

Reproduced by

DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY

U. S. BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

REEL-C

6303

A.T.I

155666

"NOTICE: When Government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto."

UNCLASSIFIED



FIFTH QUARTERLY REPORT

on

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTICS

to

BUREAU OF ORDNANCE
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
MOISTURE AND FUNGUS PROOFING SECTION
MATERIALS AND PRESERVATION BRANCH
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

CONTRACT NOrd 11215

by

S. Ruggeri and R. Waitze Atlas

Period covered: February 9, 1952 to May 9, 1952

ALAKA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
division of
LACQUER AND CHEMICAL CORPORATION ✓
214 - 40th Street
Brooklyn 32, N.Y.

May 16, 1952



TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	320
FUNGINERTNESS OF PLASTIC LAMINATES	321
Introduction	321
Materials	321
Petri-Dish Method	322
Introduction	322
Procedure	322
Rating	322
Interpretation	323
Tabulated Data	325
Table 28	325
Table 29	326
Table 30	327
Summary of Observations	328
Humidity-Exposure Method	329
Introduction	329
Procedure	329
Rating	329
Interpretation	330
Tabulated Data	332
Table 31	332
Table 32	342
Summary of Observations	342
Comparison of Results by the Two Methods	343
Table 33	344
FUNGINERTNESS OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS	345
Introduction	345
Materials	345
Petri-Dish Method	346
Introduction	346
Procedure	347
Rating	347
Interpretation	348
Tabulated Data	350
Table 34	350
Table 35	352
Table 36	354
Summary of Observations	355

(Continued on next page)



Humidity-Exposure Method	356
Introduction	356
Procedure	356
Rating	356
Interpretation	357
Tabulated Data	359
Table 37	359
Table 38	370
Summary of Observations	371
Comparison of Results by the Two Methods	372
Table 39	373
FUNGINERTNESS OF SOLDERLESS TERMINALS	374
Introduction	374
Materials	374
Petri-Dish Method	375
Introduction	375
Procedure	375
Rating	375
Interpretation	376
Tabulated Data	378
Table 40	378
Summary of Observations	381
Humidity-Exposure Method	382
Introduction	382
Procedure	382
Rating	382
Interpretation	383
Tabulated Data	385
Table 41	385
Summary of Observations	389
Comparison of Results by the Two Methods	390
Table 42	391

UNCLASSIFIED

ATI 155 666

(Copies obtainable from ASTIA-DSC)

Lacquer and Chemical Corp., Alaska Research Laboratories Div.,
Brooklyn, N. Y. (5th Quarterly Report)

Fifth Quarterly Report on Fungus Resistance of Plastics - Feb 9 to May 3,
1952

Ruggeri, S.; Atlas, R. Waitze 16 May 52 Tipp. tables

USN Contr. No. N6rd 11215

Plastics - Effect of fungi
Plastics, Laminated
Plastics, Thermo-setting
Materials - Climatology

Materials, Non-Metallic (9)
Plastics (2)

UNCLASSIFIED



FIFTH QUARTERLY REPORT

on

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF ELASTICS

to

BUREAU OF ORDNANCE
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
MOISTURE AND FUNGUS PROTECTING SECTION
MATERIALS AND PRESERVATION BRANCH
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

CONTRACT NOrd 11215

from

ALASKA RESEARCH LABORATORIES

by

S. Ruggeri and R. Waitze Atlas

May 15, 1952

Foreword

During the fifth period covered by Research Contract NOrd 11215 additional plastic laminates, included in this program were tested for funginertness using the two test methods designated by the Bureau of Ordnance for inclusion in this program.

Testing of thermosetting molded plastics, included in this program using the Petri-Dish method, was continued. Testing of thermosetting molded plastics, included in this program, using the Humidity-Exposure method was initiated.



A number of solderless terminals, including plastics in their make-up, were tested for funginertness, using both the Petri-Dish method and Humidity-Exposure method.

A partial comparison of results obtained by the two methods has been included in this report.

FUNGINERTNESS OF PLASTIC LAMINATES

Introduction

Evaluation of plastic laminates has been continued by both the laboratory procedures mentioned in earlier reports in this series under this contract.

Results are presented in this report of determinations by the Petri-dish culture test procedure and by the humidity-exposure test method supplementing similar data in the prior reports.

Materials

The materials evaluated in this study include laminated, thermosetting, plastic materials as approved under the following specifications:

<u>Specification</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Filler</u>	<u>Resin</u>	<u>Grade</u>
MIL-P-997A	GSG	Glass Cloth	Silicone	General
MIL-P-15037A	GMC	Glass Cloth	Melamine	General
MIL-P-15047A	NPG	Nylon Cloth	Phenolic	General
MIL-P-3115A	PBG	Paper	Phenolic	General
MIL-P-3115A	PBE	Paper	Phenolic	Electrical
MIL-P-3115A	PBE-P	Paper	Phenolic	Electrical;
				Punching
MIL-P-15035A	FBM	Cotton Fab.	Phenolic	Mechanical
MIL-P-15035A	FBG	Cotton Fab.	Phenolic	General
MIL-P-15035A	FBE	Cotton Fab.	Phenolic	Electrical
MIL-P-15035A	FBI	Cotton Fab.	Phenolic	Fine Mach.



Petri-Dish Test Method

Introduction

Additional plastic laminated materials were tested following the Petri-Dish culture test procedure as outlined in the "Funginertness Requirement and Test for use in MIL-I-631A (as completed 29 January 1951)", furnished by the Bureau of Ordnance with letter dated 16 February 1951.

Earlier work covering this phase of the study was reported as follows:

1st Quarterly Report	Pages 4-25
2nd " "	" 28-77
3rd " "	" 124-136
4th " "	" 249-262

Procedure

The procedure has been explained in detail in the First Quarterly Report, pages 5, 6, and 7.

Rating

At the end of the 21 day incubation period, each specimen was examined separately for growth on the surface and for growth on the cut edges and rated as follows:

<u>Observed Fungus growth on the specimen</u>	<u>Rating</u>
No growth	0
Traces of growth (*)	1
Slight to moderate growth: partial coverage	2
Moderate growth: considerable coverage	3
Abundant growth: complete coverage	4

(*) See next page for explanation



(*) Traces of growth are defined as scattered, sparse fungus growth such as might develop from an unusual mass of spores in the original inoculum, or upon an occasional extraneous bit of debris. (Continuous cobwebby growth extending over the entire surface or edge of the specimen, even though not necessarily obscuring the Specimen, was rated as 2.)

Table 28 page 325, lists the observations on the individual replicates both for surface and edge growth. Table 29 page 326 lists the observations on the individual replicates of the conditioned specimens and the numeric rating of each replicate both for surface and edge growth.

Interpretation

For a material to be considered funginert it shall not support fungus growth; this shall be due to absence of nutritive substances in the material and not to presence of a fungistatic agent. The absence of a fugitive fungistatic agent was determined from the results on the specimens which received the conditioning of 6 hours at 85° C.

On the basis of the numeric rating of the fungus growth on the six specimens for each sample of plastic laminate being evaluated, the following criteria were used for the various degrees of fungus resistance:

- 1) Funginert -- where at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.



- 2) Fugitive Fungistatic- where at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.
- 3) Fungus Susceptible - where at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.

Since it has not been determined whether these criteria will be applied to surface growth alone or to surface growth together with edge growth, separate ratings have been assigned to the specimens based on surface growth alone and on surface and edge growth considered together.

Table 30 page 327, lists the classification of the plastic laminates tested, based on the ratings considering surface growth alone and on the ratings considering surface growth together with edge growth.

TABLE 28

GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON PLASTIC LAMINATES
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Conditioning of Specimen: None

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Petri-dish Replicates	Description of Fungus Growth		Numeric Rating	
			Surface	Edges (**)	Surface	Surface and Edges
PBE-P	#2 782	629A	None (*)	None	0	0
		629B	None (*)	None	0	0
		629C	Traces (*)	None	1	1
NEG	#5 N	655A	Mod: Part Cov	Moderate	2	2
		655B	Mod: Part Cov (*)	Moderate	2	2
		655C	Mod: Part Cov (*)	Abundant	2	3
CMG	#7 G-5-813	656A	Traces (*)	Slight	1	2
		656B	Traces (*)	Slight	1	2
		656C	Traces (*)	Slight	1	2
PBG	#10 XX	627A	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
		627B	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
		627C	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
PBE	#10 XXX	628A	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
		628B	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
		628C	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2

* - Specimen surface was waterlogged ** - Same for 4 edges unless otherwise noted
Note: For explanation of numeric rating code see page 322



TABLE 29
GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON PLASTIC LAMINATES
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)
Conditioning of Specimen: 6 hrs at 85° C

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Petri-dish Replicates	Description of Fungus Growth		Numeric Rating	
			Surface	Edges (**)	Surface	Surface and Edges
PBE-P	#2 782	637A	Traces (*)	Slight	1	2
		637B	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
		637C	None (*)	Slight	0	2
NPG	#5 N	663A	Mod.: Consid. Cov (*)	Abundant	3	3
		663B	Mod.: Part Cov (*)	Moderate	2	2
		663C	Mod.: Consid. Cov (*)	Moderate	3	3
GMG	#7 G-5-813	664A	Traces (*)	Traces	1	1
		664B	Traces (*)	Traces	1	1
		664C	Traces (*)	Traces	1	1
PBG	#10 XX	635A	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
		635B	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
		635C	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
PBE	#10 XXX	636A	Mod.: Part Cov (*)	Moderate	2	2
		636B	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2
		636C	Slight (*)	Moderate	2	2

* - Specimen surface was waterlogged
** - Same for 4 edges unless otherwise noted
Note: For explanation of numeric rating code see page 222



TABLE 30
FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Summary of more detailed data shown in Tables 28 and 29

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Surface Growth Only			Surface and Edge Growth		
		Numeric Rating		Fungus Resistance (*)	Numeric Rating		Fungus Resistance (*)
		Uncond	Cond		Uncond	Cond	
PBT-P	#2 782	0 0 1	1 2 0	Funginert	0 0 1	2 2 2	Fungistatic
NPG	#5 N	2 2 2	3 2 3	Susceptible	2 2 3	3 2 3	Susceptible
GMG	#7 G-5-813	1 1 1	1 1 1	Funginert	2 2 2	1 1 1	—
PBG	#10 XX	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible
PBE	#10 XXX	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible

* - See page 323 for detailed explanation



Summary of Observations (Surface Growth Alone)

The following sample showed traces of growth on all six replicates:

<u>Manuf.</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Grade</u>
#7	G-5-813	GMG

The following sample showed no growth, traces of growth, or slight growth on all six replicates:

#2	782	PBE-P
----	-----	-------

The following sample showed slight growth on all six replicates:

#10	XX	PBG
-----	----	-----

The following sample showed slight or moderate growth on all six replicates:

#10	XXX	PBE
-----	-----	-----

The following sample showed moderate growth on all six replicates:

#5	N	NPG
----	---	-----

Summary of Observations (Surfaces and Edge Growth)

The following sample showed no growth, traces of growth, or slight growth on all six replicates:

#2	782	PBE-P
----	-----	-------

The following sample showed traces or slight growth on all six replicates:

#7	G-5-813	GMG
----	---------	-----

The following samples showed slight or moderate growth on all six replicates:

#10	XX	PBG
#10	XXX	PBE

The following sample showed moderate or abundant growth on all six replicates:

#5	N	NPG
----	---	-----



Humidity-Exposure Method

Introduction

Work during this period has been the testing of additional plastic laminated materials for fungus resistance, following the Humidity-Exposure method as outlined in paragraph 4.2 of "Draft of BuOrd Specification 52T15 (Ord), rev. draft, Rele, of 15 August 1950", furnished by the Bureau of Ordnance with letter dated 17 January 1951. Earlier work covering this phase of the study was reported as follows:

2nd Quarterly Report	Pages 78-120
3rd " "	" 137-201
4th " "	" 263-303

Procedure

The procedure has been explained in detail in the Second Quarterly Report, pages 78 through 81.

Rating

At the end of the incubation period the watch glass was removed and the rack taken out of the jar. The separate pieces of string (controls) were examined for fungus growth (visible to the naked eye).

All of the controls included in this set of tests developed profuse fungus growth.

Each of the 4 specimens, exposed without attached cotton string, was examined (with the aid of a 7X "Flash-O-Lens" magnifier) for fungus growth. The percentage of area covered by fungus growth was noted for each of the two surfaces, two side edges, top edge, and bottom edge.



Each of the 4 specimens, exposed with attached cotton string was examined for fungus growth (visible to the naked eye) extending from the cotton string. The maximum extent of the fungus growth from point of contact with the string was noted for each of two surfaces and each of the two side edges, of each specimen.

Table 31 pages 332 through 341, lists the observations on the individual replicates.

Interpretation

For a material to be considered funginert it shall not support fungus growth; this shall be due to absence of nutritive substances in the material and not to presence of a fungistatic agent. The absence of a fungistatic agent was determined by the fungus growth extending from the cotton string on those replicates exposed with string attached.

On the basis of the percentage of area covered by fungus growth of four of the eight specimens and the extent of fungus growth from a known nutrient source on the remaining four of the eight specimens for each sample of plastic laminate being evaluated the following criteria were used for the various degrees of fungus resistance:

- 1) Funginert - where none of the replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth and where fungus growth extends at least 1 mm from the string on at least 2 of 4 replicates exposed with cotton string attached.



- 2) Fungistatic- where none of the replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth and where fungus growth does not extend at least 1 mm. from the string on at least 2 of the 4 replicates exposed with cotton string attached.
- 3) Fungus Susceptible- where at least one of the four replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth.

In table 31, pages 332 through 341 are listed the ratings for the ten (10) plastic laminated materials reported in this section. A separate page has been set aside for each sample and each page presents both the original data and the fungus resistance rating both for surface growth and for edge growth. The Humidity-Exposure method permits a separate evaluation based on the edge growth alone, hence the separate ratings. In this method the edge growth is true edge growth in contrast to the Petri-dish method where edge growth could in reality be deriving its nourishment from the agar and merely extending over the edges of the specimen.



TABLE 31

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: PBE-P Manufacturer and designation: #2

782

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1073	Traces	< 2%	Traces	Traces	None	< 2%
1074	Traces	< 2%	None	Traces	Traces	< 2%
1075	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Traces	< 2%
1076	Traces	< 2%	None	Traces	Slight	< 2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1077	4 mm	2 mm
1078	6 mm	3 mm
1079	2 mm	4 mm
1080	5 mm	5 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Funginert

Based on Edges alone: Funginert



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: PBG Manufacturer and Designation: #10

XX

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1121	Traces	< 2%	Traces	Traces	Slight	< 2%
1122	Traces	< 2%	Traces	Traces	Slight	< 2%
1123	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Traces	< 2%
1124	Traces	< 2%	Traces	Slight	Moderate	< 2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1125	6 mm	4 mm
1126	3 mm	6 mm
1127	8 mm	6 mm
1128	2 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Funginert

Based on Edges alone: Funginert



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: PBE Manufacturer and Designation: #10

XXX

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1153	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Slight	< 2%
1154	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Slight	< 2%
1155	Traces	< 2%	Traces	Traces	Slight	< 2%
1156	Traces	< 2%	Slight	Traces	Slight	2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1157	5 mm	2 mm
1158	4 mm	3 mm
1159	9 mm	5 mm
1160	5 mm	6 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Funginert

Based on Edges alone: Funginert



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)
FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: FBE Manufacturer and Designation: #12 LE-13

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1081	Moderate	25%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	20%
1082	Moderate	40%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	20%
1083	Moderate	30%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	10%
1084	Moderate	35%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	20%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1085	4 mm	4 mm
1086	3 mm	2 mm
1087	2 mm	3 mm
1088	3 mm	3 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Susceptible

Based on Edges alone: Susceptible



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: PBG Manufacturer and Designation: #13

XX-13

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1097	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Moderate	< 2%
1098	Slight	2%	Traces	None	Traces	< 2%
1099	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Traces	< 2%
1100	Traces	< 2%	Traces	None	Slight	< 2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1101	4 mm	2 mm
1102	2 mm	3 mm
1103	6 mm	6 mm
1104	6 mm	7 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Funginert

Based on Edges alone: Funginert



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: PBE Manufacturer and Designation: #13

XXX-13

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1105	Traces	< 2%	None	Traces	Traces	< 2%
1106	Slight	2%	Traces	Traces	Slight	< 2%
1107	Slight	2%	Traces	Traces	Slight	< 2%
1108	Traces	< 2%	Traces	None	Slight	< 2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1109	2 mm	2 mm
1110	8 mm	6 mm
1111	5 mm	2 mm
1112	7 mm	4 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Funginert

Based on Edges alone: Funginert



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: FBE Manufacturer and Designation: #13 LE-13

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicato	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1137	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Traces	< 2%
1138	Traces	< 2%	Traces	None	None	< 2%
1139	Traces	< 2%	None	None	Traces	< 2%
1140	Traces	< 2%	Traces	Traces	Traces	< 2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1141	9 mm	2 mm
1142	2 mm	2 mm
1143	3 mm	3 mm
1144	3 mm	1 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Funginert

Based on Edges alone: Funginert



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: PBE Manufacturer and Designation: #16

XXX

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1113	Slight	2%	Slight	Traces	Slight	2%
1114	Slight	2%	Moderate	Slight	Moderate	5%
1115	Slight	2%	Traces	Traces	Slight	< 2%
1116	Moderate	5%	Slight	Slight	Moderate	2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1117	4 mm	4 mm
1118	6 mm	3 mm
1119	6 mm	3 mm
1120	8 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Susceptible

Based on Edges alone: Susceptible



TABLE 31 (CONTINUED)
FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: FBI Manufacturer and Designation: #16

L

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1129	Moderate	20%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	15%
1130	Moderate	25%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	15%
1131	Moderate	20%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	20%
1132	Moderate	25%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	20%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1133	2 mm	2 mm
1134	3 mm	3 mm
1135	3 mm	2 mm
1136	2 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Susceptible

Based on Edges alone: Susceptible



TABLE 31 (CONCLUDED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: FBI Manufacturer and Designation: #35

L

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Growth on Surfaces		Growth on Edges			
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion of Growth:			% of area covered
			2 sides	Top	Bottom	
1089	Moderate	10%	Moderate	Slight	Slight	5%
1090	Moderate	15%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	25%
1091	Moderate	10%	Moderate	Slight	Moderate	5%
1092	Moderate	10%	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	15%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String	
	Surfaces	Side Edges
1093	7 mm	2 mm
1094	2 mm	2 mm
1095	8 mm	2 mm
1096	2 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 329 through 331 for explanation):

Based on surfaces alone: Susceptible

Based on Edges alone: Susceptible



TABLE 32

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
(HUMIDITY-EXPOSURE METHOD).

(Summary of ratings listed in table 31)

<u>Spec. Grade</u>	<u>Manufacturer and Designation</u>		<u>Surface Rating</u>	<u>Edge Rating</u>
PBE-P	#2	782	Funginert	Funginert
PBG	#10	XX	Funginert	Funginert
PBE	#10	XXX	Funginert	Funginert
FBE	#12	LE-13	Susceptible	Susceptible
PBG	#13	XX-13	Funginert	Funginert
PBE	#13	XXX-13	Funginert	Funginert
FBE	#13	LE-13	Funginert	Funginert
PBE	#16	XXX	Susceptible	Susceptible
FBI	#16	L	Susceptible	Susceptible
FBI	#35	L	Susceptible	Susceptible

Summary of Observations

This summary applies either to surface or to edge growth as the listings, if made separately, would be identical.

The following samples showed growth covering 2% or less of the area on all 4 replicates:

(These samples also showed a minimum of 1mm growth from string on replicates exposed with string attached.)

<u>Manuf.</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Grade</u>
#2	782	PBE-P
#10	XX	PBG
#10	XXX	PBE
#13	XX-13	PBG
#13	XXX-13	PBE
#13	LE-13	FBE

The following sample showed growth covering 2-10% of the area on all 4 replicates:

#16	XXX	PBE
-----	-----	-----

The following samples showed growth covering 10-40% of the area on all 4 replicates:

#12	LE-13	FBE
#16	L	FBI
#35	L	FBI



Comparison of Results by the two Methods

A total of 139 plastic laminated materials have been tested for resistance to fungus growth using both the Petri-Dish Method and the Humidity-Exposure Method as supplied by the Bureau of Ordnance. Observations and ratings of the individual replicates of these 139 laminates have been reported on previous pages of this report and in prior reports in this series.

Partial comparisons of these results have been reported as follows:

2nd Quarterly Report	Table 9	Page 122
3rd " "	" 18	" 246-247
4th " "	" 27	" 319
5th " "	" 33	" 344

As in the previous lists of this comparison, Table 33, page 344 lists additional laminates arranged in decreasing order of fungus resistance, as determined by the Petri-Dish Method, considering surface growth alone. Also listed are the corresponding results as obtained using the Humidity-Exposure Method.

This listing has been compiled using results of surface growth alone (on unconditioned specimens) since it is not felt that the edge growth obtained in the Petri-Dish Method is comparable to that obtained in the Humidity-Exposure Method.

No conclusions are being drawn from this listing until all the laminates under test have been reported.



TABLE 33

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF PLASTIC LAMINATES
AS DETERMINED BY TWO TEST METHODS

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation		Surface Growth by:			
			Petri-Dish Method		Humidity-Exposure Method	
			Relative Profusion	Rating (*)	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
PBE-P	#2	782	None	0	Traces	1%
FBE	#13	LE-13	Traces	1	Traces	1%
PBE	#13	XXX-13	Traces	1	Tr. - Sl.	1.5%
PBG	#10	XX	Slight	2	Traces	1%
PBE	#10	XXX	Slight	2	Traces	1%
PBG	#13	XX-13	Slight	2	Tr. - Sl.	1.25%
PBE	#16	XXX	Slight	2	Sl. - Mod.	2.75%
FBI	#35	L	Slight	2	Moderate	11.25%
FBI	#16	L	Mod: Part Cov	2	Moderate	22.5%
FBE	#12	LE-13	Mod: Part Cov	2	Moderate	32.5%

* - See page 322 for explanation



FUNGINERTNESS OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS

Introduction

During the period covered by this report, testing of thermosetting molded plastics has been continued by the Petri-Dish culture method. Also during this period tests were initiated using the Humidity-Exposure method.

Results are presented in this report of the determinations by both the laboratory procedures.

Materials

The materials evaluated in this study include thermosetting molded plastics as approved under Military Specification

MIL-P-14B:

Phenolic Resin:

- | | |
|-------------|---|
| Type CFG | Cellulose filler, general purpose |
| Type CFI-5 | Cellulose filler, impact-resistant; nominal impact strength, 0.60 foot-pounds per inch notch. |
| Type CFI-10 | Cellulose filler, impact-resistance; nominal impact strength, 1.0 foot-pounds per inch notch. |
| Type CFI-20 | Cellulose filler, impact-resistant; nominal impact strength, 2.0 foot-pounds per inch notch. |
| Type CFI-30 | Cellulose filler, impact-resistant; nominal impact strength, 3.0 foot-pounds per inch notch. |
| Type CFI-40 | Cellulose filler, impact-resistant; nominal impact strength, 4.0 foot-pounds per inch notch. |



- Type MFE Mineral filler, best electrical properties.
- Type MFC Mineral filler, general purpose, heat-resistant.
- Type MFH Mineral filler, heat-resistant.
- Type MFI-10 Mineral filler, impact-resistant; nominal impact strength, 1.0 foot-pounds per inch notch.
- Type MFI-20 Mineral filler, impact-resistant; nominal impact strength; 2.0 foot-pounds per inch notch.
- Melamine Resin:
- Type CMG Cellulose filler, general-purpose.
- Type CMJ-5 Cellulose filler, impact-resistant; nominal impact strength, 0.60 foot-pounds per inch notch.
- Type MME Mineral filler, arc-and flame-resistant.
- Alkyd Resin:
- Type MAG Mineral filler, general-purpose alkyd resin.

Petri-Dish Test Method

Introduction

Additional thermosetting molded plastics were tested following the Petri-dish culture test procedure as outlined in the "Funginertness Requirement and Test for use in MIL-I-631A (as completed 29 January 1951)", furnished by the Bureau of Ordnance with letter dated 16 February 1951.

Earlier work covering this phase of the study was reported in the Third Quarterly Report (pages 202-244) and in the Fourth Quarterly Report (pages 304-317).



Procedure

The procedure used was the same as that used in the Petri-Dish funginertness tests of plastic laminates except for the test specimens used. The details of this procedure are found in the First Quarterly Report of this series. (See pages 5, 6, and 7.).

The specimens used in these tests were prepared as follows: The thermosetting molding plastic, being tested was molded into discs of 1/8" thickness in such dimensions as to allow subsequent cutting of squares 1 inch by 1 inch from the discs. This cutting was done using an 8" arbor tilt saw equipped with a suitable cutting blade.

The resulting specimens (1/8" x 1" x 1") were then handled in the same manner as the plastic laminate specimens.

Rating

At the end of the 21 day incubation period, each specimen was examined separately for growth on the surface and for growth on the cut edges and rated as follows:

<u>Observed Fungus Growth on the Specimen</u>	<u>Rating</u>
No growth	0
Traces of growth (*)	1
Slight to moderate growth: partial coverage	2
Moderate growth: considerable coverage	3
Abundant growth: complete coverage	4

(*) Traces of growth are defined as scattered, sparse fungus growth such as might develop from an unusual mass of spores in the original inoculum, or upon an occasional extraneous bit of debris. (Continuous cobwebby growth extending over the entire surface or edge of the specimen, even though not necessarily obscuring the specimen, was rated as 2.)



Table 34, pages 350 through 351, lists the observations on the individual replicates of unconditioned specimens and numeric rating of each replicate both for surface and edge growth. Table 35; pages 352 through 353, lists the observations on the individual replicates of the conditioned specimens and the numeric rating of each replicate both for surface and edge growth.

Interpretation

For a material to be considered funginert it shall not support fungus growth; this shall be due to absence of nutritive substances in the material and not to presence of a fungistatic agent. The absence of a fugitive fungistatic agent was determined from the results on the specimens which received the conditioning of 6 hours at 85° C.

On the basis of the numeric rating of the fungus growth on the six specimens for each sample of plastic being evaluated, the following criteria were used for the various degrees of fungus resistance:

- 1) Funginert - where at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.
- 2) Fugitive Fungistatic - where at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.
- 3) Fungus Susceptible - where at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.



Since it has not been determined whether these criteria will be applied to surface growth alone or to surface growth together with edge growth, separate ratings have been assigned to the specimens based on surface growth alone and on surface and edge growth considered together.

Table 36, page 354, lists the classification, of the molded plastics tested, based on the rating considering surface growth alone and on the ratings considering surface growth together with edge growth.

TABLE 34
GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON MOLDED PLASTICS
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Conditioning of Specimen: None

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Petri- dish Repli- cates	Description of Fungus Growth		Numeric Rating	
			Surface	Edges (**)	Surface	Surface and Edges
CFG	#22 1544 Nat	725A 725B 725C	Slight { Traces { Traces {	Slight Traces Traces	2 1 1	2 1 1
			Slight { Slight { Traces {	Slight Slight Slight	2 2 1	2 2 2
			Mod: Part Cov { Mod: Part Cov { Slight {	Slight Slight Slight	2 2 2	2 2 2
CFI 10	#22 12052 Black	725A 725B 725C	Traces { Traces { Traces {	Traces Traces Traces	1 1 1	1 1 1
			Slight { Part Cov { Slight {	Slight Slight Slight	2 2 2	2 2 2
			Traces { Traces { Traces {	Traces Traces Traces	1 1 1	1 1 1
CFI 20	#22 11934 Black	727A 727B 727C	Slight { Part Cov { Slight {	Slight Slight Slight	2 2 2	2 2 2
			Traces { Traces { Traces {	Traces Traces Traces	1 1 1	1 1 1
			Mod: Part Cov { Mod: Part Cov { Slight {	Traces Traces Traces	1 1 1	1 1 1

Specimen surface was waterlogged ** - Same for 4 edges unless otherwise noted
Note - For explanation of numeric rating code see page 347

Conditioning of Specimens

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Petri-dish Replicates	Description of Fungus Growth		Numeric Rating	
			Surface	Edges (**)	Surface	Surface and Edges
GPI 20	#23 L4482 Natural	728A 728B 728C	Traces (*) Traces (*) Traces (*)	Traces Traces Traces	1 1 1	1 1 1

Specimen surface was waterlogged. ** - Same for 4 edges unless otherwise noted
Note For explanation of numeric rating code see page 34?



Sheet 1 of 2

TABLE 35
GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON MOLDED PLASTICS
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Conditioning of Specimen: 6 hrs at 85° C

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Petri- dish Repli- cates	Description of Fungus Growth		Numeric Rating	
			Surfaces	Edges (**)	Surface	Surface and Edges
CFG	#22 1544 Natural	731A	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
		731B	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
		731C	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
CFG	#22 11540 Brown	732A	Slight (*)	Slight (*)	2	2
		732B	Traces (*)	Slight (*)	1	2
		732C	Slight (*)	Slight (*)	2	2
CFI 10	#22 12052 Black	733A	Mod: Part Cov (*)	Slight	2	2
		733B	Mod: Part Cov (*)	Slight	2	2
		733C	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
CFI 10	#22 13537 Natural	734A	Traces (*)	Traces	1	1
		734B	Traces (*)	Traces	1	1
		734C	Traces (*)	Traces	1	1
CFI 20	#22 11934 Black	735A	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
		735B	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2
		735C	Slight (*)	Slight	2	2

* - Specimen surface was waterlogged
** - Same for 4 edges unless otherwise noted
Note - For explanation of numeric rating code see page 347

TABLE 35 CONCLUDED

GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON MOLDED PLASTICS
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Conditioning of Specimen: 6 hrs at 85° C

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Petri- dish Repli- cates	Description of Fungus Growth		Numeric Rating	
			Surface	Edges (**)	Surface	Surface and Edges
CFI 20	#22 14482 Natural	736A 736B 736C	Traces Traces Traces	Traces Traces Traces	1 1 1	1 1 1

* - Specimen surface was waterlogged ** - Same for 4 edges unless otherwise noted
Note - For explanation of numeric rating code see page 347

TABLE 36

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF MOLDED PLASTICS
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Summary of more detailed data shown in tables 34 and 35.

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Surface Growth Only			Surface and Edge Growth		
		Numeric Rating		Fungus Resistance (*)	Numeric Rating		Fungus Resistance (*)
		Uncond	Cond		Uncond	Cond	
CRG	#22 1544 Nat	2 1 1	2 2 2	Fungistatic	2 1 1	2 2 2	Fungistatic
CRG	#22 11540 Br.	2 2 1	2 1 2	Susceptible	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible
CFI-10	#22 12052 Black	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible
CFI-10	#22 13537 Nat.	1 1 1	1 1 1	Funginert	1 1 1	1 1 1	Funginert
CFI-20	#22 11934 Black	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible	2 2 2	2 2 2	Susceptible
CFI-20	#22 14482 Nat.	1 1 1	1 1 1	Funginert	1 1 1	1 1 1	Funginert

* See page R43 for more detailed explanation.



Summary of Observations

~~This summary applies either to surface alone, or to~~
surface and edges together as the listings, if made separately,
would be identical.

The following samples
showed traces of growth on
all six replicates:

<u>Manuf.</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Grade</u>
#22	13537 Nat.	CFI-10
#22	14482 Nat.	CFI-20

The following samples
showed traces or slight
growth on all six replicates:

#22	1544 Nat.	CFG
#22	11540 Brown	CFG

The following samples
showed slight or moderate
growth on all six replicates:

#22	12052 Black	CFI-10
#22	11934 Black	CFI-20



Humidity-Exposure Method

Introduction

During this period, thermosetting molded plastics were tested following the Humidity-Exposure method as outlined in paragraph 4.2 of "Draft of BuOrd Specification 52T15 (Ord), rev. draft, Rel, of 15 August 1950", furnished by the Bureau of Ordnance with letter dated 17 January 1951.

Procedure

The experimental procedure has been explained in detail in the Second Quarterly Report, pages 78 through 81, except for the test specimens used. These were prepared by cutting four standard impact test bars ($\frac{1}{2}$ " x $\frac{1}{2}$ " x 5"), for each sample, in half to produce eight test specimens each $\frac{1}{2}$ " x $\frac{1}{2}$ " x $2\frac{1}{2}$ ". One long edge of each specimen was ground so as to remove the surface polish and expose the filler.

Rating

At the end of the incubation period the watch glass was removed and the rack taken out of the jar. The separate pieces of string (controls) were examined for fungus growth (visible to the naked eye). All of the controls included in this set of tests developed profuse fungus growth.

Each of the 4 specimens, exposed without attached cotton string, was examined (with the aid of a 7X "Flash-O-Lens" magnifier) for fungus growth. The percentage of area covered by fungus growth was noted for each of the surfaces, of each specimen.



Each of the 4 specimens, exposed with attached cotton string was examined for fungus growth (visible to the naked eye) extending from the cotton string. The maximum extent of the fungus growth from the point of contact with the string was noted for each of the surfaces of each specimen.

Table 37 pages 359 through 369, lists the observations on the individual replicates.

Interpretation

For a material to be considered funginert, it shall not support fungus growth; this shall be due to absence of nutritive substances in the material and not to presence of a fungistatic agent. The absence of a fungistatic agent was determined by the fungus growth extending from the cotton string on those replicates exposed with string attached.

On the basis of the percentage of area covered by fungus growth of four of the eight specimens and the extent of fungus growth from a known nutrient source on the remaining four of the eight specimens for each sample of plastic laminate being evaluated; the following criteria were used for the various degrees of fungus resistance:

- 1) Funginert- where none of the replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth and where fungus growth extends at least 1 mm. from the string on at least 2 of 4 replicates exposed with cotton string attached.



- 2) Fungistatic- where none of the replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth and where fungus growth does not extend at least 1mm. from the string on at least 2 of the 4 replicates exposed with cotton string attached.
- 3) Fungus Susceptible- where at least one of the four replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth.

In table 37, pages 359 through 369 are listed the ratings for the eleven (11) thermosetting molded plastics reported in this section. A separate page has been set aside for each sample and each page presents both the original data and the fungus resistance rating both for polished surface growth and ground surface growth. The Humidity-Exposure method permits a separate evaluation based on the ground surface growth alone, hence the separate ratings.



TABLE 37

**FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)**

Grade: CFC - Manufacturer and Designation: #19

BM 120
BlackSpecimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		2 Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
17	Moderate	30%	Moderate	30%	Moderate	30%
18	Moderate	20%	Moderate	25%	Moderate	25%
19	Moderate	15%	Moderate	10%	Moderate	15%
20	Moderate	10%	Moderate	10%	Moderate	5%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
21	4 mm	5 mm	2 mm
22	2 mm	2 mm	1 mm
23	3 mm	3 mm	1 mm
24	2 mm	3 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Susceptible

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: CFG Manufacturer and Designation: #25

307 Black

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
81	Traces	< 2%	Slight	2%	Slight	2%
82	Slight	2%	Traces	< 2%	Slight	2%
83	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%	Slight	2%
84	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
85	1 mm	1 mm	1 mm
86	3 mm	2 mm	4 mm
87	2 mm	2 mm	4 mm
88	2 mm	2 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Funginert

Based on ground surfaces: Funginert



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: CFG Manufacturer and Designation: #25

307
NaturalSpecimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		2Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
73	Slight	2%	Traces	< 2%	Moderate	5%
74	Slight	2%	Slight	2%	Slight	2%
75	Slight	2%	Traces	< 2%	Slight	2%
76	Traces	< 2%	Slight	2%	Slight	2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth From Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
77	3 mm	4 mm	6 mm
78	3 mm	3 mm	5 mm
79	2 mm	1 mm	2 mm
80	1 mm	1 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Funginert

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: CFI-20 Manufacturer and Designation: #4

Grade C

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		2 Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
1	Moderate	35%	Moderate	70%	Moderate	35%
2	Moderate	20%	Moderate	50%	Moderate	55%
3	Moderate	30%	Moderate	50%	Moderate	20%
4	Moderate	30%	Moderate	40%	Moderate	20%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
5	2 mm	5 mm	3 mm
6	4 mm	5 mm	2 mm
7	6 mm	7 mm	2 mm
8	5 mm	6 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Susceptible

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOIDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: CFI-20 Manufacturer and Designation: #12

Grade 20

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		2 Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
9	Traces	< 2%	Slight	2%	Traces	< 2%
10	Moderate	5%	Moderate	15%	Moderate	5%
11	Moderate	5%	Moderate	10%	Moderate	20%
12	Moderate	15%	Moderate	5%	Slight	2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
13	2 mm	3 mm	2 mm
14	1 mm	2 mm	2 mm
15	2 mm	2 mm	2 mm
16	2 mm	2 mm	1 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Susceptible

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: CFI-20 Manufacturer and Designation: #19

BM 3510
BlackSpecimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		2 Adjacent Surface		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
89	Slight	2%	Traces	< 2%	Slight	2%
90	Slight	2%	Slight	2%	Slight	2%
91	Slight	2%	Slight	2%	Slight	2%
92	Slight	2%	Slight	2%	Moderate	5%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth from Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
93	1 mm	4 mm	2 mm
94	1 mm	1 mm	1 mm
95	3 mm	3 mm	2 mm
96	2 mm	1 mm	1 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Funginert

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THE THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: CFI-40 Manufacturer and Designation: #19

BM 16468
BlackSpecimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
49	Moderate	35%	Moderate	35%	Moderate	50%
50	Abundant	75%	Moderate	55%	Abundant	75%
51	Moderate	50%	Moderate	35%	Moderate	60%
52	Moderate	50%	Moderate	60%	Abundant	75%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth From Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
53	2 mm	3 mm	4 mm
54	3 mm	6 mm	6 mm
55	3 mm	5 mm	4 mm
56	2 mm	2 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Susceptible

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 57 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: MFE Manufacturer and Designation: #19

BM 16981
NaturalSpecimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
65	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%
66	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%
67	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%
68	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%	Traces	< 2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth From Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
69	4 mm	3 mm	2 mm
70	2 mm	3 mm	3 mm
71	1 mm	2 mm	2 mm
72	1 mm	2 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Funginert

Based on ground surfaces: Funginert



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: MFE Manufacturer and Designation: #19

BM 17748
NaturalSpecimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		2 Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
57	Traces	<2%	Traces	<2%	Traces	<2%
58	Traces	<2%	Traces	<2%	Traces	<2%
59	Traces	<2%	Traces	<2%	Slight	2%
60	Traces	<2%	Traces	<2%	Slight	2%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth From Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
61	1 mm	2 mm	2 mm
62	2 mm	2 mm	2 mm
63	2 mm	1 mm	2 mm
64	2 mm	2 mm	2 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Funginert

Based on ground surfaces: Funginert



TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: MRI-10 Manufacturer and Designation: #23

12420

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
25	Moderate	25%	Moderate	35%	Moderate	35%
26	Moderate	25%	Moderate	35%	Moderate	25%
27	Moderate	35%	Moderate	30%	Moderate	30%
28	Moderate	40%	Moderate	30%	Moderate	30%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth From Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
29	3 mm	4 mm	2 mm
30	2 mm	2 mm	2 mm
31	5 mm	2 mm	2 mm
32	4 mm	4 mm	3 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Susceptible

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 37 (CONCLUDED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Grade: MFI-20 Manufacturer and Designation: #23

12410

Specimens without strings attached:

Replicate	Fungus Growth					
	Polished Surface		Adjacent Surfaces		Ground Surface	
	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
33	Moderate	40%	Moderate	35%	Moderate	35%
34	Moderate	30%	Moderate	25%	Moderate	40%
35	Moderate	30%	Moderate	30%	Moderate	40%
36	Moderate	40%	Moderate	25%	Moderate	40%

Specimens with strings attached:

Replicate	Maximum Extent of Fungus Growth From Point of Contact with String		
	Polished Surface	Adjacent Surfaces	Ground Surface
37	2 mm	3 mm	2 mm
38	2 mm	3 mm	2 mm
39	5 mm	4 mm	6 mm
40	3 mm	3 mm	4 mm

Rating (See pages 357 and 358 for explanation):

Based on polished surfaces: Susceptible

Based on ground surfaces: Susceptible



TABLE 38

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
(HUMIDITY-EXPOSURE METHOD)

(Summary of ratings listed in table 37)

<u>Spec. Grade</u>	<u>Manufacturer and Designation</u>	<u>Polished Surface Rating</u>	<u>Ground Surface Rating</u>
CFG	#9 BM 120 Black	Susceptible	Susceptible
CFG	#25 307 Black	Funginert	Funginert
CFG	#25 307 Nat.	Funginert	Susceptible
CFI-20	#4 Grade C	Susceptible	Susceptible
CFI-20	#12 Grade 20	Susceptible	Susceptible
CFI-20	#19 BM 3510 Black	Funginert	Susceptible
CFI-40	#19 BM 16468 Black	Susceptible	Susceptible
MFE	#19 BM 16981 Nat.	Funginert	Funginert
MFE	#19 BM 17748 Nat.	Funginert	Funginert
MFI-10	#23 12420	Susceptible	Susceptible
MFI-20	#23 12410	Susceptible	Susceptible



Summary of Observations (Polished Surface)

	<u>Manuf.</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Grade</u>
The following samples showed growth covering 2% or less of the area on all 4 replicates:	#25	307 Black	CFG
(These samples also showed a minimum of 1mm growth from string on replicates exposed with string attached)	#25	307 Nat.	CFG
	#19	BM 3510 Bl.	CFI-20
	#19	BM 16981 Nat.	MFE
	#19	BM 17748 Nat.	MFE

The following sample showed growth covering 2-10% of the area on all 4 replicates:	#12	Grade 20	CFI-20
--	-----	----------	--------

The following samples showed growth covering 10-40% of the area on all 4 replicates:	#19	BM 120 Black	CFG
	#4	Grade C	CFI-20
	#23	12420	MFI-10
	#23	12410	MFI-20

The following sample showed growth covering 30-80% of the area on all 4 replicates:	#19	BM 16468 Bl.	CFI-40
---	-----	--------------	--------

Summary of Observations (Ground Surface)

	<u>Manuf.</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Grade</u>
The following samples showed growth covering 2% or less of the area on all 4 replicates:			
(These samples also showed a minimum of 1mm growth from string on replicates exposed with string attached)	#25	307 Black	CFG
	#19	BM 16981 Nat.	MFE
	#19	BM 17748 Nat.	MFE

The following samples showed growth covering 2-10% of the area on all 4 replicates:	#25	307 Nat.	CFG
	#12	Grade 20	CFI-20
	#19	BM 3510 Bl.	CFI-20

The following samples showed growth covering 10-40% of the area on all 4 replicates:	#19	BM 120 Black	CFG
	#4	Grade C	CFI-20
	#23	12420	MFI-10
	#23	12410	MFI-20

The following sample showed growth covering 30-80% of the area on all 4 replicates:	#19	BM 16468 Bl.	CFI-40
---	-----	--------------	--------



Comparison of Results by the Two Methods

A total of 11 thermosetting molded plastics have been tested for resistance to fungus growth using both the Petri-Dish Method and the Humidity-Exposure Method as supplied by the Bureau of Ordnance. Observations and ratings of the individual replicates of these 11 molded plastics have been reported on previous pages of this report and in prior reports in this series.

Table 39, page 373, lists molded plastics arranged in decreasing order of fungus resistance, as determined by the Petri-Dish Method, considering surface growth alone. Also listed are the corresponding results as obtained using the Humidity-Exposure Method.

This listing has been compiled using results of surface growth alone (on unconditioned specimens) since it is not felt that the edge growth obtained in the Petri-Dish Method is comparable to that obtained in the Humidity-Exposure Method.

No conclusions are being drawn from this listing until all the molded plastics under test have been reported.



TABLE 39

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF THERMOSETTING MOLDED PLASTICS
AS DETERMINED BY TWO TEST METHODS

Spec. Grade	Manufacturer and Designation	Surface Growth by:			
		Petri-Dish Method		Humidity-Exposure Method	
		Relative Profusion	Rating (*)	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
MFE	#19 BM 16981 Natural	Traces	1	Traces	1%
MFE	#19 BM 17748	Traces	1	Traces	1%
CFG	#25 307 Bl.	Traces	1	Tr. - Sl.	1.25%
CFG	#25 307 Nat.	Slight	2	Tr. - Sl.	1.75%
CFG	#19 BM 120 Black	Slight	2	Moderate	18.75%
CFI-20	#19 BM 3510 Black	Mod: Part Cov	2	Slight	2%
CFI-20	#4 Grade C	Mod: Part Cov	2	Moderate	28.75%
CFI-40	BM 16468 Black	Mod: Part Cov	2	Mod. - Abund	52.5%
CFI-20	#12 Grade 20	Abundant	4	Tr. - Mod.	6.5%
MFI-10	#23 12420	Abundant	4	Moderate	31.25%
MFI-20	#23 12410	Abundant	4	Moderate	35%

*- See page 347 for explanation



FUNGINERTNESS OF SOLDERLESS TERMINALS

Introduction

An additional phase of work under this program has been the testing of solderless wire and cable terminals incorporating plastic materials in their make-up. These materials include injection molded nylon in some cases and vinyl-type plastics in other cases.

Results are presented in this report of the determinations by both the laboratory procedures.

Materials

Samples of solderless wire and cable terminals, as supplied by three manufacturers were evaluated. These included the following:

<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Plastic</u>	<u>Color</u>
#29	RA-853	Nylon	Red (Dyed)
#29	RB-873	Nylon	Blue (Dyed)
#29	RC-362	Nylon	Yellow (Dyed)
#29	RBB-221	Nylon	Natural
#29	Insulators	Nylon	Natural
#30	31902	Vinyl	Blue
#30	31878	Vinyl	Red
#30	35108	Vinyl	Yellow
#30	7-32056	Vinyl	Blue
	Note: Metal Part corrosion-proofed		
#31	YAE-18G43	Nylon	Red
#31	YAE-14N	Nylon	Blue
#31	YAEV10-T5	Nylon	Yellow
#31	-----	Nylon	Clear



Petri-Dish Test Method

Introduction

The solderless wire and cable terminals were tested following the Petri-Dish culture test procedure as outlined in "Funginertness Requirement and Test for use in MIL-I-631A (as completed 29 January 1951) furnished by the Bureau of Ordnance with letter dated 16 February 1951.

Procedure

The procedure used was the same as that used in the Petri-Dish funginertness tests of plastic laminates except for the test specimens used. Details of this procedure are found in the First Quarterly Report of this series. (See pages 5, 6, and 7.)

In these tests, the specimens used were as commercially supplied by the manufacturers, with overall dimensions ranging from 1/2" to 1" long and from 3/16" to 5/16" in diameter, and were handled in the same manner as the plastic specimens.

Rating

At the end of the 21 day incubation period, each specimen was examined for growth on the plastic parts and rated as follows:

(See Next Page)



Observed Fungus Growth
on the Specimen

Rating

No growth	0
Traces of Growth (*)	1
Slight to moderate growth: partial coverage	2
Moderate growth: considerable coverage	3
Abundant growth: complete coverage	4

(*) Traces of growth are defined as scattered, sparse fungus growth such as might develop from an unusual mass of spores in the original inoculum, or upon an occasional extraneous bit of debris. (Continuous cobwebby growth extending over the entire surface or edge of the specimen even though not necessarily obscuring the specimen, was rated as 2.)

Table 40, pages 378 through 380, lists the observations on the individual replicates of both the unconditioned and the conditioned specimens and the numeric rating of each replicate.

Interpretation

For a material to be considered funginert it shall not support fungus growth; this shall be due to absence of nutritive substances in the material and not to presence of a fungistatic agent. The absence of a fugitive fungistatic agent was determined from the results on the specimens which received the conditioning of 6 hours at 85° C.

On the basis of the numeric rating of the fungus growth on the six specimens for each material being evaluated, the following criteria were used for the various degrees of fungus resistance:

(See Next Page)



- 1) Funginert- where at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.
- 2) Fugitive Fungistatic- where at least 2 of 3 specimens were rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.
- 3) Fungus Susceptible- where at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested as received and at least 2 of 3 specimens were not rated 0 or 1 when tested after being conditioned.

Table 40 pages 378 through 380, lists the classification of the solderless terminals tested, based on the ratings of fungus growth.

TABLE 40

GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Manufacturer and Designation	Unconditioned Specimens			Conditioned Specimens			Fungus Resistance (**)
	Repli- cate	Relative Profusion of Growth	Numeric Rating (*)	Repli- cate	Relative Profusion of Growth	Numeric Rating (*)	
#29 RA-853	403A	None	0	415A	None	0	Funginert
	403B	None	0	415B	None	0	
	403C	None	0	415C	None	0	
#29 RB-873	402A	None	0	414A	None	0	Funginert
	402B	None	0	414B	None	0	
	402C	None	0	414C	None	0	
#29 RC-362	401A	None	0	413A	None	0	Funginert
	401B	None	0	413B	None	0	
	401C	None	0	413C	None	0	
#29 RBB-221	400A	Traces	1	412A	Traces	1	Fungi nert
	400B	Traces	1	412B	None	0	
	400C	Traces	1	412C	Traces	1	
#29 Insulators	399A	Traces	1	411A	Traces	1	Funginert
	399B	Slight	2	411B	Traces	1	
	399C	Traces	1	411C	Traces	1	

Note: Conditioning of Specimen (where applicable): 6 hours at 85° C
 (*): For explanation of numeric rating code see pages 375 and 376
 (**): See pages 376 and 377 for detailed explanation

TABLE 40 (CONTINUED)

Sheet 2 of 2

GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Manufacturer and Designation	Unconditioned Specimens			Conditioned Specimens			Fungus Resistance (**)
	Repl- cate	Relative Profusion of Growth	Numeric Rating (*)	Repl- cate	Relative Profusion of Growth	Numeric Rating (*)	
#30 31 992	625A	None	0	633A	None	0	Funginert
	625B	None	0	633B	None	0	
	625C	None	0	633C	None	0	
#30 31 878	623A	Traces	1	631A	None	0	Funginert
	623B	None	0	631B	None	0	
	623C	None	0	631C	None	0	
#30 35 108	624A	Traces	1	632A	Traces	1	Funginert
	624B	Traces	1	632B	Traces	1	
	624C	None	0	632C	None	0	
#30 7-32-56	626A	None	0	634A	Traces	1	Funginert
	626B	Traces	1	634B	Traces	1	
	626C	Traces	1	634C	Traces	1	
#31 YAE-18G 43	404A	None	0	416A	None	0	Funginert
	404B	None	0	416B	None	0	
	404C	None	0	416C	None	0	

Note: Conditioning of Specimen (where applicable): 6 hours at 85°C
 (*) For explanation of numeric rating code see pages 375 and 376
 (**): See pages 376 and 377 for detailed explanation

TABLE 40 (CONCLUDED)

GROWTH OF FUNGUS ON SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
(PETRI-DISH METHOD)

Manufacturer and Designation	Unconditioned Specimens			Conditioned Specimens			Fungus Resistance (**)
	Repli- cate	Relative Profusion of Growth	Numeric Rating (*)	Repli- cate	Relative Profusion of Growth	Numeric Rating (*)	
#31 YAT-14 N	406A	None	0	418A	Traces	1	Funginert
	406B	None	0	418B	None	0	
	406C	None	0	418C	None	0	
#31 YAEV10 - E5	405A	Traces	1	417A	None	0	Funginert
	405B	Traces	1	417B	Traces	1	
	405C	Traces	1	417C	Traces	1	
#31 Clear Nylon	407A	Traces	1	419A	None	0	Funginert
	407B	Traces	1	419B	None	0	
	407C	None	0	419C	None	0	

Note: Conditioning of Specimen (where applicable): 6 hours at 85° C
(*) : For explanation of numeric rating code see pages 375 and 376
(**) : See pages 376 and 377 for explanation.



Summary of Observations

All replicates of all samples showed no growth or traces of growth on surfaces and edges. All samples were rated "Funginert".



Humidity-Exposure Method

Introduction

The solderless terminals were tested following the Humidity-Exposure Method as outlined in paragraph 4.2 of "Draft of BuOrd Specification 52T15 (Ord), rev. draft, Rele, of 15 August 1950"; furnished by the Bureau of Ordnance with letter dated 17 January 1951.

Procedure

The procedure has been explained in detail in the Second Quarterly Report, pages 78 through 81.

In these tests, as in the Petri-Dish tests, the specimens used were as commercially supplied by the manufacturers, with the overall dimensions ranging from 1/2" or 1" long and from 3/16" to 5/16" in diameter; and were handled in the same manner as the plastic specimens.

Rating

At the end of the incubation period the watch glass was removed and the rack taken out of the jar. The separate pieces of string (controls) were examined for fungus growth (visible to the naked eye). All of the controls included in this set of tests developed profuse fungus growth.

Each of the 4 specimens, exposed without attached cotton string, was examined (with the aid of a 7X "Flash-O-lens" magnifier) for fungus growth. The percentage of area covered by fungus growth was noted.



Each of the 4 specimens, exposed with attached cotton string was examined for fungus growth (visible to the naked eye) extending from the cotton string. The maximum extent of the fungus growth from the point of contact with the string was noted, for each specimen.

Table 41 pages 385 through 388, lists the observations on the individual replicates.

Interpretation

For a material to be considered funginert, it shall not support fungus growth; this shall be due to absence of nutritive substances in the material and not to presence of a fungistatic agent. The absence of a fungistatic agent was determined by the fungus growth extending from the cotton string on those replicates exposed with string attached.

On the basis of the percentage of area covered by fungus growth of four of the eight specimens and the extent of fungus growth from a known nutrient source on the remaining four of the eight specimens for each sample being evaluated, the following criteria were used for the various degrees of fungus resistance:

- 1) Funginert- where none of the replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth and where fungus growth extends at least 1 mm. from the string on at least 2 of 4 replicates exposed with cotton string attached.



- 2) Fungistatic- where none of the replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth and where fungus growth does not extend at least 1 mm. from the string on at least 2 of the 4 replicates exposed with cotton string attached.
- 3) Fungus Susceptible- where at least one of the four replicates, exposed without attached cotton string, shows more than 2% fungus growth.

In table 41, pages 385 through 388 are listed the ratings for the thirteen (13) solderless terminals reported in this section.

TABLE 41

Sheet 1 of 4

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Manufacturer and Designation	Specimens Without Strings Attached			Specimens With Strings Attached		Rating (**)
	Replid- cate	Relative Prolusion of Growth	% of area Covered	Replid- cate	Extent of Growth (*)	
#29 RA 853	1229	Traces	< 2%	1233	1 mm	Funginert
	1230	Traces	< 2%	1234	2 mm	
	1231	Traces	< 2%	1235	2 mm	
	1232	Traces	< 2%	1236	3 mm	
#29 RB- 873	1213	Traces	< 2%	1217	2 mm	Funginert
	1214	Traces	< 2%	1218	5 mm	
	1215	Slight	< 2%	1219	1 mm	
	1216	Traces	< 2%	1220	3 mm	
#29 RC- 362	1221	Traces	< 2%	1225	2 mm	Funginert
	1222	Traces	< 2%	1226	2 mm	
	1223	Traces	< 2%	1227	3 mm	
	1224	Traces	< 2%	1228	4 mm	
#29 RBB- 221	1237	Traces	< 2%	1241	3 mm	Funginert
	1238	Traces	< 2%	1242	2 mm	
	1239	Traces	< 2%	1243	6 mm	
	1240	Traces	< 2%	1244	2 mm	

(*) Maximum extent of fungus growth from point of contact with string
(**) For explanation of rating code see pages 383-384

TABLE 41 (CONTINUED)
FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Manufacturer and Designation	Specimens Without Strings Attached			Specimens With Strings Attached		Rating (**)
	Repl. cate	Relative Profusion of Growth	% of area Covered	Repl. cate	Extent of Growth (*)	
#29 Insulators	1205	Traces	< 2%	1209	5 mm	Funginert
	1206	Traces	< 2%	1210	5 mm	
	1207	Traces	< 2%	1211	5 mm	
	1208	Traces	< 2%	1212	3 mm	
#30 31902	1169	Traces	< 2%	1173	1 mm	Funginert
	1170	Traces	< 2%	1174	0 mm	
	1171	Traces	< 2%	1175	0 mm	
	1172	None	0%	1176	1 mm	
#30 31878	1177	None	0%	1181	1 mm	Fungistatic
	1178	None	0%	1182	1 mm	
	1179	Traces	< 2%	1183	0 mm	
	1180	None	0%	1184	0 mm	
#30 35108	1161	None	0%	1165	2 mm	Funginert
	1162	Traces	< 2%	1166	2 mm	
	1163	Traces	< 2%	1167	2 mm	
	1164	None	0%	1168	1 mm	

(*) Maximum extent of fungus growth from point of contact with string
(**) For explanation of rating code see pages 343-384



Sheet 3 of 4

TABLE 41 (CONTINUED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Manufacturer and Designation	Specimens Without Strings Attached		Specimens With Strings Attached		Rating (**)
	Replimate	Relative Profusion of Growth	% of Area Covered	Replimate Extent of Growth (*)	
#30 7-32056	1185	Traces	<2%	1189 1/2 mm	Fungistatic
	1186	Traces	<2%	1190 1/2 mm	
	1187	Traces	<2%	1191 1 mm	
	1188	Traces	<2%	1192 0 mm	
#31 YAE-18G43	1253	Traces	<2%	1257 3 mm	Funginert
	1254	Slight	2%	1258 1 mm	
	1255	Traces	<2%	1259 1 mm	
	1256	Slight	2%	1260 3 mm	
#31 YAE - 14N	1261	Moderate	5%	1265 2 mm	Susceptible
	1262	Slight	2%	1266 2 mm	
	1263	Moderate	10%	1267 2 mm	
	1264	Moderate	5%	1268 2 mm	
#31 YAEV10 - T5	1269	Moderate	10%	1273 3 mm	Susceptible
	1270	Slight	2%	1274 2 mm	

(*) Maximum extent of fungus growth from point of contact with string
(**) For explanation of rating code see pages 383-384

TABLE 41 (CONCLUDED)

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
(HUMIDITY EXPOSURE METHOD)

Manufacturer and Designation	Specimens Without Strings Attached		Specimens with Strings Attached		Rating (**)
	Repli- cate	Relative Proportion of Growth	% of area Covered	Repli- cate Extent of Growth	
#31 Clear Nylon	1277	Traces	<2%	1281 4 mm	Funginert
	1278	Traces	<2%	1282 1 mm	
	1279	Traces	<2%	1283 4 mm	
	1280	Traces	<2%	1284 4 mm	

(*) Maximum Extent of fungus growth from point of contact with string
(**) For explanation of rating code see pages 383-384



Summary of Observations

The following samples showed growth covering 2% or less of the area on all 4 replicates:

(These samples also showed a minimum of 1mm growth from string on replicates exposed with string attached)

Manuf.

Designation

#29
#29
#29
#29
#29
#30
#30
#31
#31

RA 853
RB 873
RC 362
RBB 221
Insulators
31902
35108
YAE-18043
Clear Nylon

The following samples showed growth covering 2 - 10% of the area on all 4 replicates:

#31
#31

YAE-14N
YAEV10-T15

The following samples showed growth covering 2% or less of the area on all 4 replicates:

(These samples did not show a minimum of 1mm growth from string on replicates exposed with string attached)

#30
#30

31878
7-32056



Comparison of Results by the Two Methods

Results of tests on the solderless terminals by the two methods show good agreement except in a few cases.

All samples were rated funginert by the Petri-Dish method. By the Humidity-Exposure method, all the samples supplied by manufacturer #29 were rated funginert. Two of the samples supplied by #30 were rated funginert and two were rated fungistatic. Two of the samples supplied by #31 were rated funginert and two were rated susceptible. (On a slightly different basis for rating, which rates samples as funginert, intermediate, or susceptible, these last two samples would be rated intermediate).

Different samples by each manufacturer represent minor changes in the coloring and size of the sample rather than changes in the plastic formulation. Hence the difference in the results may be due to test method rather than differences in the samples. For this reason additional samples have been requested for further tests on these materials.

Table 42, page 391, lists a comparison of growth and rating by the two test methods.



TABLE 42

FUNGUS RESISTANCE OF SOLDERLESS TERMINALS
AS DETERMINED BY TWO TEST METHODS

Manufacturer and Designation	Surface Growth by:			
	Petri-Dish Method		Humidity-Exposure Method	
	Relative Profusion	Rating (*)	Relative Profusion	% of area covered
#30 31878	None	0	None-Tr.	0.25%
#30 31902	None	0	None-Tr.	0.75%
#29 RA 853	None	0	Traces	1%
#29 RC 362	None	0	Traces	1%
#29 RB 873	None	0	Tr.- Sl.	1.25%
#31 YAE-18G43	None	0	Tr.- Sl.	1.50%
#31 YAE-14N	None	0	Sl.-Mod.	5.5%
#30 35108	Traces	1	None-Tr.	0.5%
#30 7-32056	Traces	1	Traces	1%
#31 Clear Nylon	Traces	1	Traces	1%
#29 RBB-221	Traces	1	Traces	1%
#29 Insulators	Traces	1	Traces	1%
#31 YAEV10-T5	Traces	1	Sl.- Mod.	6%

*- See page 376 for explanation