^*+1$ terms of $S_{n-1}(z)$; in fact, for a positive integer value of $p \leq [k]$, we evaluate $S_{[k]-p-1}(z)$, where $[k]-p$ is the index of the error term

$$abs(G_{[k]-p}(m)) = abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(m)) \prod_{j=1}^{[k]-p-1} (1 - 14(j+1)^{-1}/(72j))$$

that satisfies

$$\mu' < abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p-1}(m)) > abs(\hat{G}_{[k]-p}(m)) \leq \mu' \quad (28)$$

$$(p < [k], k = 2m^* + 1).$$

The index $[k]-p$ is determined in the following manner.

Since $\mu' = 7\mu/5$, the table of values of m for which approximation (24) reduces to μ' is identical to Table 1 for $\mu = 2^{-60}$. Therefore, for a given value of $m > m^*$, we determine $[k]-p$ ($= [2m^*]-p+1$) by locating where m falls in Table 1. For example, if a given value of $m > m^*$, say $m=19.6$, lies between $p=5,6$ in Table 1, take $[2m^*-4]=35$ for $[k]-p$ ($= [2m^*]-p+1$).

COMPUTATIONAL STABILITY OF THE WRONSKIAN

As pointed out in [5], in the sector $60^\circ < abs(arg z) < 180^\circ$

TM 861032

$$A_1(z) \sim \mp i B_1(z), \quad A_1'(z) \sim \mp i B_1'(z) \quad (\text{abs}(z) \text{ large}) \quad (29)$$

Therefore, although theoretically the Wronskian $W(A_1(z), B_1(z))$ satisfies

$$W(A_1(z), B_1(z)) = n^{-1}, \quad (30)$$

it is numerically unstable in this sector when $\text{abs}(z)$ is large because of severe cancellation of significant digits; in other words, computationally $W(A_1(z), B_1(z))$ may be close to zero. For example, if $\text{abs}(z)=15$ and $\arg z=150^\circ$, then (to at least 20 significant digits)

	Real Part	Imaginary Part
$A_1(z):$	-3010621074.950100596341	112308057590.0163025659 .
$B_1(z):$	-112308057590.0163025659	-3010621074.950100596341
$A_1'(z):$	422189739015.1793969374	-99699231497.52144586569
$B_1'(z):$	99699231497.52144586569	422189739015.1793969374

so that

$$A_1(z) = -i B_1(z), \quad A_1'(z) = -i B_1'(z) \quad (31)$$

and $W(A_1(z), B_1(z))=0$ on computer arithmetic hardware having 20 significant decimal digits or less. On the other hand, this instability does not occur for the following numerically linearly independent Airy functions

$$A_1(z), A_1(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W(A_1(z), A_1(z \exp(2\pi i/3))) &= 0.5n^{-1}\exp(-\pi i/6) & (\text{Im}(z) < 0) \\ W(A_1(z), A_1(z \exp(-2\pi i/3))) &= 0.5n^{-1}\exp(\pi i/6) & (\text{Im}(z) \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

COMPUTER PROGRAM

For $m > 19.35$ ($\text{abs}(z) > 9.44$), the complex Airy function subprogram computes the scaled numerically linearly independent Airy functions:

$$\exp(zta) Ai(z), \quad \exp(-zta) Ai(z \exp(\mp 2\pi i/3)) \quad (33)$$

and their scaled derivatives

$$\exp(zta) Ai'(z), \quad \exp(-zta) Ai'(z \exp(\mp 2\pi i/3)) \exp(\mp 2\pi i/3) \quad (34)$$

by evaluating partial sums of their asymptotic expansions (1, 21) to the working precision of a computer with unit round-off error $\mu=2^{-60}$, avoiding the accumulation of terms that underflow μ . In evaluating

$$\exp(-zta) Ai(z \exp(\mp 2\pi i/3)), \quad \exp(-zta) Ai'(z \exp(\mp 2\pi i/3)) \exp(\mp 2\pi i/3)$$

we rotate z 120° counter-clockwise if its imaginary part is negative; otherwise z is rotated 120° clockwise away from 180° .

For $7.45 < m \leq 19.35$ ($5 < \text{abs}(z) \leq 9.44$), we evaluate only $[2m+1]$ terms of the asymptotic expansions (1, 21), so that the largest error occurs for $m=7.45$

For $0 \leq \text{abs}(z) \leq 5$, we employ the ascending power series given in [2] to compute the Airy functions of the first and second kind from which we obtain

$$\exp(0) Ai(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) = 0.5 \exp(\mp \pi i/6) (Bi(z) \pm i Ai(z))$$

$$\exp(0) Ai'(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3) = 0.5 \exp(\mp \pi i/6) (Bi'(z) \pm i Ai'(z))$$

The scaled complex Airy function of the second kind:

$$\exp(-z\tau)Bi(z), \quad \exp(-z\tau)Bi'(z) \quad (\text{abs}(\arg z) \leq 60^\circ) \quad (35)$$

$$\exp(z\tau)Bi(z), \quad \exp(z\tau)Bi'(z) \quad (60^\circ < \text{abs}(\arg z) \leq 180^\circ)$$

may be obtained in the asymptotic region ($\text{abs}(z) > 5$) from the numerically linearly independent scaled computations by utilizing the connection formulas:

$$Bi(z) = 2\exp(\pm\pi i/6) Ai(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) \mp iAi(z) \quad (36)$$

$$Bi'(z) = 2\exp(\pm\pi i/6) Ai'(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3))\exp(\pm 2\pi i/3) \mp iAi'(z)$$

If $\text{abs}(\arg z) \leq 60^\circ$, then $\text{Real}(z\tau) \geq 0$, so we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-z\tau)Bi(z) &= 2\exp(\pm\pi i/6) (\exp(-z\tau)Ai(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) \\ &\quad \mp i\exp(-2z\tau) (\exp(z\tau)Ai(z))) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-z\tau)Bi'(z) &= 2\exp(\pm 5\pi i/6) (\exp(-z\tau)Ai'(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) \\ &\quad \mp i\exp(-2z\tau) (\exp(z\tau)Ai'(z))), \end{aligned}$$

where the upper sign is selected if $\text{Im}(z) < 0$, and the lower sign, if $\text{Im}(z) \geq 0$. If $60^\circ < \text{abs}(\arg z) \leq 180^\circ$, then $\text{Real}(z\tau) < 0$, so we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(z\tau)Bi(z) &= 2\exp(\pm\pi i/6) \exp(2z\tau) (\exp(-z\tau)Ai(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) \\ &\quad \mp i(\exp(z\tau)Ai(z))) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(z\tau)Bi'(z) &= 2\exp(\pm 5\pi i/6) \exp(2z\tau) (\exp(-z\tau)Ai'(z \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)) \\ &\quad \mp i(\exp(z\tau)Ai'(z))), \end{aligned}$$

where again the upper sign is selected if $\text{Im}(z) < 0$, and the lower sign, if $\text{Im}(z) \geq 0$.

REFERENCES

1. D. J. Thomson, "Implementation of the Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory Normal Mode/Fast Field Model on the NUSC VAX 11/780 Computer," NUSC TR 7221, 18 September 1984.
2. M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables, NBS Applied Math. Series 55, 1964.
3. M. R. Spiegel, Theory and Problems of Complex Variables, Schaum Publishing Co., New York, 1964.
4. M. J. Goldstein, "Mathematically Equivalent, Computationally Non-equivalent, Formulas and Software Comprehensibility," NUSC TM. 851062, May 1985.
5. Z. Schulten, D. Anderson, R. Gordon, "An Algorithm for the Evaluation of the Complex Airy Functions," in Journal of Computational Physics 31, 60-75 (1979)

```

C *****
C * IMPROVED COMPLEX DOUBLE PRECISION AIRY FUNCTION...M. GOLDSTEIN *
C *
C *
C * GIVEN Z, IF INDEP > 0, CLAIRY RETURNS THE NUMERICALLY LINEARLY *
C * INDEPENDENT AIRY FUNCTIONS:
C *
C *      BI = EXP(-ZTAM) * AI( Z*EXP(-/+12PI/3) )
C *
C *      BIP = EXP(-ZTAM) * AI( Z*EXP(-/+12PI/3) ) * EXP(-/+12PI/3)
C *
C *      ( AI, AIP ) = EXP(ZTAM) * ( AI(Z), AI(Z) )
C *
C *      ( ZTAM = 2 * SQRT(Z)**3 / 3 )
C *
C * FOR THE COMPUTATION OF BI AND BIP, Z IS ROTATED 120 DEGREES *
C * COUNTER-CLOCKWISE IF ITS IMAGINARY PART IS NEGATIVE; OTHER- *
C * WISE Z IS ROTATED 120 DEGREES CLOCKWISE. THE WRONSKIAN OF THE *
C * NUMERICALLY INDEPENDENT AIRY FUNCTIONS IS STABLE THROUGHOUT THE *
C * COMPLEX PLANE.
C *
C *
C * GIVEN Z, IF INDEP < 0, CLAIRY RETURNS THE FIRST AND 2ND KIND *
C * AIRY FUNCTIONS:
C *
C *      ( AI, AIP ) = EXP(ZTAM) * ( AI(Z), AI(Z) )
C *
C *      ( BI, BIP ) = EXP(-ZTAM) * ( BI(Z), BI(Z) ), IF REAL(ZTAM) >= 0 *
C *
C *      = EXP(ZTAM) * ( BI(Z), BI(Z) ), IF REAL(ZTAM) < 0 *
C *
C * SINCE AI(Z) ~ -/+1BI(Z) AND AI(Z) ~ -/+1BI(Z) IF 60 < ABS(ARG Z) *
C * < 180, THE WRONSKIAN OF THE AIRY FUNCTIONS OF THE FIRST AND *
C * SECOND KIND IS NOT STABLE THROUGHOUT THE COMPLEX PLANE.
C *
C *****
C SUBROUTINE CLAIRY(Z, AI, BI, AIP, BIP, ZTAM, INDEP )
C IMPLICIT REAL*8 ( A-H, O-Z )
C COMPLEX*16 ZS, ZSS, ZTA, SC1, SC2, SD1, SD2, SQM1, TSQM1,
C + HEPI06, CHEP06, EPI06, CEPI06, E2PI03, CE2P03, TEPI06, TCPI06,
C + T1, T2, CK, ZSQ, F, G, Q, R, ZB, ZERO, ZO, REPI06, E2ZTAM,
C + Z, AI, BI, AIP, BIP, ZTAM
C DIMENSION C(40), D(40)
C DATA RDEG/.1745329251994329D-1/, PI04/.7853981633974483D0/
C DATA RC2RPI/.28209479177387814D0/, RPI/1.772453850905516D0/
C DATA SQR3/1.73205080756887729D0/, ZERO/(0.0D0,0.0D0)/
C DATA SQM1/(0.0D0, 1.0D0)/, TSQM1/(0.0D0, 2.0D0)/
C DATA SN60,CS60/.866025403784438647D0, 0.5D0/,
C + EPI06/(.866025403784438647D0, 0.5D0)/,
C + CEPI06/(.866025403784438647D0, -0.5D0)/,
C + TEPI06/(1.73205080756887729D0, 1.0D0)/,
C + TCPI06/(1.73205080756887729D0, -1.0D0)/,
C + E2PI03/(-0.5D0, .866025403784438647D0)/,
C + CE2P03/(-0.5D0, -.866025403784438647D0)/,
C + HEPI06/(.4330127018922193233D0, 0.25D0)/,
C + CHEP06/(.4330127018922193233D0, -0.25D0)/
C DATA C/ 1.D0, 0.6944444444444444D-01,
C + 0.5347222222222222D+00, 0.1023148148148148D+01,
C + 0.1517361111111111D+01, 0.2013888888888888D+01,
C + 0.2511574074074074D+01, 0.30099206349206349D+01,

```

```

+ 0.3508680555555555D+01, 0.40077160493827160D+01,
+ 0.4506944444444444D+01, 0.5006313131313131D+01,
+ 0.5505787037037037D+01, 0.6005341880341880D+01,
+ 0.65049603174603174D+01, 0.7004629629629629D+01,
+ 0.7504340277777777D+01, 0.80040849673202614D+01,
+ 0.8503858024691358D+01, 0.90036549707602339D+01,
+ 0.9503472222222222D+01, 0.10003306878306878D+02,
+ 0.1050315656565656D+02, 0.11003019323671497D+02,
+ 0.11502893518518518D+02, 0.1200277777777777D+02,
+ 0.12502670940170940D+02, 0.13002572016460905D+02,
+ 0.13502480158730158D+02, 0.14002394636015325D+02,
+ 0.14502314814814814D+02, 0.15002240143369175D+02,
+ 0.15502170138888888D+02, 0.16002104377104377D+02,
+ 0.16502042483660130D+02, 0.17001984126984126D+02,
+ 0.17501929012345679D+02, 0.18001876876876877D+02,
+ 0.18501827485380117D+02, 0.19001780626780626D+02/

```

C

```

DATA D/ 1.D0, -0.972222222222222D-01,
+ 0.4513888888888888D+00, 0.9675925925925925D+00,
+ 0.1475694444444444D+01, 0.1980555555555555D+01,
+ 0.2483796296296296D+01, 0.2986111111111111D+01,
+ 0.3487847222222222D+01, 0.39891975308641975D+01,
+ 0.4490277777777777D+01, 0.4991161616161616D+01,
+ 0.5491898148148148D+01, 0.59925213675213675D+01,
+ 0.6493055555555555D+01, 0.6993518518518518D+01,
+ 0.7493923611111111D+01, 0.79942810457516340D+01,
+ 0.84945987654320987D+01, 0.89948830409356725D+01,
+ 0.9495138888888888D+01, 0.9995370370370370D+01,
+ 0.1049558080808080D+02, 0.10995772946859903D+02,
+ 0.1149594907407407D+02, 0.1199611111111111D+02,
+ 0.12496260683760683D+02, 0.12996399176954732D+02,
+ 0.1349652777777777D+02, 0.13996647509578544D+02,
+ 0.1449675925925925D+02, 0.14996863799283154D+02,
+ 0.1549696180555555D+02, 0.15997053872053872D+02,
+ 0.16497140522875817D+02, 0.169972222222222D+02,
+ 0.17497299382716049D+02, 0.1799737237237237D+02,
+ 0.18497441520467836D+02, 0.18997507122507122D+02/

```

C

ZTAM = ZERO

IF (CDABS(Z) .GT. 5.) GO TO 200

C POWER SERIES EXPANSION OF AIRY FUNCTION FOR ABS(Z).LE.5

T1 = DCMPLX(.355028053887817239D0,0.D0)

T2 = DCMPLX(.25881940379280679D0,0.D0)

F = T1

R = T2

T2 = T2*Z

G = T2

ZSQ = Z*Z

Q = ZERO

XN = 1.D0

C

100 XN = XN+1.D0

T1 = T1*ZSQ/XN

Q = Q+T1

C

XN = XN+1.D0

T1 = T1*Z/XN

CK = F

F = F+T1

C

```

T2 = T2*ZSQ/XN
R = R+T2
C
XN = XN+1.D0
T2 = T2*Z/XN
G = G+T2
C
IF ( DREAL(CK).NE. DREAL(F).OR.DIMAG(CK).NE.DIMAG(F)) GO TO 100
C
AI = F-G
AIP = Q-R
BI = SQR3*(F+G)
BIP = SQR3*(Q+R)
IF( INDEP .GT. 0 ) THEN ! SCALED INDEPENDENT BIRY, AIRY
  IF( DIMAG(Z) .GE. 0.0D0 ) THEN
    BI = HEPI06 * ( BI - SQM1 * AI )
    BIP = HEPI06 * ( BIP - SQM1 * AIP )
  ELSE
    BI = CHEP06 * ( BI + SQM1 * AI )
    BIP = CHEP06 * ( BIP + SQM1 * AIP )
  END IF
END IF
RETURN
C
ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF AIRY FUNCTION FOR LARGE Z.
C
GET ABS( ARG Z )
200 TH = DABS( DATAN2( DIMAG(Z), DREAL(Z) ) / RDEG )
T2 = E2PI03
IF(DIMAG(Z) .GE. 0.0D0) T2 = CE2P03
C
IF(TH .GT. 150.0D0) THEN
  Z0 = Z * T2 ! ROTATE Z
  ZS = CDSQRT(Z0)
  ZSS = CDSQRT(ZS)
  ZTA = Z0 * ZS / 1.5D0
  ZTAM = -ZTA
  E2ZTAM = ZERO
  IF ( DREAL(ZTA) .LE. 43.D0 ) E2ZTAM = CDEXP(2.D0 * ZTAM)
  CALL SUMMER(ZTA, SC1, SC2, C, 0)
  CALL SUMMER(ZTA, SD1, SD2, D, 1)
  BI = RC2RPI / ZSS * SC1 ! EXP(ZTA)Ai(Z0)
  BIP = -RC2RPI * ZSS * SD1 * T2 ! EXP(ZTA)Aip(Z0)T2
  IF(DIMAG(Z) .GE. 0.0D0) THEN
    AI = CHEP06 * (SC2/(RPI*ZSS) + (TSQM1*E2ZTAM)*BI)
    AIP = CHEP06 * (SD2/RPI*ZSS*T2 + (TSQM1*E2ZTAM)*BIP)
  ELSE
    AI = HEPI06 * (SC2/(RPI*ZSS) - (TSQM1*E2ZTAM)*BI)
    AIP = HEPI06 * (SD2/RPI*ZSS*T2 - (TSQM1*E2ZTAM)*BIP)
  END IF
ELSE ! FOR ABS( ARG Z ) .LE. 150 DEGREES
  USE NBS 10.4.59, 10.4.61 FOR AI, AIP
C
  ZS = CDSQRT(Z)
  ZSS = CDSQRT(ZS)
  ZTA = Z*ZS/1.5D0
  CALL SUMMER (ZTA, SC1, SC2, C, 0)
  ZTAM = ZTA
  AI = RC2RPI/ZSS*SC1
  CALL SUMMER (ZTA, SD1, SD2, D, 1)
  AIP = -RC2RPI*ZSS*SD1
  REPI06 = EPI06

```

```

      IF( DIMAG(Z) .GE. 0.000 ) REPI06 = CEPI06
      BIP = -RC2RPI * SD2 * ZSS * REPI06 * T2
      BI = RC2RPI * SC2 / (ZSS * REPI06)
END IF

IF( INDEP .LT. 0) THEN      ! SCALED AIRY OF 2ND KIND
  E2ZTAM = 0.000
  IF( DREAL(ZTAM) .GE. 0.000 ) THEN      ! ABS( ARG Z ) <= 60
    IF( DREAL(ZTAM) .LE. 43.00 ) E2ZTAM = CDEXP(-2.00 * ZTAM)
    IF( DIMAG(Z) .GE. 0.000 ) THEN
      BI = SC2 / (ZSS*RPI) + (SQM1 * E2ZTAM) * AI
      BIP = SD2 * ZSS / RPI + (SQM1 * E2ZTAM) * AIP
    ELSE
      BI = SC2 / (ZSS*RPI) - (SQM1 * E2ZTAM) * AI
      BIP = SD2 * ZSS / RPI - (SQM1 * E2ZTAM) * AIP
    END IF
  ELSE
    ! 60 < ABS( ARG Z ) <= 180
    IF( DREAL(ZTAM) .GE. -43.00) E2ZTAM = CDEXP(2.00 * ZTAM)
    IF( DIMAG(Z) .GE. 0.000 ) THEN
      BI = (TCPI06 * E2ZTAM) * BI + SQM1 * AI
      BIP = (TCPI06 * E2ZTAM) * BIP + SQM1 * AIP
    ELSE
      BI = (TEPI06 * E2ZTAM) * BI - SQM1 * AI
      BIP = (TEPI06 * E2ZTAM) * BIP - SQM1 * AIP
    END IF
  END IF
END IF
RETURN
END

```

```

SUBROUTINE SUMMER (ZTA, S1, S2, CF, NGATE)
DOUBLE PRECISION CF
REAL MSTAR
COMPLEX*16 ZTA,VZTA,G,S1,S2
DIMENSION CF(40)
DATA MSTAR/19.35/

C          MSTAR IS THE LIMIT OF THE SEQUENCE:
C          M(J) = -LN( M(J-1) ) / 4 + M(0)
C          ( M(0) = -LN( 7.2 U / SQR(PI) ) / 2 )
C          WHERE U IS THE COMPUTER'S UNIT ROUND-
C          OFF ERROR (U = 2**(-60) HERE).

IF(NGATE.EQ.0)THEN
  ABSZTA = CDABS(ZTA)
  IF(ABSZTA .GT. MSTAR)THEN
C          FETCH NUMBER OF TERMS FROM TABLE
    NTERMS = NTERM( ABSZTA )
  END IF
END IF
IF(ABSZTA .LE. MSTAR)THEN
  NTERMS = 2.0*ABSZTA + 2.0
  IF(SNGL(CF(NTERMS)) .GT. ABSZTA) NTERMS = NTERMS - 1
END IF
G = DCMPLX(CF(1),0.0D0)
S1 = G
S2 = G
VZTA = G/ZTA
DO 100 I = 2, NTERMS, 2
  G = G*(VZTA*CF(I))
  S1 = S1 - G
  S2 = S2 + G
  G = G*(VZTA*CF(I+1))
  S1 = S1 + G
  S2 = S2 + G
100 CONTINUE
IF( MOD(NTERMS, 2) .NE. 0 ) RETURN
S1 = S1 - G
S2 = S2 - G
RETURN
END

```

```

      FUNCTION NTERM(ABSZTA)
C *****
C *** USING THE KEY ABSZTA, FUNCTION NTERM DOES A BINARY SEARCH ***
C *** OF AZTA TO DETERMINE THE NUMBER OF TERMS OF THE SERIES TO ***
C *** SUM. THE TABLE OF AZTA VALUES WAS CONSTRUCTED FOR U = ***
C *** 2**(-60). IF THE VALUE OF U CHANGES THEN A NEW TABLE OF ***
C *** AZTA VALUES SHOULD BE CONSTRUCTED AS DESCRIBED IN NUSC ***
C *** TM 861032. ***
C *****
      INTEGER NTERM
      DIMENSION AZTA(38), NTABLE(38)
      DATA (AZTA(K), NTABLE(K), K = 1, 19)/
+      19.35 , 39,
+      19.36194, 38,
+      19.38579, 37,
+      19.42577, 36,
+      19.48381, 35,
+      19.5621 , 34,
+      19.66328, 33,
+      19.79037, 32,
+      19.94698, 31,
+      20.1374 , 30,
+      20.36676, 29,
+      20.64125, 28,
+      20.96842, 27,
+      21.35754, 26,
+      21.8201 , 25,
+      22.37055, 24,
+      23.02719, 23,
+      23.81359, 22,
+      24.76054, 21/
      DATA (AZTA(K), NTABLE(K), K = 20, 38)/
+      25.90883, 20,
+      27.31371, 19,
+      29.05135, 18,
+      31.22937, 17,
+      34.00401, 16,
+      37.60926, 15,
+      42.4084 , 14,
+      48.99014, 13,
+      58.35861, 12,
+      72.336 , 11,
+      94.49151, 10,
+      132.5262 , 9,
+      205.2731 , 8,
+      367.2325 , 7,
+      818.2973 , 6,
+      2605.586 , 5,
+      15653.95 , 4,
+      342085.9 , 3,
+      342085.9 , 3/
      I = 1
      J = 37
C DO WHILE ( I .LE. J )
20 IF( .NOT. ( I .LE. J ) ) GO TO 70
      L = ( I + J ) / 2

```

```

        IF( ABSZTA .LT. AZTA(L) ) THEN
            J = L - 1
        ELSE
            I = L + 1
        END IF
        GO TO 20
70 CONTINUE
    NTERM = NTABLE( I )
    RETURN
END

```

COMPUTATION OF COMPLEX AIRY FUNCTIONS

TM 861032

Marvin J. Goldstein

Surface Ship Sonar Department

19 MARCH 1986

UNCLASSIFIED

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Code 00		3233	R. Christman
01	E.L. Messere	33	L. Freeman
01A	R. Moore	3301	T. Bateman
01Y	Dr. J. Short	33A	B. Cole
01	D. Quigley	33A3	Dr. W. Roderick
10	Dr. W. VonWinkle	33B	D. Counsellor
101	F. Weigle	33C	J. Hanrahan
	Dr. L. Goodman	3312	C. Becker
	Dr. K. Lima	3312	P. Saikowski
02	Dr. C. Kindilien	3313	J. Gregor
0211	R. Bernier	3313	D. Aker
021311	NL Library (3 copies)	3313	P. Anchors
021312	NPT Library	3313	N. Suliniski
03	D. Walters	3313	D. Fingerman
0303	G. Hill	3313	R. Molino
20	W. Clearwaters	3314	Dr. C. Carter
32	Dr. J. Kingsbury	3314	Dr. R. Dwyer
3211	J. O'Sullivan	3314	Dr. A. Nuttall
		3314	W. Goldman
3211	A. Lesick	3314	I. Cohen
3211	Dr. N. Owsley	3314	R. Johnson
3212	S. Dzerovych	3314	J. Sikorski
3212	Dr. J. Ianniello	3314	Dr E. Eby
3212	J. Pearson	3314	R. Tremblay
3212	J. Ferrie	332	Dr. R. Radlinski
3213	S. Kessler	3321	F. P. Fesseden
3213	W. Axtell	333	W. Schumacher
3213	R. Cox	3331	D. Browning
3213	D. Rawson	3331	P. Fisch
		3331	J. Chester
3213	J. Sanchis	3331	W. Hauck
3232	G. Connolly	3331	P. Koenigs
3232	Dr. R. Streit	3331	M. Fecher
3232	B. Helme, Jr.	3331	J. Monti
3233	Dr. H. Schloemer	3331	J. Syck
3233	Dr. S. Ko	3332	Dr. H. Weinberg
3233	Dr. W. Strawderman	3332	G. Botseas
3234	D. T. Porter	3332	Dr. D. Lee
325	W. Coggins	3332	M. Goldstein (15)
325	J. Shores	3332	W. Kanabis
3251	D. Daros	3332	H. Sternberg
3251	C. Bowman	3332	R. Deavenport
3251	P. Miner	3332	Dr. D. Wood
3252	T. Anderson	3332	R. Drinkard
3253	J. Ionata	3332	J. Nordquist
3253	M. Kuznitz	3332	E. Robinson
3253	J. Munoz	3332	E. Jensen
3253	R. Leask	3332	C. Turner
3202	D. Yarger	3332	L. Petitpas

3333	C. Brown	70	G. Bain
3333	G. Brown	701	G. Elias
3333	F. Farmer	72	G. Daglieri
3333	E. Montavon		R. Wilson
3333	J. Prentice		S. Schneller
3333	W. Sternberg		J. Auwood
3333	W. Wachter		T. Wheeler
3333	L. Walker		P. Breslin
3334	J. Hall		A. Alfiero
3334	E. Gannon		J. Gribbin
3334	V. Edwards	73	S. Capizzano
3334	Dr. D. Klingbeil		R. Pingree
3334	F. McMullen		
34	Dr. D. Dence		
	J. Katan		
	Dr. D. Fessenden		
	K. Hafner		
	J. Casey		
	A. Bruno		
	D. Dixon		
35	D. Cardin		
	T. Conrad		
	L. Cabral		
36	Dr. J. Sirmalis		
	J. Griffin		
	D. Blundell		
	M. Lydon		
	S. Wax		
	S. Ashton		
	R. McMahon		
	S. Meyers		
	K. Padolino		
37	C. Curtis		
	Q. Huynh		
38	J. Kyle		
401	A. Carlson		
	J. Clark		
	Dr. A. Kalinowski		
	Dr. R. Kasper		
	R. Manstan		
	R. Munn		
	Dr. J. Patel		
	A. Shigematsu		
	Dr. M. Tucchio		
402	M. Berger		
4111	S. Horvitz		
4331	B. Antrim		
	S. Walsh		
434	K. Steele		
	G. Lussier		
60	Dr. J. Cohen		