

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Omaha District

2006 Annual Report

Water Quality Conditions in the Missouri River Mainstem System



July 2007

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE JUL 2007		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2007 to 00-00-2007	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Water Quality Conditions in the Missouri River Mainstem System: 2006 Annual Report				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District, 1616 Capital Avenue Ste 9000, Omaha, NE, 68102				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 418	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a REPORT unclassified	b ABSTRACT unclassified	c THIS PAGE unclassified			

(Page left intentionally blank)

2006 Annual Report

**Water Quality Conditions in the Missouri River
Mainstem System**

Prepared by:

**Water Quality Unit
Water Control and Water Quality Section
Hydrologic Engineering Branch
Engineering Division
Omaha District
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

July 2007

For Information Regarding This Document Please Contact:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Omaha District Water Quality Management Program.....	1
1.2 Corps Civil Works Projects within the Omaha District.....	1
1.3 Water Quality Monitoring Goals and Objectives	4
1.4 Data Collection Approaches	4
1.5 General Water Quality Concerns in the Omaha District.....	5
1.5.1 Reservoir Eutrophication and Hypolimnetic Dissolved Oxygen Depletion	5
1.5.2 Sedimentation	6
1.5.3 Shoreline Erosion.....	6
1.5.4 Bioaccumulation of Contaminants in Aquatic Organisms.....	6
1.5.5 Occurrence of Pesticides.....	7
1.5.6 Urbanization.....	7
1.6 Prioritization of District-Wide Water Quality Management Issues.....	7
1.7 Project-Specific Water Quality Management Issues at the Mainstem System Projects	8
1.7.1 Section 303(d) Listings of Impaired waters.....	8
1.7.2 Fish Consumption Advisories.....	8
1.7.3 Summary of Project-Specific TMDL Considerations, Fish Consumption Advisories, and Other Water Quality Management Issues	8
2 LIMNOLOGICAL PROCESSES IN RESERVOIRS	10
2.1 Vertical and Longitudinal Water Quality Gradients.....	10
2.2 Chemical Characteristics of Reservoir Processes	11
2.2.1 Constituents.....	11
2.2.2 Anaerobic (Anoxic) Conditions	13
2.3 Biological Characteristics and Processes.....	14
2.3.1 Microbiological.....	14
2.3.2 Photosynthesis.....	14
2.3.3 Plankton	14
2.3.4 Organic Carbon and Detritus	15
2.4 Bottom Withdrawal Reservoirs.....	16
3 MAINSTEM SYSTEM WATER QUALITY MONITORING.....	17
3.1 Mainstem System Reservoirs.....	17
3.1.1 Long-Term, Fixed-station Ambient Monitoring.....	17
3.1.2 Bacteria Monitoring at Swimming Beaches	17
3.1.3 Intensive Water Quality Surveys	17
3.2 Mainstem System Powerplants	19
3.3 Missouri River From Fort Randall Dam to Rulo, Nebraska	19
3.4 Mainstem System Ancillary Lakes – Lake Yankton, Lake Pocasse, and Lake Audubon	19
4 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT METHODS.....	20
4.1 Existing Water Quality (2002 through 2006)	20
4.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria.....	20
4.1.2 Spatial Variation in Water Quality Conditions	20
4.1.3 Temporal Variation in Water Quality Conditions.....	21
4.1.4 Trophic Status	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
4.1.5 Mainstem System Reservoir Phytoplankton Community	22
4.1.6 Attainment of Water Quality Standards.....	22
4.2 Water Quality Trends.....	22
5 MAINSTEM SYSTEM RESERVOIRS	23
5.1 Background Information.....	23
5.1.1 Regulation of the Mainstem System	23
5.1.2 Water Control Plan for the Mainstem System	25
5.1.3 Occurrence of “Two-Story” Fisheries.....	25
5.2 Fort Peck	26
5.2.1 Background Information	26
5.2.2 Water Quality in Fort Peck Reservoir	29
5.2.3 Existing Water Quality Conditions of the Missouri River Inflow to Fort Peck Reservoir	34
5.2.4 Water Quality at the Fort Peck Powerplant.....	35
5.2.5 Water Quality in the Missouri River Downstream from Fort Peck Dam.....	35
5.3 Garrison	36
5.3.1 Background Information	36
5.3.2 Water Quality in Garrison Reservoir	41
5.3.3 Existing Water Quality Conditions of the Missouri River Inflow to Garrison Reservoir.....	45
5.3.4 Water Quality at the Garrison Powerplant.....	46
5.3.5 Water Quality in the Missouri River Downstream of Garrison Dam	47
5.3.6 Implementation of Short-term Water Quality Management Measures in 2005 and 2006 to Preserve Coldwater Habitat in Garrison Reservoir.....	48
5.4 Oahe	48
5.4.1 Background Information	48
5.4.2 Water Quality in Oahe Reservoir.....	50
5.4.3 Existing Water Quality Conditions of The Missouri River Inflow to Oahe Reservoir.....	55
5.4.4 Water Quality at the Oahe Powerplant	56
5.5 Big Bend	57
5.5.1 Background Information	57
5.5.2 Water Quality in Big Bend Reservoir	59
5.5.3 Existing Water Quality Conditions of the Missouri River Inflow to Big Bend Reservoir	62
5.5.4 Water Quality at the Big Bend Dam Powerplant	63
5.6 Fort Randall	64
5.6.1 Background Information	64
5.6.2 Water Quality in Fort Randall Reservoir	67
5.6.3 Existing Water Quality Conditions of the Missouri River Inflow to Fort Randall Reservoir....	70
5.6.4 Water Quality at the Fort Randall Powerplant.....	71
5.6.5 Water Quality in the Missouri River Downstream of Fort Randall Dam	72
5.7 Gavins Point.....	73
5.7.1 Background Information	73
5.7.2 Water Quality in Gavins Point Reservoir	75
5.7.3 Existing Water Quality Conditions of the Missouri and Niobrara River Inflows to Gavins Point Reservoir.....	80
5.7.4 Water Quality at the Gavins Point Powerplant	82
5.8 Comparison of Existing Water Quality Conditions at the Mainstem System Reservoirs.....	83

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
5.8.1	Attainment of State Water Quality Standards 83
5.8.2	General Water Quality Conditions in the Reservoirs..... 83
5.8.3	Comparison of the Existing Coldwater Habitat Conditions in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs 87
6	LOWER MISSOURI RIVER: GAVINS POINT DAM TO RULO, NEBRASKA 89
6.1	Channel Characteristics and Tributaries 89
6.1.1	Missouri River National Recreation River Reach..... 89
6.1.2	Kensler’s Bend Reach 89
6.1.3	Missouri River Navigation Channel Reach 89
6.2	Flow Regulation..... 90
6.2.1	Mainstem System Service Level..... 90
6.2.2	Historic Flow Releases..... 90
6.2.3	Flow Releases for Water Quality management..... 91
6.2.4	Flow Travel Times 91
6.3	Historic Flow Conditions (1967 to 2006) 91
6.4	National Recreation River Designation Pursuant to the Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act..... 92
6.5	State Designations and Listings Pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act 92
6.6	Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006) 93
6.6.1	Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria 93
6.6.2	Longitudinal Variation in Water Quality 93
6.7	USGS Water Temperature Monitoring in 2006..... 95
7	MAINSTEM ANCILLARY LAKES 96
7.1	Lake Audubon..... 96
7.1.1	Background Information 96
7.1.2	Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006) 96
7.1.3	Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)..... 99
7.2	Lake Pocasse 99
7.2.1	Background Information 99
7.3	Lake Yankton..... 100
7.3.1	Background Information 100
7.3.2	Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006) 100
7.3.3	Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)..... 104
8	WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES PLANNED FOR FUTURE YEARS 105
8.1	Water Quality Data Collection..... 105
8.2	Project-Specific Water Quality Management Planning 105
8.3	Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) 107
9	REFERENCES 108
10	PLATES..... 109

List of Tables

	Page
Table 4.1. Lake trophic status based on calculated TSI values.....	22
Table 5.1. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Fort Peck Reservoir at different pool elevations.....	27
Table 5.2. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Fort Peck Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during period 2004 through 2006.	33
Table 5.3. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) during May through September over the 3-year period 2004 through 2006.....	34
Table 5.4. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Garrison Reservoir at different pool elevations.	37
Table 5.5. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Garrison Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during period 2003 through 2006.	44
Table 5.6. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) during May through September over the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.....	45
Table 5.7. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Oahe Reservoir at different pool elevations.	49
Table 5.8. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Oahe Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during period 2005 through 2006.	54
Table 5.9. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) during May through September over the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.....	56
Table 5.10. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Big Bend Reservoir at different pool elevations.	58
Table 5.11. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Big Bend Reservoir for the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.....	62
Table 5.12. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) over the 3-year period 2004 through 2006.....	63
Table 5.13. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Fort Randall Reservoir at different pool elevations.....	65
Table 5.14. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Fort Randall Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during 2006.....	69
Table 5.15. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) over the 3-year period 2004 through 2006.....	71
Table 5.16. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Gavins Point Reservoir at different pool elevations.....	74
Table 5.17. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Gavins Point Reservoir for the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	77
Table 5.18. Summary of weekly (May through September) bacteria sampling conducted at five swimming beaches on Gavins Point Reservoir over the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.....	79
Table 5.19. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0851) over the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	80
Table 5.20. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir over the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.....	82

List of Tables (Continued)

	Page
Table 5.21. Summary of water quality standards attainment (i.e., support of designated beneficial uses) based on existing water quality conditions monitored at the Mainstem System projects. (Note: “Official” water quality standards attainment is defined in State prepared Section 305(b) and Section 303(d) assessments – See Table 1.3.)	85
Table 5.22. Summary of general water quality conditions monitored at the Mainstem System reservoirs over the 5-year period 2002 through 2006. (Note: The 5-year period of 2002 through 2006 has been a period of severe drought in the western United States where the Mainstem System is located.).....	86
Table 7.1. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Lake Audubon for 2002 and 2006.....	98
Table 7.2. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Lake Yankton for 2002 and 2006.....	102
Table 7.3. Summary of weekly (May through September) bacteria sampling conducted at the Training Dike swimming beach on Lake Yankton during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	103
Table 8.1. Water quality monitoring planned by the District at Missouri River Mainstem System Projects the next 5 years and the intended data collection approach. Actual monitoring activities implemented will be dependent upon available resources.....	106
Table 8.2. Tentative schedule for water quality management planning activities for the Mainstem System Projects.	107

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1.1. Missouri River Mainstem System civil works projects in the Omaha District. (Refer to Table 1.1 for project information.).....	2
Figure 2.1. Vertical oxygen concentrations possible in thermally stratified lakes.	12
Figure 5.1. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Fort Peck Project during the period 2002 through 2006.	30
Figure 5.2. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Fort Peck Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction for both arms of the reservoir.).....	32
Figure 5.3. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Garrison Project during the period 2002 through 2006.....	40
Figure 5.4. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Garrison Reservoir during the period 2002 through 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction.).....	43
Figure 5.5. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Oahe Project during the period 2002 through 2006.	51
Figure 5.6. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Oahe Reservoir during the period 2002 through 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction.).....	53

List of Figures (Continued)

	Page
Figure 5.7. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Big Bend Project during the period 2002 through 2006.	60
Figure 5.8. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Fort Randall Project during the period 2002 through 2006.	66
Figure 5.9. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Fort Randall Reservoir during 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction.)	68
Figure 5.10. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Gavins Point Project during the period 2002 through 2006.	76
Figure 6.1. Distribution of mean daily flows recorded at gaging sites on the Missouri River at Gavins Point Dam, Omaha, NE, Nebraska City, NE, and Rulo, NE during the 40-year period of 1967 through 2006.	92
Figure 6.2. Locations of water quality monitoring sites along the Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska.	94
Figure 7.1. Location of water quality monitoring site at Lake Audubon.	97
Figure 7.2. Location of water quality monitoring site on Lake Yankton.	101

List of Plates

	Page
Plate 1. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Fort Peck Dam (Site FTPLK1772A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	110
Plate 3. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near The Pines Recreation Area (site FTPLK1789DW) during the period 2004 through 2006.	111
Plate 2. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Skunk Coulee Bay (site FTPLK1778DW) during the period 2004 through 2006.	111
Plate 4. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Hell Creek Bay (site FTPLK1805DW) during the period 2004 through 2006.	112
Plate 5. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir in the Big Dry Creek Arm of the reservoir (site FTPLKBDCA01) during the period 2004 through 2006.	113
Plate 6. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Rock Creek Bay (site FTPLKBDCA02) during the period 2004 through 2006.	113
Plate 7. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on June 22, 2006.	114

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 8. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on July 26, 2006.	115
Plate 9. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on August 30, 2006.....	116
Plate 10. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on October 5, 2006.	117
Plate 11. Temperature depth profiles for Fort Peck Reservoir compiled from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., FTPLK1772A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.	118
Plate 12. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on June 22, 2006.....	119
Plate 13. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on July 26, 2006.	120
Plate 14. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on August 30, 2006.	121
Plate 15. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on October 5, 2006.	122
Plate 16. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Fort Peck Reservoir compiled from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., FTPLK1772A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.	123
Plate 17. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on June 22, 2006.....	124
Plate 18. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on July 26, 2006.	125
Plate 19. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on August 30, 2006.....	126

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 20. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on October 5, 2006.	127
Plate 21. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1772A during the summer months of 2004 through 2006.	128
Plate 22. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1772A during the period 2004 through 2006.	129
Plate 23. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1789DW during the period 2004 through 2006.	130
Plate 24. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1805DW during the period 2004 through 2006.	131
Plate 25. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLKBDCA02 during the period 2004 through 2006.	132
Plate 26. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site FTPLK1772A) at Fort Peck Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	133
Plate 27. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site FTPLK1772A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	134
Plate 28. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana at monitoring Station FTPNFMORR1 during the period 2004 through 2006.	135
Plate 29. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) for 2005. Means based on hourly measurements recorded at USGS gaging station 06115200.	136
Plate 30. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) for 2006. Means based on hourly measurements recorded at USGS gaging station 06115200.	137
Plate 31. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Fort Peck Dam (i.e., site FTPPP1) during the 3-year period of January 2004 through December 2006.	138
Plate 32. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.	139
Plate 33. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004.	140
Plate 34. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.	141

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 35. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.....	142
Plate 36. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	143
Plate 37. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.....	144
Plate 38. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.....	145
Plate 39. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July and December 2004	146
Plate 40. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.....	147
Plate 41. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.	148
Plate 42. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	149
Plate 43. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006	150
Plate 44. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck Powerplant (i.e., site FTPPP1) and the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) during 2005	151
Plate 45. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck Powerplant (i.e., site FTPPP1) and the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) during 2006	152
Plate 46. Mean daily water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant, Missouri River tailwaters, and Missouri River Frazer Rapids and the mean daily discharge of Fort Peck Dam from May through October during 2004.	153
Plate 47. Mean daily water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant, Missouri River tailwaters, and Missouri River Frazer Rapids and the mean daily discharge of Fort Peck Dam from May through October during 2005.	154
Plate 48. Mean daily water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant, Missouri River tailwaters, and Missouri River Frazer Rapids and the mean daily discharge of Fort Peck Dam from May through October during 2006.	155
Plate 49. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Garrison Dam (Site GARLK1390A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	156
Plate 50. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Douglas Bay (site GARLK1399DW) during the period 2003 through 2006.	156
Plate 51. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Beulah Bay (Site GARLK1412DW) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	157
Plate 52. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Indian Hills (site GARLK1428DW) during the period 2003 through 2005.	157

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 53. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Deepwater Bay (Site GARLK1445DW) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	158
Plate 54. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Independence Point (site GARLK1454DW) during the period 2003 through 2005.	158
Plate 55. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near New Town (Site GARLK1481DW) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	159
Plate 56. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near White Earth Bay (site GARLK1493DW) during the period 2003 through 2004	159
Plate 57. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on May 25, 2006.	160
Plate 58. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on June 20, 2006.	161
Plate 59. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on July 25, 2006.....	162
Plate 60. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on August 29, 2006.....	163
Plate 61. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on September 13, 2006.	164
Plate 62. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on October 3, 2006.	165
Plate 63. Temperature depth profiles for Garrison Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., GARLK1390A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.....	166
Plate 64. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on May 25, 2006.	167
Plate 65. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations s measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on June 20, 2006.	168
Plate 66. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on July 25, 2006.....	169

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 67. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on August 29, 2006.	170
Plate 68. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on September 13, 2006.	171
Plate 69. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on October 3, 2006.	172
Plate 70. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Garrison Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., GARLK1390A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.	173
Plate 71. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on May 25, 2006.	174
Plate 72. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on June 20, 2006.	175
Plate 73. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on July 25, 2006.	176
Plate 74. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on August 29, 2006.	177
Plate 75. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1399DW, GARLK1412DW, and GARLK1445DW on September 13, 2006.	178
Plate 76. Longitudinal turbidity contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on October 3, 2006.	179
Plate 77. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1390A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006.	180
Plate 78. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1390A during the period 2004 through 2006.	181
Plate 79. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1412DW during the period 2004 through 2006.	182

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 80. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1445DW during the period 2004 through 2006.....	183
Plate 81. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1481DW during the period 2004 through 2006.....	184
Plate 82. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site GARLK1390A) at Garrison Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	185
Plate 83. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Garrison Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site GARLK1390A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	186
Plate 84. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (Site GARNFMORRR1) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	187
Plate 85. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) for 2005.	188
Plate 86. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) for 2006.	189
Plate 87. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Garrison Dam (i.e., site GARPP1) during the 1½-year period of June 2003 through December 2004.....	190
Plate 88. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Garrison Dam (i.e., site GARPP1) during the 2-year period of 2005 through 2006.....	191
Plate 89. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period June through December 2003.....	192
Plate 90. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.....	193
Plate 91. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004	194
Plate 92. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.....	195
Plate 93. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.	196
Plate 94. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	197
Plate 95. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006	198
Plate 96. Temperature of water discharged through Garrison Dam during the period July 1 through October 7 in 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006.....	199
Plate 97. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period June through December 2003.....	200
Plate 98. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.....	201
Plate 99. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004	202

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 100. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.....	203
Plate 101. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.	204
Plate 102. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	205
Plate 103. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006	206
Plate 104. Dissolved oxygen concentrations of water discharged through Garrison Dam during the period July 1 through October 7 in 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006.....	207
Plate 105. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Garrison Powerplant (i.e., site GARPP1) and the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) during 2005.....	208
Plate 106. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Garrison Powerplant (i.e., site GARPP1) and the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) during 2006.....	209
Plate 107. Mean daily water temperature and discharge for the Garrison Dam discharge and Missouri River at Bismarck, North Dakota for 2005.....	210
Plate 108. Mean daily water temperature and discharge for the Garrison Dam discharge and Missouri River at Bismarck, North Dakota for 2006.....	211
Plate 109. Mean daily water temperatures monitored in the Missouri River from Garrison Dam to Beaver Bay for the period June through September 2005.	212
Plate 110. Mean daily water temperatures monitored in the Missouri River from Garrison Dam to Beaver Bay for the period May through September 2006.	213
Plate 111. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Oahe Dam (Site OAHLK1073A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	214
Plate 112. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Cow Creek (site OAHLK1090DW) during the period 2005 through 2006.	214
Plate 113. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near the confluence of the Cheyenne River (Site OAHLK1110DW) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.....	215
Plate 114. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Sutton Bay (site OAHLK1135DW) during the period 2005 through 2006.	215
Plate 116. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Swan Creek (site OAHLK1176DW) during the period 2005 through 2006.	216
Plate 115. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Whitlocks Bay (Site OAHLK1153DW) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.	216
Plate 117. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Mobridge, South Dakota (Site OAHLK1196DW) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.	217

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 118. Longitudinal temperature (°C) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on June 14, 2006.....	218
Plate 119. Longitudinal temperature (°C) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on July 19, 2006.	219
Plate 120. Longitudinal temperature (°C) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on August 23, 2006.	220
Plate 121. Longitudinal temperature (°C) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on September 19, 2006.....	221
Plate 122. Temperature depth profiles for Oahe Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., OAHRLK1073A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.....	222
Plate 123. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on June 14, 2006.....	223
Plate 124. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on July 19, 2006.....	224
Plate 125. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on August 23, 2006.....	225
Plate 126. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on September 19, 2006.	226
Plate 127. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Oahe Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., OAHRLK1073A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.....	227
Plate 128. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on June 14, 2006.....	228
Plate 129. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on July 19, 2006.	229

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 130. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on August 23, 2006.	230
Plate 131. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on September 19, 2006.	231
Plate 132. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1073A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006.	232
Plate 133. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1073A during the period 2004 through 2006.	233
Plate 134. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1110DW during the period 2005 through 2006.	234
Plate 135. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1153DW during the period 2005 through 2006.	234
Plate 136. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1196DW during the period 2005 through 2006.	235
Plate 137. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site OAHLK1073A) at Oahe Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	236
Plate 138. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Oahe Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site OAHLK1073A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	237
Plate 139. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (Site OAHNFMORRR1) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.	238
Plate 140. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) for 2005.	239
Plate 141. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) for 2006.	240
Plate 142. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.	241
Plate 143. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.	242
Plate 144. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004.	243
Plate 145. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.	244

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 146. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.	245
Plate 147. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	246
Plate 148. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.	247
Plate 149. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.....	248
Plate 150. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004	249
Plate 151. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.....	250
Plate 152. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005	251
Plate 153. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	252
Plate 154. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe Powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006	253
Plate 155. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Oahe Powerplant (i.e., site OAHPP1) and the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) during 2005.....	254
Plate 156. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Oahe Powerplant (i.e., site OAHPP1) and the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) during 2006.....	255
Plate 157. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Big Bend Reservoir near Big Bend Dam (Site BBDLK0987A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	256
Plate 158. Temperature depth profiles for Big Bend Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.....	257
Plate 159. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Big Bend Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006	258
Plate 160. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Big Bend Reservoir at site BBDLK0987A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006	259
Plate 161. Total biovolume, number of genera present and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site BBDLK0987A) at Big Bend Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	260
Plate 162. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site BBDLK0987A) at Big Bend Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	261

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 163. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Big Bend Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site BBDLK0987A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	262
Plate 164. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) for 2005.	263
Plate 165. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) for 2006.	264
Plate 166. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.	265
Plate 167. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.	266
Plate 168. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004.	267
Plate 169. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.	268
Plate 170. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.	269
Plate 171. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.	270
Plate 172. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.	271
Plate 173. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.	272
Plate 174. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004.	273
Plate 175. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.	274
Plate 176. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.	275
Plate 177. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through July 2006.	276
Plate 178. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.	277
Plate 179. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Big Bend Powerplant (i.e., site BBDPP1) and the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) during 2005.	278
Plate 180. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Big Bend Powerplant (i.e., site BBDPP1) and the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) during 2006.	279
Plate 181. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Fort Randall Dam (Site FTRLK0880A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	280

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 182. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Pease Creek (site FTRHLK0892DW) during 2006.	280
Plate 183. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Platte Creek (Site FTRLK0911DW) during 2006.	281
Plate 184. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Snake Creek (site FTRHLK0924DW) during 2006.	281
Plate 185. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Elm Creek (Site FTRLK0940DW) during 2006.	282
Plate 186. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near the White River (site FTRHLK0955DW) during 2006.	282
Plate 187. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Chamberlin, SD (Site FTRLK0968DW) during 2006.	283
Plate 188. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on June 15, 2006.	284
Plate 189. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on July 20, 2006.	285
Plate 190. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on August 24, 2006.	286
Plate 191. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on September 21, 2006.	287
Plate 192. Temperature depth profiles for Fort Randall Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.	288
Plate 193. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on June 15, 2006.	289
Plate 194. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on July 20, 2006.	290
Plate 195. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on August 24, 2006.	291
Plate 196. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on September 21, 2006.	292

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 197. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Fort Randall Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.....	293
Plate 198. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on June 15, 2006.	294
Plate 199. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on July 20, 2006.	295
Plate 200. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on August 24, 2006.	296
Plate 201. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on September 21, 2006.....	297
Plate 202. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0880A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006.	298
Plate 203. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0880A during the period 2004 through 2006.	299
Plate 204. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0911DW during 2006.....	299
Plate 205. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0940DW during 2006.....	300
Plate 206. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0968DW during 2006.....	300
Plate 207. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site FTRLK0880A) at Fort Randall Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	301
Plate 208. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	302
Plate 209. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) for 2005. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Big Bend Dam. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.).....	303

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 210. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) for 2006. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Big Bend Dam.	304
Plate 211. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Fort Randall Dam (i.e., site FTRPP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.	305
Plate 212. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.....	306
Plate 213. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004.	307
Plate 214. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.....	308
Plate 215. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.....	309
Plate 216. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	310
Plate 217. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.....	311
Plate 218. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004	312
Plate 219. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004	313
Plate 220. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005	314
Plate 221. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005	315
Plate 222. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006	316
Plate 223. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.	317
Plate 224. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Randall Powerplant (i.e., site FTRPP1) and the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) during 2005.....	318
Plate 225. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Randall Powerplant (i.e., site FTRPP1) and the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) during 2006.....	319
Plate 226. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at the Fort Randall Dam tailwaters during the 4-year period of 2003 through 2006.....	320
Plate 227. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0851) at RM851 during the 4-year period of 2003 through 2006.....	321

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 228. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Gavins Point Reservoir near Gavins Point Dam (Site GPTLK0811A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	322
Plate 229. Temperature depth profiles for Gavins Point Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.	323
Plate 230. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Gavins Point Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.	324
Plate 231. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Gavins Point Reservoir at site GPTLK0811A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006.	325
Plate 232. Total biovolume, number of genera present and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) at Gavins Point Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	326
Plate 233. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site GPTLK0811A) at Gavins Point Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.	327
Plate 234. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Gavins Point Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	328
Plate 235. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Fort Randall Dam (i.e., site FTRPP1) for 2005. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Fort Randall Dam.	329
Plate 236. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Fort Randall Dam (i.e., site FTRPP1) for 2006. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Fort Randall Dam.	330
Plate 237. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska by the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	331
Plate 238. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow of the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site USGS 06465500) for 2005.	332
Plate 239. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow of the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site USGS 06465500) for 2006.	333
Plate 240. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir for 2005.	334
Plate 241. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir for 2006.	335
Plate 242. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Gavins Point Dam (i.e., site GTPPP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.	336
Plate 243. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.	337

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 244. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.....	338
Plate 245. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.....	339
Plate 246. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.....	340
Plate 247. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	341
Plate 248. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.....	342
Plate 249. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004.....	343
Plate 250. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004.	344
Plate 251. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.....	345
Plate 252. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.....	346
Plate 253. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.....	347
Plate 254. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.....	348
Plate 255. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Gavins Point Powerplant (i.e., site GTPPP1) and estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir during 2005.....	349
Plate 256. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Gavins Point Powerplant (i.e., site GTPPP1) and estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir during 2006.....	350
Plate 257. Near-bottom water temperatures measured at near-dam, deepwater locations in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs during 2003 through 2006.....	351
Plate 258. Depth to 15°C water temperature measured at near-dam, deepwater locations in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs for the period 2003 through 2006.....	352
Plate 259. Near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at near-dam, deepwater locations in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs during 2003 through 2006.....	353
Plate 260. Elevation of the 15°C water temperature and 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen concentration isopleths for Fort Peck Reservoir based on water quality conditions monitored at a near-dam, deepwater location during 2003 through 2006.....	354
Plate 261. Elevation of the 15°C water temperature and 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen concentration isopleths for Garrison Reservoir based on water quality conditions monitored at a near-dam, deepwater location during 2003 through 2006.....	355
Plate 262. Elevation of the 15°C water temperature and 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen concentration isopleths for Oahe Reservoir based on water quality conditions monitored at a near-dam, deepwater location during 2003 through 2006.....	356
Plate 263. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at the Gavins Point Dam tailwaters (i.e., site GPTRRTW1) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.....	357

List of Plates (Continued)

	Page
Plate 264. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Maskell, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0774) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	358
Plate 265. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Ponca, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0753) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	359
Plate 266. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Decatur, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0691) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	360
Plate 267. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Omaha, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0619) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	361
Plate 268. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Nebraska City, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0563) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	362
Plate 269. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Rulo, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0498) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.	363
Plate 270. Distribution plots (i.e., box plots) for selected parameters monitored at locations along the Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska during the 4-year period of 2003 through 2004.	364
Plate 271. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Lake Audubon (i.e., site AUDLKND1) during 2002 and 2006.	372
Plate 272. Temperature depth profiles for Lake Audubon generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, and August during 2002 and 2006.	373
Plate 273. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Lake Audubon generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, and August during 2002 and 2006.	374
Plate 274. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Lake Audubon at the near-dam, ambient site over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	375
Plate 275. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Lake Yankton (i.e., site YAKLKND1) during 2002, 2003, and 2006.	376
Plate 276. Temperature depth profiles for Lake Yankton generated from data collected at the deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, August, and September during 2002 and 2006.	377
Plate 277. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Lake Yankton generated from data collected at the deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, August, and September during 2002 and 2006.	378
Plate 278. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, total phosphorus, chemical oxygen demand, total iron, and total manganese measured in Lake Yankton at site YAKLKND1 during the summer months of 2002 and 2006.	379
Plate 279. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll <i>a</i> , and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Lake Audubon at the near-dam, ambient site over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.	380

(Page left intentionally blank)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Omaha District Water Quality Management Program

The Omaha District (District) of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is implementing a Water Quality Management Program (WQMP) as part of the operation and maintenance activities associated with managing the Corps' civil works projects in the District. The WQMP addresses surface water quality management issues and adheres to the guidance and requirements specified in the Corps' Engineering Regulation – ER 1110-2-8154, "Water Quality and Environmental Management for Corps Civil Works Projects" (USACE, 1995).

The annual report of water quality conditions in the Missouri River Mainstem System (Mainstem System) is prepared to document and assess water quality conditions occurring at the Corps' Mainstem System projects in the District. The report describes existing water quality conditions and identifies any evident surface water quality management concerns. The annual reporting of Mainstem System project water quality conditions is done to facilitate water quality management decisions regarding the operation and regulation of the Mainstem System projects.

General Water Quality Concerns in the Omaha District

The following general water quality concerns have been identified for civil works projects in the District: 1) reservoir eutrophication and hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen depletion, 2) sedimentation, 3) shoreline erosion, 4) bioaccumulation of contaminants in aquatic organisms, 5) occurrence of pesticides, and 6) urbanization.

Prioritization of District-Wide Water Quality Management Issues

The District has identified seven priority issues for water quality management; these priority issues and their relative ranking are listed in Table 1.2.

Summary of Project-Specific TMDL Considerations, Fish Consumption Advisories, and Other Water Quality Management Issues

Table 1.3 summarizes TMDL considerations, fish consumption advisories, and other water quality management issues applicable to the Mainstem System projects. The impaired uses and pollutant/stressors (i.e., TMDL considerations) and identified contamination (i.e., Fish Consumption Advisories) identified in Table 1.3 are taken directly from the appropriate State 303(d) impaired waters listings and issued fish consumption advisories. They are provided for information purposes and are not based on water quality monitoring conducted by the District. The listed other water quality management issues in Table 1.3 were identified by the District based on water quality monitoring and USACE water quality management concerns. Water quality management issues at specific Mainstem System projects are assessed in detail in Project Specific Reports (USACE, 2007a) prepared for the project by the District.

Limnological Processes in Reservoirs

The Mainstem System projects in the District involve the operation and maintenance of reservoirs and the regulation of flows discharged from the reservoirs. Much of the water quality monitoring conducted by the District is done to determine existing water quality conditions and identify water quality management concerns at these reservoirs. A basic understanding of the limnological processes that occur

in reservoirs is needed to interpret the water quality information provided in this report. Chapter 2 of this report provides a basic overview of limnological processes that occur in reservoirs.

Water Quality Monitoring at the Mainstem System Reservoirs

Long-term, fixed-station ambient water quality monitoring has occurred at the six Mainstem System reservoirs (i.e., Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, Big Bend, Fort Randall, and Gavins Point) for the past 30 years. Recent ambient monitoring conducted by the District at the Mainstem System reservoirs included monthly (i.e., May through September) water quality monitoring at a near-dam, deepwater site. At Garrison Reservoir, four additional long-term ambient sites were added in 2006. Water quality monitoring included field measurements and collection of water samples for analytical analysis.

The District has monitored bacteria levels present at swimming beaches at the Gavins Point project over the past 5 years. Five swimming beaches on Gavins Point Reservoir and one on Lake Yankton were monitored. Weekly grab samples were collected from May through September and analyzed for fecal coliform and *E. coli* bacteria.

Intensive water quality surveys, of 3-year duration, have recently been completed or are ongoing at four Mainstem System projects. A 3-year intensive survey was completed at the Garrison Project in 2005 and the Fort Peck Project in 2006. Intensive surveys are currently ongoing at the Oahe and Fort Randall Projects. The monitoring objectives of the intensive surveys are to collect water quality data to spatially describe water quality conditions present in the reservoirs during the late spring and summer, and to collect information to facilitate the application of the CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model.

Water Quality Monitoring at the Mainstem System Powerplants

As part of the operation of the Mainstem System powerplants, water is drawn from the intake structure of each dam and piped through the powerplant in a “raw water” supply line that is tapped for various uses. The “raw water” supply line is an open ended, flow-through system (i.e., water is continually discharged). A monitoring station, that measures water quality conditions of water drawn from near the start of the “raw water” supply line, has been irregularly maintained at each of the powerplants over the past several years. Recent water quality monitoring has consisted of year-round, hourly measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, and conductivity through the use of a data-logger. Monthly grab samples (year-round) have also been collected and analyzed. The rate of dam discharge when measurements and samples were taken was determined from powerplant records. The water quality conditions measured in the “raw water” supply lines of the powerplants are believed to represent the water quality conditions present in the reservoirs near the dam intakes and in the tailwaters (i.e., Missouri River) immediately downstream of the dam.

Water Quality Monitoring of the Missouri River from Fort Randall Dam to Rulo, Nebraska

Since 2003, the District has cooperated with the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality to monitor ambient water quality conditions along the Missouri River from Fort Randall Dam to Rulo, Nebraska. Fixed-station monitoring has occurred at the following nine sites: Fort Randall Dam tailwaters; near Verdel, NE; Gavins Point Dam tailwaters; near Maskell, NE; near Ponca, NE; at Decatur, NE; at Omaha, NE; at Nebraska City, NE; and at Rulo, NE. Water quality monitoring consisted of taking field measurements and collecting near-surface grab samples monthly from October through March and biweekly from April through September. The collected grab samples were analyzed for various parameters.

Water Quality Monitoring at the Mainstem System Ancillary Lakes

Lake Yankton, Lake Pocasse, and Lake Audubon are ancillary lakes to the Mainstem System reservoirs respectively at the Gavins Point, Oahe, and Garrison projects. Water quality monitoring at these three lakes has been sporadic in the past. The District initiated ambient water quality monitoring at the lakes in 2006 as part of a 3-year rotational monitoring cycle. However, low water conditions prevented boat access and therefore prevented water quality monitoring at Lake Pocasse. Monitoring included monthly sampling (May through September) at a near-dam deepwater location, and included field measurements for depth profiling and water transparency and collection of near-surface and near-bottom water samples for laboratory analysis.

Water Quality Assessment Methods

For the purposes of this report, existing water quality is defined as water quality conditions that occurred during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). Water quality monitoring conducted during that period was used to describe existing water quality conditions.

Statistical analyses were performed on the water quality monitoring data collected at the Mainstem System reservoirs (including inflow and outflow sites), powerplants, on the Missouri River, and at the mainstem ancillary lakes. Descriptive statistics were calculated to describe central tendencies and the range of observations in existing water quality. Monitoring results were compared to applicable water quality standards criteria established by the appropriate States pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act.

Longitudinal contour plots were constructed when adequate depth-profile measurements were collected along the length of a reservoir. Adequate information was collected in 2006 to construct longitudinal contour plots at four Mainstem System reservoirs: Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, and Fort Randall. At these reservoirs longitudinal contour plots were constructed for water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity.

Longitudinal box plots were constructed when adequate measurements were collected along the length of a waterbody. Adequate information was collected to construct longitudinal box plots of existing water quality conditions at four Mainstem System reservoirs: Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, and Fort Randall; and the lower Missouri River.

Depending on their bathymetry, lakes can experience thermally-induced density stratification in the summer. This can lead to significant vertical water quality variation if anoxic or near-anoxic conditions develop in the hypolimnion. Measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen depth profiles were plotted at the Mainstem System reservoirs and mainstem ancillary lakes. The plotted depth profiles were measured at a near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring location. Depth profiles measured in the months of July, August, and September over the past 5 years were plotted. The plots were reviewed to assess the occurrence of thermal stratification and hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen degradation.

The variation of selected parameters with depth was evaluated by comparing near-surface and near-bottom collected samples collected at the Mainstem System reservoirs and ancillary lakes. The compared samples were collected at the near-dam, deepwater monitoring location over the past 5 five years. The parameters compared included water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and various nutrients.

Annual seasonal time series plots of water temperatures measured in the Missouri River immediately upstream and downstream of the Mainstem System reservoirs were constructed to display temporal variation. Time series plots were also prepared for water quality conditions monitored at the

Mainstem System powerplants during 2005 and 2006. Hourly water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and dam discharge were plotted semi-annually for the 2 years.

A lake trophic state index was calculated from Secchi depth transparency, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll *a* measurements. Values for these three parameters were converted to an index number ranging from 0 to 100. This index value was used to determine the lake's trophic status in accordance with Table 4.1.

The phytoplankton community at the Mainstem System reservoirs was assessed based on collected grab samples. Laboratory analyses consisted of identification of phytoplankton taxa to the lowest practical level and quantification of taxa biovolume. These results were used to determine the relative abundance of phytoplankton taxa at the division level based on the measured biovolumes.

Surface water quality trends at the Mainstem System reservoirs were assessed by evaluating water clarity (i.e. Secchi depth), total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and trophic state index values from monitoring results obtained at long-term, fixed-station ambient monitoring sites for the period 1980 to 2006.

The attainment of water quality standards was assessed by determining the support of designated water quality beneficial uses. Where applicable water quality standards criteria existed, beneficial support was determined by the number of times the criteria were exceeded during the 5-year period of 2002 through 2006 based on water quality monitoring conducted by the District.

Water Quality Conditions Monitored at the Mainstem System Projects

Fort Peck

Monitoring of the existing water quality conditions of Fort Peck Reservoir indicated no significant water quality concerns. On a few occasions measured dissolved oxygen concentrations were below the water quality standards criterion of 5 mg/l. The measured low dissolved oxygen concentrations occurred in the hypolimnion near the reservoir bottom during the later part of the summer thermal stratification period. Water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity in Fort Peck Reservoir vary temporally, longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches, and vertically from the reservoir's surface to the bottom (Plates 7-20). During the summer, a thermocline typically becomes established in the reservoir at a depth of about 20 meters. The only parameter that significantly varied from the surface to the bottom of the reservoir at the near-dam, deepwater location was water temperature. The dominant algal group sampled in the reservoir was Bacillariophyta (i.e., Diatoms). Over the past 27 years, Fort Peck Reservoir exhibited slightly decreasing transparency, slightly increasing levels of total phosphorus, and no observable trend in chlorophyll *a* levels. Monitoring indicated that the lacustrine zone of Fort Peck Reservoir is currently in a mesotrophic state, but may be moving towards a moderately eutrophic condition.

Water quality monitoring of the existing conditions of the Fort Peck Dam discharge did not indicate any water quality standards attainment concerns. There appeared to be little correlation between dam discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 32-43). Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Fort Peck Reservoir are generally warmer than the outflow temperatures of Fort Peck Dam during March through August, and cooler than the outflow temperatures during September through February. A maximum temperature difference occurs in the summer when the Missouri River inflow temperature is about 10°C warmer than the Fort Peck Dam outflow temperature. Colder water temperatures and lower turbidity levels, attributed to the regulation of Fort Peck Dam, are

believed to be impacting the endangered pallid sturgeon population in the Missouri River downstream of the dam.

Garrison

Monitoring of the existing water quality conditions of Garrison Reservoir indicated possible water quality concerns regarding water temperature for the support of optimal coldwater habitat and dissolved oxygen levels for the support of aquatic biota. Water temperatures in the epilimnion of the reservoir regularly exceed 15°C in the summer, while temperatures in the hypolimnion are less than 15°C. Dissolved oxygen levels in the hypolimnion continually degrade along the reservoir bottom as summer progresses, and fall below 5.0 mg/l in late summer. Low dissolved oxygen conditions occur in the upstream reaches of the hypolimnion first and progress towards the dam. As the summer progresses, low dissolved oxygen conditions move up from the reservoir bottom into the mid and upper reaches of the hypolimnion. This pinching off of coldwater habitat threatens the occurrence of optimal coldwater habitat in the reservoir, especially under low pool levels during drought conditions.

Water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity in Garrison Reservoir vary temporally, longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches, and vertically from the reservoir's surface to the bottom (Plates 57-76). During the summer, a thermocline typically becomes established in the reservoir at a depth of about 25 meters. The only parameter that significantly varied from the surface to the bottom of the reservoir at the near-dam, deepwater location was water temperature. The dominant algal group sampled in the reservoir was Bacillariophyta (i.e., Diatoms). Over the past 27 years, Garrison Reservoir exhibited slightly increasing concentrations of total phosphorus, slightly decreasing levels of chlorophyll *a*, and no observable trend in transparency. Monitoring indicated that the lacustrine zone of Garrison Reservoir is currently in a mesotrophic state and shows no observable trend of an increasing trophic state.

Water quality monitoring of the existing conditions of the Garrison Dam discharge did not indicate any significant water quality concerns. There is a significant correlation between dam discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations during the summer thermal stratification period of Garrison Reservoir (Plates 89-103). This indicates that the vertical extent of the withdrawal zone in the reservoir is dependent upon the discharge rate of the dam. This is believed to be a result of the design of the intake structure (i.e., bottom withdrawal) and the presence of the submerged intake channel leading to the intake structure. Water is likely drawn from an extended vertical zone in Garrison Reservoir year-round, but is only evident in the temperatures monitored at the powerhouse during reservoir thermal stratification during the summer. Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Garrison Reservoir are generally warmer than the outflow temperatures of Fort Peck Dam during April through September, and cooler than the outflow temperatures during October through March. A maximum temperature difference occurs in the summer when the Missouri River inflow temperature is about 10°C warmer than the Garrison Dam outflow temperature.

As drought conditions persisted in early 2005, water levels in Garrison Reservoir had fallen to a record low pool elevation of 1805.8 ft-msl on May 12, 2005. At that time it was felt that unless emergency water quality management measures were implemented in 2005 to preserve the coldwater habitat in the reservoir, the recreational sport fishery would likely be adversely impacted. The reduction of coldwater habitat is exacerbated by withdrawals through the Garrison Dam intake structure. Because the invert elevation of the intake portals to the Garrison Dam power tunnels (i.e., penstocks) is 2 feet above the reservoir bottom, water drawn through the penstocks comes largely from the lower depths of the reservoir. Thus, during the summer thermal-stratification period, water is largely drawn from the hypolimnetic volume of Garrison Reservoir. Three short-term water quality management measures were identified for implementation in 2005 in an effort to preserve the coldwater habitat in the reservoir. These

measures, which were implemented at Garrison Dam, included: 1) application of a plywood barrier to the dam's intake trash racks, 2) utilization of head gates to restrict the opening to the dam's power tunnels, and 3) modification of the daily flow cycle and minimum flow releases from the dam. The three implemented water quality management measures were targeted at drawing water into the dam from higher elevations within Garrison Reservoir. It is estimated the implementation of these short-term water quality management measures resulted in a potential saving of optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir of about 379,390 acre-ft in 2005 and about 1,021,150 acre-ft in 2006.

Oahe

Monitoring of the existing water quality conditions of Oahe Reservoir indicated possible water quality concerns regarding water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH for the support of coldwater permanent fish life propagation. Water temperatures in the epilimnion of the reservoir regularly exceed the criterion of 18.3°C in the summer, while temperatures in the hypolimnion are less than 18.3°C. Dissolved oxygen levels in the hypolimnion continually degrade along the reservoir bottom as summer progresses and fall below the criterion of 7 mg/l in late summer. Dissolved oxygen levels rarely fell below the criterion of 6 mg/l in the hypolimnion in the area of the reservoir near Oahe Dam. Dissolved oxygen concentrations regularly fall below 6 mg/l in the middle and upstream reaches of the hypolimnion. As the summer progresses, conditions of lower dissolved oxygen move up from the reservoir bottom into the lower reaches of the hypolimnion. No measured pH values were below the lower pH criterion of 6.6. The upper pH criterion of 8.6 was exceeded throughout the reservoir; however, no measured pH values were above 9.

Water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity in Oahe Reservoir vary temporally, longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches, and vertically from the reservoir's surface to the bottom (Plates 118-131). During the summer, a thermocline typically becomes established in the reservoir at a depth of about 20 meters. The only parameter that significantly varied from the surface to the bottom of the reservoir at the near-dam, deepwater location was water temperature. The dominant algal group sampled in the reservoir was Bacillariophyta (i.e., Diatoms). Over the past 27 years, Oahe Reservoir exhibited slightly increasing concentrations of total phosphorus, and no observable trends in transparency or chlorophyll *a*. Monitoring indicated that the lacustrine zone of Oahe Reservoir is currently in a mesotrophic state and shows no observable trend of an increasing trophic state.

Water quality monitoring of the existing conditions of the Oahe Dam discharge indicated possible water quality concerns regarding temperature and dissolved oxygen for the support of coldwater permanent fish life propagation. Twenty-five percent of the recorded hourly temperatures of the water passed through Oahe Dam exceeded the temperature criterion of 18.3°C. The exceedences of the 18.3°C temperature criterion occurred during the summer. During the summer when Oahe Reservoir is thermally stratified, water temperatures in the epilimnion of the reservoir regularly exceed 18.3°C, while temperatures in the hypolimnion are less than 18.3°C. Water discharged through Oahe Dam for power production is withdrawn from Oahe Reservoir at elevation 1525 ft-msl, approximately 110 feet above the reservoir bottom. Thus, water withdrawn from the reservoir in the summer comes largely from the epilimnion, especially when pool elevations are lower due to drought conditions. Because water passed through Oahe Dam during the summer is withdrawn from the epilimnion of the reservoir, the temperature criterion of 18.3°C for the Missouri River and Big Bend Reservoir just downstream of the dam are not being met during the summer when Oahe Reservoir is thermally stratified. During the period 2004 through 2006, 12 and 9% of the recorded hourly dissolved oxygen concentrations of water passed through Oahe Dam were, respectively, below the State water quality criteria of 7 and 6 mg/l. Generally, dissolved oxygen levels were below 7 mg/l from mid July through September, and below 6 mg/l during mid-August

through September. Seemingly, the lower dissolved oxygen levels may be related to lower oxygen solubility with warmer water, and possible oxygen degradation in the hypolimnion during late summer.

There appeared to be little correlation between dam discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 143 - 154). Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Oahe Reservoir are generally warmer than the outflow temperatures of the Oahe Dam discharge during the period of April through June. Outflow temperatures of the Oahe Dam discharge are generally warmer than the inflow temperatures of the Missouri River during the period of July through March. A maximum temperature difference occurs in the fall when the Oahe Dam discharge temperature is about 4°C warmer than the Missouri River inflow temperature.

Big Bend

Water quality monitoring of the existing conditions of Big Bend Reservoir indicated possible water quality concerns regarding water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH for the support of coldwater permanent fish life propagation. Based on the criteria for the protection of coldwater permanent fish life propagation, 67% of the observations exceeded water temperature criteria, 3 to 14% of the observations did not meet dissolved oxygen criteria, and 20% of the observations exceeded the pH criteria. It is noted, that if Big Bend Reservoir were classified for the protection of warmwater permanent fish propagation instead of coldwater, no observations would have exceeded the pH criteria, and less than 1% of the observations would not have met the water temperature and dissolved oxygen criteria for warmwater permanent fish propagation. Ambient summer water temperatures in Big Bend Reservoir do not appear to be cold enough to support coldwater permanent fish life propagation as defined by State water quality criteria. Consideration should be given to reclassify the reservoir for a warmwater permanent fish life propagation use based on a use attainability assessment of “natural conditions” regarding ambient water temperatures.

Big Bend Reservoir does not typically exhibit summer thermal stratification due to its shallower depth and the high discharge rates that occur through Big Bend Dam. No parameter significantly varied from the surface to the bottom of the reservoir at the near-dam, deepwater location. The dominant algal group sampled in the reservoir was Bacillariophyta (i.e., Diatoms). Over the past 27 years, Big Bend Reservoir exhibited slightly increasing concentrations of total phosphorus, and decreasing levels of transparency and chlorophyll *a*. Monitoring indicated that the lacustrine zone of Big Bend Reservoir is currently in a mesotrophic to moderately eutrophic state and shows no observable trend of an increasing trophic state.

Monitoring of the existing water quality conditions of the Big Bend Dam discharge did not indicate any water quality concerns. There appeared to be only minor correlation between dam discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 167 - 178). Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Big Bend Reservoir are about 2°C warmer than the outflow temperatures of Big Bend Dam during the winter. Temperatures of the Big Bend Dam discharge are about 1-2°C warmer than the inflow temperatures of the Missouri River during the spring, summer, and fall.

Fort Randall

Monitoring of the existing water quality conditions of Fort Randall Reservoir indicated possible water quality concerns regarding water dissolved oxygen and suspended solids for the support of warmwater permanent fish life propagation. Dissolved oxygen levels in the hypolimnion degrade along the reservoir bottom as summer progresses and fall below 6 mg/l in late summer. In July and August, dissolved oxygen concentrations in Fort Randall reservoir fell below 5 mg/l in the near-bottom volume of

the hypolimnion. The chronic suspended solids criterion was exceeded in Fort Randall Reservoir in the area near the confluence of the White River.

Water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity in Fort Randall Reservoir vary temporally, longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches, and vertically from the reservoir's surface to the bottom (Plates 188-201). During the summer, a thermocline typically becomes established in the reservoir at a depth of about 25 meters. No parameter significantly varied from the surface to the bottom of the reservoir at the near-dam, deepwater location. The dominant algal group sampled in the reservoir was Bacillariophyta (i.e., Diatoms). Over the past 27 years, Fort Randall Reservoir exhibited slightly increasing concentrations of total phosphorus, and decreasing levels of transparency and chlorophyll *a*. Monitoring indicated that the lacustrine zone of Fort Randall Reservoir is currently in a mesotrophic state and shows no observable trend of an increasing trophic state.

Water quality monitoring of the existing conditions of the Fort Randall Dam discharge indicated no water quality concerns. There is a significant correlation between dam discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations during the summer thermal stratification period of Fort Randall Reservoir (Plates 212-217). This indicates that the vertical extent of the withdrawal zone in the reservoir is dependent upon the discharge rate of the dam. This is believed to be a result of the design of the intake structure (i.e., bottom withdrawal) and the presence of the submerged approach channel leading to the intake structure. Water is likely drawn from an extended vertical zone in Fort Randall Reservoir year-round, but is only evident in the temperatures monitored at the powerhouse during reservoir thermal stratification during the summer.

Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Fort Randall tend to be a little warmer than the outflow temperatures of Fort Randall Dam during the spring and early summer. Outflow temperatures of the Fort Randall Dam discharge tend to be a little warmer than the Missouri River inflow temperatures in the late-summer and fall.

Gavins Point

Water quality monitoring of the existing conditions of Gavins Reservoir indicated a possible water quality concern regarding dissolved oxygen for the support of warmwater aquatic life. Based on the criteria for the protection of warmwater aquatic life, 7 to 16% of the observations did not meet dissolved oxygen criteria. The dissolved oxygen measurements that were below the 5 and 6 mg/l criteria occurred near the reservoir bottom in the hypolimnion during the summer on occasions when the reservoir was thermally stratified.

During periods of calm weather in the summer, Gavins Point Reservoir will develop a slight thermal stratification. When this slight stratification occurs, a thermocline is present at about 8 meters depth. This indicates the reservoir is probably polymixic. The thermal stratification breaks down under windier conditions, given the shallow depth of the reservoir (i.e., 15 meters), and the reservoir mixes throughout its water column. No parameter significantly varied from the surface to the bottom of the reservoir at the near-dam, deepwater location. The dominant algal group sampled in the reservoir was Bacillariophyta (i.e., Diatoms). Over the past 27 years, Gavins Point Reservoir exhibited slightly increasing concentrations of total phosphorus, and decreasing levels of transparency and chlorophyll *a*. Monitoring indicated that the lacustrine zone of Gavins Point Reservoir is currently in a moderately eutrophic to eutrophic state and shows no observable trend of an increasing trophic state.

Water quality monitoring of the existing conditions of the Gavins Point Dam discharge did not indicate any water quality concerns. There appeared to be little correlation between dam discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 243-254). Inflow

temperatures of the Missouri River to Gavins Point Reservoir tend to be a little cooler than the outflow temperatures of Gavins Point Dam during the spring and early summer. Outflow temperatures of the Gavins Point Dam discharge tend to be a little warmer than the Missouri River inflow temperatures in the late-summer and fall.

Comparison of Water Quality Conditions at the Mainstem Reservoirs

A comparison of existing water quality conditions monitored at the Mainstem System reservoirs is provided in Tables 5.21 and 5.22.

Lower Missouri River

Monitoring of the existing water quality conditions of the lower Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska indicated no water quality concerns. Longitudinal variation in selected water quality parameters was assessed with box plots arranged relative to their respective locations along the Missouri River (Plate 270). Parameters that exhibited no observable longitudinal trend included pH, specific conductance, and total ammonia. Parameters that slightly decreased in a downstream direction included dissolved oxygen. Parameters that slightly increased in a downstream direction included temperature, chloride, chemical oxygen demand, total organic carbon, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, atrazine, and alachlor. Parameters that greatly increased in a downstream direction included turbidity, total suspended solids, nitrate-nitrite nitrogen, and total phosphorus.

Mainstem Ancillary Lakes

Monitoring of existing water quality conditions at Lakes Audubon and Yankton indicated no major water quality concerns.

Water Quality Monitoring and Management Activities Planned for Future Years

A tentative schedule of water quality monitoring targeted for implementation over the next 5 years is given in Table 8.1. The identified data collection activities are considered the minimum needed to allow for the annual assessment of water quality conditions at District projects, and the preparation of project-specific water quality reports and water quality management objectives for the Mainstem System Projects. The actual monitoring activities that are implemented will be dependent upon the availability of future resources.

The CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model is being applied to facilitate the development project-specific water quality reports and project-specific water quality management objectives. The tentative schedule for implementing these water-quality management planning activities on the Mainstem System projects is given in Table 8.2.

(Page left intentionally blank)

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 OMAHA DISTRICT WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The Omaha District (District) of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is implementing a Water Quality Management Program (WQMP) as part of the operation and maintenance activities associated with managing the Corps' civil works projects in the District. The WQMP addresses surface water quality management issues and adheres to the guidance and requirements specified in the Corps' Engineering Regulation – ER 1110-2-8154, "Water Quality and Environmental Management for Corps Civil Works Projects" (USACE, 1995). The following four goals have been established for the District's WQMP (USACE, 2007a):

- 1) Ensure that surface water quality, as affected by District projects and their regulation, is suitable for project purposes, existing water uses, and public health and safety, and is in compliance with applicable Federal, Tribal, and State water quality standards.
- 2) Establish and maintain a surface water quality monitoring and data evaluation program that facilitates the achievement of water quality management objectives, allows for the characterization of water quality conditions, and defines the influence of District projects on surface water quality.
- 3) Establish and maintain strong working partnerships and collaboration with appropriate entities within and outside the Corps regarding surface water quality management at District projects.
- 4) Document the water quality management activities of the District's Water Quality Management Program and Project surface water quality conditions to record trends, identify problems and accomplishments, and provide guidance to program and project managers.

Water quality data collection and assessment are of paramount importance to the implementation of the District's WQMP.

The annual report of water quality conditions is prepared to document and assess water quality conditions occurring at Corps civil works projects in the District. The report describes existing water quality conditions and identifies any evident surface water quality management issues. The annual reporting of project water quality conditions is done to facilitate water quality management decisions regarding the operation and regulation of Corps projects.

1.2 CORPS CIVIL WORKS PROJECTS WITHIN THE OMAHA DISTRICT

The location of Corps Missouri River Mainstem System (Mainstem System) civil works project areas within the District, and background information on the projects, are provided in Figure 1.1 and Table 1.1. These are the Mainstem System civil works projects under the purview of the District's WQMP.




Figure 1.1. Missouri River Mainstem System civil works projects in the Omaha District. (Refer to Table 1.1 for project information.)

Table 1.1. Background information for Missouri River Mainstem System Corps water resource project areas located in the Omaha District.

Project Area	Location	Dam Closure	Lake Size or River Length ⁽¹⁾	Authorized Proposes ⁽²⁾	Water Quality Designated Beneficial Uses ⁽³⁾
MAINSTEM RESERVOIRS					
Ft. Peck (Ft. Peck Lake)	Fort Peck, MT	1937	246,000 A (mp)	FC, Rec, FW, HP, WS, WQ, Nav, Irrig ⁽⁴⁾	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Garrison (Lake Sakakawea)	Garrison, ND	1953	380,000 A (mp)	FC, Rec, FW, HP, WS, WQ, Nav, Irrig ⁽⁵⁾	Rec, FW, CAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Oahe (Lake Oahe)	Pierre, SD	1958	374,000 A (mp)	FC, Rec, FW, HP, WS, WQ, Nav, Irrig ⁽⁴⁾	Rec, FW, CAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Big Bend (Lake Sharpe)	Chamberlain, SD	1963	61,000 A (mp)	FC, Rec, FW, HP, WS, WQ, Nav, Irrig ⁽⁴⁾	Rec, FW, CAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Ft. Randall (Lake Francis Case)	Pickstown, SD	1952	102,000 A (mp)	FC, Rec, FW, HP, WS, WQ, Nav, Irrig ⁽⁴⁾	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Gavins Point (Lewis and Clark Lake)	Yankton, SD	1955	31,000 A (mp)	FC, Rec, FW, HP, WS, WQ, Nav, Irrig ⁽⁴⁾	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS, Aes
MAINSTEM RESERVOIR ANCILLARY LAKES					
Lake Audubon (Garrison Project – Snake Creek Dam)	Garrison, ND	1952	18,780 A (mp)	Rec, FW	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Lake Pocasse (Oahe Project – Spring Creek Dam)	Pollock, SD	1961	1,545 A (mp)	FW	Rec, FW, WAL, AWS
Lake Yankton (Gavins Point Project)	Yankton, SD	1955	250 A	Rec, FW	Rec, WAL, AWS, Aes
MISSOURI RIVER					
Fort Peck Reach	Fort Peck Dam to Garrison Reservoir	---	204 M	----	Rec, FW, CAL, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Garrison Reach	Garrison Dam to Oahe Reservoir	---	87 M	---	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Oahe Reach	Oahe Dam to Big Bend Reservoir	---	5 M	---	Rec, FW, CAL, DWS, IWS, AWS
Fort Randall Reach	Fort Randall Dam to Gavins Point Reservoir	---	39 M	National River ⁽⁶⁾ Recreational	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS, Aes, OSRW
Gavins Point Reach	Gavins Point Dam to Ponca, NE	---	59 M	National River ⁽⁶⁾ Recreational	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS, Aes, OSRW
Kesler's Bend Reach	Ponca, NE to Sioux City, IA	---	17 M	---	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS, Aes, OSRW
Lower Missouri River Reach	Sioux City, IA to Rulo, NE	---	237 M	BS, Nav	Rec, FW, WAL, DWS, IWS, AWS, Aes

⁽¹⁾ A = acres, M = miles, mp = top of multipurpose pool, cp = top of conservation pool.

⁽²⁾ Purposes authorized under Federal laws for the operation of the Corps projects.

FC = Flood Control, Rec = Recreation, FW = Fish & Wildlife, HP = Hydroelectric Power, WS = Water Supply, WQ = Water Quality, Nav = Navigation, Irrig = Irrigation, BS = Bank Stabilization.

⁽³⁾ Water quality dependent beneficial uses designated to the water body in State water quality standards pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act.

Rec = Recreation, FW = Fish and Wildlife, WAL, Warmwater Aquatic Life, CAL = Coldwater Aquatic Life, DWS = Domestic Water Supply, IWS = Industrial Water Supply, AWS = Agricultural Water Supply, Aes = Aesthetics, OSRW = Outstanding State Resource Water.

⁽⁴⁾ Section 8 (PL 78-534) Federal irrigation has not been developed at this project; however, water is being withdrawn for private irrigation use.

⁽⁵⁾ There is a Section 8 Federal irrigation project authorized at this project, but it is not yet operational; however, water is being withdrawn for private irrigation use.

⁽⁶⁾ Designated a Recreational River under the Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

1.3 WATER QUALITY MONITORING GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The District has established purposes and monitoring objectives for surface water quality monitoring under its WQMP. These monitoring purposes and objectives were established to meet the water quality information needs of the WQMP and the water quality management objectives, data collection rules and objectives, data application guidance, and reporting requirements identified in ER 1110-2-8154. Pertinent monitoring goals and objectives that have been established are:

Purpose 1: Determine surface water quality conditions at District projects.

Monitoring Objectives:

- For new District water resource projects, establish baseline surface water quality conditions as soon as possible and appropriate.
- Characterize the spatial and temporal distribution of surface water quality conditions at District projects.
- Identify pollutants and their sources that are affecting surface water quality and the aquatic environment at District projects.
- Evaluate water/sediment interactions and their effects on overall surface water quality at District projects.
- Identify the presence and concentrations of contaminants in indicator and human-consumed fish species at District projects.
- Investigate, as necessary, unique events (e.g., fish kills, hazardous waste spills, operational emergencies, health emergencies, public complaints, etc.) at District projects that may have degraded surface water quality or indicate the aquatic environment has been impacted.

Purpose 2: Quantify surface water concerns that exist and are due to the operation and reservoir regulation of District projects.

Monitoring Objectives:

- Determine if surface water quality conditions at District projects or attributable to District operations or reservoir regulation (i.e., downstream conditions resulting from reservoir discharges) meets applicable Federal, Tribal, and State water quality standards.
- Determine if surface water quality conditions at District projects or attributable to District operations or reservoir regulation are improving, degrading, or staying the same over time.
- Apply water quality models to assess surface water quality conditions at District projects.

Purpose 3: Provide data to support project operations and reservoir regulation for effective management and enhancement of surface water quality and the aquatic environment.

Monitoring Objectives:

- Provide surface water quality data required for real-time regulation of District projects.
- Collect the information needed to design, engineer, and implement measures or modifications at District projects to enhance surface water quality and the aquatic environment.

Purpose 4: Evaluate the effectiveness of structural or regulation measures implemented at District projects to enhance surface water quality and the aquatic environment.

Monitoring Objectives:

- Evaluate the effectiveness of implemented measures at District projects to improve surface water quality and the aquatic environment.

1.4 DATA COLLECTION APPROACHES

Several data collection approaches have been identified by the District for collecting surface water quality data. Pertinent water quality monitoring approaches are:

- Long-term, fixed-station ambient monitoring;
- Intensive surveys;
- Special studies; and

- Investigative monitoring.

Long-term, fixed-station ambient monitoring is intended to provide information that will allow the District to determine the status and trends of surface water quality at District projects. This type of sampling consists of systematically collecting samples at the same location over a long period of time (e.g., collecting monthly water samples at the same site for several years).

Intensive surveys are intended to provide more detailed information regarding surface water quality conditions at District projects. They typically will include more sites sampled over a shorter timeframe than long-term fixed-station monitoring. Intensive surveys will provide the detailed water quality information needed to thoroughly understand surface water quality conditions at a project.

Special studies are conducted to address specific information needs. Special water quality studies may be undertaken to collect the information needed to “scope-out” a specific water quality problem, apply water quality models, design and engineer modifications at projects, or evaluate the effectiveness of implemented water quality management measures.

Investigative monitoring is typically initiated in response to an immediate need for surface water quality information at a District project. This may be in response to an operational situation, the occurrence of a significant pollution event, public complaint, or a report of a fish kill. Any District response to a pollution event or fish kill would need to be coordinated with the appropriate Tribal, State, and Local agencies. The type of sampling that is done for investigative purposes is highly specific to the situation under investigation.

1.5 GENERAL WATER QUALITY CONCERNS IN THE OMAHA DISTRICT

1.5.1 RESERVOIR EUTROPHICATION AND HYPOLIMNETIC DISSOLVED OXYGEN DEPLETION

Reservoirs are commonly classified or grouped by trophic or nutrient status. The natural progression of reservoirs through time is from an oligotrophic (i.e., low nutrient/low productivity) through a mesotrophic (i.e., intermediate nutrient/intermediate productivity) to a eutrophic (i.e., high nutrient/high productivity) condition. The tendency toward the eutrophic or nutrient-rich status is common to all impounded waters. The eutrophication, or enrichment process, can be accelerated by nutrient additions to the reservoir resulting from cultural activities.

As deeper, temperate lakes warm in the spring and summer they typically become thermally stratified, due to the density differences of the water, into three vertical zones: 1) epilimnion, 2) metalimnion, and 3) hypolimnion. The epilimnion is the upper zone of less dense, warmer water in the lake that remains relatively mixed due to wind action and convection. The metalimnion is the middle zone that represents the transition from warm surface water to cooler bottom water. The hypolimnion is the bottom zone of more dense, colder water that is relatively quiescent.

A significant water quality concern that can occur in reservoirs that thermally stratify in the summer is the depletion of dissolved oxygen levels in the hypolimnion. The depletion of dissolved oxygen is attributed to the differing density of water with temperature, the utilization of dissolved oxygen in the decomposition of organic matter, and the oxidation of reduced inorganic substances. When density differences become significant, the deeper colder water is isolated from the surface and re-oxygenation from the atmosphere. In eutrophic lakes, the decomposition of the abundant organic matter can significantly reduce dissolved oxygen in the quiescent hypolimnetic zone. Anoxic conditions in the hypolimnion can result in the release of sediment-bound substances (e.g., phosphorus, metals, sulfides, etc.) as the reduced conditions intensify and result in the production of toxic and caustic substances (e.g.,

hydrogen sulfide, etc.). Most fish and other intolerant aquatic life cannot inhabit water with less than 4 to 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen for extended periods. These conditions can impact aquatic life in the reservoir and also in waters downstream of the reservoir if its releases are from a bottom outlet.

1.5.2 SEDIMENTATION

Sedimentation is a process that reduces the usefulness of reservoirs. In the design and construction of reservoirs, the Corps will commonly allow for additional volume to accommodate sedimentation. The incoming sediment can seriously affect the reservoir ecology, fisheries, and benthic aquatic life. The reservoir can suffer ecological damage before a volume function such as flood control is impacted. The influx of sediment eliminates fish habitat, adds nutrients, destroys aesthetics, and decreases biodiversity. Working closely with the project sponsors in an effort to manage sediment input could ultimately prolong reservoir life. Wetlands or sediment traps could be constructed at the headwaters of a reservoir, either upstream of the reservoir or by taking a portion of the reservoir's upper end, to trap sediment.

1.5.3 SHORELINE EROSION

Shoreline erosion is a major problem occurring on nearly all reservoirs located in areas of erodible soils such as the Midwest. Over 6,000 miles of reservoir shoreline exist at District projects, and it is estimated that over 70 percent of this shoreline is eroding. Some locations have been protected, such as recreational and archaeological sites, but most of the shoreline continues to erode. Continued loss of the shoreline habitat (littoral zone) results in the loss of fishery habitat as well as loss of habitat for other biota such as aquatic vegetation and benthic invertebrates.

1.5.4 BIOACCUMULATION OF CONTAMINANTS IN AQUATIC ORGANISMS

Bioaccumulation is the accumulation of contaminants in the tissue of organisms through any route, including respiration, ingestion, or direct contact with contaminated water or sediment. Bioavailable, for chemicals, is the state of being potentially available for biological uptake by an aquatic organism when that organism is processing or encountering a given environmental medium (e.g., the chemicals that can be extracted by the gills from the water as it passes through the respiratory cavity or the chemicals that are absorbed by internal membranes as the organism moves through or ingests sediment). In the aquatic environment, a chemical can exist in three different basic forms that affect availability to organisms: 1) dissolved, 2) sorbed to biotic or abiotic components and suspended in the water column or deposited on the bottom, and 3) incorporated (accumulated) into organisms. Bioconcentration is a process by which there is a net accumulation of a chemical directly from water into aquatic organisms resulting from simultaneous uptake (e.g., by gill or epithelial tissue) and elimination. Biomagnification is the result of the process of bioconcentration and bioaccumulation by which tissue concentrations of bioaccumulated chemicals increase as the chemical passes up through two or more trophic levels. The term implies an efficient transfer of a chemical from food to consumer so that residual concentrations increase systematically from one trophic level to the next.

Bioaccumulation of contaminants can have a direct effect on aquatic organisms. These effects can be chronic (reduced growth, fecundity, etc.) and acute (lethality). The bioaccumulation of contaminants can also be a concern to human health when the contaminated tissue of aquatic organisms is consumed by humans.

1.5.5 OCCURRENCE OF PESTICIDES

Pesticides are widely applied to lands throughout the District. Pesticides detected at District projects over the past 5 years include: acetochlor, alachlor, atrazine, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, prometon, propazine, and trifluralin. Many of these pesticides do not have State or Federal numeric water quality criteria established.

1.5.6 URBANIZATION

Construction methods used to develop urban areas disturb the land and allow sediment-laden runoff to impact nearby streams and lakes. Best management practices (BMPs) to minimize construction associated sedimentation damages are used ineffectively in many cases. BMPs to control the impact of construction practices include; sediment retention basins, phased “grading”, and runoff control (e.g. hay bales, silt fences, vegetative ground cover, terracing, etc). Efforts need to be made to prevent sedimentation from off-project construction activities from causing impacts to District projects. This could be accomplished by the appropriate State, County, or City agencies working with developers.

Post-construction problems are commonly associated with storm drainage and urban pollution. The conversion of grasslands or forests to roads, rooftops, sidewalks, and other water impervious surfaces make stream flows more variable and increase the frequency of high flow events. In addition, pollutants associated with urban drainage can impact downstream water bodies. Storm sewer exits can be allowed on project lands provided detention in the form of ponds, swales, or wetlands exist on private property. A developer may be asked to construct a series of wetlands to slow downhill flows and provide time for bacterial die-off, chemical degradation, reduced flow rates, and sediment settling.

1.6 PRIORITIZATION OF DISTRICT-WIDE WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT ISSUES

The District has identified seven priority issues for water quality management. These priority issues and their relative ranking are listed in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2. Priority water quality management issues within the Omaha District.

Ranking*	Water Quality Management Issue
1	Determine how regulation of the Missouri River Mainstem System (Mainstem System) dams affects water quality in the impounded reservoir and downstream river. Utilize the CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model to facilitate this effort.
2	Evaluate how eutrophication is progressing in the Mainstem System reservoirs, especially regarding the expansion of anoxic conditions in the hypolimnion during summer stratification.
3	Determine how flow regime, especially the release of water from Mainstem System projects, affects water quality in the Missouri River.
4	Provide water quality information to support Corps reservoir regulation elements for effective surface water quality and aquatic habitat management.
5	Provide water quality information and technical support to the Tribes and States in the development of their Section 303(d) lists and development and implementation of TMDLs at District projects.
6	Identify existing and potential surface water quality problems at District projects, and develop and implement appropriate solutions.
7	Evaluate surface water quality conditions and trends at District projects.

* 1 = Highest priority, 7 = Lowest Priority

1.7 PROJECT-SPECIFIC WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT ISSUES AT THE MAINSTEM SYSTEM PROJECTS

1.7.1 SECTION 303(D) LISTINGS OF IMPAIRED WATERS

Under Section 303(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Tribes and States, with the delegated authority from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), are required to prepare a periodic list of impaired waters [i.e., Section 303(d) list]. Impaired waters refer to those water bodies where it has been determined that technology-based effluent limitations required by Section 301 of the CWA are not stringent enough to attain and maintain applicable water quality standards. Tribes and States, as appropriate, are required to establish and implement Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for water bodies on their Section 303(d) lists.

1.7.2 FISH CONSUMPTION ADVISORIES

Fish are capable of accumulating many toxic substances in excess of 1,000 times the concentrations found in surface waters. The public has expressed concerns on whether fish caught from District project waters are safe to consume. It is important that answers to public health concerns be based on substantiated knowledge of toxicants in fish fillets and the public health risks associated with measured toxicant concentrations. This type of information can be used by States when considering the issuance of fish consumption advisories. Fish consumption advisories have been issued for fish caught from certain District project waters. Mercury is the most prevalent contaminant leading to the issuance of fish consumption advisories in the District.

1.7.3 SUMMARY OF PROJECT-SPECIFIC TMDL CONSIDERATIONS, FISH CONSUMPTION ADVISORIES, AND OTHER WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT ISSUES

Table 1.3 summarizes TMDL considerations, fish consumption advisories, and other water quality management issues applicable to the Mainstem System projects. The impaired uses and pollutant/stressors (i.e., TMDL considerations) and identified contamination (i.e., Fish Consumption Advisories) identified in Table 1.3 are taken directly from the appropriate State 303(d) impaired waters listings and issued fish consumption advisories. They are provided for information purposes and are not based on water quality monitoring conducted by the District. The listed other water quality management issues in Table 1.3 were identified by the District based on water quality monitoring and USACE water quality management concerns. Water quality management issues at specific Mainstem System projects are assessed in detail in District Project Specific Reports (USACE, 2007a) prepared for the project.

Table 1.3. Summary of project-specific TMDL considerations, fish consumption advisories, and other water quality management issues at the Missouri River Mainstem System projects.

Project Area	TMDL Considerations*				Fish Consumption Advisories		Other Water Quality Management Issues
	On 303(d) List	Impaired Uses	Pollutant/Stressor	TMDL Completed	Advisory in Effect	Identified Contamination	
Missouri River (Bullwhacker Creek to Fort Peck Reservoir)	Yes	Aquatic Life Drinking Water Supply Warmwater Fishery	Arsenic, Copper Metals Other Habitat Alterations Riparian Degradation	No	No		Pallid sturgeon recovery priority area
Fort Peck Reservoir	Yes	Drinking Water Supply Recreation	Lead, Mercury Metals Noxious Aquatic Plants	No	Yes	Mercury	
Missouri River – Fort Peck Dam to Lake Sakakawea	Yes	Aquatic Life Coldwater Fishery – Trout Warmwater Fishery	Flow Alteration Other Habitat Alterations Riparian Degradation Thermal Modifications	No	No		Pallid sturgeon recovery priority area
Garrison Reservoir	Yes	Fish and Other Aquatic Biota Fish Consumption	Low Dissolved Oxygen Water Temperature Methyl-Mercury	No	Yes	Mercury	Hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen
Missouri River – Garrison Dam tailwaters	No				Yes	Mercury	Low dissolved oxygen in Garrison Dam tailwaters (associated with late summer hypolimnetic reservoir withdrawals)
Lake Pocasse (Oahe Reservoir)	Yes	Warmwater Fishery	Eutrophication	No	No		
Big Bend Reservoir	No			Yes (TMDL developed for sediment. A nonpoint source management project is being implemented in the Bad River watershed.)	No		
Missouri River – Fort Randall Dam to Gavins Point Reservoir	No				No		National recreational river Pallid sturgeon recovery priority area
Gavins Point Reservoir	No				No		Sedimentation Emergent aquatic vegetation
Missouri River downstream from Gavins Point Dam	Yes	Recreation Aquatic Life	Pathogens Dieldrin, PCBs	No	Yes	Dieldrin PCBs	National recreational river Pallid sturgeon recovery priority area Summer ambient water temperature (NPDES limitations regarding cooling water discharges)
Missouri River (Council Bluffs, IA)	Yes	Drinking Water Supply	Arsenic	No	-----		

* Information taken from published State Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) 303(d) reports and listings as of January 1, 2007.

2 LIMNOLOGICAL PROCESSES IN RESERVOIRS

Many of the Corps civil works projects in the District involve the operation and maintenance of a reservoir or the regulation of flows discharged from reservoirs. Much of the water quality monitoring conducted by the District is done to determine existing water quality conditions and identify water quality management concerns at these reservoirs. A basic understanding of the limnological processes that occur in reservoirs is needed to interpret the water quality information provided in this report. The following discussion provides a basic overview of limnological processes that occur in reservoirs.

2.1 VERTICAL AND LONGITUDINAL WATER QUALITY GRADIENTS

The annual temperature distribution represents one of the most important limnological processes occurring within a reservoir. Thermal variation in a reservoir results in temperature-induced density stratification, and an understanding of the thermal regime is essential to water quality assessment. Deep, temperate-zone lakes typically completely mix from the surface to the bottom twice a year (i.e., dimictic). Temperate-zone dimictic lakes exhibit thermally-induced density stratification in the summer and winter months that is separated by periods of “turnover” in the spring and fall. This stratification typically occurs through the interaction of wind and solar insolation at the lake surface and creates density gradients that can influence lake water quality. During the summer, solar insolation has its highest intensity and the reservoir becomes stratified into three zones: 1) epilimnion, 2) metalimnion, and 3) hypolimnion.

Epilimnion: The epilimnion is the upper zone that consists of the less dense, warmer water in the reservoir. It is fairly turbulent since its thickness is determined by the turbulent kinetic energy inputs (e.g., wind, convection, etc.), and a relatively uniform temperature distribution throughout this zone is maintained.

Metalimnion: The metalimnion is the middle zone that represents the transition from warm surface water to colder bottom water. There is a distinct temperature gradient through the metalimnion. The metalimnion contains the thermocline that is the plane or surface of maximum temperature rate change.

Hypolimnion: The hypolimnion is the bottom zone of more dense, colder water that is relatively quiescent. Bottom withdrawal or fluctuating water levels in reservoirs, however, may significantly increase hypolimnetic mixing.

Long, dendritic reservoirs, with tributary inflows located a considerable distance from the outflow and unidirectional flow from headwater to dam develop gradients in space and time (USACE, 1987). Although these gradients are continuous from headwater to dam, three characteristic zones result: a riverine zone, a zone of transition, and a lacustrine zone (USACE, 1987).

Riverine Zone: The riverine zone is relatively narrow, well mixed, and although water current velocities are decreasing, advective forces are still sufficient to transport significant quantities of suspended particles, such as silts, clays, and organic particulate. Light penetration in this zone is minimal and may be the limiting factor that controls primary productivity in the water column. The decomposition of tributary organic loadings often creates a significant oxygen demand, but an aerobic environment is maintained because the riverine zone is generally shallow and well mixed. Longitudinal dispersion may be an important process in this zone.

Zone of Transition: Significant sedimentation occurs through the transition zone, with a subsequent increase in light penetration. Light penetration may increase gradually or abruptly, depending on the flow regime. At some point within the mixed layer of the zone of transition, a

compensation point between the production and decomposition of organic matter should be reached. Beyond this point, production of organic matter within the reservoir mixed layer should begin to dominate.

Lacustrine Zone: The lacustrine zone is characteristic of a lake system. Sedimentation of inorganic particulate is low. Light penetration is sufficient to promote primary production, with nutrient levels the limiting factor and production of organic matter exceeds decomposition within the mixed layer. Entrainment of metalimnetic and hypolimnetic water, particulate, and nutrients may occur through internal waves or wind mixing during the passage of large weather fronts. Hypolimnetic mixing may be more extensive in reservoirs than “natural” lakes because of bottom withdrawal. In addition, an intake structure may simultaneously remove water from the hypolimnion and metalimnion.

When tributary inflow enters a reservoir, it displaces the reservoir water. If there is no density difference between the inflow and reservoir waters, the inflow moves as a density current in the form of overflows, interflows, or underflows. Internal mixing is the term used to describe mixing within a reservoir from such factors as wind, Langmuir circulation, convection, Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities, and outflow (USACE, 1987).

2.2 CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF RESERVOIR PROCESSES

2.2.1 CONSTITUENTS

Some of the most important chemical constituents in reservoir waters that affect water quality are needed by aquatic organisms for survival. These include oxygen, carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus. Other important constituents are silica, manganese, iron, and sulfur.

Dissolved oxygen: Oxygen is a fundamental chemical constituent of water bodies that is essential to the survival of aquatic organisms and is one of the most important indicators of reservoir water quality conditions. The distribution of dissolved oxygen (DO) in reservoirs is a result of dynamic transfer processes from the atmospheric and photosynthetic sources to consumptive uses by the aquatic biota. The resulting distribution of DO in the reservoir water strongly affects the solubility of many inorganic chemical constituents. Often, water quality control or management approaches are formulated to maintain an aerobic, or oxic (i.e., oxygen-containing), environment. Oxygen is produced by aquatic plants (phytoplankton and macrophytes) and is consumed by aquatic plants, other biological organisms, and chemical oxidations. In reservoirs, the DO demand may be divided into two separate but highly interactive fractions: sediment oxygen demand (SOD) and water column oxygen demand.

Sediment oxygen demand: The SOD is typically highest in the upstream area of the reservoir just below the headwaters. This is an area of transition from riverine to lake characteristics. It is relatively shallow but stratifies. The loading and sedimentation of organic matter is high in this transition area and, during stratification, the hypolimnetic DO to satisfy this demand can be depleted. If anoxic conditions develop, they generally do so in this area of the reservoir and progressively move toward the dam during the stratification period. The SOD is relatively independent of DO when DO concentrations in the water column are greater than 3 to 4 mg/l but becomes limited by the rate of oxygen supply to the sediments.

Water column oxygen demand: A characteristic of many reservoirs is a metalimnetic minimum in DO concentrations, or negative heterograde oxygen curve (Figure 2.1). Density interflows not only transport oxygen-demanding material into the metalimnion, but can also entrain reduced chemicals from the upstream anoxic area and create additional oxygen demand. Organic matter and organisms from the mixed layer settle at slower rates in the metalimnion because of increased viscosity due to lower temperatures. Since this labile organic matter remains in the metalimnion

for a longer time period, decomposition occurs over a longer time, exerting a higher oxygen demand. Metalimnetic oxygen depletion is an important process in deep reservoirs. A hypolimnetic oxygen demand generally starts at the sediment/water interface unless underflows contribute organic matter that exerts a significant oxygen demand. In addition to metalimnetic DO depletion, hypolimnetic DO depletion also is important in shallow, stratified reservoirs since there is a smaller hypolimnetic volume of oxygen to satisfy oxygen demands than in deeper reservoirs.

Dissolved oxygen distribution: Two basic types of vertical DO distribution may occur in the water column: an orthograde and clinograde DO distribution (Figure 2.1). In the orthograde distribution, DO concentration is a function primarily of temperature, since DO consumption is limited. The clinograde DO profile is representative of more productive, nutrient-rich reservoirs where the hypolimnetic DO concentration progressively decreases during stratification and can occur during both summer and winter stratification periods.




Figure 2.1. Vertical oxygen concentrations possible in thermally stratified lakes.

Inorganic carbon: Inorganic carbon represents the basic building block for the production of organic matter by plants. Inorganic carbon can also regulate the pH and buffering capacity or alkalinity of aquatic systems. Inorganic carbon exists in a dynamic equilibrium in three major forms: carbon dioxide (CO_2), bicarbonate ions (HCO_3^-), and carbonate ions (CO_3^{2-}). Carbon dioxide is readily soluble in water and some CO_2 remains in a gaseous form, but the majority of the CO_2 forms carbonic acid that dissociates rapidly into HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} ions. This dissociation results in a weakly alkaline system (i.e., $\text{pH} \approx 7.1$ or 7.2). There is an inverse relationship between pH and CO_2 . The pH increases when aquatic plants (phytoplankton or macrophytes) remove CO_2 from the water to form organic matter through photosynthesis during the day. During the night when aquatic plants respire and release CO_2 , the pH decreases. The extent of this pH change provides an indication of the buffering capacity of the system. Weakly buffered systems with low alkalinities (i.e., <500 microequivalents per liter) experience larger shifts in pH than well-buffered systems (i.e., $>1,000$ microequivalents per liter).

Nitrogen: Nitrogen is important in the formulation of plant and animal protein. Nitrogen, similar to carbon, also has a gaseous form. Many species of cyanobacteria can use or fix elemental or gaseous N_2 as a nitrogen source. The most common forms of nitrogen in aquatic systems are ammonia ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$), nitrite

($\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$), and nitrate ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$). All three forms are transported in water in a dissolved phase. Ammonia results primarily from the decomposition of organic matter. Nitrite is primarily an intermediate compound in the oxidation or nitrification of ammonia to nitrate, while nitrate is the stable oxidation state of nitrogen and represents the other primary inorganic nitrogen form besides NH_3 used by aquatic plants.

Phosphorus: Phosphorus is used by both plants and animals to form enzymes and vitamins and to store energy in organic matter. Phosphorus has received considerable attention as the nutrient controlling algal production and densities and associated water quality problems. The reasons for this emphasis are: phosphorus tends to limit plant growth more than the other major nutrients; phosphorus does not have a gaseous phase and ultimately originates from the weathering of rocks; removal of phosphorus from point sources can reduce the growth of aquatic plants; and the technology for removing phosphorus is more advanced and less expensive than nitrogen removal. Phosphorus is generally expressed in terms of the chemical procedures used for measurement: total phosphorus, particulate phosphorus, dissolved or filterable phosphorus, and soluble reactive phosphorus. Phosphorus is a very reactive element; it reacts with many cations such as iron and calcium and is readily sorbed on particulate matter such as clays, carbonates, and inorganic colloids. Since phosphorus exists in a particulate phase, sedimentation represents a continuous loss from the water column to the sediment. Sediment phosphorus, then, may exhibit longitudinal gradients in reservoirs similar to sediment silt/clay gradients. Phosphorus contributions from sediment under anoxic conditions and macrophyte decomposition are considered internal phosphorus sources or loads, and are in a chemical form readily available for plankton uptake and use. Internal phosphorus loading can represent a major portion of the total phosphorus budget.

Silica: Silica is an essential component of diatom algal frustules or cell walls. Silica uptake by diatoms can markedly reduce silica concentrations in the epilimnion and initiate a seasonal succession of diatom species. When silica concentrations decrease below 0.5 mg/l, diatoms generally are no longer competitive with other phytoplankton species.

Other nutrients: Iron, manganese, and sulfur concentrations generally are adequate to satisfy plant nutrient requirements. Oxidized iron (III) and manganese (IV) are quite insoluble in water and occur in low concentrations under aerobic conditions. Under aerobic conditions, sulfur usually is present as sulfate.

2.2.2 ANAEROBIC (ANOXIC) CONDITIONS

When dissolved oxygen concentrations in the hypolimnion are reduced to approximately 2 to 3 mg/l, the oxygen regime at the sediment/water interface is generally considered anoxic, and anaerobic processes begin to occur in the sediment interstitial water. Nitrate reduction to ammonium and/or N_2O or N_2 (denitrification) is considered to be the first phase of the anaerobic process and places the system in a slightly reduced electrochemical state. Ammonium-nitrogen begins to accumulate in the hypolimnetic water. The presence of nitrate prevents the production of additional reduced forms such as manganese (II), iron (II), or sulfide species. Denitrification probably serves as the main mechanism for removing nitrate from the hypolimnion. Following the reduction or denitrification of nitrate, manganese species are reduced from insoluble forms (i.e., Mn (IV)) to soluble manganous forms (i.e., Mn (II)), which diffuse into the overlying water column. Nitrate reduction is an important step in anaerobic processes since the presence of nitrate in the water column will inhibit manganese reduction. As the electrochemical potential of the system becomes further reduced, iron is reduced from the insoluble ferric (III) form to the soluble ferrous (II) form, and begins to diffuse into the overlying water column. Phosphorus, in many instances, is also transported in a complexed form with insoluble ferric (III) species so the reduction and solubilization of iron also result in the release and solubilization of phosphorus into the water column. The sediments may serve as a major phosphorus source during anoxic periods and a phosphorus sink during aerobic periods. During this period of anaerobiosis, microorganisms also are decomposing organic

matter into lower molecular weight acids and alcohols such as acetic, fulvic, humic, and citric acids and methanol. These compounds may also serve as trihalomethane precursors (low-molecular weight organic compounds in water; i.e., methane, formate acetate), which, when subject to chlorination during water treatment, form trihalomethanes, or THMs (carcinogens). As the system becomes further reduced, sulfate is reduced to sulfide, which begins to appear in the water column. Sulfide will readily combine with soluble reduced iron (II), however, to form insoluble ferrous sulfide, which precipitates out of solution. If the sulfate is reduced to sulfide and the electrochemical potential is strongly reducing, methane formation from the reduced organic acids and alcohols may occur. Consequently, water samples from anoxic depths will exhibit these chemical characteristics.

Anaerobic processes are generally initiated in the upstream portion of the hypolimnion where organic loading from the inflow is relatively high and the volume of the hypolimnion is minimal, so oxygen depletion occurs rapidly. Anaerobic conditions are generally initiated at the sediment/water interface and gradually diffuse into the overlying water column and downstream toward the dam. Anoxic conditions may also develop in a deep pocket near the dam due to decomposition of autochthonous organic matter settling to the bottom. This anoxic pocket, in addition to expanding vertically into the water column, may also move upstream and eventually meet the anoxic zone moving downstream.

Anoxic conditions are generally associated with the hypolimnion, but anoxic conditions may occur in the metalimnion. The metalimnion may become anoxic due to microbial respiration and decomposition of plankton settling into the metalimnion, microbial metabolism of organic matter entering as an interflow, or through entrainment of anoxic hypolimnetic water from the upper portion of the reservoir.

2.3 BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND PROCESSES

2.3.1 MICROBIOLOGICAL

The microorganisms associated with reservoirs may be categorized as pathogenic or nonpathogenic. Pathogenic microorganisms are of a concern from a human health standpoint and may limit recreational and other uses of reservoirs. Nonpathogenic microorganisms are important in that they often serve as decomposers of organic matter and are a major source of carbon and energy for a reservoir. Microorganisms generally inhabit all zones of the reservoir as well as all layers. Seasonally high concentrations of bacteria will occur during the warmer months, but they can be diluted by high discharges. Anaerobic conditions enhance growth of certain bacteria while aeration facilitates the use of bacterial food sources. Microorganisms, bacteria in particular, are responsible for mobilization of contaminants from sediments.

2.3.2 PHOTOSYNTHESIS

Oxygen is a by-product of aquatic plant photosynthesis, which represents a major source of oxygen for reservoirs during the growing season. Oxygen solubility is less during the period of higher water temperatures, and diffusion may also be less if wind speeds are lower during the summer than the spring or fall. Biological activity and oxygen demand typically are high during thermal stratification, so photosynthesis may represent a major source of oxygen during this period. Oxygen supersaturation in the euphotic zone can occur during periods of high photosynthesis.

2.3.3 PLANKTON

Phytoplankton influence dissolved oxygen and suspended solids concentrations, transparency, taste and odor, aesthetics, and other factors that affect reservoir uses and water quality objectives.

Phytoplankton are a primary source of organic matter production and form the base of the autochthonous food web in many reservoirs since fluctuating water levels may limit macrophyte and periphyton production. Phytoplankton can be generally grouped as diatoms, green algae, cyanobacteria, or cryptomonad algae. Chlorophyll *a* represents a common variable used to estimate phytoplankton biomass.

Seasonal succession of phytoplankton species is a natural occurrence in reservoirs. The spring assemblage is usually dominated by diatoms and cryptomonads. Silica depletion in the photic zone and increased settling as viscosity decreases because of increased temperatures usually result in green algae succeeding the diatoms. Decreases in nitrogen or a decreased competitive advantage for carbon at higher pH may result in cyanobacteria succeeding the green algae during summer and fall. Diatoms generally return in the fall, but cyanobacteria, greens, or diatoms may cause algae blooms following fall turnover when hypolimnetic nutrients are mixed throughout the water column. The general pattern of seasonal succession of phytoplankton is fairly constant from year to year. However, hydrologic variability, such as increased mixing and delay in the onset of stratification during cool, wet spring periods, can maintain diatoms longer in the spring and shift or modify the successional pattern of algae in reservoirs.

Phytoplankton grazers can reduce the abundance of algae and alter their successional patterns. Some phytoplankton species are consumed and assimilated more readily and are preferentially selected by consumers. Single-celled diatom and green algae species are readily consumed by zooplankton, while filamentous cyanobacteria are avoided by zooplankters. Altering the fish population can result in a change in the zooplankton population that can affect the phytoplankton population.

2.3.4 ORGANIC CARBON AND DETRITUS

Total organic carbon (TOC) is composed of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and particulate organic carbon (POC). Detritus represents that portion of the POC that is nonliving. Nearly all the TOC of natural waters consists of DOC and detritus, or dead POC. The processes of decomposition and consumption of TOC are important in reservoirs and can have a significant affect on water quality.

DOC and POC are decomposed by microbial organisms. This decomposition exerts an oxygen demand that can remove dissolved oxygen from the water column. During stratification, the metalimnion and hypolimnion become relatively isolated from sources of dissolved oxygen, and depletion can occur through organic decomposition. There are two major sources of this organic matter: allochthonous (i.e., produced outside the reservoir and transported in) and autochthonous (i.e., produced within the reservoir). Allochthonous organic carbon in small streams may be relatively refractory since it consists of decaying terrestrial vegetation that has washed or fallen into the stream. Larger rivers, however, may contribute substantial quantities of riverine algae or periphyton that decompose rapidly and can exert a significant oxygen demand. Autochthonous sources include dead plankton settling from the mixed layers and macrophyte fragments and periphyton transported from the littoral zone. These sources are also rapidly decomposed.

POC and DOC absorbed onto sediment particles may serve as a major food source for aquatic organisms. The majority of the phytoplankton production enters the detritus food web with a minority being grazed by primary consumers (USACE, 1987). While autochthonous production is important in reservoirs, typically as much as three times the autochthonous production may be contributed by allochthonous material (USACE, 1987).

2.4 BOTTOM WITHDRAWAL RESERVOIRS

Bottom withdrawal structures are located near the deepest part of a reservoir. Bottom withdrawal removes hypolimnetic water and nutrients and may promote movement of interflows or underflow into the hypolimnion. They release cold water from the deep portion of the reservoir; however, this water may be anoxic during periods of stratification. Bottom outlets can cause density interflows or underflows (e.g., flow laden with sediment or dissolved solids) through the reservoir and generally provide little or no direct control over release water quality.

3 MAINSTEM SYSTEM WATER QUALITY MONITORING

3.1 MAINSTEM SYSTEM RESERVOIRS

3.1.1 LONG-TERM, FIXED-STATION AMBIENT MONITORING

Long-term, fixed-station ambient water quality monitoring has occurred at the six Mainstem System reservoirs (i.e., Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, Big Bend, Fort Randall, and Gavins Point) for the past 30 years. Recent ambient monitoring conducted by the District at the Mainstem System reservoirs included monthly (i.e., May through September) water quality monitoring at a near-dam, deepwater site. At Garrison Reservoir, four additional long-term ambient sites were added in 2006. These sites included three reservoir deepwater locations (Beulah Bay, RM1412; Deepwater Bay, RM1445; and New Town, RM1481) and one inflow location (Missouri River near Williston, ND, RM1553). Water quality monitoring included field measurements and collection of water samples for analytical analysis. Field measurements included water transparency (i.e., Secchi depth) and measuring temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), chlorophyll *a*, and turbidity at 1-meter increments from the reservoir surface to the bottom. Near-surface and near-bottom grab samples were collected and delivered to the laboratory where they were analyzed for alkalinity, nitrate/nitrite, total ammonia, Kjeldahl nitrogen, total and dissolved phosphorus, ortho-phosphorus, suspended solids, total organic carbon, pesticides, and various metals. A near-surface grab sample was also collected in the epilimnion for analysis of chlorophyll *a* and phytoplankton taxa occurrence and relative abundance.

3.1.2 BACTERIA MONITORING AT SWIMMING BEACHES

The District has cooperated with the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ) to monitor bacteria levels present at swimming beaches at the Gavins Point project over the past 5 years. Five swimming beaches on Gavins Point Reservoir and one on Lake Yankton were monitored. Weekly grab samples were collected from May through September and analyzed for fecal coliform and *E. coli* bacteria. The bacteria monitoring was conducted to meet a 6-hour holding time for collected samples.

3.1.3 INTENSIVE WATER QUALITY SURVEYS

3.1.3.1 Fort Peck Reservoir

The District completed a 3-year intensive water quality survey at Fort Peck Reservoir in 2006. The monitoring objectives of the intensive survey was to collect water quality data to spatially describe water quality conditions present in Fort Peck Reservoir during the late spring and summer, and to collect information to facilitate the application of the CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model. As part of the intensive survey, six reservoir sites and three inflow sites were monitored. The six reservoir sites were located in deepwater areas on the Missouri River and Big Dry Creek Arms of Fort Peck Reservoir. The inflow sites were located on the Missouri River, Musselshell River, and Big Dry Creek and were meant to represent water quality conditions of water flowing into Fort Peck Reservoir. Monthly samples at the reservoir and inflow sites were collected from June through September.

Water quality monitoring at the reservoir sites included field measurements for depth profiling and water transparency, and collection of near-surface and near-bottom water samples for laboratory analysis. Monitoring at the inflow sites included field measurements and collection of a near-surface water sample for laboratory analysis. Reservoir depth profiles in 1-meter increments were recorded for temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, ORP, chlorophyll *a*, and turbidity. Field measurements

taken at the inflow sites included temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and turbidity. Near-surface and near-bottom grab samples were analyzed for alkalinity, nitrate/nitrite, total ammonia, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus, orthophosphorus, dissolved total phosphorus, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, total organic carbon, sulfate, iron (total and dissolved), and manganese (total and dissolved). The near-surface samples were also analyzed for chlorophyll *a* and phytoplankton taxa occurrence and relative abundance.

3.1.3.2 Oahe Reservoir

The District completed the second year of a planned 3-year intensive water quality survey at Oahe Reservoir in 2006. The monitoring objectives of the intensive survey were to collect water quality data to spatially describe water quality conditions present in the reservoir during the summer and to collect information to facilitate the application of the CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model. As part of the intensive surveys, seven reservoir sites and two inflow sites were monitored. The seven reservoir sites were relatively equally spaced in deepwater areas from Oahe Dam to near Mobridge, SD. The inflow sites were located on the Missouri and Cheyenne Rivers and were meant to represent water quality conditions of water flowing into Oahe Reservoir. Monthly samples at the reservoir and inflow sites were collected during June through September.

Water quality monitoring at the reservoir sites included field measurements for depth profiling and water transparency; and collection of near-surface and near-bottom water samples for laboratory analysis. Monitoring at the inflow sites included field measurements and collection of a near-surface water sample for laboratory analysis. Reservoir depth profiles in 1-meter increments were recorded for temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, ORP, chlorophyll *a*, and turbidity. Field measurements taken at the inflow sites included temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and turbidity. Near-surface and near-bottom grab samples were analyzed for alkalinity, nitrate/nitrite, total ammonia, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus, ortho-phosphorus, dissolved total phosphorus, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, total organic carbon, sulfate, iron (total and dissolved), and manganese (total and dissolved). The near-surface samples were also analyzed for chlorophyll *a* and phytoplankton taxa occurrence and relative abundance.

3.1.3.3 Fort Randall Reservoir

The District completed the first year of a planned 3-year intensive water quality survey at Fort Randall Reservoir in 2006. The monitoring objectives of the intensive survey were to collect water quality data to spatially describe water quality conditions present in the reservoir during the summer and to collect information to facilitate the application of the CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model. As part of the intensive surveys, seven reservoir sites and one inflow site were monitored. The seven reservoir sites were relatively equally spaced in deepwater areas from Fort Randall Dam to near Chamberlin, SD. The inflow site was located on the White River and was meant to represent water quality conditions of water flowing into Fort Randall Reservoir. Monthly samples at the reservoir and inflow sites were collected during June through September.

Water quality monitoring at the reservoir sites included field measurements for depth profiling and water transparency; and collection of near-surface and near-bottom water samples for laboratory analysis. Monitoring at the inflow site included field measurements and collection of a near-surface water sample for laboratory analysis. Reservoir depth profiles in 1-meter increments were recorded for temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, ORP, chlorophyll *a*, and turbidity. Field measurements taken at the inflow sites included temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and turbidity. Near-surface and near-bottom grab samples were analyzed for alkalinity, nitrate/nitrite, total ammonia, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus, ortho-phosphorus, dissolved total phosphorus, total suspended solids,

total dissolved solids, total organic carbon, sulfate, iron (total and dissolved), and manganese (total and dissolved). The near-surface samples were also analyzed for chlorophyll *a* and phytoplankton taxa occurrence and relative abundance.

3.2 MAINSTEM SYSTEM POWERPLANTS

As part of the operation of the Mainstem System powerplants, water is drawn from the intake structure of each dam and piped through the powerplant in a “raw water” supply line that is tapped for various uses. The “raw water” supply line is an open-ended, flow-through system (i.e., water is continually discharged). A monitoring station, that measures water quality conditions of water drawn from near the start of the “raw water” supply line, has been irregularly maintained at each of the powerplants over the past several years. Recent water quality monitoring has consisted of year-round, hourly measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, and conductivity through the use of a data-logger. Monthly grab samples (year-round) have also been collected and analyzed for alkalinity, nitrate/nitrite, total ammonia, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total and dissolved phosphorus, ortho-phosphorus, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, total organic carbon, sulfate, pesticides, and various metals. The rate of dam discharge when measurements and samples were taken was determined from powerplant records. The water quality conditions measured in the “raw water” supply lines of the mainstem powerplants are believed to represent the water quality conditions present in the reservoirs near the dam intakes and in the tailwaters (i.e., Missouri River) immediately downstream of the dam.

3.3 MISSOURI RIVER FROM FORT RANDALL DAM TO RULO, NEBRASKA

Since 2003, the District has cooperated with the State of Nebraska (NDEQ) to monitor ambient water quality conditions along the Missouri River from Fort Randall Dam to Rulo, Nebraska. Fixed-station monitoring has occurred at the following nine sites: Fort Randall Dam tailwaters; near Verdel, NE; Gavins Point Dam tailwaters; near Maskell, NE; near Ponca, NE; at Decatur, NE; at Omaha, NE; at Nebraska City, NE; and at Rulo, NE. Water quality monitoring consisted of collecting near-surface grab samples monthly from October through March and biweekly from April through September. When feasible, the grab samples were collected in the thalweg of the main channel. When boat operation was not feasible (e.g., winter ice conditions, staff unavailable, etc.), the grab samples were collected from the bank in an area of fast current. The collected grab samples were analyzed for alkalinity, nitrate/nitrite, total ammonia, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus, total suspended solids, total organic carbon, chemical oxygen demand, chloride, pesticides, and various metals. Field measurements taken at the time of sample collection included temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, and turbidity.

3.4 MAINSTEM SYSTEM ANCILLARY LAKES – LAKE YANKTON, LAKE POCASSE, AND LAKE AUDUBON

Lake Yankton, Lake Pocasse, and Lake Audubon are ancillary lakes to the Mainstem System reservoirs respectively at the Gavins Point, Oahe, and Garrison projects. Water quality monitoring at these three lakes has been sporadic in the past. The Omaha District initiated ambient water quality monitoring at the lakes in 2006 as part of a 3-year rotational monitoring cycle. However, low water conditions prevented boat access and therefore prevented water quality monitoring at Lake Pocasse. Monitoring included monthly sampling (May through September) at a near-dam deepwater location, and included field measurements for depth profiling and water transparency and collection of near-surface and near-bottom water samples for laboratory analysis. Depth profiles in 1-meter increments were taken for temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, ORP, turbidity, and chlorophyll *a*. Near-surface and near-bottom grab samples were analyzed for alkalinity, nitrate/nitrite, total ammonia, Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus, orthophosphorus, total suspended solids, total organic carbon, chlorophyll *a*, pesticides, and various metals.

4 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT METHODS

4.1 EXISTING WATER QUALITY (2002 THROUGH 2006)

For the purposes of this report, existing water quality is defined as water quality conditions that occurred during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). Water quality monitoring conducted during that period was used to describe existing water quality conditions.

4.1.1 STATISTICAL SUMMARY AND COMPARISON TO APPLICABLE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS CRITERIA

Statistical analyses were performed on the water quality monitoring data collected at the Mainstem System reservoirs (including inflow and outflow sites), powerplants, on the Missouri River, and at the mainstem ancillary lakes. Descriptive statistics were calculated to describe central tendencies and the range of observations in existing water quality. Monitoring results were compared to applicable water quality standards criteria established by the appropriate States pursuant to the Federal CWA. Tables were constructed that list the parameters measured; number of observations; and the mean, median, minimum, and maximum of the data collected. The constructed tables also list the water quality standards criteria applicable to the individual parameters and the frequency that these criteria were not met.

4.1.2 SPATIAL VARIATION IN WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS

4.1.2.1 Longitudinal Variation

4.1.2.1.1 Reservoir Contour Plots

Longitudinal contour plots were constructed when adequate depth-profile measurements were collected along the length of a reservoir. Adequate information was collected in 2006 to construct longitudinal contour plots at four Mainstem System reservoirs: Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, and Fort Randall. At these reservoirs longitudinal contour plots were constructed for water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity. The longitudinal contour plots were constructed using the "Hydrologic Information Plotting Program" included in the "Data Management and Analysis System for Lakes, Estuaries, and Rivers" (DASLER-X) software developed by HydroGeoLogic, Inc. (Hydrogeologic Inc., 2005).

4.1.2.1.2 Reservoir Box Plots

Longitudinal box plots were constructed when adequate measurements were collected along the length of a reservoir and significant variation was observed in the measurements. Adequate information was collected to construct longitudinal box plots of existing water quality conditions at four Mainstem System reservoirs: Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, and Fort Randall.

4.1.2.1.3 Lower Missouri River Box Plots

Longitudinal box plots were constructed for the lower Missouri River. The box plots were constructed from the water quality monitoring conducted in cooperation with the NDEQ during the period

2003 through 2006. The box plots orient and display the distribution of selected water quality parameters measured at the seven monitored sites from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska.

4.1.2.2 Vertical Variation in Lake Water Quality

Depending on their depth and bathymetry, lakes can experience thermally-induced density stratification in the summer. This can lead to significant vertical water quality variation if anoxic or near-anoxic conditions develop in the hypolimnion.

4.1.2.2.1 Summer Depth Profile Plots

Measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen depth profiles were plotted at the Mainstem System reservoirs and mainstem ancillary lakes. The plotted depth profiles were measured at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring location. Depth profiles measured in the months of July, August, and September over the past 5 years were plotted. The plots were reviewed to assess the occurrence of thermal stratification and hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen degradation.

4.1.2.2.2 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

The variation of selected parameters with depth was evaluated by comparing near-surface and near-bottom collected samples. The compared samples were collected at the near-dam, deepwater monitoring location over the past 5 years. The parameters compared included water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and various nutrients.

4.1.3 TEMPORAL VARIATION IN WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS

4.1.3.1 Time Series Plots of Water Temperatures Measured in the Missouri River Upstream and Downstream of the Mainstem Reservoirs

Annual seasonal time series plots of water temperatures measured in the Missouri River immediately upstream and downstream of the Mainstem System reservoirs were constructed to display temporal variation.

4.1.3.2 Time-Series Plots of Flow, Water Temperature, and Dissolved Oxygen of Water Discharged through the Mainstem System Dams

Time series plots were prepared for water quality conditions monitored at the Missouri River Mainstem powerplants during 2005 and 2006. Hourly water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and dam discharge were plotted semi-annually for the 2 years. Water temperature and dissolved oxygen plots represent monitoring of water drawn from the “raw water” supply line.

4.1.4 TROPHIC STATUS

A trophic state index (TSI) was calculated, as described by Carlson (1977). TSI values were determined from Secchi depth transparency, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll *a* measurements. Values for these three parameters were converted to an index number ranging from 0 to 100 according to the following equations:

$$\text{TSI}(\text{Secchi Depth}) = \text{TSI}(\text{SD}) = 10[6 - (\ln \text{SD} / \ln 2)]$$

$$\text{TSI}(\text{Chlorophyll } a) = \text{TSI}(\text{Chl}) = 10[6 - ((2.04 - 0.68 \ln \text{Chl}) / \ln 2)]$$

$$\text{TSI}(\text{Total Phosphorus}) = \text{TSI}(\text{TP}) = 10[6 - (\ln (48/\text{TP}) / \ln 2)]$$

Accurate TSI values from total phosphorus depend on the assumptions that phosphorus is the major limiting factor for algal growth and that the concentrations of all forms of phosphorus present are a function of algal biomass. Accurate TSI values from Secchi depth transparency depend on the assumption that water clarity is primarily limited by phytoplankton biomass. Carlson indicates that the chlorophyll TSI value may be a better indicator of a lake's trophic conditions during mid-summer when algal productivity is at its maximum, while the total phosphorus TSI value may be a better indicator in the spring and fall when algal biomass is below its potential maximum. Calculation of TSI values from data collected from a lake's epilimnion during summer stratification provide the best agreement between all of the index parameters and facilitate comparisons between lakes. Carlson states that care must be taken if a TSI average score is calculated from the three individual parameter TSI values. If significant differences exist between parameter TSI values, the calculated average value may not be indicative of the trophic condition estimated by the individual parameter values. With this consideration, a TSI average value [TSI(Avg)] calculated as the average of the three individually determined TSI values [i.e., TSI(SD), TSI(Chl), and TSI(TP)] is used by the District as an overall indicator of a reservoir's trophic state. The District uses the criteria defined in Table 4.1 for determining lake trophic status from TSI values.

Table 4.1. Lake trophic status based on calculated TSI values.

TSI	Trophic Condition
0-35	Oligotrophic
36-50	Mesotrophic
51-55	Moderately Eutrophic
56-65	Eutrophic
66-100	Hypereutrophic

4.1.5 MAINSTEM SYSTEM RESERVOIR PHYTOPLANKTON COMMUNITY

Assessment of the phytoplankton community was based on grab samples that were analyzed by a contract laboratory. Laboratory analyses consisted of identification of phytoplankton taxa to the lowest practical level and quantification of taxa biovolume. These results were used to determine the relative abundance of phytoplankton taxa at the division level based on the measured biovolumes.

4.1.6 ATTAINMENT OF WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

The attainment of water quality standards was assessed by determining the support of designated water quality beneficial uses. Where applicable water quality standards criteria existed, beneficial support was determined by the number of times the criteria were exceeded during the 5-year period of 2002 through 2006 based on water quality monitoring conducted by the District. The following water quality standards attainment ratings were defined: 1) Full Support (less than 10% of the observations exceed criteria), 2) Not Supported (greater than 20% of the observations exceed criteria), and 3) Threatened (10-20% of observations exceed criteria or greater 10% of the observations exceed criteria seasonally). It is noted that the "official" determination of whether water quality standards are being attained, pursuant to the Federal CWA, is identified by the States pursuant to their Section 305(b) and Section 303(d) assessments (See Table 1.3).

4.2 WATER QUALITY TRENDS

Surface water quality trends were assessed by evaluating water clarity (i.e. Secchi depth), total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a* and calculated TSI(Avg) values from monitoring results obtained at long-term, fixed-station ambient monitoring sites for the period 1980 to 2006.

5 MAINSTEM SYSTEM RESERVOIRS

5.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Mainstem System is comprised of six dams and reservoirs constructed by the Corps on the Missouri River and, where present, the free-flowing Missouri River downstream of the project dams. The six reservoirs impounded by the dams contain about 73.3 million acre-feet (MAF) of storage capacity and, at normal pool, an aggregate water surface area of about 1 million acres. The six dams and reservoirs in an upstream to downstream order are: Fort Peck Dam and Reservoir (Montana), Garrison Dam and Reservoir (North Dakota), Oahe Dam (South Dakota) and Oahe Reservoir (North and South Dakota), Big Bend Dam and Reservoir (South Dakota), Fort Randall Dam and Reservoir (South Dakota), and Gavins Point Dam and Reservoir (South Dakota and Nebraska). The water in storage at the all Mainstem System reservoirs at the end of 2006 (i.e., December 31, 2006) was 34.38 MAF, which is about 47 percent of the total Mainstem System storage volume. Drought conditions in the upper Missouri River Basin since 2000 have reduced the water stored in the upper three Mainstem System reservoirs to record low levels.

5.1.1 REGULATION OF THE MAINSTEM SYSTEM

The Mainstem System is a hydraulically and electrically integrated system that is regulated to obtain the optimum fulfillment of the multipurpose benefits for which the dams and reservoirs were authorized and constructed. The Congressionally authorized purposes of the Mainstem System are flood control, navigation, hydropower, water supply, water quality, irrigation, recreation, and fish and wildlife (including threatened and endangered species). The Mainstem System is operated under the guidelines described in the Missouri River Mainstem System Master Water Control Manual, (Master Manual) (USACE-RCC, 2004). The Master Manual details regulation for all authorized purposes as well as emergency regulation procedures in accordance with the authorized purposes.

Mainstem System regulation is, in many ways, a repetitive annual cycle that begins in late winter with the onset of snowmelt. The annual melting of mountain and plains snow packs along with spring and summer rainfall produces the annual runoff into the Mainstem System. In a typical year, mountain snow pack, plains snow pack, and rainfall events respectively contribute 50, 25, and 25% of the annual runoff to the Mainstem System. After reaching a peak, usually during July, the amount of water stored in the Mainstem System declines until late in the winter when the cycle begins anew. A similar pattern may be found in rates of releases from the Mainstem System, with the higher levels of flow from mid-March to late November, followed by low rates of winter discharge from late November until mid-March, after which the cycle repeats.

To maximize the service to all the authorized purposes, given the physical and authorization limitations of the Mainstem System, the total storage available in the Mainstem System is divided into four regulation zones that are applied to the individual reservoirs. These four regulation zones are: 1) Exclusive Flood Control Zone, 2) Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone, 3) Carryover Multiple Use Zone, and 4) Permanent Pool Zone.

5.1.1.1 Exclusive Flood Control Zone

Flood control is the only authorized purpose that requires empty space in the reservoirs to achieve the objective. A top zone in each Mainstem System reservoir is reserved for use to meet the flood control requirements. This storage space is used only for detention of extreme or unpredictable flood flows and is

evacuated as rapidly as downstream conditions permit, while still serving the overall flood control objective of protecting life and property. The Exclusive Flood Control Zone encompasses 4.7 MAF and represents the upper 6 percent of the total Mainstem System storage volume. This zone, from 73.3 MAF down to 68.7 MAF, is normally empty. The four largest reservoirs, Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, and Fort Randall, contain 97 percent of the total storage reserved for the Exclusive Flood Control Zone.

5.1.1.2 Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone

An upper “normal operating zone” is reserved annually for the capture and retention of runoff (normal and flood) and for annual multiple-purpose regulation of this impounded water. The Mainstem System storage capacity in this zone is 11.7 MAF and represents 16 percent of the total Mainstem System storage. This storage zone, which extends from 68.7 MAF down to 57.0 MAF, will normally be evacuated to the base of this zone by March 1 to provide adequate storage capacity for capturing runoff during the next flood season. On an annual basis, water will be impounded in this zone, as required to achieve the Mainstem System flood control purpose, and also be stored in the interest of general water conservation to serve all the other authorized purposes. The evacuation of water from the Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone is scheduled to maximize service to the authorized purposes that depend on water from the Mainstem System. Scheduling releases from this zone is limited by the flood control objective in that the evacuation must be completed by the beginning of the next flood season. This is normally accomplished as long as the evacuation is possible without contributing to serious downstream flooding. Evacuation is, therefore, accomplished mainly during the summer and fall because Missouri River ice formation and the potential for flooding from higher release rates limit release rates during the December through March period.

5.1.1.3 Carryover Multiple Use Zone

The Carryover Multiple Use Zone is the largest storage zone extending from 57.0 MAF down to 18.0 MAF and represents 53 percent of the total Mainstem System storage volume. Serving the authorized purposes during an extended drought is an important regulation objective of the Mainstem System. The Carryover Multiple Use Zone provides a storage reserve to support authorized purposes during drought conditions. Providing this storage is the primary reason the upper three reservoirs of the Mainstem System are so large compared to other Federal water resource projects. The Carryover Multiple Use Zone is often referred to as the “bank account” for water in the Mainstem System because of its role in supporting authorized purposes during critical dry periods when the storage in the Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone is exhausted. Only the reservoirs at Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, and Fort Randall have this storage as a designated storage zone. The three larger reservoirs (Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe) provide water to the Mainstem System during drought periods to provide for authorized purposes. The storage space assigned to this zone in Fort Randall Reservoir serves a different purpose. It is normally evacuated each year during the fall season to provide recapture space for upstream winter power releases. The recapture results in complete refill of Fort Randall Reservoir during the winter months. During drought periods, the three smaller projects (i.e., Fort Randall, Big Bend, and Gavins Point) reservoir levels are maintained at the same elevation they would be at if runoff conditions were normal.

5.1.1.4 Permanent Pool Zone

The Permanent Pool Zone is the bottom zone that is intended to be permanently filled with water. The zone provides for future sediment storage capacity and maintenance of minimum pool levels for power heads, irrigation diversions, water supply, recreation, water quality, and fish and wildlife. A drawdown into this zone is generally not scheduled except in unusual conditions. The Mainstem System

storage capacity in this storage zone is 18.0 MAF and represents 25 percent of the total storage volume. The Permanent Pool Zone extends from 18.0 MAF down to 0 MAF.

5.1.2 WATER CONTROL PLAN FOR THE MAINSTEM SYSTEM

Variations in runoff into the Mainstem System necessitates varied regulation plans to accommodate the multipurpose regulation objectives. The two primary high-risk flood seasons are the plains snowmelt and rainfall season extending from late February through April, and the mountain snowmelt and rainfall period extending from May through July. Also, the winter ice-jam flood period extends from mid-December through February. The highest average power generation period extends from mid-April to mid-October, with high peaking loads during the winter heating season (mid-December to mid-February) and the summer air conditioning season (mid-June to mid-August). The power needs during the winter are supplied primarily with Fort Peck and Garrison Dam releases and the peaking capacity of Oahe and Big Bend Dams. During the spring and summer period, releases are normally geared to navigation and flood control requirements, and primary power loads are supplied using the four lower dams. During the fall when power needs diminish, Fort Randall is normally drawn down to permit generation during the winter period when Oahe and Big Bend peaking-power releases refill the reservoir. The normal 8-month navigation season extends from April 1 through November 30, during which time Mainstem System releases are increased to meet downstream target flows in combination with downstream tributary inflows. Winter releases after the close of the navigation season are much lower and vary depending on the need to conserve or evacuate storage volumes, downstream ice conditions permitting. Releases and pool fluctuations for fish spawning management generally occur from April 1 through June. Two threatened and endangered bird species, piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*) and least tern (*Sterna antillarum*), nest on “sandbar” areas from early May through mid-August. Other factors may vary widely from year to year, such as the amount of water-in-storage and the magnitude and distribution of inflow received during the coming year. All these factors will affect the timing and magnitude of Mainstem System releases. The gain or loss in the water stored at each reservoir must also be considered in scheduling the amount of water transferred between reservoirs to achieve the desired storage levels and to generate power. These items are continually reviewed as they occur and are appraised with respect to the expected range of regulation.

5.1.3 OCCURRENCE OF “TWO-STORY” FISHERIES

Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs maintain “two-story” fisheries that are comprised of warmwater and coldwater species. The ability of the reservoirs to maintain “two-story” fisheries is due to their thermal stratification in the summer into a colder bottom region and a warmer surface region. Warmwater species present in the reservoirs that are recreationally important include walleye (*Sander vitreus*), sauger (*Sander canadensis*), northern pike (*Esox lucius*), smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*), catfish (*Ictalurus spp.*), and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*). Coldwater species of recreational importance are the Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*). Chinook salmon are maintained in all three reservoirs through regular stocking, and lake trout are present in Fort Peck Reservoir. Other coldwater species present are rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) in Oahe and Garrison Reservoirs, and lake cisco (*Coregonus artedii*) in Fort Peck Reservoir. Both these species are important forage fish that are utilized extensively by all recreational species in the respective reservoirs. Maintaining healthy populations of these coldwater forage fish are important to maintaining the recreational fisheries in the three reservoirs.

The occurrence of coldwater habitat in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs is directly dependent on each reservoir’s annual thermal regime. Early in the winter ice-cover period, the entire reservoir volume will be supportive of coldwater habitat. As the winter ice-cover period continues, lower dissolved oxygen concentrations will likely occur near the bottom as organic matter decomposes and

reservoir mixing is prevented by ice cover. As dissolved oxygen concentrations in the near-bottom water fall below 5 mg/l, coldwater habitat will not be supported. During the spring isothermal period, water temperatures and dissolved oxygen levels in the entire reservoir volume will be supportive of coldwater habitat. During the early-summer warming period, the epilimnion will become non-supportive of coldwater habitat. During mid-summer when the reservoirs are experiencing maximum thermal stratification, water temperatures will only be supportive of coldwater habitat in the hypolimnion. Theoretically, coldwater habitat should remain stable during this period unless degradation of dissolved oxygen concentrations near the reservoir bottom becomes non-supportive of coldwater habitat. The most crucial period for the support of coldwater habitat in the three reservoirs is when they begin to cool in late summer. As the thermocline moves deeper, the volume of the coldwater hypolimnion will continue to decrease while the expanding epilimnion may not yet be cold enough to be supportive of coldwater habitat. At the same time, hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentrations are approaching their maximum degradation and low dissolved oxygen levels are moving upward from the reservoir bottom and pinching off coldwater habitat from below. This situation will continue to worsen until the epilimnion cools enough to be supportive of coldwater habitat. When fall turnover occurs, dissolved oxygen concentrations at all depths will be near saturation and supportive of coldwater habitat. However, depending on the conditions of the reservoir, the isothermal temperature at the beginning of fall turnover may not be supportive of all coldwater habitats. This situation will continue to occur until the isothermal temperature cools to suitable temperatures, at which time the entire reservoir volume will be supportive of coldwater habitat.

5.2 FORT PECK

5.2.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5.2.1.1 Project Overview

Fort Peck Dam is located on the Missouri River at river mile (RM) 1771.5 in northeastern Montana, 17 miles southeast of Glasgow, Montana. The closing of Fort Peck Dam in 1937 resulted in the formation of Fort Peck Reservoir (Fort Peck Lake). When full, the reservoir is 134 miles long, covers 246,000 acres, and has 1,520 miles of shoreline. Table 5.1 summarizes how the surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Fort Peck Reservoir vary with pool elevations. Due to ongoing drought conditions, the reservoir, as of the end of December 2006, was more than 34 feet below the pool elevation of 2234 ft-msl, which is the top of the Carryover Multiple Use Zone. Major inflows to the reservoir are the Missouri River, Musselshell River, and Big Dry Creek. Water discharged through Fort Peck Dam for power production is withdrawn from Fort Peck Reservoir at elevation 2095 ft-msl – approximately 65 feet above the reservoir bottom.

Fort Peck was authorized for the purposes of flood control, recreation, fish and wildlife, hydroelectric power production, water supply, water quality, navigation, and irrigation. The powerplant has five generating units that produce an annual average 1.09 million megawatt hours of electricity, valued in excess of \$10 million in revenue. Habitat for one endangered species, pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*), occurs within the project area. The reservoir is used as a water supply by the town of Fort Peck, Montana and by numerous individual cabins in the area. Fort Peck Reservoir is an important recreational resource and a major visitor destination in Montana.

5.2.1.2 Water Quality Standards Classifications and Section 303(d) Listings

5.2.1.2.1 Fort Peck Reservoir

The State of Montana has assigned Fort Peck Reservoir a B-3 classification in the State's water quality standards. As such, the reservoir is to be maintained suitable for drinking, culinary, and food processing purposes, after conventional treatment; bathing, swimming, and recreation; growth and

Table 5.1. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Fort Peck Reservoir at different pool elevations.

Pool Elevation (Feet-msl)	Surface Area (Acres)	Volume (Acre-Feet)	Mean Depth (Feet)*	Retention Time (Years)**
2250	245,898	18,687,731	76.0	2.74
2245	238,094	17,474,394	73.4	2.56
2240	226,691	16,309,409	71.9	2.39
2235	214,031	15,208,569	71.1	2.23
2230	200,563	14,169,579	70.6	2.07
2225	187,984	13,202,148	70.2	1.93
2220	179,404	12,236,952	68.2	1.79
2215	172,112	11,407,020	66.3	1.67
2210	164,592	10,565,907	64.2	1.55
2205	157,232	9,761,001	62.1	1.43
2200	149,653	8,993,723	60.1	1.32
2195	142,016	8,264,516	58.2	1.21
2190	134,099	7,573,749	56.5	1.11
2185	126,382	6,923,345	54.8	1.01
2180	119,809	6,309,129	52.7	0.92
2175	113,166	5,725,330	50.6	0.84
2170	104,794	5,178,658	49.4	0.76
2165	96,624	4,677,236	48.4	0.68
2160	90,348	4,211,053	46.6	0.62

Average Annual Inflow (1967 through 2006) = 7.32 Million Acre-Feet

Average Annual Outflow: (1967 through 2006) = 6.83 Million Acre-Feet

* Mean Depth = Volume ÷ Surface Area.

** Retention Time = Volume ÷ Average Annual Outflow.

Note: Exclusive Flood Control Zone (elev. 2250-2246 ft-msl), Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone (elev. 2246-2234 ft-msl), Carryover Multiple Use Zone (elev. 2234-2160 ft-msl), and Permanent Pool Zone (elev. 2160-2030 ft-msl).

propagation of non-salmonid fishes and associated aquatic life, waterfowl, and furbearers; and agricultural and industrial water supply. Pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Federal CWA, Montana has placed Fort Peck Reservoir on the State's list of impaired waters citing impairment to the uses of drinking water supply and primary contact recreation due to the pollutants of lead, mercury, metals, and noxious aquatic plants. The identified sources of these pollutants are agriculture, resource extraction, abandoned mining, atmospheric deposition, debris, and bottom deposits. The State of Montana has also issued a fish consumption advisory for the reservoir due to mercury concerns.

5.2.1.2.2 Missouri River Downstream of Fort Peck Dam

The Missouri River downstream of Fort Peck Dam has been assigned, in the State of Montana's water quality standards, a B-2 classification from the dam to the confluence of the Milk River, and a B-3 classification from the Milk River confluence to the Montana/North Dakota state line. Both B-2 and B-3 waters are to be maintained suitable for drinking, culinary and food processing purposes, after conventional treatment; bathing, swimming and recreation; waterfowl and furbearers; and agricultural and industrial water supply. In addition, B-2 waters are to maintain growth and marginal propagation of salmonid fishes and associated aquatic life, and B-3 waters are to maintain growth and propagation of non-salmonid fishes and associated aquatic life. The river is used as a water supply by several towns along the reach. Pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Federal CWA, Montana has placed the Missouri River downstream of Fort Peck Dam on the State's list of impaired waters citing impairment to the uses of

aquatic life support, coldwater fishery – trout, and warmwater fishery due to the stressors of flow alteration, riparian degradation, thermal modifications, and other habitat alterations. The identified probable sources of these stressors are flow regulation/modification and hydromodification. No fish consumption advisory has been issued for the Missouri River downstream of Fort Peck Dam by the State of Montana.

5.2.1.3 Water Quality for the Enhancement of Pallid Sturgeon Populations in the Missouri River Downstream of Fort Peck Dam

One of the few remaining populations of pallid sturgeon occurs in the Missouri River between Fort Peck Dam and the headwaters of Garrison Reservoir. Individuals in this population also inhabit the lower Yellowstone River. As such, this reach of the Missouri River has been identified as a priority recovery area for the pallid sturgeon (USFWS, 1993). It is hypothesized that the building and operation of Fort Peck Dam and Reservoir have adversely impacted the pallid sturgeon in this reach of the Missouri River by regulating flows, lowering water temperatures, reducing sediment and nutrient transport, and increasing water clarity.

Historically, the lower Missouri River in Montana was a turbid, warmwater environment with seasonally fluctuating flows. The sediment and turbidity of the water through these cycles contributed significantly to the evolution of the pallid sturgeon. The fish adapted to highly turbid and low visibility environments by physiologically evolving to enhance their ability to capture prey and avoid capture as juveniles and larvae in this low visibility environment. It is also believed that the pallid sturgeon adapted by developing spawning cues based on historical conditions in the river. The fish requires a spawning cue of suitable magnitude, duration, and timing to complete this life cycle element. It is believed that increasing flow and water temperature in the late spring is a primary factor for pallid sturgeon to initiate spawning.

Water temperature is believed to be a controlling factor on the pallid sturgeon in this reach of the Missouri River in regards to spawning cues and larval survival during the summer. Because Fort Peck Dam has a deepwater withdrawal from the reservoir, water temperature in the Missouri River downstream of the dam are appreciably colder than “pre-dam” conditions. A water temperature of around 18°C (64.4°F) is believed necessary to initiate a spawning response in pallid sturgeon. Additionally, a dramatic decline in water temperatures after spawning can affect larval pallid sturgeon development and likely adversely affect the production and availability of suitable forage (i.e., plankton and other invertebrate species) for the juvenile pallid sturgeon throughout the summer. Low water temperatures may induce mortality in young pallid sturgeon. With this in mind, a late-spring/early-summer water temperature of 18°C in the Missouri River at Frazer Rapids (approximately 25 miles downstream of Fort Peck Dam) has been identified as critical for pallid sturgeon spawning and recruitment in this reach of the river.

Fort Peck Reservoir is trapping sediment that historically moved down the Missouri River through the reach downstream of the dam. It is also believed that the current colder water temperatures in the river are likely suppressing production of plankton and other invertebrate organisms that contribute to turbidity of the water. The resulting clearer water is believed to adversely affect young pallid sturgeon by making them more vulnerable to sight-feeding predators and increasing competition for food by sight-adapted predators. In addition, adult fish may be adversely affected by the increased ability of prey to avoid capture in clearer water.

5.2.1.4 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at the Fort Peck Project since the late 1970's. Water quality monitoring locations have included sites on the reservoir and on the inflow to and outflow

from the reservoir. A 3-year intensive water quality survey was completed at the Fort Peck Project in 2006, and the findings of the intensive survey are available in the separate report, “Water Quality Conditions Monitored at the Corps’ Fort Peck Project in Montana during the 3-Year period 2004 through 2006” (USACE, 2007b). Figure 5.1 shows the location of sites at the Fort Peck Project that have been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). The near-dam location (i.e., site FTPLK1772A) has been continuously monitored since 1980.

5.2.2 WATER QUALITY IN FORT PECK RESERVOIR

5.2.2.1 Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006)

5.2.2.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Water quality conditions that were monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, and FTPLKBDCA02 from May through September during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006 are summarized in Plates 1 through 6. A review of these results found no significant water quality concerns. On a few occasions measured dissolved oxygen concentrations were below the water quality standards criterion of 5 mg/l for the protection of Class B-3 warmwater aquatic life. The measured low dissolved oxygen concentrations occurred in the hypolimnion near the reservoir bottom during the later part of the summer thermal stratification period. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured was 2.2 mg/l, and occurred at site FTPLK1789DW on August 30, 2006.

5.2.2.1.2 Summer Thermal Stratification

5.2.2.1.2.1 *2006 Monthly Longitudinal Temperature Contour Plots*

Summer thermal stratification of Fort Peck Reservoir during 2006 is described by the monthly longitudinal temperature contour plots based on depth-profile temperature measurements taken in June, July, August, and October (Plates 7-10). The contour plots were constructed along two longitudinal axes; the Missouri River mainstem arm and the Big Dry Creek arm. As seen in Plates 7 through 10, temperatures in Fort Peck Reservoir vary longitudinally from the dam to the reservoirs upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. The near-surface water in the upper reaches of the reservoir warms up sooner in the spring than the near-surface water near the dam (Plate 7). By mid-summer a strong thermocline becomes established in the lower reaches of the reservoir, and the near-surface waters of the entire reservoir above the thermocline are a fairly uniform temperature (Plates 8 and 9). As the near-surface waters of the reservoir cool in the late summer, the thermocline is pushed deeper and these wind-mixed upper waters are fairly uniform in temperature (Plate 10). The vertical variation in temperature is most prevalent in the deeper area of the reservoir towards the dam where a strong thermocline becomes established during the summer. The shallower upper reaches of Fort Peck Reservoir do not exhibit much vertical variation of temperature during mid to late summer as wind action allows for complete mixing of the water column.

5.2.2.1.2.2 *Near-Dam Temperature Depth-Profile Plots*

Existing summer thermal stratification of Fort Peck Reservoir at the deep water area near the dam is described by the depth-profile temperature plots measured over the past 5 years. Depth-profile temperature plots measured during the month of July, August, and September were compiled (Plate 11). The plotted depth-profile measurements indicate that a significant temperature-depth gradient occurs in Fort Peck Reservoir in the near-dam lacustrine area during the summer, and a thermocline becomes established at a depth of about 20 meters (Plate 11).




Figure 5.1. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Fort Peck Project during the period 2002 through 2006.

5.2.2.1.3 Summer Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

5.2.2.1.3.1 2006 Monthly Longitudinal Dissolved Oxygen Contour Plots

Summer dissolved oxygen conditions in Fort Peck Reservoir during 2006 are described by the monthly longitudinal dissolved oxygen contour plots based on depth-profile temperature measurements taken in June, July, August, and October (Plates 12-15). The contour plots were constructed along two longitudinal axes; the Missouri River mainstem arm and the Big Dry Creek Arm. As seen in Plates 12 through 15, dissolved oxygen conditions in Fort Peck Reservoir vary longitudinally from the dam to the reservoirs upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. Dissolved oxygen levels below 5 mg/l first appeared near the reservoir bottom in the middle reaches of the Missouri River Arm in August (Plates 14). The lowest dissolved oxygen concentrations remained in this area of the reservoir through fall turnover (Plates 15). Near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations near the dam remained above 5 mg/l. The earlier occurrence of low dissolved oxygen concentrations in the near-bottom water of the middle reaches of Fort Peck Reservoir is attributed to the increased allochthonous organic loading in the transition zone of the reservoir and the lesser hypolimnetic volume available for assimilation of the oxygen demand. As this material decomposes, a “pool” of water with low dissolved oxygen levels accumulates near the bottom in this area of the reservoir. Decomposition of autochthonous organic matter also occurs in the lacustrine zone and results in dissolved oxygen degradation as the summer progresses, although at a slower rate than what occurs in the transition zone. The recovery of near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations to saturation levels takes longer in the lacustrine zone nearer the dam because of the time needed for thermal stratification to breakdown and mixing within the water column to occur in the deeper water.

5.2.2.1.3.2 Near-Dam Dissolved Oxygen Depth-Profile Plots

Existing summer dissolved oxygen conditions in Fort Peck Reservoir at the deep water area near the dam is described by the depth-profile dissolved oxygen plots measured over the past 5 years. Depth-profile dissolved oxygen plots measured during the month of July, August, and September were compiled (Plate 16). Dissolved oxygen levels did not exhibit a large gradient with depth and tended toward an orthograde to slight clinograde vertical distribution (Plate 16). During the period of 2002 through 2006, dissolved oxygen concentrations in the hypolimnion generally remained at or above 5 mg/l through the summer (Plate 16). One dissolved oxygen measurement below 5 mg/l (i.e., 4.2 mg/l) occurred near the reservoir bottom from a profile measured on September 16, 2002.

5.2.2.1.4 Water Clarity

5.2.2.1.4.1 Secchi Transparency

Figure 5.2 displays a box plot of the Secchi depth transparencies measured at the six in-reservoir monitoring sites during the period 2004 through 2006 (note: the six monitoring sites are oriented in an upstream to downstream direction along the x-axis for both arms of the reservoir). Secchi depth transparency increased in a downstream direction from site FTPLK1805DW to site FTPLK1772A in the Missouri River Arm and from site FTPLKBDCA02 to site FTPLKBDCA01 in the Big Dry Creek Arm. Downstream of site FTPLK1789DW in the Missouri River Arm, Secchi depth transparency did not show significant longitudinal variation. Under the conditions that were monitored during the 2004 to 2006 period, it appears that sites FTPLK1805DW, FTPLK1789DW, and FTPLKBDCA02 were in the vicinity of the boundary between the reservoir’s transition and lacustrine zones.




Figure 5.2. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Fort Peck Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction for both arms of the reservoir.)

5.2.2.1.4.2 Turbidity

Turbidity is an expression of the optical property that causes light to be scattered and absorbed rather than transmitted with no change in direction or flux level. Turbidity in water is caused by suspended and colloidal matter such as clay, silt, finely divided organic and inorganic matter, plankton, and other microscopic organisms. Given the low chlorophyll *a* concentrations monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir (Plates 1-6), turbidity in the reservoir appears to be largely due to suspended inorganic material. Monthly (i.e., June, July, August, and October) longitudinal contour plots were prepared from the depth-profile turbidity measurements taken at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPNFMORR1, FTPLKBDCA01, and FTPLKBDCA02 during 2006 (Plates 17 through 20). As seen in Plates 17 through 20, turbidity levels in Fort Peck Reservoir vary longitudinally from the dam to reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. Turbidity levels are noticeably higher in the upper reaches of the Missouri River Arm of the reservoir as compared to the area near the dam. This is attributed to the turbid conditions of the inflowing Missouri River.

5.2.2.1.5 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

Near-surface and near-bottom water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir during the summer over the past 3 years at the near-dam, deepwater area (i.e., site FTPLK1772A) were compared. Near-surface samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir surface, and near bottom-samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir bottom. Box plots were used to display the distribution of the collected near-surface and near-bottom measurements for the following parameters: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon (Plate 21). Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent surface and bottom box plots for a parameter were taken to indicate a significant difference between the measurements. The only parameter that significantly varied between the surface and bottom was water temperature (Plate 21).

5.2.2.1.6 Reservoir Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Fort Peck Reservoir were calculated from monitoring data collected during the past 3 years (Table 5.2). The calculated TSI values indicate that the region of the reservoir represented by the monitored sites is in a mesotrophic state.

Table 5.2. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Fort Peck Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during period 2004 through 2006.

Monitoring Site	Mean – TSI (Secchi Depth)	Mean – TSI (Total Phos.)	Mean – TSI (Chlorophyll)	Mean – TSI (Average)
FTPLK1772A	43	53	41	46
FTPLK1789DW	45	54	41	46
FTPLK1805DW	50	56	45	50
FTPLKBDCA02	47	53	42	47

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

5.2.2.1.7 Phytoplankton Community

Phytoplankton grab samples were collected from Fort Peck Reservoir at four sites (i.e., FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, and FTPLKBDCA02) during the spring and summer of the 4-year period 2003 through 2006 (Plates 22-25). The following seven taxonomic divisions were represented by taxa collected in the phytoplankton samples: Bacillariophyta (Diatoms), Chlorophyta (Green Algae), Chrysophyta (Golden Algae), Cryptophyta (Cryptomonad Algae), Cyanobacteria (Blue-Green Algae), Pyrrophyta (Dinoflagellate Algae), and Euglenophyta (Euglenoid Algae). The general prevalence of these taxonomic divisions in the reservoir, based on taxa occurrence, were Bacillariophyta > Chlorophyta/Cryptophyta/Cyanobacteria/Pyrrophyta/ > Chrysophyta > Euglenophyta. The diatoms were generally the most abundant algae throughout the entire sampling period based on percent composition (Plates 22-25). The Shannon-Weaver genera diversity indices calculated for the 47 phytoplankton samples collected at the four sites ranged from 0.87 to 2.31 and averaged 1.43 at site FTPLK1772A, 1.74 at site FTPLK1789DW, 1.87 at site FTOLK1805DW, and 1.55 at site FTPLKBDCA02. Dominant phytoplankton species occurring in the 15 samples collected at site FTPLK1772A included the Bacillariophyta *Fragilaria spp.* (9 occasions), *Asterionella spp.* (8 occasions), *Stephanodiscus spp.* (6 occasions), *Cyclotella spp.* (4 occasions), *Aulacoseira spp.* (3 occasions), and *Synedra spp.* (2 occasions); Cryptophyta *Rhodomonas spp.* (2 occasions); Pyrrophyta *Peridinium spp.* (2 occasions) and *Ceratium spp.* (2 occasion); and Cyanobacteria *Aphanocapsa spp.* (1 occasion) and *Anabaena spp.* (1 occasion) (Plate 26). No detectable concentrations of the Cyanobacteria microcystins toxin were monitored at the four sites during 2005 or 2006.

5.2.2.2 Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1980 to 2006 were determined for Fort Peck Reservoir for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the reservoir during the months of May through October at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site FTPLK1772A). Plate 27 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. For the assessment period, it appears that Fort Peck Reservoir exhibited slightly decreasing transparency (i.e., decreasing Secchi depth) and slightly increasing levels of total phosphorus. There was no observed trend in

chlorophyll *a* levels. Over the 27-year period, the reservoir has generally remained in a mesotrophic state. However, there appears to be a slight trend in the calculated TSI values that indicate the reservoir may be moving towards a moderately eutrophic condition (Plate 27).

5.2.3 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS OF THE MISSOURI RIVER INFLOW TO FORT PECK RESERVOIR

5.2.3.1 Statistical Summary and Water Quality Standards Attainment

The water quality conditions that were monitored in the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) monthly from May through September during the 3-year period 2004 through 2006 are summarized in Plate 28. A review of these results indicated no major water quality concerns. However, it is noted that very high levels of total iron and manganese were monitored. This is believed to be a natural condition associated with the geology and soils of the region.

5.2.3.2 Missouri River Inflow Nutrient Flux Conditions

Nutrient flux rates for the Missouri River inflow to Fort Peck Reservoir over the last 3 years were calculated based on water quality samples collected near Landusky, Montana (i.e. site FTPNFMORR1) and the estimated instantaneous flow conditions at the time of sample collection (Table 5.3). The maximum nutrient flux rates are attributed to greater nonpoint source nutrient loadings associated with runoff conditions.

Table 5.3. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) during May through September over the 3-year period 2004 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
No. of Obs.	11	11	11	11	10	11
Mean	0.028	0.168	0.014	0.084	0.006	0.569
Median	0.027	0.105	0.001	0.019	0.005	0.457
Minimum	0.001	0.035	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.296
Maximum	0.092	0.795	0.047	0.553	0.016	1.140

Note1: Non-detect values set to 1/2 the detection limit for flux calculations.

Note2: Statistics of Missouri River flows used for flux calculations were: mean = 7,059 cfs, median = 6,200 cfs, minimum = 4,040 cfs, and maximum = 12,200 cfs.

5.2.3.3 Continuous Water Temperature Monitoring of the Missouri River at the USGS Gaging Station near Landusky, Montana

Through an agreement with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), a water temperature monitoring probe was added to the USGS's gage on the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1). Beginning in October 2004, hourly water temperature measurements were recorded at the site. Plates 29 and 30, respectively, plot mean daily water temperature and river discharge determined for 2005 and 2006.

5.2.4 WATER QUALITY AT THE FORT PECK POWERPLANT

5.2.4.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 31 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored from water discharged through Fort Peck Dam during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006. A review of these results indicated only one possible water quality concern regarding dissolved oxygen. The 1-day dissolved oxygen minimum criterion of 8.0 mg/l for the protection of coldwater B-2 early life stages was not met for 23% of the dissolved oxygen measurements. The 8.0 mg/l criterion is a water column concentration recommended to achieve an inter-gravel dissolved oxygen concentrations of 5.0 mg/l. For species that have early life stages exposed directly to the water column, the criterion is 5.0 mg/l. No dissolved oxygen measurements were below 5.0 mg/l. The B-2 classification of the Missouri River downstream of Fort Peck Dam only extends to the confluence of the Milk River, a distance of approximately 10 miles. Given the coldwater species and recruitment present, the 5.0 mg/l water column dissolved criterion may be appropriate for this reach. Also, the dissolved oxygen measurements below 8.0 mg/l tended to occur in later summer when the effects on early life stages are likely to be reduced. Therefore, the observed dissolved oxygen measurements below 8.0 mg/l are not believed to be a significant water quality concern at this time.

5.2.4.2 Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, and Dam Discharge Time-Series Plots

Semiannual time-series plots for temperature, dissolved oxygen, and dam discharge monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006 were constructed. Water temperatures showed seasonal warming and cooling through each calendar year (Plates 32 - 37). Dissolved oxygen levels remained relatively high and stable during the winter, steadily declined through the spring and summer, and steadily increased during the fall (Plates 38 - 43). The lowest dissolved oxygen levels occurred during the late summer/early fall period. The higher winter, declining spring, and increasing fall dissolved oxygen concentrations are attributed to decreasing dissolved oxygen solubility with warmer water temperatures. The decreasing dissolved oxygen in the July to September period is attributed to ongoing degradation of dissolved oxygen in the lower hypolimnion as the summer progressed. Water is withdrawn from Fort Peck Reservoir into the dam's power tunnels approximately 65 feet above the reservoir bottom. There appeared to be little correlation between discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 32 - 43).

5.2.4.3 Comparison of Monitored Inflow and Outflow Temperatures of the Missouri River at Fort Peck Reservoir

Plates 44 and 45, respectively, plot the mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (site FTPNFMORR1) and the Fort Peck Dam powerplant (site FTPPP1) for 2005 and 2006. Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Fort Peck Reservoir are generally warmer than the outflow temperatures of Fort Peck Dam during the period of March through August (Plates 44 and 45). Outflow temperatures of the Fort Peck Dam discharge are generally warmer than the inflow temperatures of the Missouri River during the period of September through February (Plates 44 and 45). A maximum temperature difference occurs in the summer when the Missouri River inflow temperature is about 10°C warmer than the Fort Peck Dam outflow temperature.

5.2.5 WATER QUALITY IN THE MISSOURI RIVER DOWNSTREAM FROM FORT PECK DAM

Water temperatures have been monitored in the Missouri River downstream of Fort Peck Dam over the past several years as part of a multi-agency effort to study the pallid sturgeon population in the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers. Two sites on the Missouri River that have been monitored by the

USGS under this effort are the Fort Peck Dam tailwaters (i.e., approximately 5 miles downstream of Fort Peck Dam) and Frazer Rapids (approximately 25 miles downstream of Fort Peck Dam).

The water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck Dam powerplant during the period 2004 through 2006 were compared to the Missouri River water temperatures monitored by the USGS at their tailwaters and Frazer Rapids sites. Plates 46, 47, and 48, respectively, plot mean daily water temperatures monitored at the three sites and the mean daily discharge of Fort Peck Dam from May through October during 2004, 2005, and 2006. During the 3 years, water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck Dam powerplant from June through August were generally 2°C cooler than the water temperatures monitored in the Missouri River at the Fort Peck Dam tailwaters site, and 4°C cooler than the water temperatures monitored in the Missouri River at Frazer Rapids (Plates 46 - 48). During early to mid-September of each year, water temperatures monitored at the three sites were somewhat similar. In early September the water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck Dam powerplant exhibited pronounced warming. This is attributed to the cooling and downward expansion of the epilimnion in Fort Peck Reservoir as “fall turnover” of the reservoir approached. It appears that in early September the downward expanding epilimnion intersected with the upper reaches of “withdrawal zone” of the powerplant intake. This resulted in warmer epilimnetic water being captured in the reservoir and discharged through Fort Peck Dam. During late-September to early October, water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant were generally warmer than those monitored in the Missouri River downstream of Fort Peck Dam. This is attributed to the slower heat loss from Fort Peck Reservoir than the Missouri River in early fall. Warmer water from the epilimnion of Fort Peck Reservoir is discharged through Fort Peck Dam that cools as it moves down the Missouri River. It is during this time period that the relationship of warmer water temperatures occurring in the Missouri River at Frazer Rapids and cooler water temperatures occurring at the Fort Peck Dam powerplant reverses (Plates 46 - 48).

5.3 GARRISON

5.3.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5.3.1.1 Project Overview

Garrison Dam is located in central North Dakota on the Missouri River at RM 1389.9, about 75 miles northwest of Bismarck, North Dakota and 11 miles south of the town of Garrison, North Dakota. Construction of the project began in 1946, and closure of Garrison Dam in 1953 resulted in the formation of Garrison Reservoir (Lake Sakakawea), which is the largest Corps reservoir in the United States. When full, the reservoir is 178 miles long, up to 6 miles wide, and has 1,884 miles of shoreline. The reservoir contains almost a third of the total storage capacity of the Mainstem System, nearly 24 million acre-ft (MAF). Table 5.4 summarizes how the surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Garrison Reservoir vary with pool elevations. Due to ongoing drought conditions, the reservoir at the end of 2006 (i.e., December 2006) was about 30 feet below the pool elevation of 1837.5 ft-msl, which is the top of the Carryover Multiple Use Zone. Major inflows to the reservoir are the Missouri River, Yellowstone River, and Little Missouri River. Water discharged through Garrison Dam for power production is withdrawn from Garrison Reservoir at elevation 1672 ft-msl, approximately 2 feet above the reservoir bottom.

The reservoir and dam are authorized for the purposes of flood control, recreation, fish and wildlife, hydroelectric power production, water supply, water quality, navigation, and irrigation. The powerplant has five generating units that produce an annual average 2.32 million megawatt hours of electricity, valued in excess of \$25 million in revenue. Habitat for two endangered species, pallid sturgeon and interior least tern, and one threatened species, piping plover, occurs within the project area. The reservoir is used as a water supply by some individual cabins and by the towns of Four Bears,

Table 5.4. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Garrison Reservoir at different pool elevations.

Elevation (Feet-msl)	Surface Area (Acres)	Volume (Acre-Feet)	Mean Depth (Feet)*	Retention Time (Years)**
1855	384,480	24,203,180	63.0	1.54
1850	364,265	22,331,620	61.3	1.42
1845	344,460	20,558,360	59.7	1.31
1840	320,600	18,893,560	58.9	1.20
1835	296,210	17,355,220	58.6	1.10
1830	280,520	15,916,490	56.7	1.01
1825	263,525	14,556,980	55.2	0.93
1820	249,665	13,275,410	53.2	0.85
1815	235,600	12,061,430	51.2	0.77
1810	219,955	10,921,980	49.7	0.70
1805	204,453	9,861,138	48.2	0.63
1800	188,998	8,877,219	47.0	0.57
1795	173,070	7,973,682	46.1	0.51
1790	161,295	7,139,184	44.3	0.45
1785	148,759	6,364,791	42.8	0.41
1780	138,809	5,646,736	40.7	0.36
1775	128,261	4,979,890	38.8	0.32

Average Annual Inflow (1967 through 2006) = 16.43 Million Acre-Feet

Average Annual Outflow: (1967 through 2006) = 15.71 Million Acre-Feet

* Mean Depth = Volume ÷ Surface Area.

** Retention Time = Volume ÷ Average Annual Outflow.

Note: Exclusive Flood Control Zone (elev. 1854-1850 ft-msl), Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone (elev. 1850-1837.5 ft-msl), Carryover Multiple Use Zone (elev. 1837.5-1775 ft-msl), and Permanent Pool Zone (elev. 1775-1670 ft-msl).

Mandaree, Park City, Parshall, Riverdale, Trenton, Twin Buttes, and Williston, North Dakota. Garrison Reservoir is an important recreational resource and a major visitor destination in North Dakota.

5.3.1.2 Water Quality Standards Classifications and Section 303(d) Listings

5.3.1.2.1 Garrison Reservoir

Pursuant to the Federal CWA, the State of North Dakota has designated Garrison Reservoir as a Class 1 lake. As such, the reservoir is to be suitable for the propagation and/or protection of a coldwater fishery (i.e., salmonid fishes and associated aquatic biota); swimming, boating, and other water recreation; irrigation; stock watering; wildlife; and for municipal or domestic use after appropriate treatment. Also pursuant to the Federal CWA, the State of North Dakota has placed the reservoir on the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters citing impairment to the uses of fish and other aquatic biota and fish consumption due to the pollutants/stressors of low dissolved oxygen, water temperature, and methyl-mercury. The State of North Dakota has issued a fish consumption advisory for Garrison Reservoir due to mercury concerns.

5.3.1.2.2 Missouri River Downstream of Garrison Dam

The State of North Dakota has designated the entire Missouri River as a Class I stream. As such, the river is to be suitable for the propagation and/or protection of resident fish species and other aquatic

biota; swimming, boating, and other water recreation; irrigation; stock watering; wildlife; and for municipal or domestic use after appropriate treatment. The river has not been placed on the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters. The State of North Dakota has issued a fish consumption advisory for the Missouri River due to mercury concerns.

5.3.1.3 Implementation of Short-term Water Quality Management Measures to Preserve Coldwater Habitat in Garrison Reservoir

The most crucial period for the support of coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir is when it begins to cool in late summer. As the thermocline moves deeper, the volume of the coldwater hypolimnion continues to decrease while the expanding epilimnion has not cooled enough to be supportive of coldwater habitat. At the same time, hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentrations are approaching their maximum degradation and low dissolved oxygen levels are moving upward from the reservoir bottom and pinching off coldwater habitat from below. This situation continues to worsen until the epilimnion cools enough to be supportive of coldwater habitat and the reservoir eventually experiences fall turnover. The volume of the hypolimnion (i.e., of coldwater habitat) occurring in Garrison Reservoir during the summer decreases with lower pool levels.

As drought conditions persisted in early 2005, water levels in Garrison Reservoir had fallen to a record low pool elevation of 1805.8 feet-msl on May 12, 2005. At that time it was felt that unless emergency water quality management measures were implemented in 2005 to preserve the coldwater habitat in the reservoir, the recreational sport fishery would likely be adversely impacted. The reduction of coldwater habitat is exacerbated by withdrawals through the Garrison Dam intake structure. Because the invert elevation of the intake portals to the Garrison Dam power tunnels (i.e., penstocks) is 2 feet above the reservoir bottom, water drawn through the penstocks comes largely from the lower depths of the reservoir. Thus, during the summer thermal-stratification period, water is largely drawn from the hypolimnetic volume of Garrison Reservoir. Three short-term water quality management measures were identified for implementation in 2005 in an effort to preserve the coldwater habitat in the reservoir. These measures, which were implemented at Garrison Dam, included: 1) application of a plywood barrier to the dam's intake trash racks, 2) utilization of head gates to restrict the opening to the dam's power tunnels, and 3) modification of the daily flow cycle and minimum flow releases from the dam. The three implemented water quality management measures were targeted at drawing water into the dam from higher elevations within Garrison Reservoir.

5.3.1.3.1 Application of a Plywood Barrier to the Dam's Intake Trash Racks

The power tunnels at Garrison Dam are screened at the upstream end of the water passage by trash racks. These trash racks prevent large objects from entering the penstocks and causing serious damage to the wicket gates and turbine. Each of the five penstocks has two intake passages for a total of ten intakes. The trash rack for each of the ten intakes consists of seven separate frame sections. The trash rack fits into the trash rack slots at the front of the intake passage piers. A hook for each rack is fixed to the top of the frame. A lifting beam and mobile crane is used to raise and lower each trash rack.

The existing trash racks were modified to raise the elevation where water was withdrawn from Garrison Reservoir. The trash rack modification consisted of installing plywood sheathing on the upstream side of the existing trash rack grates on the passages to penstocks 2 and 3. The plywood sheathing covered the lower 48 feet of the trash racks (i.e., approximately elevation 1672 to 1720 ft-msl) with the exception of a 3-inch slot at the very bottom for passing sediments. The plywood installation was completed on the trash racks to penstock 3 on July 15, 2005 and on the trash racks to penstock 2 on July 20, 2005. The plywood was inspected with an underwater camera in the spring of 2006 and determined to still be in good condition. The plywood barriers remained in place through 2006.

5.3.1.3.2 Utilization of Head Gates to Restrict the Opening to the Dam's Power Tunnels

Each of the intake passages to all five power tunnels have operational head gates that control flow into the tunnels. It was reasoned that lowering one of the two head gates to block a single passage to the power tunnel should increase the velocity of water drawn into the power tunnel, given the total flow through the power tunnel remained the same. Increasing the velocity of the water drawn into the intake could pull water from a higher elevation in Garrison Reservoir and possibly help maintain the reservoir's deeper, colder volume. To implement this measure in 2006, single head gates on the passages to penstocks 1 and 4 were lowered on July 5, 2006.

5.3.1.3.3 Modification of Daily Flow Cycle and Maximum and Minimum Flow Releases

Past water quality monitoring at the Garrison Dam powerhouse indicated that the vertical extent of the withdrawal zone in Garrison Reservoir, during summer thermal stratification, was dependent on the discharge rate of the dam. Warmer water high in dissolved oxygen was drawn down from higher elevations in the reservoir under higher discharge rates, and colder water low in dissolved oxygen was drawn from the lower depths of the reservoir under lower discharge rates. The influence of the dam's discharge rate on the reservoir withdrawal zone is believed to be partly attributed to the design of the intake structure and submerged intake channel.

To the extent possible, flow releases from Garrison Dam during 2005 and 2006 were modified to try to maximize the water drawn from higher elevations and minimize the water drawn from lower elevations in Garrison Reservoir. The following two flow release modifications were pursued: 1) daily flow releases should be in either a maximum or minimum mode; and 2) minimum flows should be discharged through penstocks 2 and 3, which have the plywood barriers in place.

5.3.1.3.4 Performance Assessment Report

A more detailed discussion of the implementation of the short-term water quality management measures and their effects through 2005 is given in the Performance Assessment Report, "Garrison Cold Water Fishery Performance Assessment" (USACE, 2006a).

5.3.1.4 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at the Garrison Project since the late 1970's. Water quality monitoring locations have included sites on the reservoir and on the inflow to and outflow from the reservoir. A 3-year intensive water quality survey was completed at the Garrison Project in 2005 and the findings of the intensive survey are available in the separate report, "Water Quality Conditions Monitored at the Corps' Garrison Project in North Dakota during the 3-Year period 2003 through 2005" (USACE, 2006b). Figure 5.3 shows the location of sites at the Garrison Project that have been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). The near-dam location (i.e., site GARLK1390A) has been continuously monitored since 1980.




Figure 5.3. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Garrison Project during the period 2002 through 2006.

5.3.2 WATER QUALITY IN GARRISON RESERVOIR

5.3.2.1 Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006)

5.3.2.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Water quality conditions that were monitored in Garrison Reservoir at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1399DW, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1428DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1454DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARLK1493DW from May through September during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006 are summarized in Plates 49 through 56. A review of these results indicated possible water quality concerns regarding water temperature for the support of optimal coldwater habitat and dissolved oxygen levels for the support of aquatic biota. Water temperatures in the epilimnion of the reservoir regularly exceed 15°C in the summer, while temperatures in the hypolimnion are less than 15°C. Dissolved oxygen levels in the hypolimnion continually degrade along the reservoir bottom as summer progresses, and fall below 5.0 mg/l in late summer. Low dissolved oxygen conditions occur in the upstream reaches of the hypolimnion first and progress towards the dam. As the summer progresses, low dissolved oxygen conditions move up from the reservoir bottom into the mid and upper reaches of the hypolimnion. This pinching off of coldwater habitat threatens the occurrence of optimal coldwater habitat in the reservoir, especially under low pool levels during drought conditions. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured at the eight sites was 1.0 mg/l and occurred at site GARLK1445DW on August 29, 2006.

5.3.2.1.2 Summer Thermal Stratification

5.3.2.1.2.1 *2006 Monthly Longitudinal Temperature Contour Plots*

Summer thermal stratification of Garrison Reservoir during 2006 is described by the monthly longitudinal temperature contour plots based on depth-profile temperature measurements taken in May, June, July, August, September, and October (Plates 57 - 62). The contour plots were constructed along the length of the reservoir. As seen in Plates 57 through 62, water temperature in Garrison Reservoir varies longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. The near-surface water in the upper reaches of the reservoir warms up sooner in the spring than the near-surface water near the dam (Plates 57 and 58). By mid-summer a strong thermocline becomes established in the lower reaches of the reservoir, and the near-surface waters of the entire reservoir above the thermocline are a fairly uniform temperature (Plates 59 and 60). As the near-surface waters of the reservoir cool in the late summer, the thermocline is pushed deeper and these wind-mixed upper waters are fairly uniform in temperature (Plate 61). The vertical variation in temperature is most prevalent in the deeper area of the reservoir towards the dam where a strong thermocline becomes established during the summer. The shallower upper reaches of Garrison Reservoir do not exhibit much vertical variation of temperature during mid to late summer as wind action allows for complete mixing of the water column.

5.3.2.1.2.2 *Near-Dam Temperature Depth-Profile Plots*

Existing summer thermal stratification of Garrison Reservoir at the deep water area near the dam is described by the depth-profile temperature plots measured over the past 5 years. Depth-profile temperature plots measured during the month of July, August, and September were compiled (Plate 63). The plotted depth-profile measurements indicate that a significant temperature-depth gradient occurs in Garrison Reservoir in the near-dam lacustrine area during the summer, and a thermocline becomes established at a depth of about 25 meters (Plate 59).

5.3.2.1.3 Summer Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

5.3.2.1.3.1 2006 Monthly Longitudinal Dissolved Oxygen Contour Plots

Dissolved oxygen contour plots were constructed along the length of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile measurements taken in May, June, July, August, September, and October of 2006 (Plates 64 - 69). During the summer of 2006, dissolved oxygen conditions in Garrison Reservoir varied longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom (Plates 64 - 69). Dissolved oxygen levels below 5 mg/l first appeared near the reservoir bottom in the upper-middle reaches of the reservoir in July (Plate 66). As the summer progressed, dissolved oxygen concentrations below 5 mg/l moved along the reservoir bottom to the area near the dam (Plates 66 - 68). By late summer, dissolved oxygen levels below 5 mg/l only occurred near the bottom in the deeper lacustrine area of the reservoir, as the near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations in the upper-middle reaches of the reservoir had recovered to near saturation levels (Plate 68). The earlier occurrence of low dissolved oxygen concentrations in the near-bottom water of the upper-middle reaches of Garrison Reservoir is attributed to the increased allochthonous organic loading in the transition zone of the reservoir and the lesser hypolimnetic volume available for assimilation of the oxygen demand. As this material decomposes, a "pool" of water with low dissolved oxygen levels accumulates near the bottom in this area of the reservoir. Decomposition of autochthonous organic matter also occurs in the lacustrine zone and results in dissolved oxygen degradation as the summer progresses, although at a slower rate than what occurs in the transition zone. The recovery of near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations to saturation levels takes longer in the lacustrine zone nearer the dam because of the time needed for thermal stratification to breakdown and mixing within the water column to occur in the deeper water. The near-bottom location of the power tunnel intakes at the dam could also seemingly result in an interflow along the reservoir bottom that could promote the movement of oxygen demanding material and low dissolved oxygen water from the upper-middle reaches of the reservoir to the dam. Any interflow affect would likely increase as pool levels drop and the reservoir's retention time decreases.

5.3.2.1.3.2 Near-Dam Dissolved Oxygen Depth-Profile Plots

Existing summer dissolved oxygen conditions in Garrison Reservoir at the deep water area near the dam are described by the depth-profile dissolved oxygen plots measured over the past 5 years. Depth-profile dissolved oxygen plots measured during the month of July, August, and September were compiled (Plate 70). Dissolved oxygen levels exhibited a significant gradient with depth and tended toward a clinograde vertical distribution (Plate 70). During the period of 2002 through 2006, dissolved oxygen concentrations in the lower hypolimnion fell below 5 mg/l as the summer progressed, with the lowest levels occurring in late-August and September. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured at this site over the past 5 years was 3.8 mg/l.

5.3.2.1.4 Water Clarity

5.3.2.1.4.1 Secchi Transparency

Figure 5.4 displays a box plot of the Secchi depth transparencies measured at the eight in-reservoir monitoring sites during the period 2002 through 2006 (note: the eight monitoring sites are oriented in an upstream to downstream direction along the x-axis). Secchi depth transparency increased in a downstream direction from site GARLK1493DW to site GARLK1412DW (Figure 5.4). Downstream of site GARLK1412DW, Secchi depth transparency did not show significant longitudinal variation (Figure 5.4). Under the conditions that were monitored during the 2002 to 2006 period, it appears that sites GARLK1493DW and GARLK1481DW were in the riverine zone; sites GARLK1454DW,

GARLK1445DW, and GARLK1428DW were in the transition zone; and sites GARLK1412DW, GARLK1399DW, and GARLK1390A were in the lacustrine zone of the reservoir.




Figure 5.4. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Garrison Reservoir during the period 2002 through 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction.)

5.3.2.1.4.2 Turbidity

Turbidity is an expression of the optical property that causes light to be scattered and absorbed rather than transmitted with no change in direction or flux level. Turbidity in water is caused by suspended and colloidal matter such as clay, silt, finely divided organic and inorganic matter, plankton, and other microscopic organisms. Given the low chlorophyll *a* concentrations monitored in Garrison Reservoir (Plates 49-56), turbidity in the reservoir appears to be largely due to suspended inorganic material. Monthly (i.e., May, June, July, August, September, and October) longitudinal contour plots were prepared from the depth-profile turbidity measurements taken at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 during 2006 (Plates 71 - 76). As seen in Plates 71 through 76, turbidity levels in Garrison Reservoir vary longitudinally from the dam to reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. Turbidity levels are significantly higher in the upper reaches of the reservoir as compared to the area near the dam. This is attributed to the turbid conditions of the inflowing Missouri River. It also appears that turbidity plumes may move through Garrison Reservoir as interflows; especially along the bottom. This may be attributed to colder inflowing snowmelt runoff, with higher turbidity levels, flowing underneath warmer surface waters in Garrison Reservoir as an interflow along the bottom.

5.3.2.1.5 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

Near-surface and near-bottom water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir during the summer over the past 5 years at the near-dam, deepwater area (i.e., site GARLK1390A) were compared. Near-surface samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir surface, and near bottom-samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir bottom. Box plots were used to display the distribution of the collected near-surface and near-bottom measurements for the following parameters: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon (Plate 77). Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent surface and bottom box plots for a parameter were taken to indicate a significant difference between the measurements. The only parameter that significantly varied between the surface and bottom was water temperature (Plate 77).

5.3.2.1.6 Reservoir Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Garrison Reservoir were calculated from monitoring data collected during the past 4 years (Table 5.5). The calculated TSI values indicate that the lacustrine zone of the reservoir (i.e., sites GARLK1390A and GARLK1412DW) is mesotrophic, the transition zone (i.e., site GARLK1445DW) is moderately eutrophic, and the riverine zone (i.e., site GARLK1481DW) is eutrophic. However, it is noted that the calculated average TSI value for the riverine zone is greatly influenced by the low water clarity in this part of the reservoir. This lack of water clarity is largely attributed to suspended inorganic material delivered to the reservoir by the Missouri River. Thus, the higher TSI values in the riverine zone seemingly are not indicative of increased algal growth associated with nutrient enrichment.

Table 5.5. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Garrison Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during period 2003 through 2006.

Monitoring Site	Mean – TSI (Secchi Depth)	Mean – TSI (Total Phos.)	Mean – TSI (Chlorophyll)	Mean – TSI (Average)
GARLK1390A	44	54	42	46
GARLK1412DW	46	53	43	47
GARLK1445DW	52	51	50	51
GARLK1481DW	69	53	50	61

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

5.3.2.1.7 Phytoplankton Community

Phytoplankton grab samples collected from Garrison Reservoir at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, and GARLK1481DW during the spring and summer of the 4-year period 2003 through 2006 are summarized in Plates 78 through 81. The following seven taxonomic divisions were represented by taxa collected in the phytoplankton samples: Bacillariophyta (Diatoms), Chlorophyta (Green Algae), Chrysophyta (Golden Algae), Cryptophyta (Cryptomonad Algae), Cyanobacteria (Blue-Green Algae), Pyrrophyta (Dinoflagellate Algae), and Euglenophyta (Euglenoid Algae). The general prevalence of these taxonomic divisions in the reservoir, based on taxa occurrence, were Bacillariophyta > Chlorophyta/Cryptophyta/Cyanobacteria/Pyrrophyta/ > Chrysophyta > Euglenophyta. The diatoms were generally the most abundant algae throughout the entire sampling period based on percent composition (Plates 78 - 81). The Shannon-Weaver genera diversity indices calculated for the 53 phytoplankton samples collected at the four sites ranged from 0.46 to 2.69 and averaged 1.32 at site GARLK1390A, 1.55 at site GARLK1412DW, 1.55 at site GARLK1445DW, and 1.80 at site GARLK1481DW. Dominant phytoplankton species occurring in the 15 samples collected at site GARLK1390A included the Bacillariophyta *Fragilaria spp.* (11 occasions), *Asterionella spp.* (8 occasions), *Tabellaria spp.* (3 occasions), *Cyclotella spp.* (2 occasions), *Melosira spp.* (1 occasion), *Stephanodiscus spp.* (1 occasion), *Synedra spp.* (1 occasion); Chlorophyta *Chlamydomonas spp.* (2 occasions) and *Quadridula spp.* (1 occasion); Cryptophyta *Rhodomonas spp.* (7 occasions) and *Cryptomonas spp.* (2 occasions); Pyrrophyta *Ceratium spp.* (2 occasion) and *Peridinium spp.* (1 occasion); and Cyanobacteria *Aphanocapsa spp.* (1 occasion) (Plate 82). No detectable concentrations of the Cyanobacteria microcystins toxin were monitored at the four sites during 2005 or 2006.

5.3.2.2 Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1980 through 2006 were determined for Garrison Reservoir for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment

was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the reservoir during the months of May through October at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GARLK1390A). Plate 83 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. For the assessment period, it appears that reservoir exhibited slightly increasing concentrations of total phosphorus and slightly decreasing levels of chlorophyll *a*. There was no observed trend in transparency (i.e. Secchi depth). Over the 27-year period, Garrison Reservoir has generally remained in a mesotrophic state with calculated TSI values showing no observable trend (Plate 83).

5.3.3 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS OF THE MISSOURI RIVER INFLOW TO GARRISON RESERVOIR

5.3.3.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

The water quality conditions that were monitored in the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) monthly from May through September during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006 are summarized in Plate 84. A review of these results indicated no major water quality concerns. However, it is noted that very high levels of total iron were monitored. This is believed to be a natural condition associated with the geology and soils of the region.

5.3.3.2 Missouri River Inflow Nutrient Flux Conditions

Nutrient flux rates for the Missouri River inflow to Garrison Reservoir over the last 4 years were calculated based on water quality samples collected near Williston, North Dakota (i.e. site GARNFMORRR1) and the estimated flow conditions at the time of sample collection (Table 5.6). The maximum nutrient flux rates are attributed to greater nonpoint source nutrient loadings associated with runoff conditions.

Table 5.6. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) during May through September over the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
No. of Obs.	20	20	21	21	21	21
Mean	0.076	0.330	0.040	0.144	0.015	1.500
Median	0.060	0.187	0.002	0.044	0.009	0.988
Minimum	0.001	0.073	0.001	0.010	0.001	0.626
Maximum	0.324	1.407	0.298	0.861	0.068	4.572

Note1: Non-detect values set to 1/2 the detection limit for flux calculations.

Note2: Statistics of Missouri River flows used for flux calculations were: mean = 16,918 cfs, median = 12,010 cfs, minimum = 8,500 cfs, and maximum = 38,300 cfs.

5.3.3.3 Continuous Water Temperature Monitoring of the Missouri River at the USGS Gaging Station near Williston, North Dakota

Through an agreement with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), a water temperature monitoring probe was added to the USGS's gage on the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1). Beginning in 2005, water temperature measurements were recorded at the site. Plates 85 and 86, respectively, plot mean daily water temperature and river discharge determined for 2005 and 2006.

5.3.4 WATER QUALITY AT THE GARRISON POWERPLANT

5.3.4.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plates 87 and 88 summarize the water quality conditions that were monitored from water discharged through Garrison Dam during 2003 through 2006. Plate 87 summarizes the 1½-year period of June 2003 through 2004, and Plate 88 summarizes the 2-year period of 2005 through 2006. The 2003 and 2004 water quality data was separated from the 2005 and 2006 data because of the potential affects of implementing the short-term water quality management measures at Garrison Dam in the summer of 2005. A review of these results indicated no significant water quality concerns. Three dissolved oxygen measurements (<0.1%) did not meet the criterion of 5 mg/l during the 2003-2004 period (Plate 87). All three of the measurements occurred on October 1, 2004. No dissolved oxygen measurements recorded during the 2005-2006 period were below 5 mg/l (Plate 88). Over the 2003-2004 period, 9% of the water temperature measurements exceeded 15°C; while over the 2005-2006 period, 19% of the measurements exceeded 15°C (Plates 87 and 88). No recorded water temperatures exceeded 19°C in either period. These water temperatures are believed supportive of the cold and coolwater fishery that exists in the Garrison Dam tailwaters. The difference between the dissolved oxygen and water temperature measured during the two periods is attributed to the short-term water quality management measures that were implemented at Garrison Dam during the summer of 2005. Warmer water with higher dissolved oxygen concentrations was drawn from higher elevations in Garrison Reservoir due to the implemented short-term water quality management measures.

5.3.4.2 Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, and Dam Discharge Time-Series Plots

Semiannual time series plots for temperature and dam discharge monitored at the Garrison powerplant during the 3½-year period of June 2003 through December 2006 were constructed (Plates 89 - 102). Monitored water temperatures showed seasonal cooling and warming through each calendar year. Daily water temperatures remained fairly stable during the winter, early spring, and late fall; and exhibited considerable variability during the late spring, summer, and early fall. When thermal stratification becomes established in Garrison Reservoir during the late spring, the temperature of the water discharged through the dam becomes highly dependent upon the discharge rate of the dam. This indicates that the vertical extent of the withdrawal zone in the reservoir is dependent upon the discharge rate of the dam. This is believed to be a result of the design of the intake structure (i.e., bottom withdrawal) and the presence of the submerged intake channel leading to the intake structure. Water is likely drawn from an extended vertical zone in Garrison Reservoir year-round, but is only evident in the temperatures monitored at the powerhouse during reservoir thermal stratification during the summer. A decrease in the daily variability of the monitored temperatures in summer of 2005 and 2006 occurred after the installation of plywood barriers on the lower 48 feet of the trash racks in front of penstocks 2 and 3. Plate 96 shows a plot of water temperatures measured at the Garrison powerplant during the period of July through September for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006. It is evident that the installation of the plywood barriers in 2005 raised the temperature of the water passed through Garrison Dam and discharged to the Missouri River downstream. The increase in temperature in 2005 and 2006 was, on average, about 2°C.

Semiannual time series plots for dissolved oxygen and dam discharge monitored at the Garrison powerplant during the 3½-year period of June 2003 through December 2006 were also constructed (Plates 97 - 103). Dissolved oxygen levels remained relatively high and stable during the winter, steadily declined through the spring and summer, and steadily increased during the fall. The lowest dissolved oxygen levels occurred during the late summer/early fall period. The higher winter, declining spring, and increasing fall dissolved oxygen concentrations are attributed to decreasing dissolved oxygen solubility with warmer water temperatures. The decreasing dissolved oxygen in the July to September period is attributed to ongoing degradation of dissolved oxygen in the lower hypolimnion as the summer

progressed. Water is withdrawn from Garrison Reservoir into the dam's power tunnels approximately 2 feet above the reservoir bottom. During the late summer, dissolved oxygen levels were highly correlated to dam discharge rates in 2003 and 2004 and not as correlated in 2005 and 2006. This is attributed to the implementation of the short-term water quality management measures in 2005.

Plate 104 shows a plot of dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at the Garrison powerplant during the period of July through September for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006. It is evident that the installation of the short-term water quality management measures in 2005 raised the dissolved oxygen concentrations of water passed through Garrison Dam and discharged to the Missouri River downstream. The plywood barriers allowed epilimnetic water, higher in dissolved oxygen, to be drawn into the intake and discharged from the dam. Although the short-term water quality management measures were implemented to preserve coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir, they also had the probable benefit of preventing dissolved oxygen levels below the State of North Dakota's water quality standards criterion (i.e., 5 mg/l) from occurring in the Garrison Dam tailwaters during late summer low flow releases (Plate 104).

5.3.4.3 Comparison of Monitored Inflow and Outflow Temperatures of the Missouri River at Garrison Reservoir

Plates 105 and 106, respectively, plot the mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (site GARNFMORRR1) and the Garrison Dam powerplant (site GARPP1) for 2005 and 2006. Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Garrison Reservoir are generally warmer than the outflow temperatures of Garrison Dam during the period of April through September (Plates 105 and 106). Outflow temperatures of the Garrison Dam discharge are generally warmer than the inflow temperatures of the Missouri River during the period of October through March (Plates 105 and 106). A maximum temperature difference occurs in the summer when the Missouri River inflow temperature is about 10°C warmer than the Garrison Dam outflow temperature.

5.3.5 WATER QUALITY IN THE MISSOURI RIVER DOWNSTREAM OF GARRISON DAM

5.3.5.1 Water Temperatures Monitored at Garrison Dam and the USGS Gage Station at Bismarck, North Dakota in 2005 and 2006

Through an agreement with the USGS, a water temperature monitoring probe was added to the USGS's gage on the Missouri River at Bismarck, North Dakota in 2005. The USGS gage at Bismarck, North Dakota is located at RM1314.7 and is approximately 75 miles downstream of Garrison Dam. Plates 107 and 108, respectively, plot the mean daily flows and water temperatures monitored at the Garrison powerplant and USGS gage at Bismarck, North Dakota in 2005 and 2006. Annually, the mean daily water temperature of the Missouri River at Bismarck is warmer than the Garrison Dam discharge from April through August and generally cooler from September through March (Plates 107 and 108). During the summers of 2005 and 2006, mean daily water temperatures at Bismarck were, respectively, up to 10°C and 6°C warmer than the Garrison Dam discharge (Plates 107 and 108). The difference in the summer temperature difference in 2005 and 2006 is attributed to the full implementation of the short-term water quality management measures at Garrison Dam in 2006. Maximum mean daily water temperatures in the Missouri River at Bismarck were similar in 2005 and 2006.

5.3.5.2 Water Temperatures Monitored in the Reach from Garrison Dam to Bismarck, North Dakota in 2005 and 2006

As part of their fisheries management program, the North Dakota Game and Fish Department (NDGFD) monitored water temperatures in the Missouri River from Garrison Dam (RM1389) to Beaver Bay (RM1259) in 2005 and 2006. Sites monitored in 2005 (June through September) included Stanton (RM1372), Burnt Creek (RM1322), Bismarck (RM1315), Fox Island (RM1312), and Beaver Bay (RM1259). Sites monitored in 2006 (May through September) included Stanton, Washburn (RM1355), Wilton (RM1344), Burnt Creek, and North Beaver (RM1260). Plates 109 and 110, respectively, plot mean daily water temperatures monitored in the Missouri River from Garrison Dam to Beaver Bay in 2005 and 2006.

5.3.6 IMPLEMENTATION OF SHORT-TERM WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT MEASURES IN 2005 AND 2006 TO PRESERVE COLDWATER HABITAT IN GARRISON RESERVOIR

The potential impact of implementing the short-term water quality management measures on preserving the coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir during the summers of 2005 and 2006 was estimated by comparing the quantity of water meeting optimal coldwater conditions (i.e., $\leq 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ and ≥ 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen) that was discharged through each of the dam's five penstocks. The water quality conditions monitored in penstocks 2 and 3 were compared to penstocks 4 and 5. The water quality conditions monitored in penstocks 4 and 5 were taken to be the water quality conditions that would have occurred in penstocks 2 and 3 if the plywood barriers were not in place. Installation of the plywood barriers was completed on July 22, 2005. During the summers of both 2005 and 2006, most of the water discharged through penstocks 4, and 5 prior to September 1 met optimal coldwater habitat conditions, while almost all the water discharged through penstocks 2 and 3 did not (i.e., water was warmer than 15°C). This resulted in a potential saving of optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir of about 379,390 acre-ft in 2005 and about 1,021,150 acre-ft in 2006. All of the potential savings of optimal coldwater habitat occurred prior to early September. After early September, water temperatures in all the penstocks were above 15°C due to the downward expansion of the epilimnion in Garrison Reservoir.

5.4 OAHE

5.4.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5.4.1.1 Project Overview

Oahe Dam is located on the Missouri River at RM 1072.3 in central South Dakota, 6 miles northwest of Pierre, South Dakota. The closing of Oahe Dam in 1958 resulted in the formation of Oahe Reservoir (Lake Oahe). When full, the reservoir is 231 miles long, covers 374,000 acres, and has 2,250 miles of shoreline. Table 5.7 summarizes how the surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Oahe Reservoir vary with pool elevations. Due to ongoing drought conditions, the reservoir at the end of 2006 was about 35 feet below the pool elevation of 1607.5 ft-msl which is the top of the Carryover Multiple Use Zone. Major inflows to the reservoir are the Missouri and Cheyenne Rivers. Water discharged through Oahe Dam for power production is withdrawn from Oahe Reservoir at elevation 1525 ft-msl, approximately 110 feet above the reservoir bottom.

Table 5.7. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Oahe Reservoir at different pool elevations.

Elevation (Feet-msl)	Surface Area (Acres)	Volume (Acre-Feet)	Mean Depth (Feet)*	Retention Time (Years)**
1620	374,135	23,136,960	61.8	1.32
1615	350,960	21,323,520	60.8	1.21
1610	325,765	19,630,460	60.3	1.12
1605	300,030	18,068,750	60.2	1.03
1600	281,010	16,618,390	59.1	0.94
1595	260,715	15,265,460	58.6	0.87
1590	245,190	14,002,600	57.1	0.80
1585	229,085	12,816,650	55.9	0.73
1580	213,150	11,711,030	54.9	0.67
1575	196,915	10,686,750	54.3	0.61
1570	182,933	9,737,896	53.2	0.55
1565	168,523	8,859,708	52.6	0.50
1560	155,510	8,049,792	51.8	0.46
1555	141,688	7,308,917	51.6	0.42
1550	133,628	6,622,830	49.6	0.38
1545	124,869	5,976,361	47.9	0.34
1540	116,560	5,373,030	46.1	0.31

Average Annual Inflow (1967 through 2006) = 18.28 Million Acre-Feet

Average Annual Outflow: (1967 through 2006) = 17.59 Million Acre-Feet

* Mean Depth = Volume ÷ Surface Area.

** Retention Time = Volume ÷ Average Annual Outflow.

Note: Exclusive Flood Control Zone (elev. 1620-1617 ft-msl), Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone (elev. 1617-1607.5 ft-msl), Carryover Multiple Use Zone (elev. 1607.5-1540 ft-msl), and Permanent Pool Zone (elev. 1540-1415 ft-msl).

Oahe Reservoir and Dam are authorized for the purposes of flood control, recreation, fish and wildlife, hydroelectric power production, water supply, water quality, navigation, and irrigation. The powerplant has seven units that produce an annual average 2.72 million megawatt hours of electricity, valued in excess of \$30 million in revenue. Habitat for one endangered species, interior least tern, and one threatened species, piping plover, occurs within the project area. Oahe Reservoir is used as a water supply by the town of Fort Yates, North Dakota, and the towns of Bear Creek, Blackfoot, Bridger, Cherry Creek, Dupree, Eagle Butte, Faith, Gettysburg, Green Grass, Iron Lightning, Lantry, LaPlante, Mobridge, Promise, Red Elm, Red Schaffold, Swiftbird, Thunder Butte, Wapakala, and White Horse, South Dakota, as well as some individual cabins. The reservoir is an important recreational resource and a major visitor destination in South Dakota.

5.4.1.2 Water Quality Standards Classifications and Section 303(d) Listings

5.4.1.2.1 Oahe Reservoir

The State of South Dakota has designated the following water quality-dependent beneficial uses for Oahe Reservoir in the State's water quality standards: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), coldwater permanent fish life propagation, domestic water supply, agricultural water supply (i.e., irrigation and stock watering), commerce and industrial waters, and fish and wildlife propagation. The State of South Dakota has not placed the reservoir on the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters and has not issued a fish consumption advisory for the reservoir.

5.4.1.2.2 Missouri River Downstream of Oahe Dam

The following beneficial uses have been designated by the State in their water quality standards for the Missouri River: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), coldwater permanent fish life propagation, domestic water supply, agricultural water supply (i.e., irrigation and stock watering), commerce and industrial waters, and fish and wildlife propagation. The State of South Dakota has not placed the river on the its Section 303(d) list of impaired waters and has not issued a fish consumption advisory for the river.

5.4.1.3 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at the Oahe Project since the late 1970's. Water quality monitoring locations have included sites on the reservoir and on the inflow to and outflow from the reservoir. A 3-year intensive water quality survey was initiated at the Oahe Project in 2005. Figure 5.5 shows the location of sites at the Oahe Project that have been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). The near-dam location (i.e., site OAHLK1073A) has been continuously monitored since 1980.

5.4.2 WATER QUALITY IN OAHE RESERVOIR

5.4.2.1 Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006)

5.4.2.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Water quality conditions that were monitored in Oahe Reservoir at sites OAHLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, and OAHLK1196DW from May through September during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006 are summarized in Plates 111 through 117. A review of these results indicated possible water quality concerns regarding water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH for the support of coldwater permanent fish life propagation. Water temperatures in the epilimnion of the reservoir regularly exceed 18.3°C in the summer, while temperatures in the hypolimnion are less than 18.3°C. Dissolved oxygen levels in the hypolimnion continually degrade along the reservoir bottom as summer progresses and fall below 7.0 mg/l in late summer (i.e., occurred in non-spawning area outside the spawning season for coldwater species). Dissolved oxygen levels rarely fell below 6.0 mg/l in the hypolimnion in the area of the reservoir near Oahe Dam (Plate 111). Dissolved oxygen concentrations regularly fall below 6 mg/l in the middle and upstream reaches of the hypolimnion (Plates 113 - 115). As the summer progresses, conditions of lower dissolved oxygen move up from the reservoir bottom into the lower reaches of the hypolimnion. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured at the seven sites was 2.7 mg/l, and occurred at site OAHLK1176DW on August 16, 2005. No measured pH values were below the lower pH criterion of 6.6. The upper pH criterion of 8.6 was exceeded throughout the reservoir; however, no measured pH values were above 9.




Figure 5.5. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Oahe Project during the period 2002 through 2006.

5.4.2.1.2 Summer Thermal Stratification

5.4.2.1.2.1 2006 Monthly Longitudinal Temperature Contour Plots

Summer thermal stratification of Oahe Reservoir during 2006 is described by the monthly longitudinal temperature contour plots based on depth-profile temperature measurements taken in June, July, August, and September (Plates 118 - 121). The contour plots were constructed along the length of the reservoir. As seen in Plates 118 through 121, water temperature in Oahe Reservoir varies longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. The near-surface water in the upper reaches of the reservoir warms up sooner in the spring than the near-surface water near the dam (Plate 118). By mid-summer a strong thermocline becomes established in the lower reaches of the reservoir, and the near-surface waters of the entire reservoir above the thermocline are a fairly uniform temperature (Plates 119 and 120). As the near-surface waters of the reservoir cool in the late summer, the thermocline is pushed deeper and these wind-mixed upper waters are fairly uniform in temperature (Plate 121). The vertical variation in temperature is most prevalent in the deeper area of the reservoir towards the dam where a strong thermocline becomes established during the summer. The shallower upper reaches of Oahe Reservoir do not exhibit much vertical variation of temperature during mid to late summer as wind action allows for complete mixing of the water column.

5.4.2.1.2.2 Near-Dam Temperature Depth-Profile Plots

Existing summer thermal stratification of Oahe Reservoir at the deep water area near the dam is described by the depth-profile temperature plots measured over the past 5 years. Depth-profile temperature plots measured during the month of July, August, and September were compiled (Plate 122). The plotted depth-profile measurements indicate that a significant temperature-depth gradient occurs in Oahe Reservoir in the near-dam lacustrine area during the summer, and a thermocline becomes established at a depth of about 20 meters (Plate 122).

5.4.2.1.3 Summer Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

5.4.2.1.3.1 2006 Monthly Longitudinal Dissolved Oxygen Contour Plots

Dissolved oxygen longitudinal contour plots were constructed along the length of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile measurements taken in June, July, August, and September of 2006 (Plates 123 - 126). During the summer of 2006, dissolved oxygen conditions in Oahe Reservoir varied longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom (Plates 123 - 126). Dissolved oxygen levels below 5 mg/l first appeared near the reservoir bottom in the upper-middle reaches of the reservoir in July (Plate 124). As the summer progressed, dissolved oxygen concentrations below 5 mg/l expanded along the bottom in the middle reaches of the reservoir, but did recover by summer's end (Plates 125 and 126). The occurrence of low dissolved oxygen concentrations in the near-bottom water of the upper-middle reaches of Oahe Reservoir is attributed to the increased allochthonous organic loading in the transition zone of the reservoir and the lesser hypolimnetic volume available for assimilation of the oxygen demand. As this material decomposes, a "pool" of water with low dissolved oxygen levels accumulates near the bottom in this area of the reservoir. Decomposition of autochthonous organic matter also occurs in the lacustrine zone and results in dissolved oxygen degradation as the summer progresses, although at a slower rate than what occurs in the transition zone. The recovery of near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations to saturation levels takes longer in the lacustrine zone nearer the dam because of the time needed for thermal stratification to breakdown and mixing within the water column to occur in the deeper water.

5.4.2.1.3.2 Near-Dam Dissolved Oxygen Depth-Profile Plots

Existing summer dissolved oxygen conditions in Oahe Reservoir at the deep water area near the dam are described by the depth-profile dissolved oxygen plots measured over the past 5 years. Depth-profile dissolved oxygen plots measured during the month of July, August, and September at site OAHLK1073A were compiled (Plate 127). Dissolved oxygen levels exhibited a significant gradient with depth and tended toward a negative heterograde to orthograde vertical distribution (Plate 127). During the period of 2002 through 2006, dissolved oxygen concentrations in the lower hypolimnion did not fall below 5 mg/l, and fell below 6 mg/l only on one occasion. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured at this site over the past 5 years was 5.7 mg/l, and was measured at the reservoir bottom on July 30, 2002.

5.4.2.1.4 Water Clarity

5.4.2.1.4.1 Secchi Transparency

Figure 5.6 displays a box plot of the Secchi depth transparencies measured at the seven in-reservoir monitoring sites during the period 2002 through 2006 (note: the seven monitoring sites are oriented in an upstream to downstream direction along the x-axis). Secchi depth transparency increased in a downstream direction from site OAHLK1196DW to site OAHLK1073A (Figure 5.6). Under the conditions that were monitored during the 2002 to 2006 period, it appears that site OAHLK1196DW was in the riverine zone; sites OAHLK1176DW and OAHLK1153DW were in the transition zone; sites OAHLK1135DW and OAHLK1110DW were in the boundary area between the transition and lacustrine zones; and sites OAHLK1090DW and OAHLK1073A were in the lacustrine zone of the reservoir.




Figure 5.6. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Oahe Reservoir during the period 2002 through 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction.)

5.4.2.1.4.2 Turbidity

Turbidity is an expression of the optical property that causes light to be scattered and absorbed rather than transmitted with no change in direction or flux level. Turbidity in water is caused by suspended and colloidal matter such as clay, silt, finely divided organic and inorganic matter, plankton, and other microscopic organisms. Given the low chlorophyll *a* concentrations monitored in Oahe

Reservoir (Plates 111 - 117), turbidity in the reservoir appears to be largely due to suspended inorganic material. Monthly (i.e., June, July, August, and September) longitudinal contour plots were prepared from the depth-profile turbidity measurements taken at sites OAHLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW, and OAHNFMORR1 during 2006 (Plates 128 - 131). As seen in Plates 128 through 131, turbidity levels in Oahe Reservoir vary longitudinally from the dam to reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. Turbidity levels are higher in the upper reaches of the reservoir as compared to the area near the dam. This is attributed to the more turbid conditions of the inflowing Missouri River. It also appears that turbidity plumes may move through Oahe Reservoir as interflows; especially along the bottom. This may be attributed to colder inflowing snowmelt runoff, with higher turbidity levels, flowing underneath warmer surface waters in Oahe Reservoir as an interflow along the bottom.

5.4.2.1.5 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

Near-surface and near-bottom water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir during the summer over the past 5 years at the near-dam, deepwater area (i.e., site OAHLK1073A) were compared. Near-surface samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir surface, and near bottom-samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir bottom. Box plots were used to display the distribution of the collected near-surface and near-bottom measurements for the following parameters: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon (Plate 132). Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent surface and bottom box plots for a parameter were taken to indicate a significant difference between the measurements. The only parameter that significantly varied between the surface and bottom was water temperature (Plate 132).

5.4.2.1.6 Reservoir Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Oahe Reservoir were calculated from monitoring data collected during the past 2 years (Table 5.8). The calculated TSI values indicate that the lacustrine zone of the reservoir (i.e., sites OAHLK1073A and OAHLK1110DW) is mesotrophic, the transition zone (i.e., site OAHLK1153DW) is moderately eutrophic, and the riverine zone (i.e., site OAHLK1196DW) is eutrophic. However, it is noted that the calculated average TSI value for the riverine zone is greatly influenced by the low water clarity in this part of the reservoir. This lack of water clarity is largely attributed to suspended inorganic material delivered to the reservoir by the Missouri River. Thus, the higher TSI values in the riverine zone seemingly are not indicative of increased algal growth associated with nutrient enrichment.

Table 5.8. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Oahe Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during period 2005 through 2006.

Monitoring Site	Mean – TSI (Secchi Depth)	Mean – TSI (Total Phos.)	Mean – TSI (Chlorophyll)	Mean – TSI (Average)
OAHLK1073A	42	53	46	47
OAHLK1110DW	46	54	42	47
OAHLK1153DW	52	55	51	53
OAHLK1196DW	65	54	52	57

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

5.4.2.1.7 Phytoplankton Community

Phytoplankton grab samples collected from Oahe Reservoir at sites OAHLK10730A, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1153DW, and OAHLK1196DW during the spring and summer of the 4-year period 2003 through 2006 are summarized in Plates 133 through 136. The following seven taxonomic divisions were represented by taxa collected in the phytoplankton samples: Bacillariophyta (Diatoms), Chlorophyta (Green Algae), Chrysophyta (Golden Algae), Cryptophyta (Cryptomonad Algae), Cyanobacteria (Blue-Green Algae), Pyrrophyta (Dinoflagellate Algae), and Euglenophyta (Euglenoid Algae). The general prevalence of these taxonomic divisions in the reservoir, based on taxa occurrence, were Bacillariophyta > Chlorophyta/Cyanobacteria > Cryptophyta > Pyrrophyta > Chrysophyta > Euglenophyta. The diatoms were generally the most abundant algae based on percent composition (Plates 133 - 136). The Shannon-Weaver genera diversity indices calculated for the 37 phytoplankton samples collected at the four sites ranged from 0.55 to 2.53 and averaged 1.62 at site OAHLK1073A, 1.78 at site OAHLK1110DW, 1.50 at site OAHLK1153DW, and 1.66 at site OAHLK1196DW. Dominant phytoplankton species occurring in the 14 samples collected at site OAHLK1073A included the Bacillariophyta *Fragilaria* spp. (8 occasions), *Asterionella* spp. (6 occasions), *Aulacoseira* spp. (2 occasions), *Stephanodiscus* spp. (2 occasions), *Cyclotella* spp. (1 occasion), *Navicula* spp. (1 occasion), and *Synedra* spp. (1 occasion); Chlorophyta *Cosmarium* spp. (3 occasions), *Chlamydomonas* spp. (1 occasion), and *Golenkinia* spp. (1 occasion); Chrysophyta *Dinobryon* spp. (2 occasions); Cryptophyta *Rhodomonas* spp. (5 occasions) and *Cryptomonas* spp. (2 occasions); Cyanobacteria *Anabaena* spp. (5 occasions); and Pyrrophyta *Ceratium* spp. (4 occasions) and *Peridinium* spp. (Plate 137). No detectable concentrations of the microcystins toxin were monitored at the four sites during 2005 or 2006.

5.4.2.2 Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1980 through 2006 were determined for Oahe Reservoir for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the reservoir during the months of May through September at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site OAHLK1073A). Plate 138 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. It appears that the reservoir exhibited slightly increasing concentrations of total phosphorus. There was no observed trend in transparency (i.e. Secchi depth) and chlorophyll *a*. Over the 27-year period, Oahe Reservoir has generally remained in a mesotrophic state with calculated TSI values showing no observable trend (Plate 138).

5.4.3 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS OF THE MISSOURI RIVER INFLOW TO OAHE RESERVOIR

5.4.3.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

The water quality conditions that were monitored in the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAFNFMORR1) monthly from May through September during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006 are summarized in Plate 139. A review of these results indicated no major water quality concerns.

5.4.3.2 Missouri River Inflow Nutrient Flux Conditions

Nutrient flux rates for the Missouri River inflow to Oahe Reservoir over the last 2 years were calculated based on water quality samples collected near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e. site OAHNFMORR1) and the estimated flow conditions at the time of sample collection (Table 5.9). The

maximum nutrient flux rates are attributed to greater nonpoint source nutrient loadings associated with runoff conditions.

Table 5.9. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) during May through September over the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
No. of Obs.	10	10	9	10	10	10
Mean	0.014	0.148	0.038	0.073	0.019	1.493
Median	0.014	0.141	0.037	0.062	0.013	1.532
Minimum	0.002	0.003	0.009	0.004	0.002	0.003
Maximum	0.049	0.337	0.063	0.159	0.078	2.277

Note1: Non-detect values set to 1/2 the detection limit for flux calculations.

Note2: Statistics of Missouri River flows used for flux calculations were: mean = 18,405 cfs, median = 16,650 cfs, minimum = 12,700 cfs, and maximum = 26,800 cfs.

5.4.3.3 Continuous Water Temperature Monitoring of the Missouri River at the USGS Gaging Station at Bismarck, North Dakota

Through an agreement with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), a water temperature monitoring probe was added to the USGS's gage on the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1). Beginning in 2005, water temperature measurements were recorded at the site. Plates 140 and 141, respectively, plot mean daily water temperature and river discharge determined for 2005 and 2006.

5.4.4 WATER QUALITY AT THE OAHE POWERPLANT

5.4.4.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 142 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored from water discharged through Oahe Dam during 2004 through 2006. A review of these results indicated possible water quality concerns regarding temperature and dissolved oxygen for the support of coldwater permanent fish life propagation.

Twenty-five percent of the recorded hourly temperatures of the water passed through Oahe Dam exceeded the State water quality temperature criterion of 18.3°C. The exceedences of the 18.3°C temperature criterion occurred during the summer. During the summer when Oahe Reservoir is thermally stratified, water temperatures in the epilimnion of the reservoir regularly exceed 18.3°C, while temperatures in the hypolimnion are less than 18.3°C. Water discharged through Oahe Dam for power production is withdrawn from Oahe Reservoir at elevation 1525 ft-msl, approximately 110 feet above the reservoir bottom. Thus, water withdrawn from the reservoir in the summer comes largely from the epilimnion, especially when pool elevations are lower due to drought conditions (see Plates 119 and 120). Because water passed through Oahe Dam during the summer is withdrawn from the epilimnion of the reservoir, the temperature criterion of 18.3°C for the Missouri River and Big Bend Reservoir just downstream of the dam are not being met during the summer when Oahe Reservoir is thermally stratified.

During the period 2004 through 2006, 12 and 9% of the recorded hourly dissolved oxygen concentrations of water passed through Oahe Dam were, respectively, below the State water quality criteria of 7.0 and 6.0 mg/l. Generally, dissolved oxygen levels were below 7.0 mg/l from mid July through September, and below 6.0 mg/l during mid-August through September. Seemingly, the lower dissolved oxygen levels may be related to lower oxygen solubility with warmer water, and possible oxygen degradation in the hypolimnion during late summer.

5.4.4.2 Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, and Dam Discharge Time-Series Plots

Semiannual time-series plots for temperature, dissolved oxygen, and dam discharge monitored at the Oahe powerplant during the 3-year period January 2004 through December 2006 were constructed. Water temperatures showed seasonal warming and cooling through each calendar year (Plates 143 - 148). Dissolved oxygen levels remained relatively high and stable during the winter, steadily declined through the spring and summer, and steadily increased during the fall (Plates 149 - 154). The lowest dissolved oxygen levels occurred during the late summer period. The higher winter, declining spring, and increasing fall dissolved oxygen concentrations are attributed to decreasing dissolved oxygen solubility with warmer water temperatures. The decreasing dissolved oxygen in the July to September period may also be attributed somewhat to the influence ongoing degradation of dissolved oxygen in the hypolimnion as the summer progressed. There appeared to be little correlation between discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 143 - 154).

5.4.4.3 Comparison of Monitored Inflow and Outflow Temperatures of the Missouri River at Oahe Reservoir

Plates 155 and 156, respectively, plot the mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (site OAHNFMORR1) and the Oahe Dam powerplant (site OAHPP1) for 2005 and 2006. Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Oahe Reservoir are generally warmer than the outflow temperatures of Oahe Dam during the period of April through June (Plates 155 and 156). Outflow temperatures of the Oahe Dam discharge are generally warmer than the inflow temperatures of the Missouri River during the period of July through March (Plates 155 and 156). A maximum temperature difference occurs in the fall when the Oahe Dam outflow temperature is about 4°C warmer than the Missouri River inflow temperature.

5.5 BIG BEND

5.5.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5.5.1.1 Project Overview

Big Bend Dam is located in central South Dakota on the Missouri River at RM 987.4, 21 miles northwest of Chamberlain, South Dakota. The closing of Big Bend Dam in 1963 resulted in the formation of Big Bend Reservoir (Lake Sharpe). The reservoir, when full, is 80 miles long, covers 61,000 acres, and has 200 miles of shoreline. Table 5.10 summarizes how the surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Big Bend Reservoir vary with pool elevations. The Big Bend powerplant is operated to meet peak power demands for electricity. Generally, weekly flows from Oahe Dam are released at Big Bend Dam, and there is minimal fluctuation in the water level of Big Bend Reservoir. The Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone in the reservoir does not provide for seasonal regulation of flood inflows like the other major upstream Mainstem System projects, but the zone is used for day-to-day and week-to-week power operations. The Corps normally strives to maintain the pool level in the reservoir between elevation 1419 ft-msl and 1421.5 ft-msl. There are no minimum flow requirements below Big Bend Dam, and hourly releases can fluctuate from 0 to 110,000 cfs for peaking power

generation. The major inflows to Big Bend Reservoir are the Missouri River and Bad River. Water discharged through Big Bend Dam for power production is withdrawn from the surface of Big Bend Reservoir.

The reservoir and dam are authorized for the purposes of flood control, recreation, fish and wildlife, hydroelectric power production, water supply, water quality, navigation, and irrigation. The powerplant has eight generating units that produce an annual average 1.00 million megawatt hours of electricity, valued in excess of \$10 million in revenue. Habitat for one endangered species, interior least tern, and one threatened species, piping plover, occurs within the project area. Big Bend Reservoir is used as a water supply by the cities of Pierre, Fort Pierre, Fort Thompson, and Lower Brule, South Dakota. The reservoir is an important recreational resource.

Table 5.10. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Big Bend Reservoir at different pool elevations.

Elevation (Feet-msl)	Surface Area (Acres)	Volume (Acre-Feet)	Mean Depth (Feet)*	Retention Time (Years)**
1430	70,615	2,259,568	32.0	0.1299
1425	63,808	1,923,508	30.1	0.1105
1420	57,007	1,621,484	28.4	0.0932
1415	50,224	1,353,339	26.9	0.0778
1410	43,146	1,119,548	25.9	0.0643
1405	35,694	923,872	25.9	0.0531
1400	31,842	756,297	23.8	0.0435
1395	27,402	608,587	22.2	0.0350
1390	24,659	479,172	19.4	0.0275
1385	21,779	362,729	16.7	0.0208
1380	18,307	262,285	14.3	0.0151
1375	14,856	179,548	12.1	0.0103
1370	11,747	113,160	9.6	0.0065
1365	8,590	62,333	7.3	0.0036
1360	5,449	27,069	5.0	0.0016
1355	2,021	9,373	4.6	0.0005
1350	836	2,445	2.9	0.0001

Average Annual Inflow (1967 through 2006) = 17.58 Million Acre-Feet.

Average Annual Outflow: (1967 through 2006) = 17.40 Million Acre-Feet.

* Mean Depth = Volume ÷ Surface Area.

** Retention Time = Volume ÷ Average Annual Outflow.

Note: Exclusive Flood Control Zone (elev. 1423-1422 ft-msl), Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone (elev. 1422-1420 ft-msl), Carryover Multiple Use Zone (none), and Permanent Pool Zone (elev. 1420-1345 ft-msl).

5.5.1.2 Water Quality Standards Classification and Section 303(d) Listings

5.5.1.2.1 Big Bend Reservoir

Pursuant to the Federal CWA, the State of South Dakota has designated the following water quality-dependent beneficial uses for Big Bend Reservoir: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), coldwater permanent fish life propagation, domestic water supply, agricultural water supply (i.e., irrigation and stock watering), commerce and industrial waters, and fish and wildlife propagation. The

State of South Dakota has recently removed Big Bend Reservoir from the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters. The reservoir was previously listed as impaired due to accumulated sediment from the Bad River watershed. A total maximum daily load (TMDL) was developed and is being implemented to address this concern, resulting in the delisting of Big Bend Reservoir. South Dakota has not issued a fish consumption advisory for the reservoir.

5.5.1.2.2 Missouri River Downstream of Big Bend Dam

The State of South Dakota has designated the following water quality-dependent beneficial uses for the Missouri River downstream of Big Bend Dam: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), warmwater permanent fish life propagation, domestic water supply, agricultural water supply (i.e., irrigation and stock watering), commerce and industrial waters, and fish and wildlife propagation. Big Bend Dam is the demarcation point between coldwater and warmwater use designation on the Missouri River system in South Dakota. Therefore, the designated use of warmwater permanent fish life propagation applies to the Big Bend Dam tailwaters instead of the coldwater permanent fish life propagation use that applies to Big Bend Reservoir. South Dakota has not issued a fish consumption advisory for the Missouri River downstream of Big Bend Dam.

5.5.1.2.3 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at the Big Bend Project since the late 1970's. Water quality monitoring locations have included sites on the reservoir and on the inflow to and outflow from the reservoir. The water quality conditions of the Oahe Dam discharge are taken to represent the inflow water quality conditions to Big Bend Reservoir. Figure 5.7 shows the location of sites at the Big Bend Project that have been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). The near-dam location (i.e., site BBDLK0987A) has been continuously monitored since 1980.

5.5.2 WATER QUALITY IN BIG BEND RESERVOIR

5.5.2.1 Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006)

5.5.2.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 157 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored in Big Bend Reservoir from May through September during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006. A review of these results indicated possible water quality concerns regarding water temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH for the support of coldwater permanent fish life propagation. Based on the criteria for the protection of coldwater permanent fish life propagation, 67% of the observations exceeded water temperature criteria, 3 to 14% of the observations did not meet dissolved oxygen criteria, and 20% of the observations exceeded the pH criteria. It is noted that if Big Bend Reservoir were classified for the protection of warmwater permanent fish propagation instead of coldwater, no observations would have exceeded the pH criteria, and less than 1% of the observations would not have met the water temperature and dissolved oxygen criteria for warmwater permanent fish propagation. Ambient summer water temperatures in Big Bend Reservoir do not appear to be cold enough to support coldwater permanent fish life propagation as defined by State water quality criteria. Consideration should be given to reclassify the reservoir for a warmwater permanent fish life propagation use based on a use attainability assessment of "natural conditions" regarding ambient water temperatures.




Figure 5.7. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Big Bend Project during the period 2002 through 2006.

5.5.2.1.2 Summer Thermal Stratification

Existing summer thermal stratification was assessed for Big Bend Reservoir, based on monitoring results obtained at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). Temperature depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of July, August, and September (Plate 158). No significant temperature-depth gradient was indicated in Big Bend Reservoir in the near-dam lacustrine area during the summer (Plate 158).

5.5.2.1.3 Summer Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

Existing summer dissolved oxygen conditions were assessed for Big Bend Reservoir, based on monitoring results obtained at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). Dissolved oxygen depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of July, August, and September (Plate 159). Except for one occasion, dissolved oxygen levels measured in the near-dam lacustrine area of the reservoir did not exhibit a large gradient with depth and tended toward an orthograde vertical distribution (Plate 159). On one occasion (July 13, 2005), dissolved oxygen levels below 5 mg/l were measured within 5 meters of the reservoir bottom.

5.5.2.1.4 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

Near-surface and near-bottom water quality conditions monitored in Big Bend Reservoir during the summer over the past 5 years at the near-dam, deepwater area (i.e., site BBDLK0987A) were compared. Near-surface samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir surface, and near bottom-samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir bottom. Box plots were used to display the distribution of the collected near-surface and near-bottom measurements for the following parameters: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon (Plate 160). Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent surface and bottom box plots for a parameter were taken to indicate a significant difference between the measurements. No parameter significantly varied between the surface and bottom (Plate 160).

5.5.2.1.5 Reservoir Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Big Bend Reservoir were calculated from monitoring data collected during the past 5 years (i.e. 2002 through 2006) at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site BBDLK0987A). Table 5.11 summarizes the TSI values calculated for the reservoir. The TSI values indicate that the near-dam lacustrine area of Big Bend Reservoir is in a mesotrophic state.

5.5.2.1.6 Phytoplankton Community

Phytoplankton grab samples collected from Big Bend Reservoir at site BBDLK0987A during the spring and summer of the 3-year period 2004 through 2006 are summarized in Plate 161. The following seven taxonomic divisions were represented by taxa collected in the phytoplankton samples: Bacillariophyta (Diatoms), Chlorophyta (Green Algae), Chrysophyta (Golden Algae), Cryptophyta (Cryptomonad Algae), Cyanobacteria (Blue-Green Algae), Pyrrophyta (Dinoflagellate Algae), and Euglenophyta (Euglenoid Algae). The general prevalence of these taxonomic divisions in the reservoir, based on taxa occurrence, were Bacillariophyta > Chlorophyta/Cyanobacteria > Cryptophyta/Pyrrophyta > Chrysophyta > Euglenophyta. The diatoms were generally the most abundant algae based on percent composition (Plate 161). The Shannon-Weaver genera diversity indices calculated for the 13 phytoplankton samples collected at site BBDLK0987A averaged 1.54. Dominant phytoplankton species

Table 5.11. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Big Bend Reservoir for the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

TSI*	No. of Obs.	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
TSI(SD)	23	39	51	51	60
TSI(TP)	25	41	49	48	70
TSI(Chl)	18	40	44	40	59
TSI(Avg1)	25	40	48	50	55
TSI(Avg2)	17	41	48	49	57

* TSI(SD), TSI(TP), and TSI(Chl) are TSI index values based, respectively, on Secchi depth, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll *a* measurements. TSI(Avg1) is the average of TSI values regardless of the parameters available to calculate the average. TSI(Avg2) is the average of TSI values only when all three parameters were available to calculate the average.

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

occurring in the 13 samples collected at site BBDLK0987A included the Bacillariophyta *Fragilaria* spp. (5 occasions), *Asterionella* spp. (5 occasions), *Stephanodiscus* spp. (3 occasions), *Cyclotella* spp. (1 occasion), *Navicula* spp. (1 occasion), and *Tabellaria* spp. (1 occasion); Chlorophyta *Cosmarium* spp. (1 occasion); Chrysophyta *Dinobryon* spp. (1 occasion); Cryptophyta *Rhodomonas* spp. (3 occasions) and *Cryptomonas* spp. (1 occasion); Cyanobacteria *Anabaena* spp. (1 occasion), *Gomphosphaeria* spp. (1 occasion), and *Pseudanabaena* spp. (1 occasion); and Pyrrophyta *Ceratium* spp. (2 occasions) (Plate 162). No detectable concentrations of the Cyanobacteria microcystins toxin were monitored at the four sites during 2005 or 2006.

5.5.2.2 Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1980 through 2006 were determined for Big Bend Reservoir for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the reservoir during the months of May through October at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site BBDLK0987A). Plate 163 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. It appears that the reservoir exhibited increasing concentrations of total phosphorus and decreasing levels of chlorophyll *a* and transparency (i.e. Secchi depth). Over the 27-year period, Big Bend Reservoir has generally remained in a mesotrophic to moderately eutrophic state with calculated TSI values showing no observable trend (Plate 163).

5.5.3 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS OF THE MISSOURI RIVER INFLOW TO BIG BEND RESERVOIR

5.5.3.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

The water quality conditions of the Missouri River inflow to Big Bend Reservoir is taken to be the monitored water quality conditions of the outflow from Oahe Dam. See Plate 142 for a summary of the water quality conditions monitored on the water discharged through Oahe Dam.

5.5.3.2 Missouri River Inflow Nutrient Flux Conditions

Nutrient flux rates for the Missouri River inflow to Big Bend Reservoir over the last 3 years were calculated based on water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Oahe Dam (i.e. site

OAHP1) (Table 5.12). The maximum nutrient flux rates are attributed to higher flows during maximum power production at Oahe Dam.

Table 5.12. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHP1) over the 3-year period 2004 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
No. of Obs.	25	25	25	25	11	24
Mean	0.067	0.284	0.004	0.033	0.008	2.102
Median	0.020	0.235	0.004	0.017	0.006	2.002
Minimum	0.001	0.044	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.509
Maximum	0.312	0.696	0.007	0.250	0.270	4.173

Note1: Non-detect values set to 1/2 the detection limit for flux calculations.

Note2: Statistics of Missouri River flows used for flux calculations were: mean = 25,348cfs, median = 26,400 cfs, minimum = 6,200 cfs, and maximum = 49,130 cfs.

5.5.3.3 Mean Daily Discharge and Temperature

Mean daily discharge and water temperature of the Oahe Dam outflow was determined for 2005 and 2006. These are considered the water quality conditions of the Missouri River inflow to Big Bend Reservoir. Plates 164 and 165, respectively, plot 2005 and 2006 mean daily water temperature and flow for the Oahe Dam discharge.

5.5.4 WATER QUALITY AT THE BIG BEND DAM POWERPLANT

5.5.4.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 166 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored on water discharged through Big Bend Dam during 2004 through 2006. A review of these results indicated a possible water quality concern regarding dissolved oxygen. It is noted that the low dissolved oxygen concentrations (i.e., <5 mg/l) were recorded when no discharge was occurring from Big Bend Dam. The low dissolved oxygen concentrations may represent dissolved oxygen degradation in the “raw water” supply line in the powerplant, and not conditions of water being discharged to the Missouri River downstream of Big Bend Dam.

5.5.4.2 Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, and Dam Discharge Time-Series Plots

Semiannual time-series plots for temperature, dissolved oxygen, and dam discharge monitored at the Big Bend powerplant during the 3-year period January 2004 through December 2006 were constructed. Water temperatures showed seasonal warming and cooling through each calendar year (Plates 167 - 172). Dissolved oxygen levels remained relatively high and stable during the winter, steadily declined through the spring and summer, and steadily increased during the fall (Plates 173 - 178). The lowest dissolved oxygen levels occurred during the late summer period. The higher winter, declining spring, and increasing fall dissolved oxygen concentrations are attributed to decreasing dissolved oxygen solubility with warmer water temperatures. Also, as noted above, the lower dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored in the summer may represent dissolved oxygen degradation in the “raw water”

supply line in the powerplant. There appeared to be only minor correlation between discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 167 - 178).

5.5.4.3 Comparison of Monitored Inflow and Outflow Temperatures of the Missouri River at Big Bend Reservoir

Plates 179 and 180, respectively, plot the mean daily water temperatures monitored for the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (site OAHPP1) and the Big Bend Dam powerplant (site BBDPP1) for 2005 and 2006. Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Big Bend Reservoir are about 2°C warmer than the outflow temperatures of Big Bend Dam during the winter (Plates 179 and 180). Outflow temperatures of the Big Bend Dam discharge are about 1-2°C warmer than the inflow temperatures of the Missouri River during the spring, summer, and fall (Plates 179 and 180).

5.6 FORT RANDALL

5.6.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5.6.1.1 Project Overview

Fort Randall Dam is located on the Missouri River at RM 880.0 in southeastern South Dakota, 50 miles southwest of Mitchell, South Dakota. The closing of Fort Randall Dam in 1952 resulted in the formation of Fort Randall Reservoir (Lake Francis Case). When full, the reservoir is 107 miles long, covers 102,000 acres, and has 540 miles of shoreline. Table 5.13 summarizes how the surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Fort Randall Reservoir vary with pool elevations. As of December 2006, the reservoir was about 8 feet below the pool elevation of 1350 ft-msl, which is the top of the Carryover Multiple Use Zone. A “low” pool level is typical for Fort Randall Reservoir at the end of December because this reservoir is drawn down each fall to provide storage space for high winter power releases from Oahe and Big Bend. Major inflows to Fort Randall Reservoir are the Missouri River and White River. Water discharged through Fort Randall Dam for power production is withdrawn from Fort Randall Reservoir at elevation 1229 ft-msl, approximately 2 feet above the reservoir bottom.

Fort Randall was authorized for the purposes of flood control, recreation, fish and wildlife, hydroelectric power production, water supply, water quality, navigation, and irrigation. The powerplant has eight generating units that produce an annual average 1.78 million megawatt hours of electricity, valued in excess of \$19 million in revenue. Habitat for two endangered species, pallid sturgeon and interior least tern, and one threatened species, piping plover, occur within the project area. Fort Randall Reservoir is used as a water supply by the communities of Chamberlain, Dante, Geddes, Greenwood, Kimball, Lake Andes, Marty, Oacoma, Platte, Pickstown, Pukwana, Ravinia, Reliance, Wagner, and White Lake, South Dakota. The reservoir is an important recreational resource and a major visitor destination in South Dakota.

5.6.1.2 Water Quality Standards Classification and Section 303(d) Listings

5.6.1.2.1 Fort Randall Reservoir

The State of South Dakota has designated the following water quality-dependent beneficial uses for Fort Randall Reservoir in the State’s water quality standards: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), warmwater permanent fish life propagation, domestic water supply, agricultural water supply (i.e., irrigation and stock watering), commerce and industrial waters, and fish and wildlife propagation. The State of South Dakota has not placed Fort Randall Reservoir on the State’s Section 303(d) list of impaired waters and has not issued a fish consumption advisory for the reservoir.

Table 5.13. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Fort Randall Reservoir at different pool elevations.

Elevation (Feet-msl)	Surface Area (Acres)	Volume (Acre-Feet)	Mean Depth (Feet)*	Retention Time (Years)**
1370	98,438	4,916,698	49.9	0.270
1365	94,801	4,433,011	46.7	0.244
1360	89,808	3,971,266	44.2	0.218
1355	85,453	3,531,526	41.3	0.194
1350	76,747	3,124,368	40.7	0.172
1345	68,588	2,761,139	40.3	0.152
1340	59,783	2,439,591	40.8	0.134
1335	50,547	2,165,606	42.8	0.119
1330	45,845	1,926,136	42.0	0.106
1325	40,277	1,711,773	42.5	0.094
1320	37,911	1,517,486	40.0	0.083
1315	35,000	1,335,568	38.2	0.073
1310	33,632	1,164,645	34.6	0.064
1305	32,119	1,000,024	31.1	0.055
1300	30,297	843,949	27.9	0.046
1295	28,608	696,350	24.3	0.038
1290	26,042	559,475	21.5	0.031

Average Annual Inflow (1967 through 2006) = 18.47 Million Acre-Feet.

Average Annual Outflow: (1967 through 2006) = 18.19 Million Acre-Feet.

* Mean Depth = Volume ÷ Surface Area.

** Retention Time = Volume ÷ Average Annual Outflow.

Note: Exclusive Flood Control Zone (elev. 1375-1365 ft-msl), Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone (elev. 1365-1350 ft-msl), Carryover Multiple Use Zone (1350-1320 ft-msl), and Permanent Pool Zone (elev. 1320-1227 ft-msl).

5.6.1.2.2 Missouri River Downstream of Fort Randall Dam

The following beneficial uses have been designated for the Missouri River downstream of Fort Randall Dam in South Dakota's water quality standards: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), warmwater permanent fish life propagation, domestic water supply, agricultural water supply (i.e., irrigation and stock watering), commerce and industrial waters, and fish and wildlife propagation. The State of South Dakota has not placed the Missouri River downstream of Fort Randall Dam on the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters, and has not issued a fish consumption advisory for the river.

5.6.1.2.3 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at the Fort Randall Project since the late 1970's. Water quality monitoring locations have included sites on the reservoir and on the inflow to and outflow from the reservoir. The water quality conditions of the Big Bend Dam discharge are taken to represent the inflow water quality conditions to Fort Randall Reservoir. A 3-year intensive water quality survey was initiated at the Fort Randall Project in 2006. Figure 5.8 shows the location of sites at the Fort Randall Project that have been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). The near-dam location (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) has been continuously monitored since 1980.




Figure 5.8. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Fort Randall Project during the period 2002 through 2006.

5.6.2 WATER QUALITY IN FORT RANDALL RESERVOIR

5.6.2.1 Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006)

5.6.2.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Water quality conditions that were monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, and FTRLK0968DW from May through September during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006 are summarized in Plates 181 through 187. A review of these results indicated possible water quality concerns regarding dissolved oxygen and suspended solids for the support of warmwater permanent fish life propagation. Dissolved oxygen levels in the hypolimnion degrade along the reservoir bottom as summer progresses and fall below 6.0 mg/l in late summer (i.e., occurred in non-spawning area outside the spawning season for warmwater species) (Plates 181 - 183). In July and August, dissolved oxygen concentrations in Fort Randall reservoir fell below 5.0 mg/l in the near-bottom volume of the hypolimnion. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured at the seven sites was 1.8 mg/l and occurred at site FTRLK0880A on August 17, 2005. The chronic suspended solids criterion was exceeded in Fort Randall Reservoir in the area near the confluence of the White River (Plate 185).

5.6.2.1.2 Summer Thermal Stratification

5.6.2.1.2.1 *2006 Monthly Longitudinal Temperature Contour Plots*

Summer thermal stratification of Fort Randall Reservoir during 2006 is described by the monthly longitudinal temperature contour plots based on depth-profile temperature measurements taken in June, July, August, and September (Plates 188 - 191). The contour plots were constructed along the length of the reservoir. As seen in Plates 188 through 191, water temperature in Fort Randall Reservoir varies longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. Water temperatures in the upper reaches of the reservoir are influenced by the discharges from Big Bend Dam (RM0987) and inflows from the White River (RM0956). It appears that inflows from the White River tend to locally warm Fort Randall Reservoir in the spring and early-summer (Plates 188 - 190) and locally cool the reservoir in late-summer/early-fall (Plate 191). In late-spring and early-summer an appreciable vertical thermal gradient was present in the lacustrine downstream region of the reservoir (Plates 188 and 189). By late summer this vertical thermal gradient had diminished greatly (Plate 190).

5.6.2.1.2.2 *Near-Dam Temperature Depth-Profile Plots*

Existing summer thermal stratification of Fort Randall Reservoir at the deep water area near the dam is described by the depth-profile temperature plots measured over the past 5 years. Depth-profile temperature plots measured during the month of July, August, and September at site FTRLK0880A were compiled (Plate 192). The plotted depth-profile measurements indicate that a moderate temperature-depth gradient occasionally occurs in Fort Randall Reservoir in the near-dam lacustrine area during the summer. When a thermocline was established it occurred at a depth of about 25 meters (Plate 192).

5.6.2.1.3 Summer Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

5.6.2.1.3.1 *2006 Monthly Longitudinal Dissolved Oxygen Contour Plots*

Dissolved oxygen contour plots were constructed along the length of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile measurements taken in June, July, August, and September of 2006 (Plates 193 -

196). During the summer of 2006, dissolved oxygen conditions in Fort Randall Reservoir varied longitudinally from the dam to the reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom (Plates 193 - 196). Dissolved oxygen levels below 5 mg/l first appeared near the reservoir bottom in the lower reaches of the reservoir in July (Plate 194). No dissolved oxygen concentrations below 5 mg/l were monitored in August and September of 2006 (Plates 195 and 196). This is attributed to the breakdown of thermal stratification and the hypolimnion (Plates 190 and 191), which allowed the lacustrine area of the reservoir to mix throughout the water column.

5.6.2.1.3.2 Near-Dam Dissolved Oxygen Depth-Profile Plots

Dissolved oxygen levels exhibited occasional gradients with depth (Plate 197). On four occasions (i.e., August 27, 2003, August 3, 2004, August 17, 2005, and July 20, 2006), hypolimnetic dissolved concentrations fell below 5.0 mg/l. Dissolved oxygen levels below 5 mg/l occurred near the reservoir bottom from mid-July through August, when thermal stratification was maintained in Fort Randall Reservoir.

5.6.2.1.4 Water Clarity

5.6.2.1.4.1 Secchi Transparency

Figure 5.9 displays a box plot of the Secchi depth transparencies measured at the seven in-reservoir monitoring sites during 2006 (note: the seven monitoring sites are oriented in an upstream to downstream direction along the x-axis). Secchi depth transparency decreased somewhat from site FTRLK0968DW to FTRLK0955DW (Figure 5.9). This is attributed to the inflow of the White River just upstream of site FTRLK0955DW at RM956. Secchi depth transparency increased in a downstream direction from site FTRLK0955DW to site FTRLK0880A (Figure 5.9). Under the conditions that were monitored during 2006, it appears that sites FTRLK0968DW, FTRLK0955DW, AND FTRLK0940DW were in the riverine zone; site FTRLK0924DW was in the transition zone; and sites FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0892DW, and FTRLK0880A were in the lacustrine zone of Fort Randall Reservoir.




Figure 5.9. Box plot of Secchi transparencies measured in Fort Randall Reservoir during 2006. (Note: monitoring sites are oriented on the x-axis in an upstream to downstream direction.)

5.6.2.1.4.2 Turbidity

Turbidity is an expression of the optical property that causes light to be scattered and absorbed rather than transmitted with no change in direction or flux level. Turbidity in water is caused by suspended and colloidal matter such as clay, silt, finely divided organic and inorganic matter, plankton, and other microscopic organisms. Given the low chlorophyll *a* concentrations monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir (Plates 181 - 187), turbidity in the reservoir appears to be largely due to suspended inorganic material. Monthly (i.e., June through September) longitudinal contour plots were prepared from the depth-profile turbidity measurements taken at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 during 2006 (Plates 198 - 201). As seen in Plates 198 through 201, turbidity levels in Fort Randall Reservoir vary longitudinally from the dam to reservoir's upper reaches and vertically from the reservoir surface to the bottom. During periods of higher flows, the inflow of the White River has a significant impact on turbidity levels in the middle-upper reaches of the reservoir (Plates 198 and 201). It also appears that turbidity plumes may move along the reservoir bottom downstream of the confluence of the White River.

5.6.2.1.5 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

Near-surface and near-bottom water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir during the summer over the past 5 years at the near-dam, deepwater area (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) were compared. Near-surface samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir surface, and near bottom-samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir bottom. Box plots were used to display the distribution of the collected near-surface and near-bottom measurements for the following parameters: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon (Plate 202). Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent surface and bottom box plots for a parameter were taken to indicate a significant difference between the measurements. No parameter significantly varied between the surface and bottom (Plate 202).

5.6.2.1.6 Reservoir Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Fort Randall Reservoir were calculated from monitoring data collected during 2006 (Table 5.14). The calculated TSI values indicate that the lacustrine zone of the reservoir (i.e., sites FTRLK0880A and FTRLK0911DW) is mesotrophic, and the transition zone (i.e., site FTRLK0940DW) and the riverine zone (i.e., site FTRLK0968DW) is eutrophic. However, it is noted that the calculated average TSI value for the riverine zone is greatly influenced by the low water clarity in this part of the reservoir. This lack of water clarity is largely attributed to suspended inorganic material delivered to the reservoir by the White River. Thus, the higher TSI values in the riverine zone seemingly are not indicative of increased algal growth associated with nutrient enrichment.

Table 5.14. Mean Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Fort Randall Reservoir. TSI values are based on monitoring at the identified four sites during 2006.

Monitoring Site	Mean – TSI (Secchi Depth)	Mean – TSI (Total Phos.)	Mean – TSI (Chlorophyll)	Mean – TSI (Average)
FTRLK0880A	46	54	42	47
FTRLK0911DW	49	48	50	49
FTRLK0940DW	71	54	60	62
FTRLK0968DW	68	53	50	57

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

5.6.2.1.7 Phytoplankton Community

Phytoplankton grab samples collected from Fort Randall Reservoir at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0940DW, and FTRLK0968DW during the spring and summer of the 4-year period 2003 through 2006 are summarized in Plates 203 through 206. The following seven taxonomic divisions were represented by taxa collected in the phytoplankton samples: Bacillariophyta (Diatoms), Chlorophyta (Green Algae), Chrysophyta (Golden Algae), Cryptophyta (Cryptomonad Algae), Cyanobacteria (Blue-Green Algae), Pyrrophyta (Dinoflagellate Algae), and Euglenophyta (Euglenoid Algae). The general prevalence of these taxonomic divisions in the reservoir, based on taxa occurrence, were Bacillariophyta > Chlorophyta > Cyanobacteria > Chrysophyta/Cryptophyta/Pyrrophyta > Euglenophyta. The diatoms were generally the most abundant algae based on percent composition (Plates 203 - 206). The Shannon-Weaver genera diversity indices calculated for the 25 phytoplankton samples collected at the four sites ranged from 0.44 to 2.86 and averaged 1.42 at site FTRLK0880A, 2.33 at site FTRLK0911DW, 2.12 at site FTRLK0940DW, and 1.51 at site FTRLK0968DW. Dominant phytoplankton taxa occurring in the 13 samples collected at site FTRLK0880A included the Bacillariophyta *Fragilaria* (9 occasions), *Asterionella* spp. (5 occasions), *Stephanodiscus* spp. (3 occasions), *Aulacoseira* spp. (2 occasions), *Cyclotella* spp. (2 occasions), *Synedra* spp. (2 occasions), *Melosira* spp. (1 occasion), and *Tabellaria* spp. (1 occasion); Cryptophyta *Rhodomonas* spp. (3 occasions) and *Cryptomonas* spp. (2 occasions); Cyanobacteria *Oscillatory* spp. (1 occasion) and *Planktolyngbya* spp. (1 occasion); and Pyrrophyta *Ceratium* spp. (1 occasion) (Plate 207). The highest concentration of the Cyanobacteria microcystins toxin measured at the four sites during 2004 through 2006 was 1.8 ug/l at site FTRLK0880A.

5.6.2.2 Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1980 through 2006 were determined for Fort Randall Reservoir for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the reservoir during the months of May through October at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site FTRLK0880A). Plate 208 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. It appears that reservoir exhibited increasing concentrations of total phosphorus and decreasing levels of transparency (i.e. Secchi depth) and chlorophyll *a*. Over the 27-year period, the reservoir has generally remained in a mesotrophic state with calculated TSI values showing no observable trend (Plate 208).

5.6.3 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS OF THE MISSOURI RIVER INFLOW TO FORT RANDALL RESERVOIR

5.6.3.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

The water quality conditions of the Missouri River inflow to Fort Randall Reservoir is taken to be the monitored water quality conditions of the outflow from Big Bend Dam. See Plate 166 for a summary of the water quality conditions monitored on the water discharged through Big Bend Dam.

5.6.3.2 Missouri River Inflow Nutrient Flux Conditions

Nutrient flux rates for the Missouri River inflow to Fort Randall Reservoir over the last 3 years were calculated based on water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Big Bend Dam (i.e. site BBDPP1) (Table 5.15). The maximum nutrient flux rates are attributed to higher flows during maximum power production at Big Bend Dam.

Table 5.15. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) over the 3-year period 2004 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
No. of Obs.	23	23	23	23	8	23
Mean	0.063	0.337	0.008	0.026	0.009	2.664
Median	0.039	0.268	0.005	0.014	0.003	2.168
Minimum	0.001	0.033	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.316
Maximum	0.219	0.784	0.039	0.115	0.046	6.115

Note1: Non-detect values set to 1/2 the detection limit for flux calculations.

Note2: Statistics of Missouri River flows used for flux calculations were: mean = 30,951 cfs, median = 23,900 cfs, minimum = 3,600 cfs, and maximum = 71,980 cfs.

5.6.3.3 Mean Daily Discharge and Temperature

Mean daily discharge and water temperature of the Big Bend Dam outflow was determined for 2005 and 2006. These are considered the water quality conditions of the Missouri River inflow to Fort Randall Reservoir. Plates 209 and 210, respectively, plot 2005 and 2006 mean daily water temperature and flow for the Big Bend Dam discharge.

5.6.4 WATER QUALITY AT THE FORT RANDALL POWERPLANT

5.6.4.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 211 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored on water discharged through Fort Randall Dam during the period 2004 through 2006. A review of these results indicated a minor water quality concern regarding dissolved oxygen for the support of warmwater permanent fish life propagation. During the period 2004 through 2006, 3 and 1% of the recorded dissolved oxygen concentrations of water passed through Fort Randall Dam were, respectively, below the State water quality criteria of 6.0 and 5.0 mg/l. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration recorded was 2.9. Generally, dissolved oxygen levels were below these criteria during periods of lower discharge in late-July and August. Seemingly, the lower dissolved oxygen levels may be related to lower oxygen solubility with warmer water and oxygen degradation in the hypolimnion during late summer. During periods of lower discharge, water would be drawn along the bottom of the submerged approach channel to the dam's intake tower. This is where low dissolved oxygen would occur in the hypolimnion during mid- to late-summer.

5.6.4.2 Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, and Dam Discharge Time-Series Plots

Semiannual time series plots for temperature and dam discharge monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006 were constructed (Plates 212 - 217). Monitored water temperatures showed seasonal cooling and warming through each calendar year. Daily water temperatures remained fairly stable during the winter, early spring, and late fall and exhibited considerable variability during the late spring, summer, and fall. When thermal stratification becomes established in Fort Randall Reservoir during the late spring, the temperature of the water discharged through the dam becomes highly dependent upon the discharge rate of the dam. This indicates that the vertical extent of the withdrawal zone in the reservoir is dependent upon the discharge rate of the dam.

This is believed to be a result of the design of the intake structure (i.e., bottom withdrawal) and the presence of the submerged approach channel leading to the intake structure. Water is likely drawn from an extended vertical zone in Fort Randall Reservoir year-round, but is only evident in the temperatures monitored at the powerhouse during reservoir thermal stratification during the summer. When thermal stratification breaks down in the summer, as it did in 2006 (Plate 190), the high correlation between dam discharge and the temperature of the discharged water no longer occurs (Plate 217).

Semiannual time series plots for dissolved oxygen and dam discharge monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006 were also constructed (Plates 218 - 223). Dissolved oxygen levels remained relatively high and stable during the winter, steadily declined through the spring and summer, and steadily increased during the fall. The lowest dissolved oxygen levels occurred during the summer. The higher winter, declining spring, and increasing fall dissolved oxygen concentrations are attributed to decreasing dissolved oxygen solubility with warmer water temperatures. The decreasing dissolved oxygen in the summer is attributed to ongoing degradation of dissolved oxygen in the lower hypolimnion as the summer progressed. Water is withdrawn from Fort Randall Reservoir into the dam's power tunnels approximately 2 feet above the reservoir bottom. During the summer, when Fort Randall Reservoir is thermally stratified, dissolved oxygen levels degrade near the reservoir bottom. Under such conditions, low dam discharge rates pull water with low dissolved oxygen concentrations from the near-bottom region of the hypolimnion.

5.6.4.3 Comparison of Monitored Inflow and Outflow Temperatures of the Missouri River at Fort Randall Reservoir

Plates 224 and 225, respectively, plot the mean daily water temperatures monitored for the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (site BBDPP1) and the Fort Randall Dam powerplant (site FTRPP1) for 2005 and 2006. Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Fort Randall tend to be a little warmer than the outflow temperatures of Fort Randall Dam during the spring and early summer (Plates 224 and 225). Outflow temperatures of the Fort Randall Dam discharge tend to be a little warmer than the Missouri River inflow temperatures in the late-summer and fall (Plates 224 and 225).

5.6.5 WATER QUALITY IN THE MISSOURI RIVER DOWNSTREAM OF FORT RANDALL DAM

5.6.5.1 Missouri River Reach – Fort Randall Dam to Gavins Point Reservoir

The Missouri River downstream from Fort Randall Dam (RM880.0) flows in a southeasterly direction for approximately 44 miles in an unchannelized river to Gavins Point Reservoir. The major tributary in this reach is the Niobrara River which enters the Missouri River from Nebraska at RM843.5. In this reach, the Missouri River meanders in a wide channel with flow restricted to generally one main channel. Only a few side channels and backwaters are present, except at the lower end of the reach in the Gavins Point Reservoir delta. The 39-mile reach of the Missouri River from Fort Randall Dam to Running Water, South Dakota has been designated a National Recreational River under the Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (WSRA). The tailwater area of Fort Randall Dam, from RM 880 to 860, has experienced up to 6 feet of riverbed degradation and channel widening during the 1953 to 1997 time period. The rate of erosion has decreased over this period. Streambank erosion since closure of the dam in 1953 has averaged about 35 acres per year. This compares to a pre-dam rate of 135 acres per year. The Missouri River has coarser bed material above RM 870 than below, indicating some armoring of the channel below the dam. Downstream of the tailwater area, less erosion of the bed and streambank occurs.

5.6.5.1.1 National Recreation River Designation Pursuant to the Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

The 39-mile “natural-channel” reach of the Missouri River from Fort Randall Dam to the headwaters of Gavins Point Reservoir has been designated as a National Recreational River under the Federal WSRA. The National Park Service (NPS) manages the 39-mile reach pursuant to the WSRA. The justification that supported that this reach of the Missouri River be protected as a recreational river identified its outstanding remarkable recreational, fish and wildlife, aesthetic, historical, and cultural values. Under the WSRA, the U.S. Department of Interior (i.e., NPS) is mandated to administer this reach in a manner that will protect and enhance these values for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations.

5.6.5.1.2 State Designations and Listings Pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act

Pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act, the States of South Dakota and Nebraska have designated water quality-dependent beneficial uses, in their State water quality standards, for the Missouri River from of Fort Randall Dam to Gavins Point Reservoir. South Dakota has designated the following uses for this reach of the Missouri River: primary contact recreation, warmwater fishery, drinking water supply, and industrial water supply. Nebraska has designated the following uses to this reach of the Missouri River: primary contact recreation, warmwater aquatic life, agricultural water supply, and aesthetics. It has designated the use of drinking water supply to the river below the confluence of the Niobrara River. Nebraska has also designated the reach between the Nebraska-South Dakota border and Gavins Point Reservoir an Outstanding State Resource Water for “Tier 3” protection under the State’s water quality standard’s antidegradation policy.

5.6.5.2 Monitored Water Quality Conditions

The District, in cooperation with the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality, conducted fixed-station water quality monitoring at two sites along the Missouri River from Fort Randall Dam to Gavins Point Reservoir. The location of the two sites were Fort Randall Dam tailwaters (site FTRRRTW1) and the Missouri River near Verdel, NE (site MORRR0851) (see Figure 5.10). During the 4-year period of 2003 through 2006, water quality samples were collected monthly from October through March and biweekly from April through September. Plates 226 and 227 summarize the water quality conditions that were monitored at the two sites. A review of these results indicated no major water quality concerns.

5.7 GAVINS POINT

5.7.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5.7.1.1 Project Overview

Gavins Point Dam is located on the Missouri River at RM 811.1 on the South Dakota-Nebraska border in southeast South Dakota and northeast Nebraska, 4 miles west of Yankton, South Dakota. The closing of Gavins Point Dam in 1955 resulted in the formation of Gavins Point Reservoir (Lewis and Clark Lake). The reservoir is 25 miles long, covers 31,000 acres, and has 90 miles of shoreline when full. Table 5.16 summarizes how the surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Gavins Point Reservoir vary with pool elevations. Gavins Point Reservoir is normally regulated near 1206.0 ft-msl in the spring and early summer with variations day to day due to rainfall runoff. The reservoir level is then increased to elevation 1207.5 ft-msl following the least tern and piping plover nesting season for reservoir recreation enhancement. Major inflows to Gavins Point Reservoir are the Missouri River and Niobrara

Table 5.16. Surface area, volume, mean depth, and retention time of Gavins Point Reservoir at different pool elevations.

Elevation (Feet-msl)	Surface Area (Acres)	Volume (Acre-Feet)	Mean Depth (Feet)*	Retention Time (Years)**
1210	30,880	469,928	15.2	0.02337
1205	24,296	332,842	13.7	0.01655
1200	19,713	223,547	11.3	0.01112
1195	14,871	137,085	9.2	0.00682
1190	10,276	74,110	7.2	0.00369
1185	5,283	36,442	6.9	0.001810
1180	3,486	15,631	4.5	0.00078
1175	1,133	4,543	4.0	0.000232
1170	451	1,053	2.3	0.00005

Average Annual Inflow (1967 through 2006) = 20.20 Million Acre-Feet.

Average Annual Outflow: (1967 through 2006) = 20.11 Million Acre-Feet.

* Mean Depth = Volume ÷ Surface Area.

** Retention Time = Volume ÷ Average Annual Outflow.

Note: Exclusive Flood Control Zone (elev. 1210-1208 ft-msl), Annual Flood Control and Multiple Use Zone (elev. 1208-1204.5 ft-msl), Carryover Multiple Use Zone (none), and Permanent Pool Zone (elev. 1204.5-1160 ft-msl).

River. Water discharged through Gavins Point Dam for power production is withdrawn at the surface of the reservoir.

Gavins Point was authorized for the proposes of flood control, recreation, fish and wildlife, hydroelectric power production, water supply, water quality, navigation, and irrigation. The powerplant has three generating units that produce an annual average 0.96 million megawatt hours of electricity, valued in excess of \$10 million in revenue. Habitat for two endangered species, pallid sturgeon and interior least tern, and one threatened species, piping plover, occur within the project area. Gavins Point Reservoir is used as a water supply by the cities of Yankton, Bon Homme, Springfield, and Cedar, South Dakota. Gavins Point is an important recreational resource and a major visitor destination in South Dakota and Nebraska.

5.7.1.2 Water Quality Standards Classifications and Section 303(d) Listings

5.7.1.2.1 Gavins Point Reservoir

Pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act, the State of South Dakota has designated the following water quality-dependent beneficial uses for Gavins Point Reservoir: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), warmwater permanent fish life propagation, domestic water supply, agricultural water supply (i.e., irrigation and stock watering), commerce and industrial waters, and fish and wildlife propagation. The State of Nebraska has designated the following beneficial uses to Gavins Point Reservoir: primary contact recreation, Class I warmwater aquatic life, drinking water supply, agricultural water supply, industrial water supply, and aesthetics. The uses designated by the States of South Dakota and Nebraska to Gavins Point Reservoir are consistent with each other. Neither of the two States has placed Gavins Point Reservoir on the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters, or has issued fish consumption advisories for the reservoir.

5.7.1.2.2 Missouri River Downstream of Gavins Point Dam

See Section 6 for a discussion of the Lower Missouri River downstream of Gavins Point Dam.

5.7.1.3 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at the Gavins Point Project since the late 1970's. Water quality monitoring locations have included sites on the reservoir and on the outflow from the reservoir. Figure 5.10 shows the location of sites at the Gavins Point Project that have been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). The near-dam location (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) has been continuously monitored since 1980.

5.7.2 WATER QUALITY IN GAVINS POINT RESERVOIR

5.7.2.1 Existing Water Quality Conditions (2002 through 2006)

5.7.2.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 228 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored in Gavins Point Reservoir at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006. A review of these results indicated a possible water quality concern regarding dissolved oxygen. Based on the criteria for the protection of warmwater aquatic life propagation, 7 to 16% of the observations did not meet dissolved oxygen criteria. The dissolved oxygen measurements that were below the 5.0 and 6.0 mg/l criteria occurred near the reservoir bottom in the hypolimnion during the summer on occasions when the reservoir was thermally stratified. Nebraska's dissolved oxygen criteria are not applicable to the hypolimnion when lakes are thermally stratified.

5.7.2.1.2 Summer Thermal Stratification

Existing summer thermal stratification was assessed for Gavins Point Reservoir, based on monitoring results obtained at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). Temperature depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of July, August, and September (Plate 229). It appears a slight temperature-depth gradient (i.e., less than 5°C from reservoir surface to bottom) occasionally occurs in Gavins Point Reservoir in the near-dam lacustrine area during the summer (Plate 229). When this slight stratification occurs, a thermocline is present at about 8 meters depth. This indicates the reservoir is probably polymixic. During periods of calm weather in the summer, Gavins Point Reservoir will develop a slight thermal stratification. The thermal stratification breaks down under windier conditions, given the shallow depth of the reservoir (i.e., 15 meters), and the reservoir mixes throughout its water column.

5.7.2.1.3 Summer Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

Existing summer dissolved oxygen conditions were assessed for Gavins Point Reservoir, based on monitoring results obtained at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the past 5 years (i.e., 2002 through 2006). Dissolved oxygen depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of July, August, and September (Plate 230). The measured summer dissolved oxygen-depth profiles were quite variable, exhibiting little to significant differences in dissolved oxygen levels with depth. The lower dissolved oxygen concentrations were measured near the reservoir bottom. The variability of the summer dissolved oxygen-depth profiles is attributed to the polymixic nature of the reservoir. When thermal stratification of the reservoir does develop in the summer, significant dissolved oxygen degradation occurs in the near-bottom area of the hypolimnion. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured was 1.4 mg/l and was measured near the reservoir bottom on July 21, 2006.




Figure 5.10. Location of sites where water quality monitoring was conducted by the District at the Gavins Point Project during the period 2002 through 2006.

5.7.2.1.4 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

Near-surface and near-bottom water quality conditions monitored in Gavins Point Reservoir during the summer over the past 5 years at the near-dam, deepwater area (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) were compared. Near-surface samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir surface, and near bottom-samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the reservoir bottom. Box plots were used to display the distribution of the collected near-surface and near-bottom measurements for the following parameters: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon (Plate 231). Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent surface and bottom box plots for a parameter were taken to indicate a significant difference between the measurements. No parameter significantly varied between the surface and bottom (Plate 231).

5.7.2.1.5 Reservoir Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Gavins Point Reservoir were calculated from monitoring data collected during the past 5 years (i.e. 2002 through 2006) at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A). Table 5.17 summarizes the TSI values calculated for the reservoir. The TSI values indicate that the near-dam lacustrine area of Gavins Point Reservoir is in a moderately eutrophic to eutrophic state.

Table 5.17. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Gavins Point Reservoir for the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

TSI*	No. of Obs.	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
TSI(SD)	30	61	62	50	70
TSI(TP)	30	55	55	41	75
TSI(Chl)	23	55	55	40	69
TSI(Avg1)	30	57	57	49	66
TSI(Avg2)	23	58	58	49	66

* TSI(SD), TSI(TP), and TSI(Chl) are TSI index values based, respectively, on Secchi depth, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll *a* measurements. TSI(Avg1) is the average of TSI values regardless of the parameters available to calculate the average. TSI(Avg2) is the average of TSI values only when all three parameters were available to calculate the average.

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

5.7.2.1.6 Phytoplankton Community

Phytoplankton grab samples collected from Gavins Point Reservoir at site BBDLK0987A during the spring and summer of the 4-year period 2003 through 2006 are summarized in Plate 232. The following seven taxonomic divisions were represented by taxa collected in the phytoplankton samples: Bacillariophyta (Diatoms), Chlorophyta (Green Algae), Chrysophyta (Golden Algae), Cryptophyta (Cryptomonad Algae), Cyanobacteria (Blue-Green Algae), Pyrrophyta (Dinoflagellate Algae), and Euglenophyta (Euglenoid Algae). The general prevalence of these taxonomic divisions in the reservoir, based on taxa occurrence, were Bacillariophyta > Chlorophyta > Cyanobacteria > Cryptophyta/Euglenophyta/Pyrrophyta > Chrysophyta. The diatoms were generally the most abundant algae based on percent composition (Plate 232). The Shannon-Weaver genera diversity indices calculated for the 13 phytoplankton samples collected at site GPTLK0811A averaged 1.76. Dominant phytoplankton species occurring in the 13 samples collected at site GPTLK0811A included the

Bacillariophyta *Fragilaria* spp. (10 occasions), *Aulacoseira* spp. (6 occasions), *Asterionella* spp. (2 occasions), *Stephanodiscus* spp. (2 occasions), and *Cyclotella* spp. (1 occasion); Cryptophyta *Rhodomonas* spp. (43 occasions) and *Cryptomonas* spp. (2 occasions); Cyanobacteria *Aphanocapsa* spp. (1 occasion) and *Aphanothece* spp. (1 occasion); and Pyrrophyta *Ceratium* spp. (1 occasion) (Plate 233). No detectable concentrations of the microcystins toxin were monitored at site GPTLK0811A during 2005 or 2006.

5.7.2.1.7 Bacteria Monitoring at Swimming Beaches on Gavins Point Reservoir

During the 5-year period 2002 through 2006, bacteria samples were collected weekly from May through September at five swimming beaches located on Gavins Point Reservoir. The five swimming beaches where the bacteria samples were collected were: Weigand Recreation Area (site GPTLKBACT5), Gavins Point Recreation Area (site GPTLKBACT4), Lewis and Clark Recreation Area – Midway West Beach (site GPTLKBACT3), Lewis and Clark Recreation Area Midway East Beach (GPTLKBACT2), and the Marina Sailing Boat Area (site GPTLKBACT1). Table 5.18 summarizes the results of the bacteria sampling. The geometric means were calculated as running geometric means for five consecutive weekly bacteria samples and nondetects were set to 1. The bacteria sampling results were compared to following bacteria criteria for support of “full-body contact” recreation:

Fecal Coliform:

Bacteria of the fecal coliform group should not exceed a geometric mean of 200/100ml, nor equal or exceed 400/100ml, in more than 10% of the samples. These criteria are based on a minimum of five samples taken within a 30-day period.

E. coli:

E. coli bacteria should not exceed a geometric mean of 126/100ml. For increased confidence of the criteria, the geometric mean should be based on a minimum of five samples taken within a 30-day period. Single sample maximum allowable density for designated bathing beaches is 235/100ml.

Based on these criteria, “full-body contact” recreation was fully supported at the five sampled swimming beaches on Gavins Point Reservoir during the May through September recreational season during the 5-year period of 2002 through 2006.

5.7.2.2 Water Quality Trends (1980 through 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1980 through 2006 were determined for Gavins Point Reservoir for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the reservoir during the months of May through October at the near-dam monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A). Plate 234 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. For the assessment period, it appears that Gavins Point Reservoir exhibited increasing concentrations of total phosphorus and decreasing levels of transparency (i.e. Secchi depth) and chlorophyll *a*. Over the 27-year period, the reservoir has generally remained in a moderately eutrophic to eutrophic state with calculated TSI values showing no observable trend (Plate 234).

Table 5.18. Summary of weekly (May through September) bacteria sampling conducted at five swimming beaches on Gavins Point Reservoir over the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

	Weigand Recreation Area (GPTLKBACT5)	Gavins Point Recreation Area (GPTLKBACT4)	Lewis & Clark Rec. Area Midway West (GPTLKBACT3)	Lewis & Clark Rec. Area Midway East (GPTLKBACT2)	Marina Sailing Boat Area (GPTLKBACT1)
<u>Fecal Coliform Bacteria:</u>					
Number of Samples	107	106	107	107	107
Mean	176	57	35	36	34
Median	20	10	8	6	4
Minimum	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1	n.d.
Maximum	7,500	780	370	400	520
Percent of samples exceeding 400/100ml	4%	4%	0%	0%	1%
• Geometric Mean					
Number of Geomeans	87	86	87	88	87
Average	26	17	11	11	8
Median	19	14	8	7	6
Minimum	2	1	1	1	1
Maximum	140	66	69	67	39
Number of Geomeans exceeding 200/100ml	0	0	0	0	0
<u>E. coli Bacteria</u>					
Number of Samples	105	105	106	106	106
Mean	91	34	27	22	25
Median	13	10	4	3	2
Minimum	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Maximum	4,679	450	387	250	340
Percent of samples exceeding 235/100ml	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%
• Geomean					
Number of Geomeans	87	86	87	88	87
Average	18	12	9	8	6
Median	12	8	6	5	5
Minimum	2	2	1	1	1
Maximum	68	56	52	46	58
Number of geomeans exceeding 126/100ml	0	0	0	0	0

n.d. = Not detected.

Note: Not detected values set to 1 to calculate mean and geometric mean.

5.7.3 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS OF THE MISSOURI AND NIOBRARA RIVER INFLOWS TO GAVINS POINT RESERVOIR

5.7.3.1 Missouri River above the Confluence of the Niobrara River

5.7.3.1.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

The water quality conditions of the Missouri River above the confluence of the Niobrara River are defined by the water quality conditions monitored in the outflow from Fort Randall Dam (site FTRPP1), in the Fort Randall Dam tailwaters (site FTRRRTW1), and in the Missouri River near Verdel, Nebraska (site MORRR0851). Plates 211, 226, and 227, respectively, summarize water quality conditions monitored at these three sites over the past 5 years.

5.7.3.1.2 Nutrient Flux Conditions

The nutrient flux rates of the Missouri River above the confluence of the Niobrara River, over the period 2004 through 2006, were calculated from water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0851) (Table 5.19). The maximum nutrient flux rates are attributed to higher flows during maximum power production at Fort Randall Dam.

Table 5.19. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Missouri River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0851) over the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
No. of Obs.	64	64	64	63	-----	64
Mean	0.105	0.274	0.007	0.026	-----	3.320
Median	0.061	0.217	0.004	0.015	-----	3.300
Minimum	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001	-----	2.752
Maximum	0.923	1.846	0.043	0.170	-----	3.743

Note1: Non-detect values set to 1/2 the detection limit for flux calculations.

Note2: Statistics of Missouri River flows used for flux calculations were: mean = 23,952 cfs, median = 26,450cfs, minimum = 4,000 cfs, and maximum = 40,000 cfs.

5.7.3.1.3 Mean Daily Discharge and Temperature

Mean daily discharge and water temperature of the Fort Randall Dam outflow were determined for 2005 and 2006. These are considered the water quality conditions of the Missouri River above the confluence of the Niobrara River. Plates 235 and 236, respectively, plot 2005 and 2006 mean daily water temperature and flow for the Fort Randall Dam discharge.

5.7.3.2 Niobrara River

5.7.3.2.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

The Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ) maintains an ambient water quality monitoring site on the Niobrara near Verdel, Nebraska at USGS gaging station 06465500 (Figure 5.10).

The water quality conditions that were monitored by the NDEQ in the Niobrara River at this site are summarized in Plate 237. A review of these results indicated no significant water quality concerns.

5.7.3.2.2 Nutrient Flux Conditions

The nutrient flux rates of the Niobrara River above the confluence of the Missouri River, over the period 2004 through 2006, were calculated from water quality conditions monitored in the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site USGS 06465500) (Table 5.20). The maximum nutrient flux rates are attributed to greater nonpoint source nutrient loadings associated with runoff conditions.

Table 5.20. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) calculated for the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site USGS 06465500) over the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
No. of Obs.	69	69	69	69	-----	-----
Mean	0.002	0.038	0.040	0.012	-----	-----
Median	0.001	0.032	0.036	0.009	-----	-----
Minimum	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	-----	-----
Maximum	0.013	0.161	0.404	0.054	-----	-----

Note1: Non-detect values set to 1/2 the detection limit for flux calculations.

Note2: Statistics of Niobrara River flows used for flux calculations were: mean = 1,703 cfs, median = 1,430 cfs, minimum = 3 cfs, and maximum = 11,600 cfs.

5.7.3.2.3 Continuous Water Temperature Monitoring of the Niobrara River at the USGS Gaging Station near Verdel, Nebraska

Through an agreement with the USGS, a water temperature monitoring probe was added to the USGS's gage on the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site USGS 06465500). Beginning in April 2005, hourly water temperature measurements were recorded at the site. Plates 238 and 239, respectively, plot mean daily water temperature and river discharge determined for 2005 and 2006.

5.7.3.3 Estimated Total Inflow Conditions

The water quality conditions of the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir are estimated from the water quality conditions of the Missouri River above its confluence with the Niobrara River, and the Niobrara River above its confluence with the Missouri River. Streamflow in the Missouri River below the confluence of the Niobrara River is estimated by adding streamflows in each river. Water quality conditions are estimated by flow-weighted averaging.

5.7.3.3.1 Inflow Nutrient Flux Conditions

The estimated nutrient flux rates of the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir are given in Table 5.21. The estimates in Table 5.21 were determined by flow-weighting the results provided in Tables 5.19 and 5.20. This assumes that mean, median, minimum, and maximum conditions in the Missouri and Niobrara Rivers represented in Tables 5.19 and 5.20 occur concurrently.

Table 5.20. Summary of nutrient flux rates (kg/sec) estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir over the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Statistic	Total Ammonia N (kg/sec)	Total Kjeldahl N (kg/sec)	Total NO ₃ -NO ₂ N (kg/sec)	Total Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Dissolved Phosphorus (kg/sec)	Total Organic Carbon (kg/sec)
Mean	0.101	0.264	0.008	0.025	-----	-----
Median	0.580	0.208	0.006	0.015	-----	-----
Minimum	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	-----	-----
Maximum	0.718	0.718	0.124	0.144	-----	-----

Note1: Values are flow-weighted averages of the values provided in Tables 5.19 and 5.20.

Note2: Statistics of added flows for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir are: mean = 25,025 cfs, median = 27,880 cfs, minimum = 4,003 cfs, and maximum = 51,600 cfs.

5.7.3.3.2 Mean Daily Discharge and Temperature

The estimated mean daily discharge and temperature of the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir for 2005 and 2006 are plotted in Plates 240 and 241. The mean daily discharge was estimated by adding the mean daily discharges determined for the Fort Randall Dam outflow and Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska at USGS gaging station 06465500. The mean daily temperature was estimated by flow weighting the mean daily water temperatures determined at the two sites.

5.7.4 WATER QUALITY AT THE GAVINS POINT POWERPLANT

5.7.4.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 242 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored on water discharged through Gavins Point Dam during the period 2004 through 2006. A review of these results indicated a minor water quality concern regarding dissolved oxygen for the support of warmwater aquatic life. During the period 2004 through 2006, 3 and 1% of the recorded dissolved oxygen concentrations of water passed through Gavins Point Dam were, respectively, below the State water quality criteria of 6.0 and 5.0 mg/l. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration recorded was 2.9. Generally, dissolved oxygen levels were below these criteria during late-July. Seemingly, the lower dissolved oxygen levels may be related to lower oxygen solubility with warmer water and oxygen degradation in the hypolimnion when one is able to become established.

5.7.4.2 Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, and Dam Discharge Time-Series Plots

Semiannual time-series plots for temperature, dissolved oxygen, and dam discharge monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant during the 3-year period 2004 through 2006 were constructed. Water temperatures showed seasonal warming and cooling through each calendar year (Plates 243 - 248). Dissolved oxygen levels remained relatively high and stable during the winter, steadily declined through the spring and summer, and steadily increased during the fall (Plates 249 - 254). The lowest dissolved oxygen levels occurred during mid- to late-July. The higher winter, declining spring, and increasing fall dissolved oxygen concentrations are attributed to decreasing dissolved oxygen solubility with warmer water temperatures. Also, as noted above, the lower dissolved oxygen concentrations in July may be associated with degradation in the hypolimnion when limited thermal stratification is able to become established. There appeared to be little correlation between discharge rates and measured water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Plates 243 - 254).

5.7.4.3 Comparison of Monitored Inflow and Outflow Temperatures of the Missouri River at Gavins Point Reservoir

Plates 255 and 256, respectively, plot the mean daily water temperatures estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir and monitored at the Gavins Point Dam powerplant (site GTPPP1) for 2005 and 2006. Inflow temperatures of the Missouri River to Gavins Point Reservoir tend to be at little cooler than the outflow temperatures of Gavins Point Dam during the spring and early summer (Plates 255 and 256). Outflow temperatures of the Gavins Point Dam discharge tend to be a little warmer than the Missouri River inflow temperatures in the late-summer and fall (Plates 255 and 226).

5.8 COMPARISON OF EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS AT THE MAINSTEM SYSTEM RESERVOIRS

The 5-year period of 2002 through 2006 was a period of severe drought in the western United States where the Mainstem System reservoirs are located. During this period, Mainstem System reservoirs experienced historic low pool elevations and water in storage dropped to 47 percent of the Mainstem System storage capacity. Reduced pool levels and reservoir volumes were especially pronounced at the upper three Mainstem System reservoirs: Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe. Drought induced reduction in reservoir volumes could reasonably be expected to have water quality ramifications due to reductions in the pollution assimilative capacity of the reservoirs and exposure of previously flooded sediments. The final impact of the existing drought on water quality conditions at the Mainstem System reservoirs is still to be determined; however, monitoring of existing water quality conditions does not indicate major concerns other than the maintenance of coldwater fishery habitat.

5.8.1 ATTAINMENT OF STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

The attainment of water quality standards at the Mainstem System Projects, based on water quality conditions monitored over the 5-year period 2002 to 2006 by the District, is summarized in Table 5.21. Water quality standards attainment was defined as whether the designated beneficial uses in State water quality standards was supported based on the monitored water quality conditions. The following water quality standards attainment ratings were defined based on the percentage of the observations that exceed applicable water quality standards criteria: 1) Full Support (less than 10% of the observations exceed criteria), 2) Not Supported (greater than 20% of the observations exceed criteria), and 3) Threatened (10-20% of observations exceed criteria or greater 10% of the observations exceed criteria seasonally). It is noted that the “official” determination of whether water quality standards are being attained, pursuant to the Federal CWA, is identified by the States pursuant to their Section 305(b) and Section 303(d) assessments (See Table 1.3).

5.8.2 GENERAL WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS IN THE RESERVOIRS

Table 5.22 summarizes general water quality conditions at the Mainstem System reservoirs based on the water quality monitoring conducted over the 5-year period 2002 through 2006. The four largest reservoirs (i.e., Fort Peck, Garrison, Oahe, and Fort Randall) exhibit characteristics typical of temperate zone dimictic lakes. The four reservoirs exhibit thermal stratification in the summer and winter separated by periods of complete mixing during the spring and fall turnover periods. A large quiescent hypolimnion forms during the summer in the three larger reservoirs (i.e., Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe) with a smaller hypolimnion forming in Fort Randall. The formation of a smaller hypolimnion in Fort Randall Reservoir, as compared to the three other reservoirs, is attributed to its lesser maximum depth and volume. Due to its shallower depth, Gavins Point Reservoir appears to be polymixic, with periods of summer thermal stratification forming and breaking down as climatic factors change. Big Bend Reservoir does not typically exhibit summer thermal stratification due to its shallower depth and high

discharge rates that occur through Big Bend Dam. Severe hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen degradation regularly occurs in Garrison and Gavins Point Reservoirs when thermal stratification persists. Moderate hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen degradation occurs in Fort Randall Reservoir, while only minor hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen degradation appears to occur in Fort Peck and Oahe Reservoirs. Water quality conditions of summer discharges from Garrison and Fort Randall Dams are highly correlated to dam discharge rates. This high degree of correlation of summer water quality conditions of discharged water with dam discharge rate is not evident at the other four Mainstem System dams. The high degree of correlation at Garrison and Fort Randall Dams is attributed to each dam having a near-bottom withdrawal from their impounded reservoirs. The vertical extent of the withdrawal zone in these two reservoirs is dependent on the dam discharge rate. The lacustrine areas of the five upper reservoirs all appear to be mesotrophic, with only Gavins Point being in a eutrophic condition. The prevalence of major phytoplankton groups is similar in all six Mainstem System reservoirs, with diatoms being the most prevalent group.

Table 5.21. Summary of water quality standards attainment (i.e., support of designated beneficial uses) based on existing water quality conditions monitored at the Mainstem System projects. (Note: “Official” water quality standards attainment is defined in State prepared Section 305(b) and Section 303(d) assessments – See Table 1.3.)

	Recreation⁽¹⁾	Coldwater Aquatic Life	Warmwater Aquatic Life	Domestic Water Supply	Agricultural Water Supply	Industrial Water Supply
Fort Peck Reservoir	Unknown	Not Assigned ⁽²⁾	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Fort Peck Dam Tailwaters	Unknown	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Garrison Reservoir	Unknown	Threatened ⁽³⁾	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Garrison Dam Tailwaters	Unknown	Threatened ⁽⁴⁾	Threatened ⁽⁴⁾	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Oahe Reservoir	Unknown	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Oahe Dam Tailwaters	Unknown	Not Supported ⁽⁵⁾	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Big Bend Reservoir	Unknown	Not Supported ⁽⁶⁾	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Big Bend Dam Tailwaters	Unknown	Not Assigned	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Fort Randall Reservoir	Unknown	Not Assigned	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Fort Randall Dam Tailwaters	Unknown	Not Assigned	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Gavins Point Reservoir	Full Support	Not Assigned	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support
Gavins Point Dam Tailwaters	Unknown	Not Assigned	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support	Full Support

⁽¹⁾ Water quality standards attainment for recreation is based on assessment of collected bacteria data.

⁽²⁾ The State of Montana has not assigned a coldwater aquatic life use to Fort Peck Reservoir. A coldwater fishery and associated aquatic life do exist in Fort Peck Reservoir and monitored water quality conditions indicate that it is currently fully supported.

⁽³⁾ Coldwater aquatic life in Garrison Reservoir is currently threatened by warm water temperatures and low dissolved oxygen levels occurring in the hypolimnion during the late summer when reservoir pool levels fall below elevation 1825 ft-msl.

⁽⁴⁾ Aquatic life uses in the Garrison Dam tailwaters may be threatened by low dissolved oxygen levels during late summer. Water discharged from Garrison Dam is drawn from the bottom of Garrison Reservoir. The reservoir thermally stratifies during the summer and the lower depths of the hypolimnion experience dissolved oxygen degradation as the summer progresses.

⁽⁵⁾ Oahe Reservoir thermally stratifies in the summer and coldwater aquatic life is supported in the reservoir’s hypolimnion. However, the power tunnel portals at Oahe Dam are located about 110 feet above the bottom of the reservoir and dam discharges during the summer commonly draw warmer water from the metalimnion and epilimnion – especially when pool elevations are low. Thus, water temperatures in the Oahe Dam tailwaters are not supportive of coldwater aquatic life during mid- to late-summer.

⁽⁶⁾ Big Bend Reservoir generally does not exhibit sharp thermal stratification in the summer; therefore, a coldwater hypolimnion does not usually form. The lack of significant summer thermal stratification at the reservoir is attributed to its relative shallowness and the high discharges released through Big Bend Dam associated with its operation to meet peak power demands. Due to the lack of significant summer thermal stratification, ambient water temperatures in Big Bend Reservoir are not cold enough to support coldwater permanent fish life propagation, as defined by State water quality criteria. Consideration should be given to reclassify Big Bend Reservoir for a warmwater permanent fish life propagation use based on a use attainability assessment of “natural conditions” regarding ambient water temperature.

Table 5.22. Summary of general water quality conditions monitored at the Mainstem System reservoirs over the 5-year period 2002 through 2006. (Note: The 5-year period of 2002 through 2006 has been a period of severe drought in the western United States where the Mainstem System is located.)

	Fort Peck	Garrison	Oahe	Big Bend	Fort Randall	Gavins Point
Maximum reservoir depth near the dam when pool elevation is a top of Carryover Multiple Use Zone.	204 ft	168 ft	193 ft	75 ft	123 ft	48 ft
Minimum daily pool elevation recorded during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	2198.3 ft-msl	1805.8 ft-msl	1570.3 ft-msl	1417.4 ft-msl	1334.9 ft-msl	1204.6 ft-msl
Maximum reservoir depth near the dam at the minimum pool elevation recorded during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.	168 ft	136 ft	155 ft	72 ft	108 ft	45 ft
Extent of hypolimnion formed during summer thermal stratification period	Large (Plate 11)	Large (Plate 63)	Large (Plate 122)	None (Plate 158)	Small (Plate 192)	Very Small (Plate 229)
Extent of dissolved oxygen degradation in the hypolimnion just prior to “fall turnover” of the reservoir	Minor (Plate 16)	Severe (Plate 70)	Minor (Plate 127)	None (Plate 159)	Moderate (Plate 197)	Severe (Plate 230)
Correlation of dam discharge water quality conditions to dam discharge rates during the summer	Low (Plates 32-43)	High (Plates 89-103)	Low (Plates 143-154)	Low (Plates 167-178)	High (Plates 212-223)	Low (Plates 243-254)
Lake trophic status ⁽¹⁾	Mesotrophic	Mesotrophic	Mesotrophic	Mesotrophic	Mesotrophic	Eutrophic
Average TSI score ⁽²⁾	46	46	47	41	47	66
Prevalence of sampled phytoplankton groups ⁽³⁾ (Note: 1 most prevalent and 7 least prevalent. Groups with same number had similar prevalence.)	1)Bacillariophyta 2)Chlorophyta 2)Cryptophyta 2)Cyanobacteria 2)Pyrrophyta 6)Chrysophyta 7)Euglenophyta	1)Bacillariophyta 2)Chlorophyta 2)Cryptophyta 2)Cyanobacteria 2)Pyrrophyta 6)Chrysophyta 7)Euglenophyta	1)Bacillariophyta 2)Chlorophyta 2)Cyanobacteria 4)Cryptophyta 5)Pyrrophyta 6)Chrysophyta 7)Euglenophyta	1)Bacillariophyta 2)Chlorophyta 2)Cyanobacteria 4)Cryptophyta 4)Pyrrophyta 6)Chrysophyta 7)Euglenophyta	1)Bacillariophyta 2)Chlorophyta 3)Cyanobacteria 4)Chrysophyta 4)Cryptophyta 4)Pyrrophyta 7)Euglenophyta	1)Bacillariophyta 2)Chlorophyta 3)Cyanobacteria 4)Cryptophyta 4)Euglenophyta 4)Pyrrophyta 7)Chrysophyta

⁽¹⁾ Based on near-dam water quality conditions in the reservoir.

⁽²⁾ TSI = Trophic State Index (see text for explanation). Based on near-dam water quality conditions in the reservoir.

⁽³⁾ Based on phytoplankton samples collected near the dam of each reservoir.

5.8.3 COMPARISON OF THE EXISTING COLDWATER HABITAT CONDITIONS IN FORT PECK, GARRISON, AND OAHE RESERVOIRS

5.8.3.1 Water Temperature

Near-bottom water temperatures measured at a near-dam, deepwater monitoring site in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs during the period May through October of 2003 through 2006 were plotted to compare hypolimnetic water temperatures of the three reservoirs (Plate 257). In all 4 years, the near-bottom water temperatures measured in the three reservoirs were similar in May. The near-bottom temperature of all three reservoirs increased every year over the “summer” period. The relative rates at which the near-bottom water warmed in the three reservoirs remained consistent over the 4 years; Garrison had the highest rate of warming, Oahe had the lowest, and the rate of warming of Fort Peck was in between (Plate 257).

Water temperature-depth profiles measured at the near-dam, deepwater locations at the three reservoirs were used to determine the depth at which water temperatures of 15°C or less occurred. Plate 258 shows a plot of the 15°C water temperature isopleths for Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs for 2003 through 2006. All three reservoirs exhibited surface water temperatures at or below 15°C in May of all 4 years (Plate 258). After May of each year, the 15°C isopleths in all three reservoirs generally moved downward (i.e., 15°C water temperature occurred at a greater depth) through the “summer” period until fall turnover of the reservoirs occurred (Plate 258). The rate of decline of the 15°C isopleths at Garrison was greater than at Fort Peck and Oahe in 2003 through 2005 (Plate 258). In 2006 the rate of decline of the 15°C isopleths was similar in all three reservoirs (Plate 258). The decreasing rate in the decline of the 15°C isopleths at Garrison Reservoir, in comparison to Fort Peck and Oahe Reservoirs, in 2006 is attributed to the short-term water management measures implemented at Garrison Dam to enhance coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

The rate of hypolimnetic warming of the three reservoirs appears to be related to the depth of water withdrawal from the reservoir. Garrison Reservoir has the deepest level of withdrawal (i.e., 2 feet above the reservoir bottom) and, except for 2006, had the highest rate of warming. Oahe Reservoir has the shallowest level of withdrawal (i.e., 110 feet above the reservoir bottom) and had the lowest rate of warming. Fort Peck Reservoir has an intermediary level of withdrawal (i.e., 65 feet above the reservoir bottom) and had an intermediate rate of warming. In 2006, the implemented short-term water quality management measures at Garrison Dam (i.e., plywood barriers on trash racks) resulted in an intermediary level of withdrawal, and in 2006 the rate of hypolimnetic warming at Garrison Reservoir was similar to Fort Peck Reservoir. The near-bottom withdrawal of water from Garrison Reservoir, absent the trash-rack plywood barriers, undoubtedly causes mixing within the hypolimnion as water is discharged through the dam and evacuated from lower levels of the hypolimnion. This mixing induces the transfer of heat within the reservoir’s hypolimnion and into it from the metalimnion. The elevation of water withdrawal from Oahe Reservoir is generally at or above the thermocline, especially during low pool levels, and extensive mixing and warming of the hypolimnion is not induced. Water withdrawn from Fort Peck Reservoir and from Garrison Reservoir with the trash-rack plywood barriers in place is from the upper depths of the hypolimnion, and some withdrawal induced mixing and warming of the hypolimnion may take place.

5.8.3.2 Dissolved Oxygen

As was done for water temperature, near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at the near-dam, deepwater monitoring sites in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs during the May through October period of 2003 through 2006 were plotted to compare the hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen conditions of the three reservoirs (Plate 259). For all 4 years, the near-bottom dissolved oxygen

concentrations measured in Garrison Reservoir ended the summer period (i.e., just prior to fall turnover) with lower dissolved oxygen levels than the other two reservoirs (Plate 259). The hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen levels of all three reservoirs decreased every year over the summer period. The relative rates of dissolved oxygen degradation in the near-bottom water of the three reservoirs over the summer periods evaluated were somewhat consistent. The hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen degradation rates in Fort Peck and Oahe Reservoirs appeared similar and less those present in Garrison Reservoir (Plate 259).

5.8.3.3 Occurrence of Coldwater Habitat

The occurrence of coldwater habitat in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe was compared based on the presence of optimal coldwater habitat conditions (i.e., temperature $\leq 15^{\circ}\text{C}$ and dissolved oxygen ≥ 5 mg/l) measured at a near-dam, deepwater monitoring site in each reservoir. Plates 260, 261, and 262 plot the 15°C water temperature and 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen concentration isopleths measured in each reservoir during 2003 through 2006. The elevation of the intake into the dam (i.e., lower elevation of withdrawal from each reservoir) is shown as the dotted line (Plates 260 - 262). The occurrence of optimal cold-water habitat in each reservoir is represented by the area between the 15°C and 5 mg/l isopleths. As shown in Plates 260 - 262, the occurrence of optimal coldwater habitat decreased in each reservoir during the summer; however, the decrease that occurred in Garrison Reservoir was greater. It appears that in 2005 and 2006 little optimal coldwater habitat occurred in Garrison Reservoir in late summer just prior to fall turnover of the reservoir.

6 LOWER MISSOURI RIVER: GAVINS POINT DAM TO RULO, NEBRASKA

6.1 CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS AND TRIBUTARIES

The Missouri River between Gavins Point Dam (RM 811.1) and Rulo, Nebraska (RM498.0) flows in an east-southeasterly to south-southeasterly direction. Major tributaries to the Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam, moving downstream, include: James River (South Dakota) at RM 800.8, Vermillion River (South Dakota) at RM 772.0, Big Sioux River (South Dakota and Iowa) at RM 734.0, Floyd River (Iowa) at RM 731.1, Little Sioux River (Iowa) at RM 669.2, Platte River (Nebraska) at RM 494.8, and Nishnabotna River (Iowa) at RM 542.0. Extensive bed degradation has occurred in the upper areas of this Missouri River reach because river sediment is captured above Gavins Point Dam. Another factor is the substantial Missouri River channel shortening that occurred as part of the downstream Missouri River Bank Stabilization and Navigation Project. Gradual armoring of the riverbed has reduced the rate of channel degradation. Since 1965, approximately 10 feet of stage reduction has occurred for a discharge of 30,000 cfs in the Sioux City, Iowa area. During this period channel degradation of the Missouri River downstream in the Omaha, Nebraska (RM 615.9) area has been non-existent. This reach of the Missouri River can be separated into three distinct sub reaches: the Missouri River National Recreational River, Kensler's Bend, and the Missouri River Navigation Channel reaches.

6.1.1 MISSOURI RIVER NATIONAL RECREATION RIVER REACH

The 59-mile reach of the Missouri River downstream of Gavins Point Dam starting at RM 811.0 down to Ponca, Nebraska (RM 752.0) has been designated a National Recreational River under the Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. This reach of the river has not been channelized by construction of dikes and revetments, and has a meandering channel with many chutes, backwater marshes, sandbars, islands, and variable current velocities. Snags and deep pools are also common. Although this portion of the river includes some bank stabilization structures, the river remains fairly wide. Bank erosion rates since the closure of Gavins Point Dam in 1956 have averaged 132 acres per year between Gavins Point Dam and Ponca, compared to a pre-dam rate of 202 acres per year. The rate of erosion had been declining since 1975, and then dramatically increased during the high flow years of 1995 through 1997.

6.1.2 KENSLE'S BEND REACH

The Kensler's Bend reach of the Missouri River extends from Ponca, Nebraska (RM 752.0) to above Sioux City, Iowa (RM 735.0). The Missouri River banks have been stabilized with dikes and revetments through this reach, but it has not been channelized.

6.1.3 MISSOURI RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL REACH

The reach of the Missouri River from the end of the Kensler's Bend reach (RM 735.0) to Rulo, Nebraska (RM 498.0) has been modified over its entire length by an intricate system of dikes and revetments designed to provide a continuous navigation channel without the use of locks and dams. This reach is managed by the Corps under the Missouri River Bank Stabilization and Navigation Project. In addition to the primary authorization to maintain a navigation channel (9 ft deep by 300 ft wide) downstream from Sioux City, Iowa to the mouth of the Missouri River, there are authorizations to stabilize the river's banks.

6.2 FLOW REGULATION

Releases from Gavins Point Dam follow the same pattern as those from Fort Randall Dam because there is little active storage in Gavins Point Reservoir. Releases from both dams are based on the amount of water in Mainstem System storage, which governs how much water will be released to meet service demands in the portion of the lower Missouri River from Sioux City, Iowa to St. Louis, Missouri. Constraints for flood control, threatened and endangered bird nesting, and fish spawning requirements also are factors governing releases. Releases from Gavins Point Dam generally fall into three categories: navigation, flood evacuation, and nonnavigation releases.

6.2.1 MAINSTEM SYSTEM SERVICE LEVEL

To facilitate appropriate application of multipurpose regulation criteria to the Mainstem System, a numeric “service level” has been adopted since the Mainstem System was first filled in 1967. Quantitatively, this service level approximates the water volume necessary to achieve a normal 8-month navigation season with average downstream tributary flow contributions. For “full-service” and “minimum service” levels, the numeric service level values are, 35,000 cfs (cubic feet per second) and 29,000 cfs, respectively. This service level is used for selection of appropriate flow target values at previously established downstream control locations on the Missouri River. There are four flow target locations selected below Gavins Point Dam to assure that the Missouri River has adequate water available for the entire downstream reach to achieve regulation objectives. The four flow target locations and their flow target discharge deviation from service levels are: Sioux City (-4,000 cfs); Omaha (-4,000 cfs); Nebraska City (+2,000 cfs); and Kansas City (+6,000 cfs). A full-service level of 35,000 cfs results in target discharges of 31,000 cfs at Sioux City and Omaha; 37,000 cfs at Nebraska City; and 41,000 cfs at Kansas City. Similarly, a minimum-service level of 29,000 cfs results in target values of 6,000 cfs less than the full-service levels at the four target locations. The relation of service levels to the volume of water in Mainstem System storage is as follows:

Date	Water in Mainstem System Storage (MAF)	Service Level (cfs)
March 15	54.5 or more*	35,000 (full-service)
March 15	31.0 to 49.0*	29,000 (minimum-service)
March 15	31.0 or less	No Service
July 1	57.0 or more*	35,000 (full-service)
July 1	50.5 or less*	29,000 (minimum-service)

* Straight-line interpolation defines intermediate service levels between full and minimum service.

The length of the navigation season is determined by the volume of water in storage as follows:

Date	Water in Mainstem System Storage (MAF)	Season Closure Date at Mouth of Missouri River
March 15	Less than 31.0	No season
July 1	51.5 or more*	December 1 (8-month season)
July 1	41.0 to 46.8*	November 1 (7-month season)
July 1	36.5 or less*	October 1 (6-month season)

* Straight-line interpolation defines intermediate closure date between given values.

6.2.2 HISTORIC FLOW RELEASES

In the navigation season, which generally runs from April 1 through December 1, releases from Gavins Point Dam are generally 25,000 to 35,000 cfs. In the winter, releases are in the 10,000- to 20,000-

cfs range. In wet years with above-normal upstream inflows, releases are higher to evacuate flood control storage space in upstream reservoirs. Maximum winter releases are generally kept below 24,000 cfs to minimize downstream flooding problems caused by ice jams in the lower river. During the 1987 to 1993 drought, nonnavigation releases were generally in the 8,000- to 9,000- cfs range immediately following the end and preceding the start of the navigation season. During cold weather, releases were increased up to 15,000 cfs, but generally averaged 12,000 cfs over the 3-month winter period from December through February.

6.2.3 FLOW RELEASES FOR WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

Generally, Mainstem System project release levels necessary to meet downstream water supply purposes exceed the minimum release levels necessary to meet minimum downstream water quality requirements. Tentative flow requirements for satisfactory water quality were first established by the U.S. Public Health Service and presented in the 1951 Missouri Basin Inter-Agency Committee Report on Adequacy of Flows in the Missouri River. These requirements were used in Mainstem System regulation until revisions were made in 1969 by the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration. The Missouri River minimum daily flow requirements for water quality (i.e., dissolved oxygen) that are given below were initially established by the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration in 1969. They were reaffirmed by the Environmental Protection Agency in 1974 after consideration of: 1) the current status of PL 92-500 programs for managing both point and non-point sources discharging into the river, and 2) the satisfactory adherence to the dissolved-oxygen concentration of 5.0 mg/l. The minimum daily flow requirements listed below are used for Mainstem System regulation purposes.

Location	Dec, Jan, Feb	Mar, Apr	May	Jun, Jul, Aug, Sep	Oct, Nov
Sioux City, IA	1,800 cfs	1,370 cfs	1,800 cfs	3,000 cfs	1,350 cfs
Omaha, NE	4,500 cfs	3,375 cfs	4,500 cfs	7,500 cfs	3,375 cfs
Kansas City, MO	5,400 cfs	4,050 cfs	5,400 cfs	9,000 cfs	4,050 cfs

Low flows in the Missouri River downstream from Gavins Point Dam may affect the ability of powerplants on this reach to meet National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit thermal limits for discharging cooling water back into the Missouri River.

6.2.4 FLOW TRAVEL TIMES

For purposes of scheduling releases, approximate open water travel times from Gavins Point Dam are 1.5 days to Sioux City; 3 days to Omaha; 3.5 days to Nebraska City; 5.5 days to Kansas City; and 10 days to the mouth of the Missouri River near St. Louis.

6.3 HISTORIC FLOW CONDITIONS (1967 TO 2006)

Historic flow conditions for the period 1967 through 2006 were determined from Corps and USGS gaging sites along the Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska. The gaging sites include: Gavins Point Dam; Omaha; Nebraska City; and Rulo. Box plots showing the distribution of the mean daily flows measured over the 40-year period are shown in Figure 6.1.




Figure 6.1. Distribution of mean daily flows recorded at gaging sites on the Missouri River at Gavins Point Dam, Omaha, NE, Nebraska City, NE, and Rulo, NE during the 40-year period of 1967 through 2006.

6.4 NATIONAL RECREATION RIVER DESIGNATION PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT

The 59-mile “natural-channel” reach from Gavins Point Dam to Ponca State Park, Nebraska has been designated as a National Recreational River under the Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (WSRA). The National Park Service (NPS) manages the reach under the WSRA. The justification that supported that this reach of the Missouri River be protected as a recreational river identified its outstanding remarkable recreational, fish and wildlife, aesthetic, historical, and cultural values. Under the WSRA, the U.S. Department of Interior (i.e., NPS) is mandated to administer this reach in a manner that will protect and enhance these values for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations.

6.5 STATE DESIGNATIONS AND LISTINGS PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL CLEAN WATER ACT

Pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA), the States of South Dakota, Nebraska, Iowa, and Missouri have designated water quality-dependent beneficial uses, in their State water quality standards, for appropriate reaches of the Missouri River downstream of Gavins Point Dam. South Dakota has designated the following uses for all of the Missouri River within the state downstream of Gavins Point Dam: primary contact recreation, warmwater fishery, drinking water supply, and industrial water supply. Nebraska has designated the following uses to the entire length of the Missouri River in Nebraska: primary contact recreation, warmwater aquatic life, agricultural water supply, and aesthetics. It has designated the use of drinking water supply to the river below the confluence of the Niobrara River, and industrial water supply to the river below the confluence of the Big Sioux River. Nebraska has also designated the reach between Gavins Point Dam and Ponca State Park as Outstanding State Resource

Waters for “Tier 3” protection under the State’s water quality standard’s antidegradation policy. Iowa has designated the following uses to all of the Missouri River in the state: primary contact recreation, warmwater fishery, and high quality state resource water. It has also designated the use of drinking water supply to the river in the area of Council Bluffs, Iowa. Missouri has designated the following uses to the river: primary contact recreation, warmwater fishery, drinking water supply, agricultural water supply, and industrial water supply. The States of Nebraska, Iowa, and Missouri have listed the Missouri River on their State’s Section 303(d) list of impaired waters. The pollutant/stressors identified are pathogens, siltation, habitat loss, and arsenic. The source of siltation and habitat loss is identified as hydrologic modifications and channelization. The identified sources for the pathogens are municipal point sources, agriculture, and urban runoff.

6.6 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS (2002 THROUGH 2006)

The Omaha District, in cooperation with the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ), conducted fixed-station water quality monitoring at seven sites along the Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska during the 4-year period of 2003 through 2006. The location of the seven sites were Gavins Point Dam tailwaters (site GPTRRTW1); near Maskell, Nebraska (site MORRR0774); near Ponca, Nebraska (site MORRR0753); at Decatur, Nebraska (site MORRR0691); at Omaha, Nebraska (site MORRR0619); at Nebraska City, Nebraska (site MORRR0563); and at Rulo, Nebraska (site MORRR0498) (Figure 6.2).

6.6.1 STATISTICAL SUMMARY AND COMPARISON TO APPLICABLE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS CRITERIA

During the 4-year period, water quality samples at the seven sites were collected monthly from October through March and biweekly from April through September. Plates 263 - 269 summarize the water quality conditions that were monitored at the sites. A review of these results indicated no major water quality concerns.

6.6.2 LONGITUDINAL VARIATION IN WATER QUALITY

The distribution of selected parameters measured over the 4-year period was depicted as box plots at each of the seven monitored locations. The parameters plotted include water temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, chloride, turbidity, total suspended solids, chemical oxygen demand, total organic carbon, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite nitrogen, total phosphorus, and atrazine (Plate 270). For comparison purposes, box plots for the individual parameters measured at each of the seven sites are arranged relative to their respective location in an upstream to downstream order (i.e., GPTRRTW1 = RM811, MORRR0774 = RM774, MORRR0753 = RM753, MORR0691 = RM691, MORR0619 = RM619, MORR0563 = RM563, and MORR0498 = RM498). Four longitudinal trends were categorized based on the constructed longitudinal box plots: 1) parameter exhibits no observable longitudinal trend, 2) parameter slightly decreases in a downstream direction, 3) parameter slightly increases in a downstream direction, and 4) parameter greatly increases in a downstream direction. Parameters that exhibited no observable longitudinal trend included pH, specific conductance, and total ammonia (Plate 270). Dissolved oxygen is the only parameter that slightly decreased in a downstream direction (Plate 270). Parameters that slightly increased in a downstream direction included temperature, chloride, chemical oxygen demand, total organic carbon, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, atrazine, and alachlor (Plate 270). Parameters that greatly increased in a downstream direction included turbidity, total suspended solids, nitrate-nitrite nitrogen, and total phosphorus (Plate 270).




Figure 6.2. Locations of water quality monitoring sites along the Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska.

6.7 USGS WATER TEMPERATURE MONITORING IN 2006

During 2006, the USGS conducted “real-time” water quality monitoring at several sites along the lower Missouri River. Monitoring sites on the Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to near Rulo, Nebraska included: Yankton, SD (station 06467500); Ponca, NE (station 06479097); Sioux City, IA (station 06486000); Decatur, NE (station 06601200); Omaha, NE (station 06610000); Nebraska City, NR (Station 06807000); and St. Joseph, MO (station 06818000). “Real-time” water quality parameters that were monitored included water temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, and turbidity. These water quality data are available on the following USGS web site: <http://ne.water.usgs.gov/missouririverwq/index.html>.

7 MAINSTEM ANCILLARY LAKES

7.1 LAKE AUDUBON

7.1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

7.1.1.1 Lake Description

Lake Audubon is a sub-impoundment of Garrison Reservoir that is impounded by the Snake Creek Dam. Lake Audubon is located 12 miles northeast of Garrison Dam near the town of Garrison, North Dakota. The Snake Creek Dam was constructed in 1954 with the primary purpose of relocating transportation and utility services inundated by the creation of Garrison Reservoir. A future purpose of Lake Audubon was to facilitate diversion for the purposes of irrigation, water supply, and pollution abatement. Maintenance of a stable sub-impoundment in the Snake River arm of Garrison Reservoir for wildlife and recreational development was defined as a desirable feature. The Snake River Dam has a crest elevation of 1865 ft-msl, and Lake Audubon pool levels are normally kept at about 1847 ft-msl in the summer and 1845 ft-msl in the winter. At pool elevation 1847 ft-msl, Lake Audubon has a surface area of approximately 18,780 acres. The lake is operated in cooperation with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the North Dakota Game and Fish Department.

7.1.1.2 Water Quality Standards and Section 303(d) Listings

Pursuant to the Federal CWA, the State of North Dakota has designated Lake Audubon as a Class 2 lake. As such, the lake is to be suitable for the propagation and maintenance of a cool-water fishery (i.e., northern pike and walleye) and associated biota; swimming, boating, and other water recreation; irrigation; stock watering; wildlife; and for municipal or domestic use after appropriate treatment. The State of North Dakota has not placed the lake on the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters, but has issued a statewide fish consumption advisory, which applies to Lake Audubon, due to mercury concerns.

7.1.1.3 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at Lake Audubon since 1980. Figure 7.1 show the location at Lake Audubon that has been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years. The near-dam site was monitored in 2002 and 2006.

7.1.2 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS (2002 THROUGH 2006)

7.1.2.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 271 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored in Lake Audubon at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site AUDLKND1) during 2002 and 2006. A review of these results indicated no water quality concerns.



Figure 7.1. Location of water quality monitoring site at Lake Audubon.

7.1.2.2 Summer Thermal Stratification

Existing summer thermal stratification was assessed for Lake Audubon, based on monitoring results obtained at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site AUDLKND1) during 2002 and 2006. Temperature depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of June, July and August (Plate 272). It appears a temperature-depth gradient occasionally occurs in Lake Audubon in the near-dam lacustrine area during the summer (Plate 272). When temperature stratification occurred, a thermocline was present near the lake bottom at about 13 meters depth. This indicates the reservoir is probably polymixic. During periods of calm weather in the summer, Lake Audubon likely develops a slight thermal stratification. The thermal stratification seemingly breaks down under windier conditions, given the shallow depth of the reservoir (i.e., 16 meters), allowing the reservoir to mix throughout the water column.

7.1.2.3 Summer Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

Existing summer dissolved oxygen conditions were assessed for Lake Audubon based on monitoring results obtained at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during 2002 and 2006. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of June, July, and August (Plate 273). The measured summer dissolved oxygen-depth profiles exhibited some variability with depth. On occasions, low dissolved oxygen concentrations were measured near the reservoir bottom. The variability of the summer dissolved oxygen-depth profiles is attributed to the probable polymictic nature of the lake. When thermal stratification of the reservoir develops in the summer, significant dissolved oxygen degradation occurs in the near-bottom area of the hypolimnion. The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured was 1.7 mg/l, and was measured near the reservoir bottom on July 25, 2006.

7.1.2.4 Lake Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Lake Audubon were calculated from monitoring data collected during 2002 and 2006 at the near-dam, ambient monitoring site (i.e., site AUDLKND1). Table 7.1 summarizes the TSI values calculated for the lake. The TSI values indicate that the near-dam lacustrine area of Lake Audubon is in a moderately eutrophic state.

Table 7.1. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Lake Audubon for 2002 and 2006.

TSI*	No. of Obs.	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
TSI(SD)	7	50	52	43	57
TSI(TP)	7	54	52	41	76
TSI(Chl)	4	52	52	45	57
TSI(Avg1)	7	49	48	42	57
TSI(Avg2)	4	52	52	45	57

* TSI(SD), TSI(TP), and TSI(Chl) are TSI index values based, respectively, on Secchi depth, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll *a* measurements. TSI(Avg1) is the average of TSI values irregardless of the parameters available to calculate the average. TSI(Avg2) is the average of TSI values only when all three parameters were available to calculate the average.

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

7.1.3 WATER QUALITY TRENDS (1980 THROUGH 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1980 through 2006 were determined for Lake Audubon for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the lake during the months of May through October at the near-dam monitoring site (i.e., site AUDLKND1). Plate 274 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. For the assessment period, it appears that Lake Audubon exhibited decreasing concentrations of total phosphorus and chlorophyll *a* and no noticeable change in transparency (i.e. Secchi depth). Over the 27-year period, the lake has generally remained in a moderately eutrophic state with calculated TSI values showing a slight decreasing trend (Plate 274).

7.2 LAKE POCASSE

7.2.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

7.2.1.1 Lake Description

Lake Pocasse is a sub-impoundment of Oahe Reservoir on Spring Creek that is impounded by the Spring Creek Dam. Lake Pocasse is located in Campbell County, South Dakota, near the town of Pollock. The Spring Creek Dam was built in lieu of a road relocation with a bridge spanning Spring Creek. The purpose of the subimpoundment was to provide lake and marsh habitat for fish and wildlife management on the Spring Creek bottoms within the Oahe Reservoir pool area. In October 1962, a National Wildlife Refuge was established in the Spring Creek Bottoms, which includes Lake Pocasse. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is responsible for the maintenance and management of wildlife habitat at Lake Pocasse. At the top of the multi-purpose pool (elevation 1614 ft-msl), Lake Pocasse has a surface area of approximately 1,545 acres and a volume of 7,100 acre-feet

7.2.1.2 Water Quality Standards and Section 303(d) Listings

The State of South Dakota has designated the following water quality-dependent beneficial uses for Lake Pocasse in the State's water quality standards: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), warmwater permanent fish life propagation, fish and wildlife propagation, and stock watering. The State of South Dakota has placed Lake Pocasse on the State's Section 303(d) list of impaired waters as a category 5 waterbody. The identified impaired use is warmwater permanent fish life, with the impairment attributable to nonpoint source pollution. The State has not issued a fish consumption advisory for the lake.

7.2.1.3 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has not monitored water quality conditions at Lake Pocasse historically or during the period of 2002 through 2006.

7.3 LAKE YANKTON

7.3.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

7.3.1.1 Lake Description

Lake Yankton is an “oxbow” lake of the Missouri River that straddles the Nebraska and South Dakota border, just below Gavins Point Dam. The lake was formed when the Gavins Point Dam embankment and the training dike downstream of the dam’s outlet were constructed and cutoff a portion of the Missouri River channel. Lake Yankton has a surface area of approximately 250 acres.

7.3.1.2 Water Quality Standards and Section 303(d) Listings

Pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act, the State of South Dakota has designated the following water quality-dependent beneficial uses for Lake Yankton: recreation (i.e., immersion and limited-contact), warmwater permanent fish life propagation, fish and wildlife propagation, and stock watering. The State of Nebraska has designated the following beneficial uses to Lake Yankton: primary contact recreation, Class I warmwater aquatic life, agricultural water supply, and aesthetics. The uses designated by the States of South Dakota and Nebraska to Lake Yankton are consistent with each other. Neither of the two States has placed Lake Yankton on the State’s Section 303(d) list of impaired waters, or has issued fish consumption advisories for the lake.

7.3.1.3 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring

The District has monitored water quality conditions at Lake Yankton since 1982. Figure 7.2 show the location at Lake Yankton that has been monitored for water quality during the past 5 years. The deepwater site was monitored in 2002, 2003, and 2006.

7.3.2 EXISTING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS (2002 THROUGH 2006)

7.3.2.1 Statistical Summary and Comparison to Applicable Water Quality Standards Criteria

Plate 275 summarizes the water quality conditions that were monitored in Lake Yankton at the deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site YAKLKND1) during 2002, 2003, and 2006. Based on the criteria for the protection of warmwater aquatic life, 36 to 40% of the observations did not meet dissolved oxygen criteria. The dissolved oxygen measurements that were below the 5.0 and 6.0 mg/l criteria occurred near the lake bottom in the hypolimnion during the summer on occasions when the lake was thermally stratified. Nebraska’s dissolved oxygen criteria are not applicable to the hypolimnion when lakes are thermally stratified. The pesticides atrazine and chlorpyrifos were detected on one occasion at levels above State water quality standards criteria.

7.3.2.2 Near-Dam Temperature Depth-Profile Plots

Existing summer thermal stratification was assessed for Lake Yankton, based on monitoring results obtained at the deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site YAKLKND1) during 2002 and 2006. Temperature depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of June, July, August, and September (Plate 276). Summer thermal stratification appears to be present in Lake Yankton, with water temperatures near the lake bottom being up to 10°C cooler than at the lake surface (Plate 276). The cooler water temperatures near the lake bottom are attributed to groundwater inflow to the lake.




Figure 7.2. Location of water quality monitoring site on Lake Yankton.

7.3.2.3 Near-Dam Dissolved Oxygen Depth Profile Plots

Existing summer dissolved oxygen conditions were assessed for Lake Yankton based on monitoring results obtained at the deepwater ambient monitoring site during 2002 and 2006. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles were constructed from water quality data collected during the months of June, July, August, and September (Plate 277). The measured summer dissolved oxygen-depth profiles exhibited extreme variability with depth. Dissolved oxygen concentrations consistently fell below 1 mg/l in the bottom 1 to 2 meters of the lake (Plate 277). The lowest dissolved oxygen concentration measured was 0.2 mg/l.

7.3.2.4 Comparison of Near-Surface and Near-Bottom Water Quality Conditions

Near-surface and near-bottom water quality conditions monitored in Lake Yankton during the summer in 2002 and 2006 at the deepwater area (i.e., site YAKLKND1) were compared. Near-surface samples were taken to be samples collected within 1 meter of the lake surface, and near bottom-samples were taken to be samples collected within 2 meters of the lake bottom. Box plots were used to display the distribution of the collected near-surface and near-bottom measurements for the following parameters: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, total iron, and total manganese (Plate 278). Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent surface and bottom box plots for a parameter were taken to indicate a significant difference between the measurements. The following parameters varied significantly between the surface and bottom: water temperature, dissolved oxygen, ORP, total ammonia, total iron, and total manganese (Plate 278).

7.3.2.5 Lake Trophic Status

Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Lake Yankton were calculated from monitoring data collected during the 2002, 2003, and 2006 at the deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site YAKLKND1). Table 7.2 summarizes the TSI values calculated for the lake. The TSI values indicate that the Lake Yankton is in a moderately eutrophic to eutrophic state.

Table 7.2. Summary of Trophic State Index (TSI) values calculated for Lake Yankton for 2002 and 2006.

TSI*	No. of Obs.	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum
TSI(SD)	15	61	61	51	77
TSI(TP)	15	52	51	41	72
TSI(Chl)	13	53	53	40	81
TSI(Avg1)	15	56	57	47	64
TSI(Avg2)	13	56	55	47	64

* TSI(SD), TSI(TP), and TSI(Chl) are TSI index values based, respectively, on Secchi depth, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll *a* measurements. TSI(Avg1) is the average of TSI values regardless of the parameters available to calculate the average. TSI(Avg2) is the average of TSI values only when all three parameters were available to calculate the average.

Note: See Section 4.1.4 for discussion of TSI calculation.

7.3.2.6 Bacteria Monitoring at the Training Dike Swimming Beach at Lake Yankton

During the 5-year period 2002 through 2006, bacteria samples were collected weekly from May through September at the Training Dike swimming beach located on Lake Yankton. Table 7.3 summarizes the results of the bacteria sampling. The geometric means were calculated as running geometric means for five consecutive weekly bacteria samples and nondetects were set to 1. The bacteria sampling results were compared to following bacteria criteria for support of “full-body contact” recreation:

Fecal Coliform:

Bacteria of the fecal coliform group should not exceed a geometric mean of 200/100ml, nor equal or exceed 400/100ml, in more than 10% of the samples. These criteria are based on a minimum of five samples taken within a 30-day period.

E. coli:

E. coli bacteria should not exceed a geometric mean of 126/100ml. For increased confidence of the criteria, the geometric mean should be based on a minimum of five samples taken within a 30-day period. Single sample maximum allowable density for designated bathing beaches is 235/100ml.

Based on these criteria, “full-body contact” recreation was fully supported at the Training Dike swimming beach on Lake Yankton during the May through September recreational season during the 5-year period of 2002 through 2006.

Table 7.3. Summary of weekly (May through September) bacteria sampling conducted at the Training Dike swimming beach on Lake Yankton during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	<i>E. coli</i> Bacteria
Number of Samples	107	106
Mean	16	15
Median	1	1
Minimum	1	1
Maximum	216	357
Percent of Fecal Coliform samples exceeding 400/100ml	0%	-----
Percent of <i>E. coli</i> samples exceeding 235/100ml	-----	1%
• Geometric Mean		
Number of Geomeans	87	87
Average	6	5
Median	3	3
Minimum	1	1
Maximum	47	37
Number of Fecal Coliform geomeans exceeding 200/100ml	0	-----
Number of <i>E. coli</i> geomeans exceeding 126/100ml	-----	0

n.d. = Not detected.

Note: Not detected values set to 1 to calculate mean and geometric mean.

7.3.3 WATER QUALITY TRENDS (1980 THROUGH 2006)

Water quality trends over the period of 1982 through 2006 were determined for Lake Yankton for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and TSI (i.e., trophic status). The assessment was based on near-surface sampling of water quality conditions in the lake during the months of May through October at the deepwater site (i.e., site YAKLKND1). Plate 279 displays a scatter-plot of the collected data for the four parameters and a linear regression trend line. For the assessment period, it appears that Lake Yankton exhibited decreasing transparency (i.e. Secchi depth), slightly decreasing concentrations of chlorophyll *a*, and slightly increasing levels of total phosphorus. Over the 25-year period, the lake has generally remained in a moderately eutrophic to eutrophic state with calculated TSI values showing a slight increasing trend (Plate 279).

8 WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES PLANNED FOR FUTURE YEARS

8.1 WATER QUALITY DATA COLLECTION

A tentative schedule of water quality monitoring targeted for implementation over the next 5 years is given in Table 8.1. The identified data collection activities are considered the minimum needed to allow for the annual assessment of water quality conditions at District projects, and the preparation of project-specific water quality reports and water quality management objectives for the Mainstem System Projects. The actual monitoring activities that are implemented will be dependent upon the availability of future resources.

8.2 PROJECT-SPECIFIC WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Corps guidance for water quality and environmental management at civil works projects (USACE, 1995) identifies the need to develop specific water quality management objectives for each project, and to outline procedures to be implemented to meet those objectives. The identified objectives and procedures are to be included in the project water control plans. The water quality management objectives are to be reviewed and updated as needed, but not less than every 10 years.

The Omaha District's intent is to develop water quality management objectives for Mainstem System project based on the findings presented in project-specific water quality reports. Therefore, it is important that the project-specific report for a project be updated prior to the development or update of the water quality management objectives for the project. This will ensure that the water quality management objectives for the projects address all of the known surface water quality issues and concerns. Where data are lacking or water quality issues need to be further evaluated, monitoring should be implemented to address these data needs prior to the preparation of the project-specific water quality report. Water quality management objectives will be developed in coordination with project operations staff and, as appropriate, the Northwestern Division's Missouri River Reservoir Control Center (RCC). The project water quality management objectives will be provided to the District's Engineering and Operation Divisions and RCC for incorporation into Project Water Control Manuals and Master Plans.

The CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model is being applied to facilitate the development of project-specific water quality reports and project-specific water quality management objectives. The tentative schedule for implementing these water-quality management planning activities on the Mainstem System projects is given in Table 8.2.

Table 8.1. Water quality monitoring planned by the District at Missouri River Mainstem System Projects the next 5 years and the intended data collection approach. Actual monitoring activities implemented will be dependent upon available resources.

Mainstem Project Areas to be Monitored	Long-Term Fixed Station Monitoring	Intensive Surveys	Special Studies	Watershed Assessments	Investigative Monitoring
Fort Peck		*	X ^c		X ^e
- Fort Peck Reservoir (4 Sites: Near-dam, Hell Creek, Rock Creek, Devils Creek)	X ^a				
- Missouri River Inflow to Fort Peck Reservoir (near Landusky, MT)	X ^a				
- Fort Peck Powerplant ("Raw-Water" Supply Line)	X ^a				
Garrison		*	X ^d		X ^e
- Garrison Reservoir (4 Sites: Near-dam, Beulah Bay, Deepwater Bay, New Town)	X ^a				
- Missouri River Inflow to Garrison Reservoir (near Williston, ND)	X ^a				
- Garrison Powerplant ("Raw-Water" Supply Line)	X ^a				
- Garrison Powerplant ("Raw-Water" Supply Line)	X ^a				
- Lake Audubon	2009				
Oahe					X ^e
- Oahe Reservoir (1 Site: Near-dam)	X ^a				
- Oahe Reservoir (6 Sites: Cow Creek, Cheyenne River, Sutton Bay, Whitlocks Bay, Swan Creek, Mobridge)		2005-2007			
- Missouri River Inflow to Oahe Reservoir (near Bismarck, ND)		2005-2007			
- Oahe Powerplant ("Raw-Water" Supply Line)	X ^a				
- Lake Pocasse	2009				
Big Bend					
- Big Bend Reservoir (1 Site: Near-dam)	X ^a				
- Big Bend Reservoir (Number of Sites to be Determined)		2008-2010			
- Big Bend Powerplant ("Raw-Water" Supply Line)	X ^a				
Fort Randall					X ^e
- Fort Randall Reservoir (1 Site: Near-dam)	X ^a				
- Fort Randall Reservoir (6 Sites: Pease Creek, Platte Creek, Snake Creek, Elm Creek, White River, Chamberlain)		2006-2008			
- White River Inflow to Fort Randall Reservoir (near Oacoma, SD)		2006-2008			
- Fort Randall Powerplant ("Raw-Water" Supply Line)	X ^a				
Gavins Point	X ^a				X ^e
- Gavins Point Reservoir (1 Site: Near-dam)	X ^a				
- Gavins Point Reservoir (Number of Sites to be Determined)		2009-2011			
- Gavins Point Powerplant ("Raw-Water" Supply Line)	X ^a				
- Lake Yankton	2009				
Missouri River – Fort Randall Dam to Rulo, Nebraska (9 Sites: Fort Randall Dam Tailwaters, RM851, Gavins Point Tailwaters, RM774, RN753, RM691, RM619, RM563, RM498)	X ^b				X ^e

Table 8.1. (Continued)

-
- * A 3-year intensive survey was completed at Garrison in 2005 and at Fort Peck in 2006.
- ^a To be monitored every year.
- ^b Will be monitored in 2007. The level of monitoring after 2007 will be dependent upon the continuance of a monitoring partnership with the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality.
- ^c Special Study will be implemented, as necessary, to facilitate application a Scoping Study to evaluate the feasibility of constructing a “multi-level” intake structure at Fort Peck Dam to allow better management of the water temperature of water discharged through the Fort Peck powerplant.
- ^d Special Study will be implemented, as necessary during current drought conditions, to facilitate application of short-term water quality measures at Garrison Dam for the management of coldwater fishery habitat in Garrison Reservoir.
- ^e Investigative Monitoring will be conducted as necessary and appropriate.

Table 8.2. Tentative schedule for water quality management planning activities for the Mainstem System Projects.

Planning Activity	Fort Peck	Garrison	Oahe	Big Bend	Fort Randall	Gavins Point	Missouri River*
Ambient water quality monitoring	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing
Conduct 3-year intensive water quality survey	Completed**	Completed**	2005-07	2008-10	2006-08	2009-11	***
Prepare Water Quality Special Study Report (Findings of the 3-year intensive water quality survey)	2007	2006	2008	2011	2009	2012	2013
Application of CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model	2006-08	2005-07	2008-09	2011-12	2009-10	2012-13	2013-14
Prepare Water Quality Special Study Report (Application of the CE-QUAL-W2 Model)	2009	2008	2010	2013	2011	2014	2015
Prepare Project-Specific Water Quality Report	2010	2009	2011	2014	2012	2015	2016
Develop project-specific water quality management objectives	2010	2009	2011	2014	2012	2015	2016

* Downstream of Gavins Point Dam.

** 3-year intensive surveys completed at Garrison and Fort Peck in 2005 and 2006, respectively.

*** Water quality data needs may be addressed with ongoing ambient water quality monitoring.

8.3 TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS (TMDLS)

The District will participate, as appropriate, as a stakeholder in the development and implementation of TMDLs on water bodies that involve Corps civil works projects.

9 REFERENCES

- Carlson, R.E.** 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, March 1977, Vol 22(2), pp. 361-369.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.** 1995. Engineer Regulation (ER) 1110-2-8154, Engineering and design – Water quality and environmental management for Corps civil works projects. Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, D.C.
- _____. 2004. Missouri River Mainstem Reservoir System, Master Water Control Manual, Missouri River Basin (March 2004). Reservoir Control Center, Northwestern Division – Missouri River Basin, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Omaha, Nebraska.
- _____. 2006a. Garrison cold water fishery performance report. Hydrologic Engineering Branch, Engineering Division, Omaha District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Omaha, Nebraska.
- _____. 2006b. Water quality conditions monitored at the Corps' Garrison Project in North Dakota during the 3-year period 2003 through 2005. Water Quality Unit, Water Control and Water Quality Section, Hydrologic Engineering Branch, Engineering Division, Omaha District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Omaha, Nebraska.
- _____. 2007a. Program Management Plan for Implementing the Omaha District's Water Quality Management Program. Water Quality Unit, Water Control and Water Quality Section, Hydrologic Engineering Branch, Engineering Division, Omaha District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Omaha, Nebraska.
- _____. 2007b. Water Quality Conditions Monitored at the Corps' Fort peck Project in Montana during the 3-Year Period 2004 through 2006. Water Quality Unit, Water Control and Water Quality Section, Hydrologic Engineering Branch, Engineering Division, Omaha District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Omaha, Nebraska.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.** 1993. Recovery plan for the pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*). Pallid sturgeon recovery team. Region 6, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, Colorado.

10 PLATES

Plate 1. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Fort Peck Dam (Site FTPLK1772A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	23	2207.3	2203.7	2199.4	2220.3	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	967	12.9	12.0	5.6	25.5	26.7	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	967	8.8	8.9	4.2	11.8	≥ 5.0	3	<1%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	967	86.3	90.5	37.6	107.4	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	967	503	497	364	577	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	919	8.2	8.2	7.5	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	871	5.9	2.7	0.1	33.3	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	799	392	387	298	526	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	21	152	156	56	216	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	52	160	161	134	180	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	46	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.51	3.8 ^(1,2) , 1.8 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	44	2.5	2.4	2.2	3.1	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand, Total (mg/l)	3	16	4	5	n.d.	10	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	12	8	8	7	8	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	499	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3.6	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	19	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2.0	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	23	339	340	260	420	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	15	210	204	199	262	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	25	-----	n.d.	n.d.	50	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	25	76	60	n.d.	184	1,000 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	55	-----	0.2	n.d.	0.7	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	25	-----	n.d.	n.d.	12	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	25	-----	6	4	27	50 ⁽⁴⁾	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	49	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.07	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	37	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.11	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	55	0.06	0.03	n.d.	0.66	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	55	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	33	120	120	37	130	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	55	-----	n.d.	n.d.	8	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	9	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 12.0 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level based on aesthetic properties.

Plate 3. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Skunk Coulee Bay (site FTPLK1778DW) during the period 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	12	2203.0	2202.9	2199.9	2206.2	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	498	15.0	14.6	8.5	26.3	≤ 26.7	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	468	8.3	8.4	5.7	10.0	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	468	86.5	90.9	57.1	106.5	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	468	497	493	422	545	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	430	8.2	8.3	7.4	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	468	5.7	2.5	0.1	27.9	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	468	381	361	284	534	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	12	148	132	102	250	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean)

Plate 2. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near The Pines Recreation Area (site FTPLK1789DW) during the period 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	12	2203.0	2202.9	2199.9	2206.2	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	413	15.4	15.0	8.9	24.4	≤ 26.7	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	413	8.0	8.3	2.2	9.7	≥ 5.0	13	3%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	413	83.7	89.0	20.7	107.1	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	413	494	490	416	559	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	379	8.2	8.3	6.9	8.8	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	412	6.8	3.3	0.1	40.3	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	413	370	344	136	528	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	12	118	114	78	156	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	30	158	160	140	174	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia N, Total (mg/l)	0.01	30	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.23	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.46 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	30	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.8	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	8	7	6	4	12	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	0.02	8	8	8	7	8	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab	1	12	-----	1	n.d.	4	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field	1	412	-----	n.d.	n.d.	7.2	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	30	348	345	297	478	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	17	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	17	137	97	50	359	1,000 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	30	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	16	-----	1	n.d.	32	-----	0	0%
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	17	14	6	3	56	50 ⁽⁴⁾	1	6%
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	30	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.57	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	30	0.05	0.04	n.d.	0.23	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	30	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.06	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	30	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.05	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	30	119	120	104	130	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	30	-----	n.d.	n.d.	10.0	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins (ug/l)	0.05	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent – criteria listed are for the median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 15.0, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level based on aesthetic properties.

Plate 4. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Hell Creek Bay (site FTPLK1805DW) during the period 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	9	2203.2	2202.6	2201.6	2206.2	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	217	16.6	17.0	9.5	24.1	≤ 26.7	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	217	8.0	8.5	3.5	9.9	≥ 5.0	13	6%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	217	85.6	89.5	35.3	108.4	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	217	479	481	413	528	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	193	8.3	8.4	7.3	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	216	7.6	6.0	0.3	31.4	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	217	376	360	304	508	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	9	84	76	46	120	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	20	158	160	140	170	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia N, Total (mg/l)	0.01	20	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.21	2.59 ^(1,2) , 1.10 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	20	2.6	2.6	2.4	3.1	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	6	-----	n.d.	n.d.	8	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	0.02	6	18	8	7	70	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab	1	9	3	1	1	10	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field	1	216	-----	n.d.	n.d.	13	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	20	347	350	294	422	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	17	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	13	154	131	60	242	1,000 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	20	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	13	2	1	n.d.	7	-----	0	0%
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	13	9	6	2	19	50 ⁽⁴⁾	1	6%
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	20	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	20	0.07	0.04	n.d.	0.34	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	20	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.24	-----	-----	-----
Orthophosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	20	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.23	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Dissolved (ug/l)	20	7	3,589	3,617	3,130	4,113	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	7	3,917	3,748	3,405	4,547	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	20	114	120	93	140	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	20	-----	n.d.	n.d.	12.0	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins (ug/l)	0.05	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent – criteria listed are for the median pH and temperature values of 8.4 and 17.0, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level based on aesthetic properties.

Plate 5. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir in the Big Dry Creek Arm of the reservoir (site FTPLKBDCA01) during the period 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	12	2203.0	2202.9	2199.9	2206.2	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	471	15.0	14.5	8.7	25.4	≤ 26.7	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	471	8.4	8.4	5.6	10.0	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	471	86.9	91.1	55.1	110.6	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	470	501	496	350	550	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	471	8.3	8.3	7.6	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	471	6.0	2.4	0.1	45.1	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	471	382	363	301	507	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	12	152	159	76	240	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

Plate 6. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir near Rock Creek Bay (site FTPLKBDCA02) during the period 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	12	2203.0	2208.9	2199.9	2206.2	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	205	17.8	17.4	13.1	24.1	≤ 26.7	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	205	8.4	8.5	5.6	9.5	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	205	92.3	94.4	59.9	103.0	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	205	512	507	433	563	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	188	8.4	8.5	7.9	8.8	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	204	7.0	4.4	0.2	25.3	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	205	381	362	304	492	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	12	101	98	54	172	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	22	158	162	140	179	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia N, Total (mg/l)	0.01	22	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.25	2.14 ^(1,2) , 0.77 ^(1,3)	0 0	0% 0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	22	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.9	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	8	-----	7	n.d.	9	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	0.02	8	8	8	7	8	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab	1	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	7.0	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field	1	204	-----	n.d.	n.d.	5.4	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	22	367	360	315	479	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	15	-----	n.d.	n.d.	70	-----	0	0%
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	15	128	100	n.d.	277	1,000 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	22	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	15	-----	2	n.d.	5	-----	0	0%
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	15	6	6	2	13	50 ⁽⁴⁾	1	6%
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	22	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.17	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	21	0.04	0.03	n.d.	0.13	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	22	-----	0.01	n.d.	0.08	-----	-----	-----
Orthophosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	22	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Dissolved (ug/l)	20	7	2,835	2,818	2,554	3,135	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	7	3,161	3,108	2,908	3,840	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	22	129	128	110	180	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	22	-----	n.d.	n.d.	10.0	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins (ug/l)	0.05	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** (1) Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent – criteria listed are for the median pH and temperature values of 8.5 and 17.4, respectively.

(2) Acute criterion for aquatic life.

(3) Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

(4) Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level based on aesthetic properties.




Plate 7. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on June 22, 2006.





Plate 8. Longitudinal water temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on July 26, 2006.





Plate 9. Longitudinal water temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on August 30, 2006.





Plate 10. Longitudinal water temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on October 5, 2006.




Plate 11. Temperature depth profiles for Fort Peck Reservoir compiled from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., FTPLK1772A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.





Plate 12. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on June 22, 2006.





Plate 13. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on July 26, 2006.





Plate 14. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on August 30, 2006.





Plate 15. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on October 5, 2006.




Plate 16. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Fort Peck Reservoir compiled from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., FTPLK1772A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006. (Note: Red profile plots were measured in the month of September.)




Plate 17. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on June 22, 2006.





Plate 18. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on July 26, 2006.





Plate 19. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on August 30, 2006.





Plate 20. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Peck Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites FTPLK1772A, FTPLK1778DW, FTPLK1789DW, FTPLK1805DW, FTPLKBDCA01, FTPLKBDCA02, and FTPNFMORR1 on October 5, 2006.




Plate 21. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1772A during the summer months of 2004 through 2006. (Box plots display minimum, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and maximum. Median value is indicated by the red dot. Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent box plots indicate a significant difference between surface and bottom measurements.)

Plate 22. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1772A during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
May 2004	785,288	1	0.02	0	----	0	----	1	0.57	2	0.41	0	----	0	----	0.87
Jun 2004	5,099,022	3	0.21	0	----	0	----	1	0.65	3	0.13	0	----	0	----	1.15
Jul 2004	106,065,880	3	1.00	0	----	0	----	1	<0.01	2	<0.01	0	----	0	----	0.96
Aug 2004	47,445,368	4	0.93	1	<0.01	0	----	1	0.05	3	0.02	0	----	0	----	1.59
Sep 2004	47,026,614	6	0.66	7	0.10	0	----	1	0.09	4	0.09	1	0.05	0	----	2.11
May 2005	515,757,980	10	0.92	1	<0.01	2	0.07	0	----	0	----	0	----	0	----	1.28
Jun 2005	46,921,234	5	0.90	1	<0.01	0	----	0	----	1	<0.01	1	0.09	0	----	1.61
Jul 2005	156,655,118	4	0.79	1	<0.01	0	----	2	0.07	5	0.02	2	0.11	0	----	1.51
Aug 2005	329,301,346	7	0.46	3	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	0.05	6	0.18	2	0.30	0	----	2.20
Sep 2005	138,703,297	7	0.38	9	0.08	0	----	1	<0.01	5	0.05	1	0.47	0	----	1.62
May 2006	38,868,068	6	0.99	1	0.01	0	----	0	----	0	----	0	----	1	<0.01	1.17
Jun 2006	106,214,930	4	0.89	2	0.02	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	0.08	0	----	1	<0.01	1.11
Jul 2006	99,703,362	8	0.25	3	0.01	1	0.03	1	0.01	3	0.34	2	0.35	1	0.01	2.12
Aug 2006	146,573,753	6	0.85	3	0.05	0	----	1	0.03	2	0.07	0	----	0	----	1.83
Sep 2006	187,114,896	4	0.95	2	<0.01	0	----	1	0.04	2	0.01	0	----	0	----	1.12
Mean*	131,482,410	5.20	0.68	2.27	0.02	0.33	0.03	0.87	0.13	2.60	0.11	0.60	0.23	0.20	<0.01	1.43

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 23. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1789DW during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jul 2004	86,045,868	5	0.53	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.08	1	<0.01	2	0.38	0	-----	1.65
Aug 2004	118,170,922	5	0.86	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.11	2	<0.01	2	0.03	0	-----	1.57
Sep 2004	137,015,326	5	0.89	4	<0.01	0	-----	2	0.06	2	0.03	1	0.01	0	-----	1.35
Jun 2005	230,426,294	4	0.93	2	0.01	2	0.04	1	0.04	3	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.22
Jul 2005	117,389,092	4	0.51	3	0.06	0	-----	1	0.07	6	0.35	0	-----	0	-----	1.65
Aug 2005	238,094,698	9	0.63	3	0.04	2	0.04	1	0.07	4	0.21	1	<0.01	0	-----	2.31
Sep 2005	239,001,877	5	0.64	2	0.01	1	<0.01	2	0.04	4	0.06	2	0.25	0	-----	1.67
Jun 2006	209,290,886	9	0.91	7	0.03	1	0.02	1	0.01	2	0.03	0	-----	1	0.01	1.75
Jul 2006	102,150,924	4	0.37	5	0.04	1	0.11	1	0.01	2	0.22	2	0.25	0	-----	2.24
Aug 2006	229,259,901	9	0.70	9	0.06	0	-----	1	0.05	4	0.19	0	-----	0	-----	1.79
Oct 2006	176,892,532	9	0.88	6	0.03	1	0.01	1	0.06	2	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	1.94
Mean*	171,248,938	6.18	0.71	3.73	0.03	0.73	0.04	1.36	0.05	2.91	0.10	0.91	0.16	0.09	0.01	1.74

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 24. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLK1805DW during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	40,330,095	7	0.73	0	-----	0	-----	3	0.25	1	0.01	1	0.01	0	-----	1.63
Aug 2004	77,071,993	5	0.53	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.09	6	0.25	1	0.12	0	-----	1.90
Jun 2005	1,065,980,294	6	0.97	3	0.01	2	0.02	1	<0.01	2	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.39
Jul 2005	56,454,155	4	0.62	3	0.01	0	-----	2	0.27	4	0.06	1	0.04	0	-----	1.91
Aug 2005	104,295,158	8	0.36	3	0.14	0	-----	1	0.12	3	0.37	1	<0.01	0	-----	2.21
Sep 2005	224,860,040	4	0.56	4	0.09	2	0.05	2	0.15	3	0.06	2	0.08	0	-----	2.21
Jun 2006	462,062,083	8	0.94	7	0.02	2	0.01	1	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	1	<0.01	1.45
Jul 2006	339,425,190	5	0.19	5	0.10	2	0.03	1	0.22	3	0.43	1	0.03	0	-----	1.95
Oct 2006	342,710,099	9	0.72	12	0.10	1	0.01	1	0.07	3	0.10	1	<0.01	0	-----	2.19
Mean*	301,465,456	6.22	0.62	4.11	0.07	1.00	0.02	1.56	0.13	2.78	0.16	0.89	0.04	0.11	0.01	1.87

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 25. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Peck Reservoir at site FTPLKBDCA02 during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	8,899,939	1	0.67	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.10	4	0.23	0	-----	0	-----	1.14
Jul 2004	50,170,334	5	0.73	0	-----	1	0.02	2	0.15	2	<0.01	2	0.10	0	-----	1.83
Aug 2004	56,170,0417	8	0.77	2	<0.01	0	-----	1	0.08	1	0.14	2	0.01	0	-----	1.68
Sep 2004	259,164,480	4	0.83	4	0.01	1	<0.01	2	0.06	3	0.02	2	0.08	0	-----	1.33
Jun 2005	135,109,593	1	0.31	2	0.02	1	0.64	2	0.01	1	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	0.88
Jul 2005	60,324,919	5	0.47	1	0.03	0	-----	1	0.43	3	0.08	0	-----	0	-----	1.59
Aug 2005	155,331,963	7	0.41	5	0.10	1	0.03	0	-----	5	0.30	1	0.16	0	-----	2.22
Sep 2005	95,486,617	7	0.60	9	0.06	0	-----	2	0.10	5	0.16	1	0.09	0	-----	2.17
Jun 2006	91,137,918	8	0.91	3	0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	2	0.02	0	-----	2	0.06	0.89
Jul 2006	73,204,385	6	0.11	5	0.07	0	-----	1	0.04	2	0.67	0	-----	2	0.11	1.48
Aug 2006	86,290,748	5	0.60	4	0.05	0	-----	1	0.14	2	0.21	0	-----	0	-----	1.81
Oct 2006	105,358,293	4	0.65	5	0.01	0	-----	1	0.12	2	0.21	1	<0.01	0	-----	1.58
Mean*	140,181,634	5.08	0.59	3.33	0.04	0.33	0.23	1.33	0.12	2.67	0.19	0.75	0.09	0.33	0.09	1.55

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 26. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site FTPLK1772A) at Fort Peck Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Division	Dominant Taxa*	Percent of Total Biovolume
May 2004	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.57
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Aphanocapsa</i> spp.	0.38
June 2004	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.65
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.17
July 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.60
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.25
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.14
August 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.32
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira islandica</i>	0.25
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Synedra</i> spp.	0.19
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.16
September 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.34
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira islandica</i>	0.15
May 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.52
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus</i> spp.	0.29
June 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.39
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.23
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Synedra delicatissima</i>	0.16
July 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.44
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.29
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.11
August 2005	Pyrrophyta	<i>Peridinium</i> spp.	0.23
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.21
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.14
September 2005	Pyrrophyta	<i>Peridinium</i> spp.	0.47
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus hantzschii</i>	0.19
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria</i> spp.	0.16
May 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus</i> spp.	0.56
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.27
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.11
June 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus hantzschii</i>	0.45
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.43
July 2006	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.29
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Anabaena</i> spp.	0.25
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus niagarea</i>	0.11
August 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.32
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.28
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus</i> spp.	0.15
October 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.52
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.35

* Dominant taxa are genera or species (depending on identification level) that comprised more than 10% of the total sample biovolume.




Plate 27. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Fort Peck Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site FTPLK1772A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.

Plate 28. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana at monitoring Station FTPNFMORR1 during the period 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Stream Flow (cfs)	10	11	7,059	6,200	4,040	12,200	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	11	18.5	18.3	12.8	25.7	≤ 26.7 ⁽¹⁾	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	11	8.2	8.2	7.1	9.7	≥ 6.0 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
							≥ 5.0 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
							≥ 4.0 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
							≥ 3.0 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	11	90.9	89.9	81.2	101.0	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	11	431	430	342	563	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	11	8.4	8.4	8.1	8.9	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	11	353	90	1	2,100	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	11	359	356	287	436	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	11	146	144	120	163	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia N, Total (mg/l)	0.01	11	0.16	0.13	n.d.	0.40	3.88 ^(3,4)	0	0%
							1.0 ^(3,5)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	11	2.8	2.6	2.2	3.4	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	4	7	7	4	12	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	0.02	3	7	7	6	8	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	10	318	315	255	388	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	11	-----	n.d.	n.d.	40	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	11	8,704	3,047	755	50,221	1,000 ⁽⁵⁾	10	91%
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	11	0.7	0.5	0.2	2.3	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	10	4	3	n.d.	12	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	11	121	62	23	533	50 ⁽⁶⁾	6	55%
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	11	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.15	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	11	0.29	0.13	0.03	1.60	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	10	0.03	0.03	n.d.	0.07	-----	-----	-----
Orthophosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	11	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Dissolved (ug/l)	20	7	4,704	4,831	3,621	5,463	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	7	15,740	12,144	6,917	30,143	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	11	87	83	51	162	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	11	342	122	26	2,000	-----	-----	-----
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)	0.05	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾ Numeric temperature criterion given in Montana water quality standards for a B-3 use is 26.7 C (80 F).

⁽²⁾ 6.0 = 7-Day Mean for Early Life Stages, 5.0 = 1-Day Minimum for Early Life Stages, 4.0 = 7-Day Mean Minimum for Other Life Stages, 3.0 = 1-Day Minimum for Other Life Stages. Early life stage includes all embryonic and larval stages and all juvenile fish to 30 days following hatching.

⁽³⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent – criteria listed are for the median pH and temperature values of 8.4 and 18.3, respectively.

⁽⁴⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁵⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁶⁾ Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level based on aesthetic properties.




Plate 29. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) for 2005. Means based on hourly measurements recorded at USGS gaging station 06115200.




Plate 30. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) for 2006. Means based on hourly measurements recorded at USGS gaging station 06115200. (Note: data are provisional.)

Plate 31. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Fort Peck Dam (i.e., site FTPPP1) during the 3-year period of January 2004 through December 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Dam Discharge (cfs)	1	26,304	6,565	6,070	2,340	14,060	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	22,618	8.4	9.1	0.7	19.3	19.4	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	22,539	9.8	9.9	5.3	13.8	≥ 8.0 ≥ 5.0	5,012 0	22% 0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	22,539	88.3	90.3	53.7	112.2	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	21,597	492	505	375	570	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	23	8.2	8.2	7.8	8.5	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	10	442	4317	342	575	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	27	162	163	140	180	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	0.09	0.03	n.d.	0.51	3.83 ^(1,2) , 1.79 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	27	2.7	2.4	2.2	5.3	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	10	-----	5	n.d.	9	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	8	8	8	7	10	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	27	354	349	306	481	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	4	208	209	201	213	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	23	-----	n.d.	n.d.	40	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	26	169	139	n.d.	683	1,000 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	27	0.4	0.2	n.d.	2.2	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	23	-----	1	n.d.	10	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	23	10	7	2	38	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.02	17	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.08	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.02	27	0.04	0.03	n.d.	0.14	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.02	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	27	133	128	57	209	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	80	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	0.1	5	2.1	2.1	1.8	2.6	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	5.6 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	340 ⁽²⁾ , 150 ⁽³⁾ , 10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4.5 ⁽²⁾ , 0.5 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	34	3,298 ⁽²⁾ , 158 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	4	-----	3.5	3.0	4.0	28.0 ⁽²⁾ , 17.5 ⁽³⁾ , 1,300 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	208 ⁽²⁾ , 8.1 ⁽³⁾ , 15 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.91 ⁽³⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.91 ⁽³⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	875 ⁽²⁾ , 97 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.4.0 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	4	6.5	7.0	4.0	8.0	224 ^(1,2) , 2,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean)..

** ⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 8.5 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: Montana's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 209 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 32. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 33. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 34. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 35. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.




Plate 36. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 37. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 38. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represent periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 39. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July and December 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represent periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 40. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represent periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 41. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.




Plate 42. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represent periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 43. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represent periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 44. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck Powerplant (i.e., site FTPPP1) and the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) during 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 45. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Peck Powerplant (i.e., site FTPPP1) and the Missouri River near Landusky, Montana (i.e., site FTPNFMORR1) during 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 46. Mean daily water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant, Missouri River tailwaters, and Missouri River Frazer Rapids and the mean daily discharge of Fort Peck Dam from May through October during 2004.




Plate 47. Mean daily water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant, Missouri River tailwaters, and Missouri River Frazer Rapids and the mean daily discharge of Fort Peck Dam from May through October during 2005.




Plate 48. Mean daily water temperature monitored at the Fort Peck powerplant, Missouri River tailwaters, and Missouri River Frazer Rapids and the mean daily discharge of Fort Peck Dam from May through October during 2006.

Plate 49. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Garrison Dam (Site GARLK1390A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	27	1819.0	1816.9	1807.3	1831.4	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	1,039	14.1	14.4	5.2	23.4	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 482	0% 46%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	1,039	8.3	8.2	3.8	12.2	≥ 5.0	36	3%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	1,039	83.8	89.3	37.6	114.2	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	1,039	598	608	487	663	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	1,039	8.2	8.2	7.1	8.9	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	1,007	5.0	3.1	0.1	34.4	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	1,009	398	390	264	538	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	26	124	120	54	228	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	49	166	169	140	181	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	45	0.19	0.06	n.d.	1.20	4.0 ^(2,3) , 1.8 ^(2,4)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	45	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.6	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	13	7	7	2	12	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	12	8	8	7	8	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	652	-----	n.d.	n.d.	9.3	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	19	-----	1.0	n.d.	3.0	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	32	429	420	363	572	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	10	216	210	209	242	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	24	-----	n.d.	n.d.	60	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	25	142	128	40	329	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	45	0.4	0.3	0.1	1.6	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	28	-----	1	n.d.	6	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	29	8	7	n.d.	26	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	51	0.08	0.07	n.d.	0.18	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	45	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.08	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	51	0.05	0.03	n.d.	0.41	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	51	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.11	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	14	2,978	2,967	2,438	3,343	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	41	170	170	153	180	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	51	-----	n.d.	n.d.	11	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

⁽²⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 14.4 respectively.

⁽³⁾Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

Plate 50. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Douglas Bay (site GARLK1399DW) during the period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	15	1819.9	1821.4	1810.1	1827.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	600	15.3	16.4	7.0	22.1	29.4 15.0	0 336	0% 56%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	600	7.6	7.9	3.6	9.6	≥ 5.0	49	8%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	600	80.1	86.6	36.7	110.0	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	600	594	604	485	642	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	600	8.0	8.1	7.1	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	600	4.4	3.3	n.d.	35.6	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	600	404	398	324	514	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	13	128	120	60	180	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

Plate 51. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Beulah Bay (Site GARLK1412DW) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	23	1818.0	1816.6	1809.6	1827.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	829	15.6	16.0	6.7	23.3	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 488	0% 59%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	829	7.9	8.1	2.8	10.3	≥ 5.0	63	8%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	826	82.3	87.5	28.3	108.8	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	826	591	594	458	648	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	826	8.2	8.2	6.9	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	822	5.3	3.5	n.d.	37.2	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	826	403	399	265	520	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	22	108	108	60	150	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	41	134	166	130	181	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	41	0.19	0.06	n.d.	1.2	3.6 ^(2,3) , 1.5 ^(2,4)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	41	3.1	3.0	2.1	4.0	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	10	7	8	n.d.	14	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	10	8	8	8	8	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	525	----	n.d.	n.d.	10.2	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	16	1.5	1.0	n.d.	5.0	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	41	429	420	350	613	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	20	----	n.d.	n.d.	70	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	22	197	142	80	511	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	41	0.41	0.27	0.1	1.4	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	24	----	1	n.d.	11	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	24	16	8	n.d.	51	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	41	0.09	0.08	n.d.	0.22	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	41	----	0.02	n.d.	0.27	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	41	0.05	0.03	n.d.	0.33	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	41	----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	18	3,142	3,167	2,346	3,899	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	41	169	170	120	183	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	41	----	n.d.	n.d.	13	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	8	----	n.d.	n.d.	0.3	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾ Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

⁽²⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 14.4 respectively.

⁽³⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

Plate 52. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Indian Hills (site GARLK1428DW) during the period 2003 through 2005.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	12	1821.7	1822.9	1813.8	1827.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	375	16.2	16.8	7.3	23.0	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 250	0% 67%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	375	7.6	7.9	3.2	10.7	≥ 5.0	27	7%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	375	81.9	84.9	32.0	112.4	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	375	573	570	487	640	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	375	8.1	8.2	7.1	8.5	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	375	4.7	3.7	n.d.	23.1	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	375	397	395	295	527	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	12	111	117	63	180	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾ Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

Plate 53. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Deepwater Bay (Site GARLK1445DW) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	22	1817.9	1816.4	1809.6	1827.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	626	16.7	16.7	8.1	23.9	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 417	0% 67%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	626	7.4	7.8	1.0	10.3	≥ 5.0	57	9%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	600	79.5	86.1	10.0	112.0	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	598	545	536	471	652	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	574	8.1	8.2	7.1	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	593	10.6	7.1	0.6	58.4	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	599	390	391	139	528	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	21	73	72	24	126	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	37	150	150	120	180	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	36	-----	0.06	n.d.	1.3	3.6 ^(2,3) , 1.5 ^(2,4)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	37	3.1	3.1	2.7	4.6	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	10	7	7	6	9	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	10	11	7	2	51	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	390	-----	n.d.	n.d.	10.6	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	16	3.2	2.5	n.d.	10.0	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	37	386	380	280	582	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	19	-----	n.d.	n.d.	553	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	21	352	346	70	910	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	37	0.4	0.3	0.1	1.5	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	21	21	9	n.d.	82	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	21	52	47	4	133	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	37	0.10	0.10	n.d.	0.30	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	36	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.08	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	37	0.05	0.03	n.d.	0.32	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	37	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.07	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	17	3,557	3,641	2,026	5,358	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	37	153	152	119	190	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	37	-----	5.0	n.d.	19.0	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.2	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾ Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

⁽²⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 16.7 respectively.

⁽³⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

Plate 54. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near Independence Point (site GARLK1454DW) during the period 2003 through 2005.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	12	1821.1	1822.9	1813.4	1827.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	280	17.3	17.4	8.3	23.8	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 215	0% 77%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	280	7.2	7.4	1.9	9.8	≥ 5.0	21	8
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	280	78.5	81.9	20.6	120.6	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	280	507	492	422	631	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	280	8.0	8.1	7.0	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	280	14.3	11.2	3.7	91.0	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	280	394	388	325	487	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	12	50	52	19	84	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

Plate 55. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near New Town (Site GARLK1481DW) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	22	1818.1	1816.4	1809.6	1827.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	336	18.8	18.9	12.0	24.4	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 314	0% 93%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	336	7.8	7.9	4.0	10.4	≥ 5.0	6	2%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	321	87.8	90.2	11.3	117.4	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	320	459	456	302	619	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	321	8.3	8.2	7.4	8.8	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	321	37.2	25.1	0.4	359.8	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	320	405	412	268	618	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	22	25	24	10	60	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	28	129	128	79	178	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	28	0.24	0.14	n.d.	1.20	3.6 ^(2,3) , 1.5 ^(2,4)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	28	2.9	2.8	2.4	4.3	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	5	6	5	5	9	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	5	7	8	4	9	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	225	8	5	n.d.	100	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	16	3	3	n.d.	9	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	28	340	330	240	500	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	70	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	15	1,057	710	133	3,556	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	28	0.5	0.4	0.1	1.6	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	15	4	2	n.d.	13	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	15	29	25	9	74	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	28	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.44	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	28	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.07	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	0.05	0.05	n.d.	0.13	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	28	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	15	5,905	4,378	3,325	12,696	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	28	124	123	73	180	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	28	13	11	n.d.	60	-----	-----	-----
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.5	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** ⁽¹⁾Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

⁽²⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 18.9 respectively.

⁽³⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

Plate 56. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Garrison Reservoir near White Earth Bay (site GARLK1493DW) during the period 2003 through 2004

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	7	1823.0	1825.0	1816.5	1827.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	71	20.9	22.2	15.6	25.3	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 71	0% 100
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	71	7.4	7.4	5.1	8.2	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	71	86.8	88.5	59.3	104.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	71	423	406	332	533	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	71	8.1	8.1	7.8	8.5	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	70	60.1	45.0	23.6	154.2	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	71	380	372	161	475	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	7	13	13	8	18	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

*** Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.




Plate 57. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on May 25, 2006.




Plate 58. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on June 20, 2006.




Plate 59. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on July 25, 2006.




Plate 60. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on August 29, 2006.




Plate 61. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on September 13, 2006.




Plate 62. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on October 3, 2006.




Plate 63. Temperature depth profiles for Garrison Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., GARLK1390A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.




Plate 64. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on May 25, 2006.




Plate 65. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations s measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on June 20, 2006.




Plate 66. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on July 25, 2006.




Plate 67. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on August 29, 2006.




Plate 68. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on September 13, 2006.




Plate 69. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on October 3, 2006.




Plate 70. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Garrison Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., GARLK1390A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006. (Note: Red profile plots were measured in the month of September.)




Plate 71. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on May 25, 2006.




Plate 72. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on June 20, 2006.




Plate 73. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on July 25, 2006.




Plate 74. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on August 29, 2006.




Plate 75. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1399DW, GARLK1412DW, and GARLK1445DW on September 13, 2006.




Plate 76. Longitudinal turbidity contour plot of Garrison Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels monitored at sites GARLK1390A, GARLK1412DW, GARLK1445DW, GARLK1481DW, and GARNFMORRR1 on October 3, 2006.




Plate 77. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1390A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006. (Box plots display minimum, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and maximum. Median value is indicated by the red dot. Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent box plots indicate a significant difference between surface and bottom measurements.)

Plate 78. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1390A during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
May 2004	18,358,561	3	0.58	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.03	1	0.02	1	0.37	0	-----	1.15
Jun 2004	1,982,256	2	0.53	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.35	2	0.12	0	-----	0	-----	1.15
Jul 2004	87,982,899	4	0.87	1	0.01	0	-----	1	0.11	3	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.36
Aug 2004	102,328,294	5	0.83	2	0.01	0	-----	2	0.16	2	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.03
Sep 2004	207,432,106	6	0.84	3	0.02	1	0.01	2	0.13	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	1.62
May 2005	1,366,154,039	8	0.99	4	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	1.41
Jun 2005	6,163,686	1	0.88	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.12	0	-----	0	-----	0.46
Jul 2005	56,944,302	7	0.57	2	0.19	0	-----	2	0.24	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.82
Aug 2005	103,732,272	4	0.42	4	0.11	0	-----	1	0.29	2	<0.01	2	0.18	0	-----	1.93
Sep 2005	104,058,345	7	0.48	3	0.23	0	-----	2	0.29	2	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.87
May 2006	4,548,278	2	0.36	5	0.45	0	-----	1	0.16	1	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	1.88
Jun 2006	207,770,143	3	0.99	2	<0.01	0	<0.01	1	0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	0.58
Jul 2006	95,265,098	4	0.95	3	0.01	0	-----	1	0.03	0	-----	0	-----	1	<0.01	0.41
Aug 2006	61,320,561	5	0.54	3	0.14	1	0.01	1	0.11	1	0.01	1	0.16	1	0.03	1.86
Oct 2006	149,224,845	6	0.82	2	0.09	0	-----	1	0.09	0	-----	0	-----	1	<0.01	1.23
Mean*	171,551,046	4.47	0.71	2.27	0.11	0.20	<0.01	1.20	0.14	1.33	0.03	0.33	0.18	0.20	0.01	1.32

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 79. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1412DW during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	1,223,563	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.41	2	0.42	1	0.17	0	-----	1.54
Jul 2004	116,657,513	5	0.76	2	0.02	0	-----	2	0.23	3	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.72
Aug 2004	88,140,166	7	0.85	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.15	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	1.94
Sep 2004	135,965,507	6	0.52	1	0.23	0	-----	2	0.20	4	0.03	1	0.02	0	-----	2.01
Jul 2005	116,539,612	5	0.09	4	0.07	1	0.20	2	0.31	2	<0.01	1	0.32	0	-----	1.89
Aug 2005	252,450,665	4	0.82	2	0.09	0	-----	2	0.07	3	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	1.39
Sep 2005	76,108,173	8	0.38	5	0.11	0	-----	2	0.36	7	0.14	1	<0.01	0	-----	2.24
May 2006	217,281,884	6	1.00	1	<0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.13
Jun 2006	93,779,718	3	0.76	7	0.05	0	-----	1	0.14	0	-----	1	<0.01	1	0.05	1.13
Jul 2006	397,827,012	6	0.87	4	0.02	2	0.01	1	0.07	2	<0.01	2	0.03	0	-----	0.77
Aug 2006	123,147,584	2	0.61	6	0.07	0	-----	1	0.14	1	0.01	2	<0.01	1	0.17	1.66
Oct 2006	577,387,603	8	0.88	1	<0.01	0	-----	1	0.01	2	0.06	3	0.04	0	-----	1.22
Mean*	183,042,417	5.00	0.69	2.75	0.07	0.25	0.11	1.58	0.17	2.42	0.06	1.08	0.07	0.17	0.11	1.55

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 80. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1445DW during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	1,685,612	3	0.62	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.21	3	0.17	0	-----	0	-----	1.46
Jul 2004	184,759,537	7	0.97	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.03	2	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.07
Aug 2004	286,075,340	5	0.88	4	0.01	1	0.01	1	0.05	8	0.03	2	0.03	0	-----	1.44
Sep 2004	155,608,125	6	0.73	7	0.22	0	-----	1	0.03	4	0.02	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1.81
Jun 2005	117,713,953	3	0.66	3	0.21	0	-----	2	0.11	4	0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	1.50
Jul 2005	72,156,390	5	0.46	2	<0.01	1	0.23	1	0.08	3	0.01	1	0.23	0	-----	1.65
Aug 2005	355,699,437	6	0.73	2	0.05	1	0.01	2	0.07	8	0.15	0	-----	0	-----	1.71
Sep 2005	177,778,515	1	0.08	5	0.36	0	-----	1	0.04	8	0.50	2	0.03	0	-----	1.64
May 2006	494,273,807	7	0.99	1	<0.01	0	-----	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.18
Jun 2006	49,254,498	8	0.73	5	0.08	1	0.02	1	0.17	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	2.02
Jul 2006	271,528,998	3	0.73	5	0.01	1	<0.01	1	0.22	3	0.03	1	<0.01	0	-----	1.31
Aug 2006	252,320,396	4	0.81	4	0.05	0	-----	1	0.07	1	0.05	1	0.02	0	-----	1.40
Oct 2006	360,297,717	7	0.51	12	0.36	0	-----	1	0.04	6	0.08	1	0.01	0	-----	2.00
Mean*	213,780,948	5.00	0.68	3.85	0.12	0.38	0.06	1.31	0.09	3.92	0.09	0.77	0.04	0.08	<0.01	1.55

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 81. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Garrison Reservoir at site GARLK1481DW during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	641,406,981	7	0.95	1	<0.01	0	-----	2	0.04	4	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1.24
Jul 2004	143,415,460	10	0.65	1	<0.01	0	-----	2	0.34	4	<0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	1.67
Aug 2004	116,129,651	6	0.94	3	0.01	0	-----	2	0.02	1	<0.01	2	0.03	0	-----	1.41
Sep 2004	212,398,576	8	0.77	11	0.10	0	-----	2	0.09	4	0.03	2	<0.01	1	0.01	2.03
Jun 2005	162,736,064	4	0.48	6	0.24	0	-----	2	0.23	3	0.01	1	0.03	1	<0.01	2.06
Jul 2005	45,637,096	3	0.95	3	0.04	0	-----	1	0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.26
Aug 2005	123,071,200	4	0.52	6	0.13	0	-----	2	0.16	5	0.05	2	0.14	0	-----	1.80
Sep 2005	136,453,161	10	0.30	10	0.47	0	-----	2	0.10	8	0.07	1	0.04	1	0.02	2.07
May 2006	350,014,023	10	0.90	9	0.03	2	0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	2	0.04	3	0.01	2.06
Jun 2006	271,464,027	8	0.96	4	0.02	0	-----	1	<0.01	1	0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	0.99
Jul 2006	109,852,109	7	0.29	7	0.11	1	0.02	1	0.49	3	0.05	1	0.03	0	-----	1.96
Aug 2006	226,689,591	8	0.36	13	0.25	1	0.01	1	0.06	3	0.26	2	0.05	3	0.02	2.69
Oct 2006	1,395,049,142	10	0.78	17	0.05	2	0.03	2	0.01	6	0.12	2	0.01	2	0.01	2.16
Mean*	302,639,775	7.31	0.68	7.00	0.11	0.46	0.02	1.62	0.12	3.38	0.05	1.23	0.04	1.08	0.01	1.80

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 82. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site GARLK1390A) at Garrison Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Division	Dominant Taxa*	Percent of Total Biovolume
May 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.49
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Peridinium inconspicuum</i>	0.37
June 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Synedra</i> spp.	0.49
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.35
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Aphanocapsa</i> spp.	0.11
July 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.42
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.23
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.19
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas</i> spp.	0.11
August 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.67
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas</i> spp.	0.15
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.13
September 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.39
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.30
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.13
May 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.55
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.15
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Tabellaria fenestrata</i>	0.13
June 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus</i> spp.	0.88
July 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.28
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.23
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.22
	Chlorophyta	<i>Chlamydomonas</i> spp.	0.13
August 2005	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.29
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.23
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.16
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Melosira varians</i>	0.12
September 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.29
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.25
	Chlorophyta	<i>Chlamydomonas</i> spp.	0.17
May 2006	Chlorophyta	<i>Quadrigula lacustris</i>	0.27
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria</i> spp.	0.25
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.16
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Tabellaria fenestrata</i>	0.11
June 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.79
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.20
July 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.90
August 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.25
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria</i> spp.	0.16
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.16
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.11
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.10
October 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Tabellaria fenestrata</i>	0.64
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.15

* Dominant taxa are genera or species (depending on identification level) that comprised more than 10% of the total sample biovolume.




Plate 83. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Garrison Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site GARLK1390A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.

Plate 84. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (Site GARNFMORRR1) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Stream Flow (cfs)	1	21	16,918	12,010	8,500	38,300	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	21	20.3	21.9	11.5	27.6	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 16	0% 76%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	21	8.0	7.7	6.8	10.0	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	21	92.3	92.5	78.1	103.5	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	21	518	561	292	656	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	21	8.3	8.3	7.9	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	21	142	150	89	188	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	20	0.18	0.09	n.d.	0.72	2.1 ^(2,3) , 0.9 ^(2,4)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	21	3.0	2.7	2.4	4.3	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	6	9	9	5	13	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	20	362	369	217	542	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	1	231	231	231	231	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	16	-----	n.d.	n.d.	50	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	15	7,906	4,214	1,979	32,066	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	20	0.6	0.5	0.1	1.4	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	16	3	2	n.d.	11	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	15	168	91	54	629	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	21	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.28	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	21	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.18	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	21	0.22	0.14	0.04	0.81	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	21	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Dissolved (ug/l)	20	15	3,934	3,539	2,637	7,700	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	15	11,591	10,178	3,756	37,547	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	21	137	147	64	182	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	21	230	104	47	1,196	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽³⁾ , 150 ⁽⁴⁾ , 50 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.6 ⁽³⁾ , 4.8 ⁽⁴⁾ , 5 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,579 ⁽³⁾ , 171 ⁽⁴⁾ , 100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	3	3	3	30.8 ⁽³⁾ , 19.1 ⁽⁴⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	237 ⁽³⁾ , 9.2 ⁽⁴⁾ , 15 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽³⁾ , 0.91 ⁽⁴⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	953 ⁽³⁾ , 106 ⁽⁴⁾ , 100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾ , 50 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	244 ^(3,4) , 9,100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

⁽²⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 21.9 respectively.

⁽³⁾Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁵⁾Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: North Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 231 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 85. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) for 2005. Mean temperatures based on hourly measurements recorded on the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (USGS gaging station 06330000). Mean daily discharge estimated by adding mean daily discharge recorded for the Missouri River near Culbertson, Montana (USGS gaging station 06185500) and the mean daily discharge recorded for the Yellowstone River near Sidney, Montana (USGS gaging station 06329500).




Plate 86. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) for 2006. Mean temperatures based on hourly measurements recorded on the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (USGS gaging station 06330000). Mean daily discharge estimated by adding mean daily discharge recorded for the Missouri River near Culbertson, Montana (USGS gaging station 06185500) and the mean daily discharge recorded for the Yellowstone River near Sidney, Montana (USGS gaging station 06329500).

Plate 87. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Garrison Dam (i.e., site GARPP1) during the 1½-year period of June 2003 through December 2004.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Dam Discharge (cfs)	1	13,509	16,632	14,970	4,830	34,380	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	12,427	8.8	10.2	0.2	19.0	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 1,078	0% 9%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	11,593	9.2	9.0	3.9	15.1	≥ 5.0	3	<1%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	16	78.1	79.8	44.6	99.0	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	14	597	611	522	659	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	16	8.1	8.1	7.4	8.8	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	14	450	439	316	601	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	14	167	166	155	182	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	13	0.29	0.37	n.d.	0.66	4.64 ^(2,3) , 2.52 ^(2,4)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	14	3.0	2.9	2.7	4.6	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	14	422	403	370	530	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	1	205	205	205	205	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	9	-----	n.d.	n.d.	112	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	11	191	148	100	451	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	13	0.7	0.7	0.2	1.6	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	8	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	11	11	9	n.d.	43	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	14	0.09	1.10	n.d.	0.18	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	12	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.14	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	14	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.21	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	14	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	14	164	161	146	188	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	14	-----	n.d.	n.d.	12	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽³⁾ , 150 ⁽⁴⁾ , 50 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10.2 ⁽³⁾ , 4.3 ⁽⁴⁾ , 5 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,246 ⁽³⁾ , 155 ⁽⁴⁾ , 100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	27.5 ⁽³⁾ , 17.2 ⁽⁴⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	204 ⁽³⁾ , 7.9 ⁽⁴⁾ , 15 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽³⁾ , 0.91 ⁽⁴⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	861 ⁽³⁾ , 9678 ⁽⁴⁾ , 100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾ , 50 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	6	6	6	6	220 ^(3,4) , 9,100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

⁽²⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.1 and 11.0 respectively.

⁽³⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁵⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: North Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 205 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 88. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Garrison Dam (i.e., site GARPP1) during the 2-year period of 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Dam Discharge (cfs)	1	17,390	15,555	13,770	4,090	36,759	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	16,911	7.9	7.2	0.1	18.9	29.4 ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 ⁽¹⁾	0 3,211	0% 19%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	16,911	10.3	10.7	5.2	15.2	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	10,649	93.5	94.0	58.5	112.1	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	10,649	533	527	415	638	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	15	8.1	8.1	7.4	8.9	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	5	453	447	362	548	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	21	166	170	140	186	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	21	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.23	4.64 ^(2,3) , 3.13 ^(2,4)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	20	3.1	3.0	2.5	4.6	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	21	398	410	236	460	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	3	212	212	201	223	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	19	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	20	171	116	n.d.	961	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	20	0.4	0.2	n.d.	2.4	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	20	-----	2	n.d.	12	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	19	12	7	n.d.	64	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	21	0.07	0.06	n.d.	0.13	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	21	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.30	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	21	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.25	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	21	173	176	128	190	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	21	-----	n.d.	n.d.	21	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽³⁾ , 150 ⁽⁴⁾ , 50 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10.5 ⁽³⁾ , 4.4 ⁽⁴⁾ , 5 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,336 ⁽³⁾ , 159 ⁽⁴⁾ , 100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	28.4 ⁽³⁾ , 17.7 ⁽⁴⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	212 ⁽³⁾ , 8.3 ⁽⁴⁾ , 15 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽³⁾ , 0.91 ⁽⁴⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	886 ⁽³⁾ , 99 ⁽⁴⁾ , 100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾ , 50 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	3	-----	4	n.d.	6	226 ^(3,4) , 9,100 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Numeric temperature criterion given in North Dakota water quality standards is 29.4 C. No specific numeric temperature criteria are identified for coldwater aquatic life; however, ≤15 C has been identified by North Dakota as the temperature needed to support optimal coldwater habitat in Garrison Reservoir.

⁽²⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.1 and 7.6 respectively.

⁽³⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁵⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: North Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 212 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 89. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period June through December 2003.




Plate 90. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 91. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 92. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.




Plate 93. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.




Plate 94. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.




Plate 95. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 96. Temperature of water discharged through Garrison Dam during the period July 1 through October 7 in 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006.




Plate 97. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period June through December 2003.




Plate 98. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 99. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 100. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005.




Plate 101. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.




Plate 102. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.




Plate 103. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Garrison powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 104. Dissolved oxygen concentrations of water discharged through Garrison Dam during the period July 1 through October 7 in 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006.




Plate 105. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Garrison Powerplant (i.e., site GARPP1) and the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) during 2005.




Plate 106. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Garrison Powerplant (i.e., site GARPP1) and the Missouri River near Williston, North Dakota (i.e., site GARNFMORRR1) during 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 107. Mean daily water temperature and discharge for the Garrison Dam discharge and Missouri River at Bismarck, North Dakota for 2005. (Daily means based on hourly measurements.)




Plate 108. Mean daily water temperature and discharge for the Garrison Dam discharge and Missouri River at Bismarck, North Dakota for 2006. (Daily means based on hourly measurements.)




Plate 109. Mean daily water temperatures monitored in the Missouri River from Garrison Dam to Beaver Bay for the period June through September 2005.




Plate 110. Mean daily water temperatures monitored in the Missouri River from Garrison Dam to Beaver Bay for the period May through September 2006.

Plate 111. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Oahe Dam (Site OAHLK1073A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	24	1577.7	1576.5	1570.9	1588.6	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	1,065	13.0	11.4	4.7	24.2	18.3	209	20%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	1,065	8.9	8.6	5.7	12.2	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	2 63	<1% 6%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	1,065	88.1	90.6	49.4	108.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	1,065	685	704	534	861	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	931	8.2	8.2	7.3	8.7	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	87	9%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	812	7.3	3.4	n.d.	47.9	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	773	364	367	292	465	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	25	157	166	70	252	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	53	175	178	140	209	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	44	-----	0.07	n.d.	0.47	3.8 ^(1,2) , 0.58 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	50	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.5	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	13	9	8	n.d.	20	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	14	9	9	9	9	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	640	-----	n.d.	n.d.	7	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	19	-----	1	n.d.	11	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	20	463	460	410	510	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	10	233	234	221	242	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	17	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	17	-----	100	n.d.	262	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	54	-----	0.3	n.d.	0.7	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	17	11	5	n.d.	49	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	17	26	15	3	75	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	52	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	22	-----	0.01	n.d.	0.04	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	54	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.20	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	51	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.05	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	7	2,513	2,326	2,125	3,045	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	20	207	210	195	220	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	54	-----	n.d.	n.d.	9	53 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 11.4 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.

Plate 112. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Cow Creek (site OAHLK1090DW) during the period 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	8	1574.7	1575.1	1570.9	1577.6	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	321	15.3	14.5	8.1	27.1	18.3	89	28%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	321	8.1	8.1	6.1	10.3	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	0 55	0% 17%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	321	87.7	85.8	59.2	102.8	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	321	670	700	536	770	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	321	8.3	8.4	7.5	8.8	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	61	19%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	319	7.9	3.5	n.d.	79.9	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	321	365	354	306	468	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	8	129	122	60	228	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

Plate 113. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near the confluence of the Cheyenne River (Site OAHLK1110DW) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	8	1574.7	1575.1	1570.9	1577.6	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	242	17.8	17.8	9.7	24.6	18.3	107	44%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	242	7.8	8.0	3.4	9.3	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	26 41	<11% 17%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	242	85.8	89.2	33.9	104.5	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	242	663	689	536	782	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	242	8.4	8.5	7.5	8.8	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	87	9%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	240	7.3	3.6	0.7	37.3	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	242	360	340	345	459	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	8	113	114	60	192	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	19	166	170	140	180	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	19	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.12	3.8 ^(1,2) , 1.7 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	19	3.1	3.1	2.8	4.1	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	8	13	17	2	19	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	9	9	8	9	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	241	-----	1	n.d.	6	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	18	451	450	420	480	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	70	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	12	167	174	70	394	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	19	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.7	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	12	17	4	2	76	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	12	37	23	10	129	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	19	-----	0.01	n.d.	0.06	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	19	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.25	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	19	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	3	3,352	3,189	3,181	3,687	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	17	204	200	190	220	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	9	53 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.5 and 17.8 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.

Plate 114. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Sutton Bay (site OAHLK1135DW) during the period 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	7	1574.4	1574.6	1570.9	1577.6	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	196	18.7	18.3	10.5	25.4	18.3	97	49%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	196	7.5	7.8	4.3	9.7	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	32 54	16% 28%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	195	83.7	88.2	47.5	107.3	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	196	657	676	532	732	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	196	8.3	8.4	7.6	8.6	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	194	8.2	6.4	1.5	34.1	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	195	392	386	346	469	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	7	87	100	40	122	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

Plate 116. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Whitlocks Bay (Site OAHLK1153DW) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	8	1574.8	1575.1	1571.1	1577.6	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	187	19.3	19.3	11.5	25.7	18.3	105	56%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	187	7.3	7.7	3.2	9.3	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	26 40	14% 21%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	187	83.4	88.0	35.0	104.8	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	186	645	670	539	741	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	187	8.3	8.4	7.7	8.6	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	187	7.0	6.6	1.1	23.0	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	187	407	401	297	483	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	8	71	65	41	110	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	18	166	170	140	180	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	18	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.31	2.6 ^(1,2) , 1.2 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	18	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.7	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	8	12	14	n.d.	23	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	8	8	8	83	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	187	-----	1	n.d.	26	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	8	3	2	n.d.	7	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	18	434	430	420	470	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	70	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	12	222	211	70	504	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	18	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.8	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	12	49	30	7	179	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	12	119	75	23	283	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	18	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.13	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	18	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.16	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	18	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.15	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	3	3,284	3,437	2,877	3,539	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	16	193	190	190	200	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	14	53 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** (1) Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.4 and 19.3 respectively.

(2) Acute criterion for aquatic life.

(3) Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

(4) Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.

Plate 115. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Swan Creek (site OAHLK1176DW) during the period 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	8	1574.8	1575.1	1571.1	1577.6	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	137	20.6	21.2	15.5	25.3	18.3	93	68%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	137	7.7	7.8	2.7	9.7	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	9 25	7% 18%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	137	89.0	91.2	31.4	110.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	137	648	664	529	763	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	137	8.4	8.5	7.8	8.7	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	14	10%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	136	7.7	6.5	1.9	26.4	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	137	410	411	340	477	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	8	51	47	37	84	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

Plate 117. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Oahe Reservoir near Mobridge, South Dakota (Site OAHLK1196DW) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	8	1574.8	1575.1	1571.1	1577.6	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	102	21.0	22.2	15.3	25.1	18.3	91	89%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	102	7.6	7.8	5.1	8.6	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	4 19	4% 19%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	102	89.1	90.9	63.7	97.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	102	645	657	530	749	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	102	8.5	8.5	8.2	8.7	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	87	9%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	102	15.8	16.1	4.2	31.6	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	102	420	411	345	519	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	8	29	26	17	48	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	16	161	170	98	180	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	16	-----	0.05	n.d.	0.33	2.1 ^(1,2) , 1.0 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	16	3.3	3.2	2.9	3.9	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	8	15	15	10	22	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	8	8	8	8	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	102	4	2	1	17	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	7	4	3	1	10	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	443	430	410	490	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	50	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	12	423	419	268	699	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	16	0.4	0.4	n.d.	0.8	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	12	10	5	2	65	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	12	56	54	24	110	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	16	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	16	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	16	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.08	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	16	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	3	3,519	3,429	3,197	3,932	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	14	190	190	180	200	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	16	9	10	n.d.	18	53 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 11.4 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.




Plate 118. Longitudinal temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on June 14, 2006.




Plate 119. Longitudinal temperature (°C) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on July 19, 2006.




Plate 120. Longitudinal temperature (°C) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on August 23, 2006.




Plate 121. Longitudinal temperature (°C) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites OAHLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on September 19, 2006.




Plate 122. Temperature depth profiles for Oahe Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., OAHLK1073A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.




Plate 123. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on June 14, 2006.




Plate 124. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on July 19, 2006.




Plate 125. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on August 23, 2006.




Plate 126. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on September 19, 2006.




Plate 127. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Oahe Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., OAHLK1073A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006. (Note: Red profile plots were measured in the month of September.)




Plate 128. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on June 14, 2006.




Plate 129. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on July 19, 2006.




Plate 130. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on August 23, 2006.




Plate 131. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Oahe Reservoir based on depth-profile turbidity levels measured at sites OAHRLK1073A, OAHLK1090DW, OAHLK1110DW, OAHLK1135DW, OAHLK1153DW, OAHLK1176DW, OAHLK1196DW and OAHNFMORR1 on September 19, 2006.




Plate 132. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHK1073A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006. (Box plots display minimum, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and maximum. Median value is indicated by the red dot. Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent box plots indicate a significant difference between surface and bottom measurements.)

Plate 133. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1073A during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	7,414,456	2	0.19	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.14	4	0.37	1	0.30	0	-----	1.48
Jul 2004	4,313,125	2	0.14	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.75	3	0.11	0	-----	0	-----	1.25
Aug 2004	164,005,921	4	0.78	0	-----	1	0.07	1	0.02	3	<0.01	1	0.14	0	-----	0.85
Sep 2004	5,604,589	2	0.38	1	0.04	0	-----	1	0.03	3	0.35	0	-----	1	0.20	1.45
May 2005	185,149,541	3	0.96	2	0.01	1	<0.01	1	0.03	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	0.96
Jun 2005	55,201,496	4	0.58	1	0.8	0	-----	1	0.11	3	0.02	1	0.22	0	-----	1.78
Jul 2005	45,943,019	4	0.31	2	0.04	1	0.35	1	0.04	3	0.02	1	0.25	0	-----	1.73
Aug 2005	37,779,368	5	0.84	1	0.12	0	-----	0	-----	3	0.04	0	-----	0	-----	1.58
Sep 2005	100,194,654	9	0.46	7	0.09	2	0.14	2	0.04	4	0.22	1	0.05	0	-----	2.39
May 2006	186,720,908	8	0.97	3	0.01	0	-----	1	0.01	0	-----	1	0.02	0	-----	1.31
Jun 2006	95,437,433	5	0.76	6	0.18	0	-----	1	0.05	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.01	1.52
Jul 2006	21,592,424	4	0.17	8	0.46	0	-----	1	0.29	2	0.08	0	-----	0	-----	2.25
Aug 2006	52,731,261	5	0.42	2	0.06	1	0.08	1	0.11	3	0.11	1	0.22	0	-----	2.05
Sep 2006	72,290,329	5	0.12	7	0.26	0	-----	1	0.17	2	0.26	1	0.19		-----	2.06
Mean*	73,884,180	4.43	0.51	2.86	0.19	0.43	0.13	1.07	0.14	2.36	0.14	0.57	0.17	0.15	0.11	1.62

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 134. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1110DW during the period 2005 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2005	312,053,421	4	0.84	3	0.08	1	<0.01	2	0.06	4	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	1.06
Aug 2005	140,479,427	6	0.30	2	0.08	1	0.07	1	0.29	2	0.05	2	0.22	0	-----	2.16
Sep 2005	162,991,360	5	0.35	4	0.08	1	<0.01	2	0.10	4	0.25	2	0.22	0	-----	2.02
Jun 2006	546,334,257	6	0.97	5	0.01	2	<0.01	1	0.02	3	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0.64
Jul 2006	83,678,531	6	0.14	3	0.22	0	-----	1	0.19	4	0.20	2	0.24	0	-----	2.19
Aug 2006	300,970,747	6	0.46	5	0.09	0	-----	1	<0.01	3	0.41	1	0.04	0	-----	1.88
Sep 2006	168,663,712	6	0.22	15	0.30	1	0.02	1	0.30	3	0.04	2	0.12	2	<0.01	2.53
Mean*	245,024,494	5.57	0.47	5.29	0.12	0.86	0.02	1.29	0.14	3.29	0.14	1.43	0.14	0.29	<0.01	1.78

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 135. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1153DW during the period 2005 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2005	2,103,413	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.83	2	0.17	0	-----	0	-----	0.55
Jul 2005	121,465,212	5	0.44	2	0.02	1	0.50	2	0.04	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	1.38
Aug 2005	375,380,230	5	0.79	8	0.14	0	-----	2	0.06	5	0.01	1	0.01	0	-----	1.10
Sep 2005	20,836,490	5	0.60	7	0.03	0	-----	1	0.16	5	0.16	1	0.06	0	-----	1.74
Jun 2006	2,880,967,056	8	0.74	13	0.25	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0.98
Jul 2006	404,261,840	3	0.87	6	0.03	1	0.02	1	0.03	2	0.03	1	0.02	0	-----	0.70
Aug 2006	116,503,830	7	0.22	10	0.28	1	<0.01	1	0.14	5	0.31	2	0.04	1	<0.01	2.38
Sep 2006	121,255,178	6	0.52	12	0.25	0	-----	1	0.06	4	0.06	1	0.05	2	0.05	2.23
Mean*	577,238,548	5.57	0.60	8.29	0.14	0.57	0.14	1.29	0.07	3.43	0.08	1.14	0.03	0.43	0.03	1.50

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 136. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Oahe Reservoir at site OAHLK1196DW during the period 2005 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2005	120,198,449	6	0.96	2	0.02	0	-----	2	0.01	2	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.63
Jul 2005	630,374,805	8	0.32	12	0.14	0	-----	2	0.31	7	0.20	1	0.04	0	-----	2.24
Aug 2005	166,745,682	5	0.58	2	0.11	0	-----	2	0.26	1	0.05	0	-----	0	-----	1.83
Sep 2005	22,057,409	6	0.88	3	0.03	0	-----	1	0.09	3	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.52
Jun 2006	609,612,839	6	0.98	7	0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0.97
Jul 2006	968,250,327	10	0.98	4	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	4	<0.01	1	0.01	1	<0.01	1.43
Aug 2006	2,060,734,486	13	0.95	6	0.02	0	-----	1	0.02	3	<0.01	2	0.01	1	<0.01	1.81
Sep 2006	852,699,287	13	0.95	8	0.03	0	-----	1	0.01	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1.82
Mean*	758,639,262	8.71	0.81	6.00	0.05	0.14	<0.01	1.29	0.10	3.14	0.04	0.86	0.02	0.43	<0.01	1.66

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 137. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site OAHLK1073A) at OAHE Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Division	Dominant Taxa*	Percent of Total Biovolume
June 2004	Cyanobacteria	<i>Anabaena</i> spp.	0.34
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Peridinium inconspicuum</i>	0.30
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria construens</i>	0.17
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.14
July 2004	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.59
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas</i> spp.	0.16
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.12
August 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.75
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.13
September 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira islandica</i>	0.25
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Anabaena circinalis</i>	0.23
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.13
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i>	0.11
May 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.60
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria construens</i>	0.33
June 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus</i> spp.	0.29
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Peridinium</i> spp.	0.22
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.14
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.14
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas</i> spp.	0.11
July 2005	Chrysophyta	<i>Dinobryon sertularia</i>	0.35
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.25
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus hantzschii</i>	0.20
August 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.41
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Synedra</i> spp.	0.23
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Navicula</i> spp.	0.14
	Chlorophyta	<i>Chlamydomonas</i> spp.	0.12
September 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.22
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Anabaena</i> spp.	0.18
	Chrysophyta	<i>Dinobryon sertularia</i>	0.13
May 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.32
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.26
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria</i> spp.	0.22
June 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.39
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.26
	Chlorophyta	<i>Cosmarium</i> spp.	0.17
July 2006	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.29
	Chlorophyta	<i>Cosmarium</i> spp.	0.17
	Chlorophyta	<i>Golenkinia radiata</i>	0.11
August 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.27
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.22
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.11
September 2006	Cyanobacteria	<i>Anabaena</i> spp.	0.23
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.19
	Chlorophyta	<i>Cosmarium</i> spp.	0.19
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.17

* Dominant taxa are genera or species (depending on identification level) that comprised more than 10% of the total sample biovolume.




Plate 138. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Oahe Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site OAHLK1073A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.

Plate 139. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (Site OAHNFMORRR1) during the 2-year period 2005 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Stream Flow (cfs)	1	10	18,405	16,650	12,700	26,800	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	10	19.2	19.6	14.9	22.9	29.4	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	10	9.1	8.8	8.1	10.4	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	10	101.7	101.7	93.6	108.1	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	10	612	604	581	653	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	10	8.3	8.3	8.0	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	10	159	150	140	180	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	10	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.08	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.05 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	10	2.9	3.2	n.d.	3.9	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	5	8	8	8	10	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	6	8	9	2	12	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	9	433	430	410	460	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	1	231	231	231	231	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	40	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	10	587	479	292	1,614	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	10	0.3	0.3	n.d.	0.7	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	10	4	4	2	8	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	10	20	16	12	41	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	9	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.11	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	10	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.17	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	10	0.14	0.12	0.1	0.29	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	-----	-----	-----
Silica, Total (ug/l)	20	4	3,183	3,073	2,781	3,804	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	9	181	180	170	190	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	10	15	13	7	25	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 150 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.6 ⁽²⁾ , 4.8 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,579 ⁽²⁾ , 171 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	3	3	3	30.8 ⁽²⁾ , 19.1 ⁽³⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	237 ⁽²⁾ , 9.2 ⁽³⁾ , 15 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.91 ⁽³⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	953 ⁽²⁾ , 106 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	1	3	3	3	3	244 ^(2,3) , 9,100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 19.6 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: North Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 231 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 140. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) for 2005. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on the Missouri River at Bismarck, North Dakota (USGS gaging station 06342500).




Plate 141. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) for 2006. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on the Missouri River at Bismarck, North Dakota (USGS gaging station 06342500).

Plate 142. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Dam Discharge (cfs)	1	26,304	16,488	14,570	0	53,800	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	22,770	11.1	11.0	0.8	26.0	18.3	5,611	25%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	22,235	9.9	9.9	4.1	16.5	≥ 7.0 ≥ 6.0	2,651 1,930	12% 9%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	22,235	92.4	94.2	53.3	128.3	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	22,051	651	664	377	874	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	17	8.3	8.3	8.0	8.7	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	1	6%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	1	6	442	436	371	541	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	27	173	176	140	205	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	-----	0.07	n.d.	0.30	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.44 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	26	3.0	2.9	2.2	3.5	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	10	9	9	14	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	10	8	7	n.d.	19	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	27	459	450	404	615	1,750 ⁽⁵⁾	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	5	225	224	214	235	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	25	-----	n.d.	n.d.	50	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	27	179	70	n.d.	763	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	27	0.4	0.4	0.2	1.1	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	24	-----	1	n.d.	16	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	26	32	11	3	200	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10 ⁽⁴⁾	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	12	-----	0.01	n.d.	0.03	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.18	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	26	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	27	204	202	183	230	875 ⁽⁴⁾	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	91	53 ⁽²⁾ 30 ⁽³⁾	1 3	4% 11%
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 150 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.2 ⁽²⁾ , 4.6 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,490 ⁽²⁾ , 167 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	3	3	2	6	29.9 ⁽²⁾ , 18.6 ⁽³⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	228 ⁽²⁾ , 8.9 ⁽³⁾ , 15 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.91 ⁽³⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	928 ⁽²⁾ , 103 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	4	3	n.d.	11	237 ^(2,3) , 9,100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetect, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 11.0 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: South Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 224 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 143. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 144. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 145. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 146. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.




Plate 147. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006.




Plate 148. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.




Plate 149. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 150. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 151. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 152. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 153. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 154. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Oahe Powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 155. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Oahe Powerplant (i.e., site OAHPP1) and the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) during 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 156. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Oahe Powerplant (i.e., site OAHPP1) and the Missouri River near Bismarck, North Dakota (i.e., site OAHNFMORR1) during 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)

Plate 157. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Big Bend Reservoir near Big Bend Dam (Site BBDLK0987A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	23	1420.2	1420.3	1417.7	1420.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	590	19.4	19.5	10.3	27.3	18.3	395	67%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	590	8.0	7.8	3.1	10.4	≥ 6.0 ≥ 7.0	17 81	3% 14%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	590	90.3	90.9	37.3	107.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	589	702	713	546	801	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	567	8.4	8.4	7.8	9.0	≥6.6 & ≤8.6	112	20%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	425	5.9	4.8	n.d.	25.3	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	430	364	370	259	441	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	23	80	73	40	196	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	49	173	173	140	198	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	40	-----	0.06	n.d.	0.48	2.59 ^(1,2) , 1.20 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	46	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.8	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	14	10	10	n.d.	20	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	14	10	9	9	10	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	339	-----	n.d.	n.d.	14	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	19	-----	1	n.d.	4	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	483	475	450	560	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	10	249	233	208	401	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	11	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	11	107	100	n.d.	229	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	50	-----	0.3	n.d.	0.8	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	11	4	3	n.d.	14	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	11	25	15	4	61	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	48	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.01	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	50	0.04	0.02	n.d.	0.47	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	50	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	16	217	220	200	230	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	50	-----	n.d.	n.d.	10	53 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.4 and 19.5 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.




Plate 158. Temperature depth profiles for Big Bend Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.




Plate 159. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Big Bend Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006. (Note: Red profile plots were measured in the month of September.)




Plate 160. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Big Bend Reservoir at site BBDLK0987A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006. (Box plots display minimum, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and maximum. Median value is indicated by the red dot. Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent box plots indicate a significant difference between surface and bottom measurements.)

Plate 161. Total biovolume, number of genera present and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site BBDLK0987A) at Big Bend Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	6,563,514	3	0.77	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.20	2	0.04	0	-----	0	-----	1.24
Jul 2004	6,337,657	1	0.77	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.03	4	0.20	0	-----	0	-----	0.70
Aug 2004	129,629,728	7	0.79	5	0.05	0	-----	1	0.02	3	<0.01	2	0.13	0	-----	1.15
May 2005	400,458,770	6	0.93	3	<0.01	0	-----	1	0.07	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.47
Jun 2005	12,306,159	2	0.26	1	0.04	0	-----	2	0.63	4	0.07	0	-----	0	-----	1.58
Jul 2005	223,854,976	11	0.97	2	0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.55
Aug 2005	111,016,029	5	0.22	0	-----	2	0.26	1	0.03	8	0.48	0	-----	0	-----	2.03
Sep 2005	290,622,396	8	0.77	14	0.06	0	-----	1	0.04	5	0.12	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	1.80
May 2006	782,608,177	7	0.97	2	<0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.03	0.93
Jun 2006	569,715,640	7	0.98	8	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	0.16
Jul 2006	71,040,754	5	0.13	9	0.41	1	<0.01	1	0.16	3	0.14	1	0.16	0	-----	2.33
Aug 2006	460,223,040	13	0.71	14	0.15	1	0.01	1	0.01	5	0.06	3	0.06	1	0.01	2.37
Sep 2006	112,017,227	10	0.51	16	0.25	1	<0.01	1	0.05	7	0.09	2	0.09	1	<0.01	2.68
Mean	244,338,005	6.5	0.68	5.7	0.10	0.5	0.06	1.1	0.11	3.3	0.11	0.8	0.09	0.3	0.01	1.54

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 162. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site BBDLK0987A) at Big Bend Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Division	Dominant Taxa*	Percent of Total Biovolume
June 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.61
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Navicula</i> spp.	0.14
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.13
July 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.77
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Anabaena</i> spp.	0.13
August 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.70
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.13
May 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.36
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Tabellaria fenestrata</i>	0.29
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.23
June 2005	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.37
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas</i> spp.	0.26
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus</i> spp.	0.22
July 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.45
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.31
August 2005	Cyanobacteria	<i>Pseudanabaena limnetica</i>	0.33
	Chrysophyta	<i>Dinobryon sertularia</i>	0.24
September 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus hantzschii</i>	0.56
May 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.48
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.45
June 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria</i> spp.	0.97
July 2006	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.16
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.16
	Chlorophyta	<i>Cosmarium</i> spp.	0.16
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Gomphosphaeria aponina</i>	0.13
August 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus hantzschii</i>	0.41
September 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.31

* Dominant taxa are genera or species (depending on identification level) that comprised more than 10% of the total sample biovolume.




Plate 163. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Big Bend Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site BBDLK0987A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.




Plate 164. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) for 2005. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Oahe Dam.




Plate 165. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) for 2006. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Oahe Dam

Plate 166. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBPPP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Dam Discharge (cfs)	1	26,304	15,163	11,080	0	96,470	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	19,492	10.6	9.4	0.6	25.9	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	18,548	10.1	10.1	3.2	14.0	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	837 136	5% 1%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	18,548	90.2	92.2	37.4	106.2	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	18,685	636	638	462	743	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	23	8.3	8.3	7.6	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	1	6	517	485	426	641	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	26	174	174	140	206	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	26	-----	0.06	n.d.	0.31	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.44 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	26	3.1	3.0	2.6	3.9	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	10	10	9	12	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	10	11	10	5	21	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	26	471	460	379	753	1,750 ⁽⁵⁾	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	5	224	228	211	228	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	23	-----	n.d.	n.d.	142	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	25	231	157	n.d.	688	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	26	0.5	0.3	0.2	1.7	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	23	6	3	n.d.	53	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	25	56	42	10	178	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	26	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10	10 ⁽⁴⁾	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	26	0.04	0.03	n.d.	0.30	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	24	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	26	207	210	119	230	875 ⁽⁴⁾	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	26	-----	5	n.d.	20	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 150 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.2 ⁽²⁾ , 4.6 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,490 ⁽²⁾ , 167 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	3	3	2	6	29.9 ⁽²⁾ , 18.6 ⁽³⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	228 ⁽²⁾ , 8.9 ⁽³⁾ , 15 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	6	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	6	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.91 ⁽³⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	928 ⁽²⁾ , 103 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	8	10	n.d.	11	237 ^(2,3) , 9,100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 10.6 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: South Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 228 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 167. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 168. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 169. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 170. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 171. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 172. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 173. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 174. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 175. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 176. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 177. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through July 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 178. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Big Bend powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 179. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Big Bend Powerplant (i.e., site BBDPP1) and the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) during 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 180. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Big Bend Powerplant (i.e., site BBDPP1) and the Missouri River at Oahe Dam (i.e., site OAHPP1) during 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)

Plate 181. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Fort Randall Dam (Site FTRLK0880A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	21	1353.6	1354.0	1346.7	1356.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	849	19.3	22.0	5.6	28.1	27.0	3	<1%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	828	8.1	7.8	1.8	12.8	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	35 19	4% 2%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	828	90.4	91.9	20.7	108.4	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	849	715	728	571	821	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	776	8.3	8.3	7.4	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	620	4.2	3.1	0.2	32.1	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	662	369	370	301	441	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	26	113	110	51	229	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	51	171	173	140	204	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	42	-----	0.09	n.d.	1.30	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.44 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	46	3.1	3.1	2.8	4.0	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	14	11	9	5	21	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	14	10	10	9	10	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	480	-----	n.d.	n.d.	9	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	20	-----	1	n.d.	3	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	472	465	440	550	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	12	240	235	220	280	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	11	-----	n.d.	n.d.	80	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	11	82	70	n.d.	194	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	52	-----	0.3	n.d.	2.2	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	11	17	8	2	112	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	11	27	11	5	141	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	52	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	11	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	52	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.20	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	50	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	16	226	228	220	230	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	52	-----	n.d.	n.d.	15	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	1.8	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 11.4 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.

Plate 182. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Pease Creek (site FTRHLK0892DW) during 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria***	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	4	1352.4	1353.9	1346.7	1354.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	112	21.6	20.3	13.2	26.2	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	111	7.8	7.9	4.1	8.8	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	6 4	6% 4%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	111	91.7	92.9	44.9	102.3	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	112	729	734	698	740	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	112	8.4	8.5	7.9	8.5	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	111	3.5	2.5	0.7	24.7	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	112	341	333	289	415	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	112	-----	1	n.d.	4	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	4	114	102	56	194	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

Plate 183. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Platte Creek (Site FTRLK0911DW) during 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	4	1352.4	1353.9	1346.7	1354.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	96	22.3	21.8	15.7	26.3	27.0	209	20%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	96	7.7	8.0	3.2	8.5	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	4 2	4% 2%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	96	92.4	93.7	37.1	103.3	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	96	728	731	716	742	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	96	8.4	8.5	7.9	8.5	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	96	4.3	2.6	1.7	23.8	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	96	334	336	285	391	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	4	92	86	48	148	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	7	150	160	110	170	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	7	-----	0.04	n.d.	0.16	2.14 ^(1,2) , 1.00 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	7	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.5	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	7	13	13	4	19	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	7	10	10	9	10	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	97	4	1	1	11	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	4	4	2	2	10	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	7	484	480	460	510	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	40	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	7	95	100	60	145	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	7	0.2	0.2	n.d.	0.7	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	7	8	3	2	35	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	7	25	20	11	60	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	7	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.05	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	7	230	220	220	270	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 11.4 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.

Plate 184. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Snake Creek (site FTRHLK0924DW) during 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	4	1352.5	1353.9	1347.1	1354.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	70	22.7	24.1	15.3	25.9	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	70	8.0	8.0	6.9	8.5	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	0 0	0% 0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	70	95.9	96.8	86.6	102.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	70	727	735	711	738	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	70	8.5	8.5	8.2	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	69	9.3	8.7	3.4	57.9	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	70	326	322	272	377	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	70	4	1	n.d.	21	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	4	47	46	36	60	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

Plate 185. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Elm Creek (Site FTRLK0940DW) during 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	4	1352.5	1353.9	1347.1	1354.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	21	22.2	24.6	13.8	25.2	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	21	8.3	8.1	7.8	9.2	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	0 0	0% 0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	21	98.6	99.4	92.1	102.4	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	21	726	732	716	735	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	21	8.5	8.5	8.2	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	21	45.7	13.0	10.5	283.5	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	21	329	316	284	397	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	4	20	23	7	28	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	8	158	160	140	170	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.06	2.14 ^(1,2) , 1.00 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	8	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.3	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	8	13	14	4	19	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	10	10	9	10	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	21	5	6	n.d.	11	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	4	6	8	n.d.	10	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	8	478	480	460	490	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	60	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	8	1,469	564	279	4,321	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	8	0.4	0.3	0.2	1.0	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	8	5	4	2	13	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	8	71	39	15	193	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	8	0.05	0.05	n.d.	0.13	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	8	220	220	210	230	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	8	43	17	6	140	158 ⁽²⁾ 90 ⁽³⁾	0 2	0% 25%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 11.4 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.

Plate 186. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near the White River (site FTRHLK0955DW) during 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results*						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean**	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	4	1352.5	1353.9	1347.1	1354.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	14	22.1	24.6	14.9	25.2	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	13	8.4	8.1	8.0	9.2	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	0 0	0% 0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	13	98.9	99.4	94.2	102.6	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	14	722	720	714	732	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	14	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	13	22.8	20.2	8.5	39.8	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	14	330	333	272	395	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	14	8	5	6	12	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	3	21	18	16	28	-----	-----	-----

* Results are a combination of all sampling depths.

** The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

Plate 187. Summary of monthly (June through September) water quality conditions monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir near Chamberlin, SD (Site FTRLK0968DW) during 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	4	1352.5	1353.9	1347.1	1354.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	13	22.0	24.2	15.6	24.7	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	23	8.3	8.1	8.0	8.9	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	0 0	0% 0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	23	98.2	99.9	92.7	100.4	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	23	721	728	710	729	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	23	8.5	8.5	8.3	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	23	17.7	16.3	8.7	32.8	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	23	325	322	278	386	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	4	24	25	14	32	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	8	158	160	140	170	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	0.03	n.d.	0.07	2.14 ^(1,2) , 1.00 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	8	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.1	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	8	-----	12	n.d.	20	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	9	9	9	10	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	23	5	2	1	12	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	4	-----	4	n.d.	9	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	8	471	460	450	520	1,750 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	8	460	415	336	636	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	8	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.8	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	8	5	4	2	9	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	8	62	61	35	86	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	8	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.14	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	8	219	220	210	230	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	8	15	13	9	23	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 11.4 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.




Plate 188. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on June 15, 2006.




Plate 189. Longitudinal water temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on July 20, 2006.




Plate 190. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on August 24, 2006.




Plate 191. Longitudinal water temperature (°C) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile water temperatures measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on September 21, 2006.




Plate 192. Temperature depth profiles for Fort Randall Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.




Plate 193. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on June 15, 2006.




Plate 194. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on July 20, 2006.




Plate 195. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on August 24, 2006.




Plate 196. Longitudinal dissolved oxygen (mg/l) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on September 21, 2006.




Plate 197. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Fort Randall Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006. (Note: Red profile plots were measured in the month of September.)




Plate 198. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on June 15, 2006.




Plate 199. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on July 20, 2006.




Plate 200. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on August 24, 2006.




Plate 201. Longitudinal turbidity (NTU) contour plot of Fort Randall Reservoir based on depth-profile dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at sites FTRLK0880A, FTRLK0892DW, FTRLK0911DW, FTRLK0924DW, FTRLK0940DW, FTRLK0955DW, FTRLK0968DW, and BBDPP1 on September 21, 2006.




Plate 202. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0880A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006. (Box plots display minimum, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and maximum. Median value is indicated by the red dot. Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent box plots indicate a significant difference between surface and bottom measurements.)

Plate 1. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0880A during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	4,925,542	3	0.83	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.09	2	0.08	0	-----	0	-----	0.76
Jul 2004	832,108	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.97	2	0.03	0	-----	0	-----	0.79
Aug 2004	75,169,830	6	0.62	3	0.01	0	-----	2	0.16	2	<0.01	2	0.20	1	0.01	1.92
May 2005	1,277,161,733	12	0.97	6	0.02	0	-----	2	0.01	4	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.60
Jun 2005	12,054,751	4	0.41	4	0.19	0	-----	1	0.30	3	0.10	0	-----	0	-----	1.84
Jul 2005	103,882,588	6	0.91	2	<0.01	1	0.06	1	<0.01	3	0.02	0	-----	0	-----	1.43
Aug 2005	131,927,592	5	0.77	4	0.07	1	<0.01	2	0.09	0	-----	1	0.04	1	0.04	1.57
Sep 2005	20,963,108	3	0.15	1	0.01	0	-----	1	0.18	7	0.65	0	-----	0	-----	1.54
May 2006	1,511,202,710	6	1.00	2	<0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	0.73
Jun 2006	217,211,152	7	0.80	6	0.09	1	0.09	1	0.02	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.44
Jul 2006	39,547,409	7	0.88	5	0.08	0	-----	1	0.02	3	0.02	0	-----	1	<0.01	1.44
Aug 2006	250,444,849	5	0.74	7	0.11	2	0.09	1	0.01	1	<0.01	1	0.03	1	0.03	1.57
Sep 2006	391,168,130	6	0.81	11	0.11	0	-----	1	0.02	3	0.01	1	0.03	1	0.01	1.88
Mean*	310,499,346	5.4	0.74	3.9	0.06	0.4	0.06	1.3	0.15	2.4	0.09	0.4	0.08	0.4	0.02	1.42

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 2. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0911DW during 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2006	69,931,419	5	0.44	7	0.36	2	0.08	1	0.04	1	0.06	1	0.03	0	-----	2.17
Jul 2006	98,641,374	2	0.34	5	0.13	0	-----	1	0.11	3	0.16	1	0.27	0	-----	1.86
Aug 2006	133,306,055	5	0.11	12	0.44	1	0.01	1	0.14	4	0.14	1	0.15	1	0.02	2.71
Sep 2006	83,623,877	10	0.32	15	0.43	0	-----	1	0.10	6	0.02	1	0.12	0	-----	2.59
Mean*	96,375,681	5.5	0.30	9.8	0.34	0.80	0.05	1.0	0.10	3.5	0.10	1.0	0.14	0.3	0.2	2.33

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 3. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0940DW during 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (μm ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2006	518,893,912	11	0.92	9	0.05	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.03	0	-----	0	-----	1.06
Jul 2006	119,552,113	11	0.81	8	0.07	1	<0.01	0	-----	1	0.06	1	0.05	1	0.01	1.77
Aug 2006	320,031,467	12	0.72	15	0.12	1	0.01	1	<0.01	5	0.14	1	0.01	1	<0.01	2.86
Sep 2006	365,369,612	18	0.83	10	0.11	1	0.03	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.04	0	-----	2.77
Mean*	330,961,776	13.0	0.82	10.5	0.09	0.8	0.02	0.3	<0.01	1.8	0.08	0.8	0.03	0.5	0.01	2.12

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 4. Total biovolume, number of genera present, and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected in Fort Randall Reservoir at site FTRLK0968DW during 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (μm ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2006	3,042,159,471	9	0.94	9	0.04	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	2	0.01	1	<0.01	0	-----	0.44
Jul 2006	235,103,339	7	0.24	6	0.72	1	<0.01	1	0.01	1	0.03	0	-----	1	<0.01	1.37
Aug 2006	842,613,801	19	0.91	14	0.04	2	<0.01	1	<0.01	6	0.03	1	0.01	1	<0.01	1.80
Sep 2006	270,757,940	17	0.80	11	0.14	1	0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	0.04	2	0.01	2.42
Mean*	1,097,658,638	13.0	0.72	10.0	0.24	1.3	<0.01	1.0	<0.01	2.5	0.02	0.8	0.02	1.0	<0.01	1.51

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 207. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site FTRLK0880A) at Fort Randall Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Division	Dominant Taxa*	Percent of Total Biovolume
June 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.81
July 2004	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.60
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas spp.</i>	0.37
August 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Tabellaria spp.</i>	0.23
	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.20
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.19
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas spp.</i>	0.14
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.14
May 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.47
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.20
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus spp.</i>	0.12
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Melosira varians</i>	0.11
June 2005	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.30
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus spp.</i>	0.22
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella spp.</i>	0.17
July 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.45
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Synedra spp.</i>	0.33
August 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella spp.</i>	0.48
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Synedra spp.</i>	0.20
September 2005	Cyanobacteria	<i>Planktolyngbya limnetica</i>	0.52
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Oscillatoria spp.</i>	0.12
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.11
May 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.51
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.44
June 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.41
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.18
July 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formossa</i>	0.45
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.35
August 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.56
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.16
September 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.41
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus niagarea</i>	0.20
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.10

* Dominant taxa are genera or species (depending on identification level) that comprised more than 10% of the total sample biovolume.




Plate 208. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Fort Randall Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site FTRLK0880A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.




Plate 209. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) for 2005. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Big Bend Dam. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 210. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) for 2006. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Big Bend Dam. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)

Plate 211. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Fort Randall Dam (i.e., site FTRPP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Dam Discharge (cfs)	1	26,303	16,517	14,910	0	44,850	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	20,910	12.4	12.8	0.4	25.7	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	19,086	9.4	9.1	2.9	14.0	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	557 238	3% 1%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	19,086	89.4	90.5	34.9	113.6	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	20,740	659	663	467	789	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	21	8.3	8.3	7.5	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	1	7	420	439	317	458	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	27	169	170	130	191	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	-----	0.05	n.d.	0.42	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.44 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	27	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.2	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	10	10	10	12	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	10	11	11	n.d.	21	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	27	451	465	323	510	1,750 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	5	224	222	215	238	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	24	-----	n.d.	n.d.	50	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	25	129	85	n.d.	1,121	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	27	0.3	0.3	n.d.	1.2	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	24	-----	2	n.d.	14	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	25	19	13	n.d.	47	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.25	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	25	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	27	215	220	132	232	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	26	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 150 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.1 ⁽²⁾ , 4.6 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,465 ⁽²⁾ , 166 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	3	n.d.	5	29.7 ⁽²⁾ , 18.4 ⁽³⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	225 ⁽²⁾ , 8.8 ⁽³⁾ , 15 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	6	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	6	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.91 ⁽³⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	921 ⁽²⁾ , 102 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	4	235 ^(2,3) , 9,100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 12.8 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: South Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 222 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 212. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 213. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 214. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 215. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005.




Plate 216. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 217. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.




Plate 218. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 219. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 220. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 221. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 222. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in dissolved oxygen plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 223. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen concentrations monitored at the Fort Randall powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006.




Plate 224. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Randall Powerplant (i.e., site FTRPP1) and the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) during 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 225. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Fort Randall Powerplant (i.e., site FTRPP1) and the Missouri River at Big Bend Dam (i.e., site BBDPP1) during 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)

Plate 226. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at the Fort Randall Dam tailwaters during the 4-year period of 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	66	24,288	26,700	4,000	40,000	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	67	14.1	14.9	-0.1	26.2	27.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	67	9.5	9.2	4.9	14.8	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	1 1	1% 1%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	67	93.0	95.1	57.6	111.9	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	67	681	675	535	770	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	67	8.3	8.3	6.8	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	1	23	4.6	4.6	322	516	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	64	175	176	130	223	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	64	-----	0.10	n.d.	0.62	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.44 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	63	3.1	3.1	2.7	3.8	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	64	10	10	5	31	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	64	8	9	n.d.	18	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	501	480	442	840	1,750 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	14	232	237	217	242	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	64	0.4	0.3	n.d.	3.2	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	63	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.60	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	63	0.06	0.03	n.d.	0.66	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	64	-----	n.d.	n.d.	22	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Turbidity (NTU)	0.1	62	12.2	8.1	n.d.	43	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 150 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.7 ⁽²⁾ , 4.8 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	3,592 ⁽²⁾ , 172 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	5	30.9 ⁽²⁾ , 19.1 ⁽³⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	238 ⁽²⁾ , 9.3 ⁽³⁾ , 15 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.91 ⁽³⁾ , 0.05 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	8	956 ⁽²⁾ , 106 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	4	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	26	244 ^(2,3) , 9,100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	59	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	59	-----	n.d.	n.d.	1.26	-----	-----	-----
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	59	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.05	-----	-----	-----
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 14.9 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: South Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 232 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 227. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site MORR0851) at RM851 during the 4-year period of 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	66	23,501	26,200	4,000	40,000	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	67	14.8	15.9	1.7	28.9	29.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	65	9.6	9.1	6.4	13.8	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	65	95.7	96.7	73.3	113.1	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	67	685	679	532	788	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	67	8.3	8.3	7.1	9.1	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	1	1%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	1	25	402	397	315	482	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	65	174	174	130	220	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	65	-----	0.09	n.d.	0.59	4.71 ^(1,2) , 1.39 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	65	3.1	3.0	2.5	4.3	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	64	10	10	5	31	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	65	7	8	n.d.	21	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	464	470	332	520	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	14	227	233	167	242	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	66	0.4	0.4	n.d.	2.4	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	65	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.08	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	64	0.04	0.02	n.d.	0.37	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	65	-----	5	n.d.	29	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Turbidity (NTU)	0.1	61	14.1	9.7	0.3	62.0	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	4	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	13.4 ⁽²⁾ , 0.4 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,184 ⁽²⁾ , 154 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	29.8 ⁽²⁾ , 18.5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	160 ⁽²⁾ , 6 2 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	958 ⁽²⁾ , 106 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	8,	240 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	61	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	61	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.27	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	61	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.11	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	5	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	0	0%
Profluralin, Total (ug/l)	-----	-----	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.20	-----	-----	-----

Note: Where numeric water quality standards criteria are present for both the State of Nebraska and South Dakota, the Nebraska criteria are given.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** (1) Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 15.9 respectively.

(2) Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

(3) Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

(4) Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 233 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 228. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Gavins Point Reservoir near Gavins Point Dam (Site GPTLK0811A) during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation (ft-msl)	0.1	25	1206.4	1206.4	1204.7	1207.9	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	301	21.2	23.0	10.6	27.8	27.0 29.0	2 0	<1% 0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	301	7.6	7.6	1.4	11.6	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	47 21	16% 7%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	301	88.0	92.5	16.7	112.5	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	301	693	699	555	784	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	290	8.4	8.4	6.9	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	200	21.3	16.0	2.6	516.0	-----	-----	-----
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	219	367	364	275	452	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in.)	1	25	38	34	20	72	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	50	179	170	130	740	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	40	-----	0.08	n.d.	0.46	3.88 ^(1,2) , 0.75 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	44	3.3	3.3	2.9	4.2	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	14	10	8	n.d.	19	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	14	9	9	8	10	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Field Probe	1	186	9	9	n.d.	29	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) – Lab Determined	1	20	6	5	n.d.	20	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	471	460	400	530	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	12	234	238	211	245	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	11	-----	n.d.	n.d.	60	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	11	382	333	190	727	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	50	-----	0.3	n.d.	1.5	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	11	29	6	3	225	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	11	79	49	29	329	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	50	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.14	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	50	0.04	0.04	n.d.	0.23	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	50	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	16	217	220	210	223	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	50	10	9	n.d.	32	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Microcystins, Total (ug/l)	0.2	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.4 and 23.0 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life.

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life.

⁽⁴⁾ Daily maximum criterion for domestic water supply.




Plate 229. Temperature depth profiles for Gavins Point Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006.




Plate 230. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Gavins Point Reservoir generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) during the months of July, August, and September over the 5-year period of 2002 to 2006. (Note: Red profile plots were measured in the month of September.)




Plate 231. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, and total organic carbon measured in Gavins Point Reservoir at site GPTLK0811A during the summer months of 2002 through 2006. (Box plots display minimum, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and maximum. Median value is indicated by the red dot. Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent box plots indicate a significant difference between surface and bottom measurements.)

Plate 232. Total biovolume, number of genera present and percent composition (based on biovolume) by taxonomic division for phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site (i.e., site GPTLK0811A) at Gavins Point Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Total Sample Biovolume (um ³)	Bacillariophyta		Chlorophyta		Chrysophyta		Cryptophyta		Cyanobacteria		Pyrrophyta		Euglenophyta		Shannon-Weaver Genera Diversity
		No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	No. of Genera	Percent Comp.	
Jun 2004	2,020,993	1	0.38	0	-----	0	-----	2	0.37	2	0.25	0	-----	0	-----	1.49
Jul 2004	1,260,399	0	-----	0	-----	0	-----	1	0.27	2	0.73	0	-----	0	-----	0.68
Aug 2004	428,086,948	7	0.33	3	<0.01	1	0.01	2	0.06	2	<0.01	1	0.61	1	<0.01	1.24
May 2005	170,642,733	6	0.86	3	0.08	0	-----	1	0.05	1	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.29
Jun 2005	75,346,609	3	0.78	3	0.03	0	-----	1	0.15	3	<0.01	1	0.03	0	-----	1.35
Jul 2005	621,134,038	10	0.93	3	0.06	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	3	<0.01	0	-----	0	-----	1.61
Aug 2005	400,199,396	7	0.55	6	0.02	2	0.05	1	0.26	4	0.04	3	0.06	2	0.04	2.28
Sep 2005	337,716,027	11	0.49	10	0.04	0	-----	2	0.37	6	0.03	2	0.06	2	0.01	2.21
May 2006	1,170,506,627	12	0.97	13	0.01	0	-----	1	<0.01	2	<0.01	0	-----	2	0.01	1.19
Jun 2006	280,054,880	10	0.78	17	0.16	2	0.01	1	0.01	0	-----	1	0.03	1	0.01	2.15
Jul 2006	710,790,547	15	0.89	9	0.06	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	3	0.04	1	0.01	2.01
Aug 2006	528,360,481	13	0.75	11	0.10	1	<0.01	1	<0.01	8	0.11	1	0.01	2	0.02	2.52
Sep 2006	520,570,174	19	0.72	22	0.22	0	-----	1	0.01	4	0.03	0	-----	2	0.02	2.84
Mean	403,591,527	8.8	0.70	7.7	0.07	0.6	0.01	1.2	0.12	2.9	0.10	0.9	0.12	1.0	0.02	1.76

* Mean percent composition represents the mean when taxa of that division are present.

Plate 233. Dominant taxa present in phytoplankton grab samples collected at the near-dam monitoring site (site GPTLK0811A) at Gavins Point Reservoir during the period 2004 through 2006.

Date	Division	Dominant Taxa*	Percent of Total Biovolume
Jun 2004	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria</i> spp.	0.38
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas</i> spp.	0.20
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.17
	Cyanobacteria	<i>Aphanothece</i> spp.	0.17
Jul 2004	Cyanobacteria	<i>Aphanocapsa</i> spp.	0.71
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.28
August 2004	Pyrrophyta	<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i>	0.61
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.23
May 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.62
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formosa</i>	0.19
June 2005	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.54
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus</i> spp.	0.21
	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.15
July 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Cyclotella</i> spp.	0.47
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.22
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira</i> spp.	0.15
August 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.29
	Cryptophyta	<i>Cryptomonas</i> spp.	0.19
September 2006	Cryptophyta	<i>Rhodomonas minuta</i>	0.35
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.19
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.10
May 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.42
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Asterionella formosa</i>	0.38
June 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.42
July 2006	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira</i> spp.	0.41
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.19
August	Bacillariophyta	<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	0.19
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.14
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Stephanodiscus niagarea</i>	0.24
	Bacillariophyta	<i>Aulacoseira granulata</i>	0.11

* Dominant taxa are genera or species (depending on identification level) that comprised more than 10% of the total sample biovolume.




Plate 234. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Gavins Point Reservoir at the near-dam, ambient site (i.e., site GTPLK0811A) over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.




Plate 235. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Fort Randall Dam (i.e., site FTRPP1) for 2005. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Fort Randall Dam. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 236. Mean daily water temperature and discharge of the Missouri River at Fort Randall Dam (i.e., site FTRPP1) for 2006. Mean daily temperatures and discharges based on hourly measurements recorded on discharge through Fort Randall Dam. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)

Plate 237. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska by the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality during the 5-year period 2002 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	0.1	73	1,703	1,430	3	11,600	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	76	15.2	17.9	-0.2	34.0	29.0	6	8%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	75	10.5	9.4	7.6	19.6	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	76	254	256	102	345	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	76	8.3	8.2	7.6	8.9	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Turbidity (NTUs)	0.1	75	114.9	91.7	2.3	373.0	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	16	116	113	103	144	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.05	72	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.37	4.71 ^(1,2) , 1.22 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	1	21	114	111	91	149	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.5	72	0.8	0.9	n.d.	1.7	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.05	72	-----	0.82	n.d.	2.01	10 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.05	72	0.25	0.24	0.05	0.56	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	72	154	118	n.d.	518	-----	-----	-----
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	10	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6.5 ⁽²⁾ , 0.3 ⁽³⁾	***	***
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	10	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	645 ⁽²⁾ , 84 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	10	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.8 ⁽²⁾ , 9.8 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	5	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	72 ⁽²⁾ , 2.8 ⁽³⁾	***	***
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	***	***
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	10	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	511 ⁽²⁾ , 57 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	5	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	18	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4.1 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	10	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	128	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)	0.05	65	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.14	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)	0.05	75	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.50	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)	0.05	76	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.50	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.2 and 17.9 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Many of Nebraska's WQS criteria for metals are hardness based. As appropriate, listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 111 mg/l.

*** WQS criteria below method detection limit.




Plate 238. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow of the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site USGS 06465500) for 2005. Mean daily temperatures and streamflows based on hourly measurements recorded at the USGS gaging station.




Plate 239. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow of the Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska (i.e., site USGS 06465500) for 2006. Mean daily temperatures and streamflows based on hourly measurements recorded at the USGS gaging station.




Plate 240. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir for 2005. The mean daily discharge was estimated by adding the mean daily discharges determined for the Fort Randall Dam outflow and Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska at USGS gaging station 06465500. The mean daily temperature was estimated by flow weighting the mean daily water temperatures determined at the two sites.




Plate 241. Mean daily water temperature and streamflow estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir for 2006. The mean daily discharge was estimated by adding the mean daily discharges determined for the Fort Randall Dam outflow and Niobrara River near Verdel, Nebraska at USGS gaging station 06465500. The mean daily temperature was estimated by flow weighting the mean daily water temperatures determined at the two sites. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)

Plate 242. Summary of water quality conditions monitored on water discharged through Gavins Point Dam (i.e., site GTPPP1) during the 3-year period of 2004 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Dam Discharge (cfs)	1	27	19,634	21,000	9,000	30,000	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	20,417	11.9	11.1	0.01	27.8	29.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	18,924	10.0	10.0	2.9	16.5	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	566 97	3% 1%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	18,924	94.0	94.0	36.8	180.0	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	20,411	638	649	433	788	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	24	8.3	8.3	7.8	8.6	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	1	7	439	427	374	503	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	27	163	161	140	179	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	-----	0.05	n.d.	0.37	3.15 ^(1,2) , 1.44 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	27	3.0	3.0	2.6	3.8	-----	-----	-----
Chloride (mg/l)	1	8	10	9	9	12	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	10	9	9	2	21	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	27	449	450	374	542	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	5	216	216	208	229	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	25	-----	n.d.	n.d.	246	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	26	361	362	70	799	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	27	0.5	0.4	n.d.	1.3	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	25	13	5	n.d.	71	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	26	61	44	6	196	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.28	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	0.06	0.05	n.d.	0.18	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	26	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	27	195	200	95	230	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	27	13	11	n.d.	40	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	12.5 ⁽²⁾ , 0.4 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,112 ⁽²⁾ , 145 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	9	9	3	15	27.8 ⁽²⁾ , 17.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	148 ⁽²⁾ , 5.8 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	6	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	6	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	898 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	13.0 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	225 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 11.1 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Many of Nebraska's WQS criteria for metals are hardness based. As appropriate, listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 216 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 243. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 244. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 245. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 246. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 247. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 248. Hourly discharge and water temperature monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 249. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 250. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2004. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 251. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 252. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 253. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period January through June 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 254. Hourly discharge and dissolved oxygen monitored at the Gavins Point powerplant on water discharged through the dam during the period July through December 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plot represents periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 255. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Gavins Point Powerplant (i.e., site GT PPP1) and estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir during 2005. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 256. Mean daily water temperatures monitored at the Gavins Point Powerplant (i.e., site GT PPP1) and estimated for the Missouri River inflow to Gavins Point Reservoir during 2006. (Note: Gaps in temperature plots are periods when monitoring equipment was not operational.)




Plate 257. Near-bottom water temperatures measured at near-dam, deepwater locations in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs during 2003 through 2006.




Plate 258. Depth to 15°C water temperature measured at near-dam, deepwater locations in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs for the period 2003 through 2006.




Plate 259. Near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations measured at near-dam, deepwater locations in Fort Peck, Garrison, and Oahe Reservoirs during 2003 through 2006.




Plate 260. Elevation of the 15°C water temperature and 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen concentration isopleths for Fort Peck Reservoir based on water quality conditions monitored at a near-dam, deepwater location during 2003 through 2006. (Also shown are the reservoir pool elevation and the lower elevation of water withdrawal from the reservoir for power production.)




Plate 261. Elevation of the 15°C water temperature and 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen concentration isopleths for Garrison Reservoir based on water quality conditions monitored at a near-dam, deepwater location during 2003 through 2006. (Also shown are the reservoir pool elevation and the lower elevation of water withdrawal from the reservoir for power production.)




Plate 262. Elevation of the 15°C water temperature and 5 mg/l dissolved oxygen concentration isopleths for Oahe Reservoir based on water quality conditions monitored at a near-dam, deepwater location during 2003 through 2006. (Also shown are the reservoir pool elevation and the lower elevation of water withdrawal from the reservoir for power production.)

Plate 263. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at the Gavins Point Dam tailwaters (i.e., site GPTRRTW1) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	67	21,545	23,500	8,990	30,963	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	67	15.6	17.0	0.6	26.3	29	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	67	9.5	9.0	5.9	14.7	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	67	95.3	95.1	71.5	109.0	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	67	651	649	466	756	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	67	8.3	8.4	7.6	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	64	170	170	130	222	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	63	-----	0.09	n.d.	0.56	3.88 ^(1,2) , 1.10 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	64	3.2	3.2	2.3	7.8	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	64	8	8	n.d.	19	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	64	10	10	4	29	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	468	470	396	510	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	14	227	229	208	244	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	64	0.5	0.4	n.d.	2.4	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	63	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.30	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	63	0.06	0.03	n.d.	1.10	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	64	10	11	n.d.	41	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	1	67	24.0	19.7	0.7	64.0	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	13.2 ⁽²⁾ , 0.44 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,167 ⁽²⁾ , 152 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	21	29.3 ⁽²⁾ , 18.2 ⁽³⁾	0, 1	0%, 8%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	157 ⁽²⁾ , 6.1 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	945 ⁽²⁾ , 105 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	4	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.4 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	16	236 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	60	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.07	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	60	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.21	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	60	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.13	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*****	0	0%

Note: Numeric water quality standards criteria are for the State of Nebraska.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 12.8 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 229 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 264. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Maskell, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0774) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	65	24,466	24,889	9,114	31,006	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	66	16.7	18.5	0.2	27.9	29	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	66	9.4	8.9	6.0	14.7	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	66	98.9	98.8	68.7	116.2	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	66	666	667	471	766	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	66	8.4	8.4	7.6	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	66	173	174	135	221	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	65	-----	0.09	n.d.	0.68	3.88 ^(1,2) , 1.10 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	66	3.3	3.2	1.7	5.2	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	66	10	10	n.d.	27	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	66	11	10	4	45	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	480	475	422	530	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	13	237	238	216	252	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	66	0.5	0.4	n.d.	2.3	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	66	-----	0.05	n.d.	1.3	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	65	0.06	0.05	n.d.	0.37	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	66	29	19	n.d.	320	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	1	65	35.8	24.0	3.3	352.7	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	13.7 ⁽²⁾ , 0.45 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,204, 157 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	30.4 ⁽²⁾ , 18.8 ⁽³⁾	0, 1	0%, 8%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	164 ⁽¹⁾ , 6.4 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	975 ⁽²⁾ , 108 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	4	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	15.3 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	69	244 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	62	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.13	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	62	-----	0.06	n.d.	3.70	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	62	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.41	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	7	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10			
Profluralin, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.17			

Note: Numeric water quality standards criteria are for the State of Nebraska.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** (1) Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.4 and 18.5 respectively.

(2) Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

(3) Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

(4) Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 238g/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 265. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River near Ponca, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0753) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	63	22,612	24,953	9,114	31,600	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	64	16.7	18.9	0.2	28.7	29	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	64	9.5	8.8	7.3	14.2	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	64	99.2	99.6	60.8	116.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	64	677	681	475	792	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	64	8.4	8.4	7.7	9.0	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	61	172	172	127	218	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	60	-----	0.08	n.d.	0.45	3.88 ^(1,2) , 0.97 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	61	3.4	3.3	2.4	5.8	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	61	11	10	n.d.	56	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	61	10	10	5	13	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	497	490	448	550	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	13	239	241	225	251	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	61	0.6	0.5	n.d.	2.3	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	61	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.44	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	60	0.09	0.06	n.d.	0.73	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	61	44	23	n.d.	498	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	1	61	58.5	26.1	3.5	945	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	13.9 ⁽²⁾ , 0.45 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,217 ⁽²⁾ , 158 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	24	30.8 ⁽²⁾ , 19.0 ⁽³⁾	0, 1	0%, 8%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	166 ⁽²⁾ , 6.5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	985 ⁽²⁾ , 109 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	1	14.4 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	9	247 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	58	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	58	0.13	0.05	n.d.	1.47	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	58	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.70	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	7	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.20			
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10			
Profluralin, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.12			

Note: Numeric water quality standards criteria are for the State of Nebraska.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.4 and 18.9 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 241 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 266. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Decatur, Nebraska (i.e., site MORR0691) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	72	25,907	27,400	3,400	42,400	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	69	16.9	19.5	-0.1	28.4	29	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	69	9.2	8.8	6.4	14.9	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	69	96.4	98.0	60.8	113.5	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	69	707	707	509	800	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	69	8.3	8.3	7.2	8.9	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	67	182	181	140	222	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	66	-----	0.09	n.d.	0.56	4.71 ^(1,2) , 1.10 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	66	3.5	3.5	2.1	6.2	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	67	12	10	n.d.	52	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	67	14	13	8	19	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	507	495	398	600	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	14	268	264	236	306	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	67	0.8	0.6	n.d.	4.2	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	66	0.82	0.60	n.d.	4.3	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	66	0.15	0.10	n.d.	0.87	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	67	77	38	n.d.	470	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	1	67	68	40	4	396	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	5	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	15.2 ⁽²⁾ , 0.48 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2.	1,311 ⁽²⁾ , 171 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	33.5 ⁽²⁾ , 20.5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	182 ⁽²⁾ , 7.1 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,064 ⁽²⁾ , 118 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2	18.3 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	68	267 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	58	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.09	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	58	-----	0.05	n.d.	1.47	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	58	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.70	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	6	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.20			
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10			
Profluralin, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.12			

Note: Numeric water quality standards criteria are for the State of Nebraska.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 19.5 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 264 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 267. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Omaha, Nebraska (i.e., site MORR0619) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	69	27,906	29,099	3,100	48,200	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	69	17.0	18.6	-0.1	28.1	29	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	69	9.0	8.5	5.9	15.0	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	69	94.3	95.3	68.6	120.7	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	69	694	694	520	786	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	69	8.3	8.3	6.9	9.4	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	1	1%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	67	181	181	81	250	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	66	-----	0.09	n.d.	0.69	4.71 ^(1,2) , 1.17 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	67	3.6	3.4	2.9	5.1	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	67	16	12	n.d.	141	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	67	15	13	7	84	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	533	525	460	640	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	15	271	267	237	313	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	67	1.0	0.7	0.1	4.5	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	66	1.32	1.20	n.d.	5.40	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	66	0.25	0.13	0.03	2.3	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	67	162	62	13	1,932	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	1	67	141.9	62.3	4.0	1,798	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	5	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	15.3 ⁽²⁾ , 0.49 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,323 ⁽²⁾ , 172 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	33.9 ⁽²⁾ , 20.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	185 ⁽²⁾ , 7.2 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,075 ⁽²⁾ , 119 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	4	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	1	18.7 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	39	269 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	62	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.34	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	62	-----	0.10	n.d.	16.20	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0, 1	0%, 2%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)****	0.05	62	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2.64	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)*****	0.05	7	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	0	0%
Acetochlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.46			
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)			-----	0.20	n.d.	1.00			
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	0.10	n.d.	0.40			

Note: Numeric water quality standards criteria are for the State of Nebraska.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 18.6 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 267 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 268. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Nebraska City, Nebraska (i.e., site MORRR0563) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	70	32,971	32,900	16,700	57,600	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	70	17.3	18.7	-0.1	29.2	29	1	1%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	70	8.8	8.0	5.4	14.0	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	70	91.2	92.0	67.3	104.8	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	70	683	686	516	803	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	70	8.3	8.3	7.4	8.8	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	68	178	180	104	228	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	67	-----	0.10	n.d.	0.82	4.71 ^(1,2) , 1.16 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	68	3.7	3.6	2.8	5.8	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	68	18	14	n.d.	134	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	68	22	21	8	39	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	505	510	440	610	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	10	259	254	231	311	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	68	1.2	0.9	0.1	4.5	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	67	1.33	1.30	0.04	3.90	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	67	0.36	0.22	0.07	2.90	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	68	204	89	13	1,952	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	1	69	226.0	73.9	4.7	2,688	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	6	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.6 ⁽²⁾ , 0.47 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2	1,270 ⁽²⁾ , 165 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	32.3 ⁽²⁾ , 19.9 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	175 ⁽²⁾ , 6.8 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	5	1,030 ⁽²⁾ , 114 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	4	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2	14.4 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	72	258 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	62	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.26	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	63	-----	0.16	n.d.	13.2	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0, 1	0%, 2%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	63	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2.34	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	7	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	0	0%
Acetochlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.54			
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)			-----	1.20	n.d.	6.60			
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	0.50	n.d.	2.80			

Note: Numeric water quality standards criteria are for the State of Nebraska.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 18.7 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 254 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 269. Summary of water quality conditions monitored in the Missouri River at Rulo, Nebraska (i.e., site MORR0498) during the 4-year period 2003 through 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Streamflow (cfs)	1	70	33,886	33,475	3,300	72,700	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	70	18.2	19.7	-0.1	30.6	29	2	3%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	70	8.7	8.2	5.0	14.6	≥ 5.0	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	70	92.0	91.9	66.0	111.8	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	70	680	678	534	788	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	70	8.3	8.3	7.4	8.8	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	68	177	179	105	242	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	67	-----	0.12	n.d.	0.83	4.71 ^(1,2) , 1.09 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	68	3.5	3.4	2.3	5.3	-----	-----	-----
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l)	2	68	17	14	n.d.	114	-----	-----	-----
Chloride, Dissolved (mg/l)	1	68	20	19	7	35	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	16	513	505	420	640	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	15	264	259	231	331	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	68	1.2	1.0	0.4	5.4	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	67	1.50	1.40	0.03	4.30	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	67	0.34	0.25	0.08	1.70	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	68	193	117	20	1,656	-----	-----	-----
Turbidity (NTU)	1	68	173.1	77.6	3.9	1,512	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	7	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.9 ⁽²⁾ , 0.48 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2	1,291 ⁽²⁾ , 168 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	5	32.9 ⁽²⁾ , 20.2 ⁽³⁾	0, 1	0%, 8%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	179 ⁽²⁾ , 7.0 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.77 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Mercury, Total (ug/l)	0.02	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	1.8 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	5	1,047 ⁽²⁾ , 116 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	6	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2	17.7 ⁽²⁾	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	13	-----	n.d.	n.d.	41	262 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	63	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.23	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	63	-----	0.13	n.d.	4.36	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)****	0.05	63	-----	n.d.	n.d.	2.01	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)*****	0.05	7	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	0	0%
Acetochlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10			
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)			-----	1.00	n.d.	6.00			
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)			-----	0.20	n.d.	2.20			

Note: Numeric water quality standards criteria are for the State of Nebraska.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

**⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.3 and 19.7 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.


Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 259 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.

Plate 270. Distribution plots (i.e., box plots) for selected parameters monitored at locations along the Missouri River from Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska during the 4-year period of 2003 through 2004.



Note: Monitoring location refers to the River Mile (RM) along the Missouri River where the monitoring site was located.




Plate 270. (Continued).





Plate 270. (Continued).





Plate 270. (Continued).





Plate 270. (Continued).





Plate 270. (Continued).





Plate 270. (Continued).





Plate 270. (Continued).





Plate 271. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Lake Audubon (i.e., site AUDLKND1) during 2002 and 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation	1	4	1846.9	1847.0	1846.8	1847.0	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	91	18.3	18.3	10.4	15.5	29.4	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	91	8.1	8.3	1.7	9.8	≥ 5.0	4	4%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	91	89.8	94.3	19.1	103.2	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	91	891	911	228	969	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	91	8.5	8.5	7.8	8.8	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	67	379	325	315	477	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	7	88	67	48	130	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	12	210	121	170	223	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	0.02	n.d.	0.08	2.14 ^(1,2) , 0.79 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	12	5.0	5.0	4.6	5.8	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) - Lab Determined	1	4	-----	1.5	n.d.	3	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	8	631	635	590	670	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	6	265	272	245	276	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	40	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	8	209	208	80	383	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	12	-----	0.2	n.d.	0.7	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	8	2.5	2.5	1	4	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	8	13	11	4	24	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.10	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	8	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.01	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	12	0.07	0.03	n.d.	0.35	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	8	321	320	310	330	-----	-----	-----
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	12	-----	n.d.	n.d.	8	-----	-----	-----
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6 ⁽⁵⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3.	340 ⁽²⁾ , 150 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.0 ⁽²⁾ , 5.4 ⁽³⁾ , 5 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4,092 ⁽²⁾ , 196 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	35.9 ⁽²⁾ , 21.9 ⁽³⁾ , 1,000 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	292 ⁽²⁾ , 11.4 ⁽³⁾ , 15 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,094 ⁽²⁾ , 121 ⁽³⁾ , 100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾ , 50 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	14.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	2	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	280 ^(2,3) , 9,100 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)***	0.05	1	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	****	0	0%
Microcystins	0.2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 8.5 and 18.3 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Human health criterion for surface waters.

Note: North Dakota's WQS criteria for metals are based on total recoverable, most analyzed metal concentrations were dissolved. Listed criteria are given for comparison and were calculated using the median hardness of 272 mg/l.

*** The pesticide scan includes: acetochlor, benfluralin, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, EPTC, hexazinone, isopropalin, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, profluralin, prometon, propachlor, propazine, simazine, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

**** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 272. Temperature depth profiles for Lake Audubon generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, and August during 2002 and 2006.




Plate 273. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Lake Audubon generated from data collected at the near-dam, deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, and August during 2002 and 2006.




Plate 274. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Lake Audubon at the near-dam, ambient site over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.

Plate 275. Summary of monthly (May through September) water quality conditions monitored in Lake Yankton (i.e., site YAKLKND1) during 2002, 2003, and 2006.

Parameter	Monitoring Results						Water Quality Standards Attainment		
	Detection Limit	No. of Obs.	Mean*	Median	Min.	Max.	State WQS Criteria**	No. of WQS Exceedences	Percent WQS Exceedence
Pool Elevation	1	5	1167.5	1167.5	1167.2	1167.7	-----	-----	-----
Water Temperature (C)	0.1	78	19.6	19.1	13.9	26.6	29	0	0%
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	0.1	78	5.6	7.1	0.2	10.3	≥ 6.0 ≥ 5.0	31 28	40% 36%
Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat.)	0.1	78	64.4	85.1	2.0	127.3	-----	-----	-----
Specific Conductance (umho/cm)	1	78	1,044	1,064	874	1,136	-----	-----	-----
pH (S.U.)	0.1	78	7.8	7.8	7.0	8.7	≥6.5 & ≤9.0	0	0%
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	1	35	336	341	31	443	-----	-----	-----
Secchi Depth (in)	1	15	39	36	12	72	-----	-----	-----
Alkalinity, Total (mg/l)	7	27	195	200	140	238	-----	-----	-----
Ammonia, Total (mg/l)	0.01	17	-----	0.10	n.d.	0.46	12.1 ^(1,2) , 2.4 ^(1,3)	0	0%
Carbon, Total Organic (mg/l)	0.05	21	3.4	3.3	2.4	5.3	-----	-----	-----
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/l) - Lab Determined	1	13	-----	4	n.d.	68	-----	-----	-----
Dissolved Solids, Total (mg/l)	5	10	714	705	680	790	-----	-----	-----
Hardness, Total (mg/l)	0.4	10	444	449	414	485	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Dissolved (ug/l)	40	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	50	-----	-----	-----
Iron, Total (ug/l)	40	10	140	131	60	238	-----	-----	-----
Kjeldahl N, Total (mg/l)	0.1	27	-----	0.3	n.d.	1.0	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	10	1,407	285	7	6,620	-----	-----	-----
Manganese, Total (ug/l)	1	10	1,628	497	207	6,808	-----	-----	-----
Nitrate-Nitrite N, Total (mg/l)	0.02	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	10 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Phosphorus, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	10	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus, Total (mg/l)	0.01	27	0.05	0.03	n.d.	0.22	-----	-----	-----
Phosphorus-Ortho, Dissolved (mg/l)	0.01	27	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.15	-----	-----	-----
Sulfate (mg/l)	0.1	8	395	400	340	420	875 ⁽⁴⁾	0	0%
Suspended Solids, Total (mg/l)	4	27	-----	6	n.d.	17	158 ⁽²⁾ , 90 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Antimony, Dissolved (ug/l)	6	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	88 ⁽²⁾ , 30 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Arsenic, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	3	340 ⁽²⁾ , 16.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Beryllium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	130 ⁽²⁾ , 5.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Cadmium, Dissolved (ug/l)	0.5	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	25.4 ⁽²⁾ , 0.7 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Chromium, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	2,026 ⁽²⁾ , 263 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Copper, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	55.3 ⁽²⁾ , 32.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Lead, Dissolved (ug/l)	2	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	316 ⁽²⁾ , 12.3 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Nickel, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1,668 ⁽²⁾ , 185 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Selenium, Total (ug/l)	4	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	20 ⁽²⁾ , 5 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Silver, Dissolved (ug/l)	1	4	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	45.7	0	0%
Zinc, Dissolved (ug/l)	3	3	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	422 ^(2,3)	0	0%
Microcystins	0.2	5	-----	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	-----	-----	-----
Alachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	760 ⁽²⁾ , 76 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	7	-----	0.07	n.d.	1.07	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Metolachlor, Total (ug/l)***	0.05	7	-----	n.d.	n.d.	0.06	390 ⁽²⁾ , 100 ⁽³⁾	0	0%
Pesticide Scan (ug/l)****	0.05	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	*****	-----	-----
Atrazine, Total (ug/l)		2	-----	14.8	n.d.	29.6	330 ⁽²⁾ , 12 ⁽³⁾	1	50%
Chlorpyrifos, Total (ug/l)		2	-----	7.35	n.d.	14.7	0.083 ⁽²⁾ , 0.041 ⁽³⁾	1	50%

Note: Where numeric water quality standards criteria are present for both the State of Nebraska and South Dakota, the Nebraska criteria are given.

n.d. = Not detected.

* Nondetect values set to 0 to calculate mean. If 20% or more of observations were nondetects, mean is not reported. The mean value reported for pH is an arithmetic mean based on measured values (i.e., log conversion of logarithmic pH values was not done to calculate mean).

** ⁽¹⁾ Total ammonia criteria pH and temperature dependent. Criteria listed are for median pH and temperature values of 7.8 and 19.1 respectively.

⁽²⁾ Acute criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metals acute criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽³⁾ Chronic criterion for aquatic life. (Note: Several metal chronic criteria for aquatic life are hardness based.)

⁽⁴⁾ Public Drinking Water Supply.

Note: Criteria are hardness based -- listed criteria were calculated using the median hardness of 449 mg/l.

*** Immunoassay analysis.

**** The pesticide scan (GCMS) includes: acetochlor, alachlor, ametryn, atrazine, benfluralin, bromacil, butachlor, butylate, chlorpyrifos, cyanazine, cycloate, deethylatrazine, deisopropylatrazine, dimethenamid, diuron, EPTC, ethalfluralin, fonofos, hexazinone, isophenphos, isopropalin, metolachlor, metribuzin, molinate, oxadiazon, oxyfluorfen, pebulate, pendimethalin, phorate, profluralin, prometon, prometryn, propachlor, propazine, simazine, terbufos, triallate, trifluralin, and vernolate. Individual pesticides were not detected unless listed under pesticide scan.

***** Some pesticides don't have water quality standards criteria defined, and for those pesticides that have criteria, the criteria vary.




Plate 276. Temperature depth profiles for Lake Yankton generated from data collected at the deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, August, and September during 2002 and 2006.




Plate 1. Dissolved oxygen depth profiles for Lake Yankton generated from data collected at the deepwater ambient monitoring site during the months of June, July, August, and September during 2002 and 2006.




Plate 278. Box plots comparing surface and bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total ammonia nitrogen, total phosphorus, chemical oxygen demand, total iron, and total manganese measured in Lake Yankton at site YAKLKND1 during the summer months of 2002 and 2006. (Box plots display minimum, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and maximum. Median value is indicated by the red dot. Non-overlapping interquartile ranges of the adjacent box plots indicate a significant difference between surface and bottom measurements.)




Plate 279. Historic trends for Secchi depth, total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and Trophic State Index (TSI) monitored in Lake Audubon at the near-dam, ambient site over the 27-year period of 1980 to 2006.