

# Summary of HVOF Testing and Experience

Presented by Antonio Garcia  
Shamban Aerospace Sealing Systems – North America

# Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved*  
*OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE <b>NOV 2003</b>	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2003 to 00-00-2003</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Summary of HVOF Testing and Experience</b>		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Shamban Aerospace Sealing Systems - North America, Bergman Specialty Products, Inc, 5048 Page Avenue, Jackson, MI, 49201</b>		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>23rd Replacement of Hard Chrome Plating Program Review Meeting, November 18-19, 2003, Cape Canaveral, FL. Sponsored by SERDP/ESTCP.</b>			
14. ABSTRACT			
15. SUBJECT TERMS			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>	
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>17</b>
			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON



## Market Drivers

Value  
Performance  
Technology

- Higher Life Expectancy from "today's" Aircraft Hydraulic Systems
- Higher Pressure Hydraulic System Designs
- Multiple Fluids for Hydraulic Systems
- Replacement of Hexavalent Chrome Coatings



Value  
Performance  
Technology

## HVOF vs. Chrome Testing

Pressure:	3,000 PSI Constant
Stroke:	3 Inch
Stroke Rate:	1 Hz
Fluid:	MIL-PRF-83282
Duration:	300,000 Cycles
Gland Dimensions:	Per MIL-G-5514 F, -214 2 BU Width
Gland Temperature:	250° F
Seals Tested	MoS <sub>2</sub> Filled PTFE vs. Elastomer AMS-P-83461 Contact
Rod Material	C1045 Steel
Coatings:	Tungsten Carbide/Cobalt (Wc-Co) Praxair D-Gun Process Ground & Superfinished to 2-4 μinch Ra Induction Hardened Chrome Plating – Hand polished to 6-10 μinch Ra




CHROME PLATE  
 TUNGSTEN CARBIDE






**Shamban**  
 aerospace sealing systems

## HVOF vs. Chrome Baseline Testing

### PTFE Contact Test Results



### Elastomer Contact Test Results





Value

Performance

Technology

## HVOF vs. Chrome Baseline Testing Conclusions

- 1) Based on PTFE and Elastomer Contact Seal performance in terms of leakage and wear, HVOF applied Tungsten Carbide coated Rods provide superior seal performance over Chrome plated Rods at stated finish levels (PTFE on HVOF averaged 8.5 gms leakage and 0.1% weight loss, while PTFE on Chrome averaged 173 gms leakage and 2% weight loss).
- 2) Test Results imply that HVOF applied Tungsten Carbide is at least equivalent to Chrome Plating (Elastomer Seal Leakage was consistent between two finishes while weight loss was roughly 10X PTFE).
- 3) Test Results confirm that Rod/Bore profile (not necessarily Ra alone) drastically affects seal performance. For Optimum Performance, control of peak height (Rp, Rpk) and bearing ratio (Tp, Mr) must also be achieved.





Value  
Performance  
Technology

## PTFE Contact Seals on Various HVOF Coatings

Pressure:	3,000 PSI Constant
Stroke:	3 Inch
Stroke Rate:	1 Hz
Fluid:	MIL-PRF-83282
Duration:	500,000 Cycles
Gland Dimensions:	Per MIL-G-5514F, -214 2 BU Width
Gland Temperature:	250° F
Seals Tested:	MoS <sub>2</sub> Filled PTFE Contact
Rod Material	C1045 Steel
Coatings:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Tungsten Carbide - Cobalt (83/17) @ 2-4 μinch Ra</li><li>2) Tungsten Carbide - Cobalt - Chrome (86/10/4) @ 2-4 μinch Ra</li><li>3) Tungsten Carbide – Chrome - Nickel @ 2-4 μinch Ra</li></ol>


## PTFE Contact Seal Test Results of Various HVOF



### PTFE Contact Test Results and Conclusions

- 1) All three coating systems yielded consistent results regardless of HVOF coating composition applied.
- 2) All systems yielded between 40-50 grams leakage. Best performance was Tungsten Carbide Chrome Nickel at 40 grams.
- 3) All systems yielded between 2-2.75% weight loss. Best performance was Tungsten Carbide Chrome Nickel at 2%.





## Landing Gear Testing Parameters

### Test Conditions

Pressure: 275 to 2500 psi  
 Gland Temp.: -40°F to ≤ 180°F  
 Rod Material: Steel with HVOF applied BMS 10-67  
 Rod Finish: < 5 Ra Maximum  
 Fluid: BMS 3-32 (Mil-H-5606 w/Lubrizol)


- Offset of .015" to one side, remaining side = .005"; approximate to .060" scaled to represent B767 Main Gear
- Pressure and Temperature testing profile models in-service conditions for LG as supplied by Boeing CAG
- Testing produced 2 active candidates for in-service evaluation at the Airline level
- Testing produced several designs that are continuously being developed and evaluated
- All test represent a minimum of 4 data points

Test Condition	Oil Temperature	Pressure Extend (psi)	Pressure Retract (psi)	Total Cycles Upon Successful Test Completion
Takeoff Taxi	</= 150°F	2175	2500	105000
Cruise	-40°F Cooling	275	275	0
Landing Taxi	</= 150°F	1175	1500	105000
Landing	-40°F Start	275	2500	22500





# Test Summary Graph






## High Pressure Material Technology

Value  
Performance  
Technology

- Performance requirements developed from combined characteristics of several materials; best wear resistance (weight and wall loss), low friction performance, leakage control, non-abrasiveness to sliding hardware (impact on Roughness Average (Ra))

### Test Parameters


Gland Temperature:	190°F
Stroke:	.050"
Stroke Rate:	10 Hz
Pressure:	5000 psi constant
Rod Coating:	HVOF applied Wc-Co-Cr
Duration:	5,000,000 Cycles






## High Pressure Material Technology

Average Surface Finish Change (Ra)



- Minimal impact on Ra
- Measurement Tolerance of "skidded" Profilometer
- Leakage performance equal to base-lined materials


Average Cumulative Leakage (grms)






## High Pressure Material Technology

**Average Seal Weight Loss**



- Weight loss due to wear is minimal
- Wall loss due to wear is minimal

**Average Seal Wall Loss**






Value  
Performance  
Technology

## High Pressure Material Technology

### High Pressure Non-abrasive PTFE Compound (20372)

- Thermoplastic-filled PTFE with proprietary lubrication package
- Improved wear resistance, leakage control and non-abrasiveness in high pressure systems
- Excellent lab test results supported by customer test results
- Strengthens Shamban Aerospace current material portfolio





## High Pressure Material - Aerospace Case Study

### APPLICATIONS

5k psi Development Program

### MOTION

Reciprocating – with Dither

### HARDWARE

Rod (HVOF) – < 5uin. Ra max.

Housing (Bare Steel) – 8uin. Ra max.

### LEAKAGE MEASURED

➤ Zero (insufficient to form a drop)

### SPECIFICATIONS

Media

Phosphate Ester

Speed

3 to 4 Hz

Stroke Length (in.)


4" Max.

Pressure

0 to 5000 psi


Temperature Range

-65F to 275F





## Commercial Aircraft - Aerospace Case Study



### APPLICATIONS

Outboard, Inboard Aileron and Elevator Actuators

### MOTION

Reciprocating – with high Hz dither

### HARDWARE

Rod (HVOF) – 4uin. Ra max.

Housing (Bare Steel) – 8uin. Ra max.

### LEAKAGE MEASURED


- Zero (insufficient to form a drop) In-service since early 2000. Performed to 30,000 Flight Hours.

### SPECIFICATIONS

Media	Phosphate Ester
Speed	n/a
Stroke Length (in.)	2.95
Pressure	0 to 3000 psi
Temperature Range	-65F to 275F


Turcon VL Seal

Turcon Excluder DC





## Commercial Aircraft - Aerospace Case Study



### APPLICATIONS

Yaw Damper

### MOTION

Reciprocating – with 40 Hz dither

### HARDWARE

Rod (HVOF) – 4uin. Ra max.

Housing - Unknown

### LEAKAGE MEASURED

- Zero. Performed to 25,000 Flight Hours with less than 1 Drop/3,000 Cycles.

### SPECIFICATIONS

Media

Phosphate Ester

Speed

n/a

Stroke Length (in.)

2.95

Pressure


0 to 3000 psi

Temperature Range

-65F to 275F

Turcon VL Seal

Turcon Excluder DC





Value

Performance

Technology

## Conclusions on HVOF and Sealing Surfaces

### Sealing Surface Finish

- Ra alone is insufficient to accurately describe a sealing surface
- Must control/measure other surface parameters such as Rp, Rpk, Rsk, Rtm and tp
- Sealing surface recommendations:
  - Ra -  $< 5 \mu\text{in}$
  - Rp -  $8 \mu\text{in}$  maximum
  - Rtm -  $40 \mu\text{in}$  maximum
  - Rsk - negative
  - tp - 70 -90 % @ depth of p =  $0.25 R_{tm}$   
relative to reference line = 5 % tp

### Sealing Surface Coatings

- Standard coatings are quickly becoming HVOF applied technology.
- Alternative chrome coatings; HVOF have demonstrated excellent performance.
- The combination of advanced coatings and surface finish technology has proven effective at improving seal system performance; leakage control, seal wear and service life for "today's" generation of Aircraft hydraulic systems.