

SIMULATIONS OF IMPLODING SOLID LINER MELTING AND VAPORIZATION VS LINER THICKNESS, AND EVIDENCE FOR “MELT WAVES”

J. H. Degnan, G. F. Kiuttu, J. D. Letterio, N. F. Roderick, E. L. Ruden

Air Force Research Laboratory, Directed Energy Directorate, Kirtland AFB, NM, USA

Abstract

The Air Force Research Laboratory Directed Energy Directorate (AFRL/DE) has, over the last several years, conducted experiments on the magnetic pressure driven implosion of various metal shells (solid liners). More recently, AFRL/DE has reported on experiments that successfully imploded cylindrical aluminum liners suitable for compressing field reversed configurations (FRC's) to magnetized target fusion (MTF) conditions (1). We have recently done Mach2 (2) MHD simulations of the resistive heating of such imploding liners as a function of their thickness. This was to gain insight on diffusion time effects that conceivably could lead to melt waves for thicker liners, driven with higher currents. For example, scaling the thickness of a liner for successful experiment parameters with the implosion discharge energy might be expected to preserve the timing of liner liquification (or loss of material strength). However, diffusion time effects can complicate this. Our simulations indicate such effects, sometimes referred to as melt waves, for increasing the discharge energy and liner thickness a factor of 4, with the same 10 microsecond current risetime, relative to experimentally successful implosion parameters.

I. DESCRIPTION OF SIMULATIONS

The calculations reported here are for 6061-T6 Aluminum liners with height 30 cm, initial outer radius 5.0 cm, and initial inner radii of $5.0 - 0.1 = 4.9$ cm for a low energy liner, and $5.0 - 0.413 = 4.587$ cm for a high energy liner. The circuit parameters for driving the low energy liner are 1300 microfarads capacitance, 80 kilovolts initial charge, 11 megamps peak current

with ~ 10 microsecond risetime, 44 nanohenries initial inductance, and a resistance consisting of a 1 milliohm constant resistance plus a safety fuse. This corresponds closely to the experimentally successful cases reported in (1). For the hypothetical high energy liner, the initial charge voltage is 160 kilovolts, with all other circuit parameters unchanged, resulting in a calculated current peak of 22 megamps, with the same ~ 10 microsecond risetime.

The calculations to date used up to 64 radial zones for the 0.1 cm thick liner, and 258 radial zones for the 0.413 cm thick liner. The number of axial zones was only 8 for these calculations, so they were essentially 1D-MHD. The nonlinear diffusion problem was treated with the SESAME Equation of State Model (3), using the Desjarlais et al resistivity/conductivity model (4), and the Steinberg et al elastic-plastic strength model (5). These calculations were done in Lagrangian mode. Simpler calculations with Mach2 were done with constant diffusivity (6), which confirmed close agreement with the analytic treatment described by Knoepfel (7).

II. RESULTS

The currents vs time for the two cases are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The inner and outer radii of the liner is shown for both liner cases in Figs. 3 and 4. Due to the approximate incompressibility of the liner until late in the implosion, and, for the outer portion of the liner, until vaporization ensues, the liner thickness increases during the implosion in such a way that constant volume is maintained. The strength parameter vs computational cell was monitored in order to tell what parts of the liner had been heated to change from elastic – plastic to liquid – vapor phase. In this fashion, the fraction of the

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.						
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2003		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Simulations Of Imploding Solid Liner Melting And Vaporization Vs Liner Thickness, And Evidence For Melt Waves				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory, Directed Energy Directorate, Kirtland AFB, NM, USA				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM002371. 2013 IEEE Pulsed Power Conference, Digest of Technical Papers 1976-2013, and Abstracts of the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science. IEEE International Pulsed Power Conference (19th). Held in San Francisco, CA on 16-21 June 2013. U.S. Government or Federal Purpose Rights License, The original document contains color images.						
14. ABSTRACT The Air Force Research Laboratory Directed Energy Directorate (AFRL/DE) has, over the last several years, conducted experiments on the magnetic pressure driven implosion of various metal shells (solid liners). More recently, AFRL/DE has reported on experiments that successfully imploded cylindrical aluminum liners suitable for compressing field reversed configurations (FRCs) to magnetized target fusion (MTF) conditions (1). We have recently done Mach2 (2) MHD simulations of the resistive heating of such imploding liners as a function of their thickness. This was to gain insight on diffusion time effects that conceivably could lead to melt waves for thicker liners, driven with higher currents. For example, scaling the thickness of a liner for successful experiment parameters with the implosion discharge energy might be expected to preserve the timing of liner liquification (or loss of material strength). However, diffusion time effects can complicate this. Our simulations indicate such effects, sometimes referred to as melt waves, for increasing the discharge energy and liner thickness a factor of 4, with the same 10 microsecond current risetime, relative to experimentally successful implosion parameters.						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:				17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 4	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified				

liner which has melted vs time was calculated. This fraction (of liner thickness melted, where melt is defined as having lost strength) vs time is shown for the two cases in Figs. 5 and 6. The reduction in the fraction at late times is believed to be due to recompression. That is, some of the melted liner becomes vaporized, but still sufficiently conducting for magnetic pressure to have a compression effect. The melt layer thickness vs time is shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

In both cases, the liner thickness, or cross section, was chosen to avoid melting or vaporization until stagnation. Due to the finite rate of diffusion of magnetic field into the liner, the outer portion is heated more than the inner portion. This causes some of the outer portion to heat to melting, or loss of mechanical strength, prior to the rest of the liner. It can be seen in Figs. 5-8 that this effect is more pronounced for thicker, higher current imploding liners, with the same ratio of current squared to liner cross section. The onset of mechanical strength loss is at ~ 5 microseconds after start of current rise for the 4.13 mm thick, 22 megamp liner vs ~ 17 microseconds for the 1 mm thick, 11 megamp liner. In both cases, much of the liner retains its mechanical strength till stagnation of the inner surface on the axis of symmetry. However, approximately twice the fraction of the thicker liner loses its strength. This earlier onset and higher fraction of melting for the thicker, higher current liners is expected to cause earlier onset and more extreme instability growth. This must be considered in scaling imploding solid liners to higher currents and energies.

Walt Atchison and colleagues have done computational work relevant to this (8), and have pointed out that there are cases where significant melt does not result in rapid instability growth, and other cases where it seems to.

III. REFERENCES

- (1) J.H.Degnan et al, "Implosion of Solid Liner for Compression of Field Reversed Configuration", IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science **29**, 93 (2001).
- (2) R.E.Peterkin,Jr, M.H.Frese, and C.R.Sovinec, "Transport of Magnetic Flux in an Arbitrary Coordinate ALE Code", J.Comp.Phys. 140, 148 (1998)
- (3) EOS Model (SESAME): See National Technical Information Service Document No. DE94-011699 (J.D. Johnson, "SESAME Data Base"). Copies may be ordered from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.
- (4) Desjarlais, MP; Kress, JD; Collins, LA. "Electrical conductivity for warm, dense aluminum plasmas and liquids," Phys. Rev. E, pt. 2, **66** 025401 (2002).
- (5) D.J. Steinberg, S.G. Cochran, and M.W. Guinan, "A Constitutive Model for Metals Applicable to High-Strain Rate," J. Appl. Phys., **51**, 1498 (1980).
- (6) N.F. Roderick , M.R. Douglas , R.E. Peterkin, Jr., P.J. Turchi , M.H. Frese , and J.H. Degnan, "Numerical Simulations of Plasma/Magnetic Field/Liner Interactions in Magnetized Target Fusion Systems," IEEE PPPS 2001, Las Vegas, NV, June 17-22, 2001, Conference Record - Abstracts, IEEE Catalog Number 01CH37225, p. 537.
- (7) H. Knoepfel, "Pulsed High Magnetic Fields," (New York: American Elsevier Publishing Co., 1970), Chapters 3 and 4.
- (8) W.L.Atchison, R.J.Faehl, and R.E.Reinovsky, "The effect of material properties on solid liner stability during magnetic implosion", presented at IEEE Conference on Plasma Science, Banff, Alberta, Canada, May 2002 (3B4, p.170, IEEE-ICOPS-2002 Conference Record-Abstracts, IEEE Catalog 02CH37340)




Fig.1 Calculated current vs time for initially 1 mm thick Al liner, driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 80 KV discharge.




Fig. 3 Calculated inner and outer radius vs time for initially 1 mm thick Al liner driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 160 KV discharge.




Fig. 2 Calculated current vs time for initially 4.13 mm thick Al liner, driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 80 KV discharge.




Fig. 4 Calculated inner and outer radius vs time for initially 1 mm thick Al liner driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 160 KV discharge.




Fig. 5 Calculated fraction (vs time) of thickness of liner that has zero mechanical strength (melt layer), for initially 1 mm thick, 5 cm outer radius liner driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 80 KV discharge.




Fig. 7 Calculated thickness of liner that has zero mechanical strength (melt layer), for initially 1 mm thick, 5 cm outer radius liner driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 80 KV discharge.




Fig. 6 Calculated fraction (vs time) of thickness of liner that has zero mechanical strength (melt layer), for initially 4.13 mm thick, 5 cm outer radius liner driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 160 KV discharge.




Fig. 8 Calculated thickness of liner that has zero mechanical strength (melt layer), for initially 4.13 mm thick, 5 cm outer radius liner driven by 1300 microfarad, 44 nanohenry, 160 KV discharge.