

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
ADA800001
LIMITATION CHANGES
TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.
FROM: Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; 25 JUL 1949. Other requests shall be referred to Department of the Air Force, ATTN: Public Affairs Office, Washington, DC 20330.
AUTHORITY
USAF per RAND ltr dtd 6 Sep 1966

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

REEL-C

7471

A.T.I

210321

Reproduced by

**DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER
ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO**

"NOTICE: When Government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto."

UNCLASSIFIED

Unclassified
~~RESTRICTED~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

U.S. AIR FORCE
Project

RAND

ATI No. 210321
ASTIA FILE COPY

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 U.S.C., Sections 793 and 794. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

**NOT TO BE QUOTED, ABSTRACTED, OR REPRODUCED
WITHOUT SPECIFIC PERMISSION**

RAND Research Memoranda are available to authorized persons or agencies for their use. Further dissemination is not permitted. Distribution to abstracting agencies is not authorized.

This is a working paper. It may be expanded, modified, or withdrawn at any time.

The RAND Corporation
SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

~~RESTRICTED~~

Unclassified

Encl 6

~~RESTRICTED~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

PROJECT RAND

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

A BOMBER FIGHTER DUEL (II)

David Blackwell and Max Shiffman

RM-193

25 July 1949

Copy No. 102

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 U.S.C., Sections 793 and 794. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

Assigned to

**NOT TO BE QUOTED, ABSTRACTED, OR REPRODUCED
WITHOUT SPECIFIC PERMISSION**

RAND Research Memoranda are available to authorized persons or agencies for their use. Further dissemination is not permitted. Distribution to abstracting agencies is not authorized.

This is a working paper. It may be expanded, modified, or withdrawn at any time.

Classification cancelled
or changed to Unclassified

AUTH:

By

Rand Publications Index 1966
G. Culbreath
Signature and Grade

Date

24 Dec 56

The **RAND** Corporation

1500 FOURTH ST. • SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

10 pgs.

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

A BOMBER-FIGHTER DUEL (II)

David Blackwell and Max Shiffman

0. Summary. This memorandum completes the study of the fighter-bomber duel described in RM-165. The duel is one in which a fighter fires a single rocket burst at a bomber, which has limited ammunition, and defends itself by intermittent firing. It turns out that, for fixed accuracies and values of the bomber and fighter, the nature of the strategies depends on the amount δ of ammunition at the disposal of the bomber. There are two critical amounts δ_0, δ_1 ; $\delta_0 \geq \delta_1$. If $\delta < \delta_1$, the bomber starts firing at a specified range at less than maximum intensity and continues firing, with decreasing intensity, until the end of the engagement. If $\delta_1 < \delta < \delta_0$, the bomber starts firing at a specified range, greater than in the preceding case, fires at full intensity for a specified time, and with decreasing intensity for the rest of the engagement. In both cases the fighter uses a mixed strategy, spread over the entire time the bomber is firing at less than maximum intensity, with a positive probability of firing at range 0. This probability decreases as δ increases. For $\delta > \delta_0$, the bomber fires at full intensity from the time the fighter comes within range until a specified time, and decreasing intensity thereafter. There is a certain time, during the period in which the bomber is firing at full intensity, at which the fighter should always fire. Ammunition in excess of δ_0 is useful to the bomber only in case the fighter makes the mistake of waiting too long before firing.

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-2-

1. Preliminaries. The game considered has pay-off

$$V(x, p) = A(x) \Phi(x, p)$$

where

$$\Phi(x, p) = e^{-\int_0^x p(y)r(y)dy}$$

I chooses a number x , $0 \leq x \leq 1$, while II chooses a function $p(y)$ subject to $0 \leq p(y) \leq 1$ and $\int_0^1 p(y)dy = \delta$. The member δ and the functions $A(x)$, $r(y)$ are given, and we suppose that $A(x)$, $r(y)$ are non-negative, continuous, and strictly increasing functions on the unit interval. It is known that the best strategy for II is a pure one, while for I it is mixed. Let $F(x)$ be a distribution function in the unit interval ($F(x)$ is monotonically increasing with $F(0) = 0$, $F(1) = 1$) describing a possible mixed strategy of I. The expected value of the game is then

$$E[F(x), p(y)] = \int_0^1 A(x) e^{-\int_0^x p(y)r(y)dy} dF(x)$$

The solution given here for this game applies in the case that $\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)r(x)}$ is a decreasing function of x ; this will be true for example whenever $\log A(x)$ is concave. The extension to the general case can be made, but it is more tedious and seems of little practical importance.

2: The good strategy for the bomber. The good strategy for the bomber can be obtained as in RM-165, using the following lemma proved there:

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-3-

Lemma. For any two strategies $p_1(y)$, $p_2(y)$, $V(x, p_1) \geq V(x, p_2)$ for all x implies $p_1 = p_2$ almost everywhere (so that $V(x, p_1) = V(x, p_2)$ for all x).

It is also obtained independently on the considerations of §3 below.

Define $m(y) = \frac{A'(y)}{A(y)(y)}$, $w(y) = \min(m(y), 1)$, $\delta_0 = \int_0^1 w(y) dy$.

We are supposing that $m(y)$ is decreasing, so that $w(y)$ is also decreasing.

CASE I. $\delta \leq \delta_0$. Define $c, \int_c^1 w(y) dy = \delta$, $p_0(y) = 0$, $y < c$, $p_0(y) = w(y)$, $c \leq y \leq 1$. We now prove that p_0 is a good strategy for the bomber.

There is a number d , $p_0 = 1$, $c \leq y \leq d$, $p_0 = m(y)$, $d \leq y \leq 1$. Concerning d , we see that $d = c$ if $m(c) \leq 1$, $d = 1$ if $m(1) \geq 1$, while d is the unique point where $m(d) = 1$ if $m(c) > 1$ and $m(1) < 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &= A(x), & 0 \leq x \leq c \\ V(x, p_0) &= A(x) e^{-\int_c^x r(y) dy}, & c \leq x \leq d \\ &= V(d, p_0), & d \leq x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $c \leq x \leq d$, $V' = V \left[\frac{A'}{A} - r \right]$. Since $m = \frac{A'}{Ar} \geq 1$ in this interval,

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-4-

$V' \geq 0$ and V is increasing. Thus $\max_x V(x, p_0) = V(d, p_0)$. Now for any $p \neq p_0$ a.e., the lemma asserts that there is an x^* with $V(x^*, p) > V(x^*, p_0)$. This x^* must exceed c , since no $V(x, p)$ can exceed $A(x)$ for any x . If $x^* \geq d$, $\max_x V(x, p) > V(d, p_0)$. If $c \leq x^* \leq d$, we shall show that $V(d, p) \geq V(d, p_0)$. We have

$$V(d, p) = \frac{A(d)}{A(x^*)} V(x^*, p) e^{-\int_{x^*}^d p(y)r(y)dy}$$

$$V(d, p_0) = \frac{A(d)}{A(x^*)} V(x^*, p_0) e^{-\int_{x^*}^d p_0(y)r(y)dy}$$

Comparison shows $V(d, p) \geq V(d, p_0)$. Thus for any p , $\max_x V(x, p) \geq V(d, p_0)$; p_0 is a good strategy, and the value of the game is $V(d, p_0)$.

Case II. $\delta > \delta_0$. Any p_0 , $p_0(y) \geq w(y)$ for all y turns out to be a good strategy in this case. Clearly, for any such p_0 , $V(x, p_0) \leq V(x, w)$ for all x so that $\max_x V(x, p_0) \leq \max_x V(x, w)$. We show that, for any p , $\max_x V(x, p) \geq \max_x V(x, w)$. We have

$$V(x, w) = \begin{cases} \frac{A(x)}{A(0)} e^{-\int_0^x r(y)dy} & 0 \leq x \leq d \\ v(d, w) & d \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

As in Case I, $\max_x V(x, w) = V(x, d)$. Moreover, for any p ,

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-5-

$$V(d, p) = A(d) e^{-\int_0^d r(y)p(y)dy} \geq V(d, w)$$

Thus the value of the game is $V(d, w)$, and any $p_0 \geq w$ is a good strategy.

3: A good strategy for the fighter. The fighter will select a mixed strategy as its good strategy. This will be obtained by using the following well-known inequality:

$$\int_0^1 e^{-\phi(x)} dF(x) \geq e^{-\int_0^1 \phi(x) dF(x)}$$

valid for any distribution function $F(x)$ and Borel measurable function $\phi(x)$, with the equality sign holding if and only if $\phi(x)$ is constant except on a set of F -measure 0 (this is a general inequality valid for an arbitrary convex function in place of the exponential).

In the expression for $E[F(x), p(y)]$ write the integrand as

$$e^{-\left[\int_0^x p(y)r(y)dy - \log A(x)\right]}$$

and apply the inequality, there results

$$(1) \quad E[F(x), p(y)] \geq h[F(x), p(y)]$$

where

$$(2) \quad h[F, p] = e^{-\int_0^1 \left[\int_0^x p(y)r(y)dy - \log A(x)\right] dF(x)}$$

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-6-

Integrating by parts in the exponential, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(3) \quad \log h[F, p] &= - \int_0^1 p(y)r(y) dy + \log A(1) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 F(x) \left[p(x)r(x) - \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} \right] dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \{F(x) - 1\} p(x)r(x) dx + \log A(1) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 F(x) \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} dx.\end{aligned}$$

(We will apply the above formulas to a particular distribution which vanishes identically near $x = 0$, so there is no difficulty in the integration by parts concerning the term $\log A(x)$ in case $A(0) = 0$).

In this expression for $\log h$, the only term containing $p(x)$ is $\int_0^1 \{F(x) - 1\} p(x)r(x) dx$, while $p(x)$ must satisfy the condition

$\int_0^1 p(x) dx = \delta$. This suggests selecting a distribution $F(x)$ for which $(F(x) - 1)r(x) = \text{constant}$. This suggestion will provide us with a good strategy for the fighter.

Case I. (See discussion of Case I in §2.) Define c and d as in the discussion of §2.

Define the distribution function $F^*(x)$ by

$$(4) \quad F^*(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < d \\ 1 - \frac{r(c)}{r(x)} & \text{for } d < x < 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-7-

From (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log h[F^*, p] = & -\int_0^d p(x)r(x)dx - r(c) \int_d^1 p(x)dx \\ & + \log A(1) - \log \frac{A(1)}{A(d)} + r(c) \int_d^1 \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)r(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \int_d^1 p(x)dx = \delta - \int_0^d p(x)dx,$$

$$\text{and } \int_d^1 \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)r(x)} dx + \int_c^d 1 \cdot dx = \delta.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \log h[F^*, p] = & -\int_0^d p(x)r(x)dx + r(c) \int_0^d p(x)dx \\ & + \log A(d) - r(c) \int_c^d 1 \cdot dx \\ = & \log A(d) + \int_0^c \{r(c) - r(x)\} p(x)dx \\ & + \int_c^d \{1 - p(x)\} \{r(x) - r(c)\} dx - \int_c^d r(x)dx \\ = & \log A(d) - \int_c^d r(x)dx + \int_0^c \{r(c) - r(x)\} p(x)dx \\ & + \int_c^d \{1 - p(x)\} \{r(x) - r(c)\} dx \\ \geq & \log A(d) - \int_c^d r(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-8-

with equality holding only if $p(x) = 0$ in $0 < x < c$, $p(x) = 1$ in $c < x < d$. Thus

$$(5) \quad h[F^*, p] \geq A(d)e^{-\int_c^d r(x)dx}$$

with equality holding if and only if $p(x) = 0$ in $0 < x < c$, and $p(x) = 1$ in $c < x < d$.

For the distribution $F^*(x)$, the equality sign in (1) holds if and only if $\int_0^x p(y)r(y)dy - \log A(x) = \text{constant}$ in $d < x < 1$, or $p(x) = \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)r(x)}$ in this interval. We have therefore finally obtained, as a result of (1) and (5),

$$(6) \quad A(d)e^{-\int_c^d r(x)dx} \leq E[F^*(x), p(y)]$$

with equality holding if and only if $p(y) = p_0(y)$.

On the other hand, an elementary discussion for the case $p_0(y)$, which is of course included in § 2, shows that

$$(7) \quad E[F(x), p_0(y)] \leq A(d)e^{-\int_c^d r(x)dx}$$

The combination of these two inequalities, (6) and (7), shows that $F^*(x)$ is a good strategy for the fighter, and $p_0(y)$ the only good strategy for the bomber, with the value of the game being

$$A(d)e^{-\int_c^d r(x)dx}$$

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

-9-

Case II. In this case, set

$$F^*(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < d \\ 1 & d < x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$E[F^*(x), p(y)] = A(d)e^{-\int_0^d p(y)r(y)dy} \geq A(d)e^{-\int_0^d r(y)dy}$$

with equality holding if and only if $p(y) = 1$ in $0 < y < d$. But this is the value of the game obtained in §2 for this case, so that $F^*(x)$ is a good strategy for the fighter.

~~RESTRICTED~~

REEL-C

7471

A.T.I

210321

Reproduced by

**DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER
ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO**

"NOTICE: When Government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto."

UNCLASSIFIED

18/7 STI-ATI-210 321

296600

UNCLASSIFIED

P 15/6

Rand Corp., Santa Monica, Calif.

A BOMBER FIGHTER DUEL (II), by David Blackwell and Max Shiffman. Research memo. 25 July 49. 9p. (Rept. no. RM-193)

RAM

DIV: Military Sciences &
Operations (18)

SECT: Strategy & Tactics (7)

SUBJECT HEADINGS

Strategy

Warfare, Aerial

Airplanes, Bomber

Airplanes, Fighter

AD-A800 001



ASTIA-DSC

*Aerial Warfare
Military Tactics

UNCLASSIFIED

CFSTI per Rand for, 6 Sep 66