

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

**ADB025971**

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

**Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.**

FROM:

**Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; MAY 1977. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Attn: AFFDL/FEM, Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433.**

AUTHORITY

**AFWAL ltr, 11 Feb 1980**

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED  
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE  
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND  
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON  
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

18 19  
AFFDL-TR-77-74

AD B025971

6  
**REPLACEABLE TREAD TIRE PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT  
FOR C-130 MILITARY AIRCRAFT FLIGHT TESTS**

THE B. F. GOODRICH COMPANY  
AKRON, OHIO 44318

10 James W. / Pond

11  
AUG 1977

12 19p.

TECHNICAL REPORT AFFDL-TR-77-74

Final Report May 1976 - Aug 1977

DDC  
RECEIVED  
APR 3 1978  
D

9  
15 F33615-76-C-3464

16 1197 17 00

Distribution limited to U. S. Government Agencies only; test and evaluation; statement applied May 1977. Other requests for this document must be referred to Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory (AFFDL/FEM), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES  
AIR FORCE FLIGHT DYNAMICS LABORATORY  
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433

156 650

mit

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely-related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.



PAUL M. WAGNER

Project Engineer

Vehicle Equipment Division

Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory

FOR THE COMMANDER



AMBROSE B. NUTT, Director

Vehicle Equipment Division

Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                       | READ INSTRUCTIONS<br>BEFORE COMPLETING FORM                                                                                       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER<br>AFFDL-TR-77-74                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER                                                                                                     |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle)<br>Replaceable Tread Tire Prototype<br>Development for C-130 Military<br>Aircraft Flight Tests                                                                                                                                                                   |                       | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED<br>FINAL REPORT<br>MAY 1976 - AUGUST 1977                                                      |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                       | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER                                                                                                  |
| 7. AUTHOR(s)<br>JAMES W. POND                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                       | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)<br>F33615-76-C-3060                                                                                |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS<br>BFGOODRICH COMPANY<br>AKRON, OHIO 44318                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                       | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK<br>AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS<br>P.E.64212F, PROJECT 1197,<br>TASK 119700,<br>WORK UNIT 11970004 |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS<br>Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory/FEM<br>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433                                                                                                                                                       |                       | 12. REPORT DATE<br>August 1977                                                                                                    |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                       | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES                                                                                                               |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                       | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)<br>UNCLASSIFIED                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                       | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING<br>SCHEDULE                                                                                     |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)<br>Distribution limited to U.S. Government agencies only; test and<br>evaluation; statement applied May 1977. Other requests for this<br>document must be referred to Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory<br>(AFFDL/FEM), WPAFB, OHIO 45433 |                       |                                                                                                                                   |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)                                                                                                                                                                                               |                       |                                                                                                                                   |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                       |                                                                                                                                   |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)<br>Replaceable Tread Tire<br>Expandable Carcass Tire<br>Aircraft Tire                                                                                                                                 |                       |                                                                                                                                   |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)<br>Two assemblies, 51.5x20-20, featuring standard aircraft tire rubber<br>coat, completed 310 dynamic test cycles. Two assemblies, featuring<br>an alternate rubber carcass coat, failed prematurely.  |                       |                                                                                                                                   |

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

## FOREWORD

This report was prepared by James W. Pond, of the BFGoodrich Tire Division, A Division of The BFGoodrich Company, under USAF Contract F33615-76-C-3060. The work was conducted under the direction of the Vehicle Equipment Division, Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, P.M. Wagner (AFFDL/FEM), Project Engineer. This report covers work performed under Contract F33615-76-C-3060 between May 1976 and June 1977. This report was submitted by the author May 1977 for publication as a technical report.

The author wishes to acknowledge the contribution of J.K. Willey (BFGoodrich) for manufacture of tire assemblies, A.R. Middlecoop (BFGoodrich) for assistance in dynamometer testing, and P.C. James (BFGoodrich) and J.T. Warchol (BFGoodrich) for assistance in this development effort.

|                              |                                                  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ABSTRACTED BY                |                                                  |
| DATE                         | White Section <input type="checkbox"/>           |
| EDC                          | Buff Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| UNANNOUNCED                  | <input type="checkbox"/>                         |
| JUSTIFICATION                |                                                  |
|                              |                                                  |
| EXEMPTION/AVAILABILITY CODES |                                                  |
| EXEMPT, and/or SPECIAL       |                                                  |
| B                            |                                                  |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                    | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Introduction                       | 1           |
| General Background                 | 1           |
| Technical Requirements             | 3           |
| Test Results - Contractor Facility | 3           |
| Observations and Comments          | 13          |

## LIST OF TABLES

|         |                          |   |
|---------|--------------------------|---|
| Table 1 | Static Laboratory Tests  | 5 |
| Table 2 | Dynamic Laboratory Tests | 9 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|          |                                       |    |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 1 | Inflated Tire Profile                 | 6  |
| Figure 2 | Loaded Tire Footprint                 | 7  |
| Figure 3 | Load-Deflection Curve                 | 8  |
| Figure 4 | Photograph of Tire Section Overall    | 10 |
| Figure 5 | Photograph of Carcass                 | 11 |
| Figure 6 | Photograph of Carcass Shoulder Detail | 12 |

## SECTION I INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Major logistics costs and considerable aircraft out-of-service time are caused by the wear of tires on Air Force aircraft. Approximately 90% of the flight line tire removals from military aircraft are due to the condition of the tire treads. The remaining 10% are caused by a variety of reasons including sidewall blisters, damaged carcasses and "contamination" by a damaging fluid spillage on the tire. In case of tread defects, approximately 10% of the removals are caused by tread stripping, chunking and other miscellaneous causes. However, by far, the greatest number of tire removals are for tread wear and tread cutting. These two causes constitute approximately 80% of the total number of tire removals.
- 1.2 In order to reduce the expense of tire wear to the Air Force, the C-130 Replaceable Tread Tire Development Program was undertaken. This tire concept will allow worn or cut treads to be removed and replaced in the field. By replacing only that part of the tire that wears out, the weight to be transported to the field is reduced by 75%. In addition, maintenance manhours associated with tire changes are reduced 80%. The overall objective of the program is to develop, qualify, service test and evaluate a preproduction prototype Replaceable Tread Tire for the C-130 aircraft.

## SECTION II GENERAL BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Advances in Replaceable Tread Tire (RTT) performance during Phase II of Contract F33615-72-C-1349 and the redirection of Contract F33615-72-C-1361 were significant. Each design/test iteration during these development

efforts have resulted in the achievement of an appreciably higher level of tire improvement. The latest design/test iteration has shown that the C-130 RTT has approached the number of dynamic test cycles needed for tire qualification prior to flight tests; however, additional design effort and laboratory design effort are required to achieve qualification of a flightworthy RTT assembly for the C-130 aircraft.

- 2.2 The next logical step in the overall C-130 RTT program is to develop, qualify and flight evaluate developmental prototype tire assemblies. This next step was designated Phase IV, Developmental Prototype RTT Test and Evaluation, since it is a follow-up on work conducted under Phase III of Contract F33615-72-C-1349.

### SECTION III TASKS

- 3.1 Developmental investigation under Contract F33615-76-C-3060 was divided into three phases.
- 3.1.1 Phase IVA - Carcass and tread band improvements in performance and manufacturing.
- 3.1.2 Phase IVB - Provide a limited quantity of optimum assemblies from Phase IVA to AFFDL for evaluation of mechanical properties at AFFDL/WPAFB.
- 3.1.3 Provide AFFDL RTT assemblies for flight tests.

#### SECTION IV TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

- 4.1 Re-design and rework existing carcass mold to alter the deep sidewall convolute to a single shallow convolute and incorporate a feature in the shoulder area to aid in centering the tread band on the carcass. These mold alterations were done.
- 4.1.1 Manufacture assemblies, using the revised carcass mold to evaluate a standard aircraft carcass rubber coat compound. Revised tread band constructions were to be used in an attempt to improve tread band performance. Four assemblies of each configuration were required.
- 4.1.2 Conduct static laboratory tests as outlined by AF Drawing 65-D-1542H on each test configuration. This was done on one test only as authorized by AFFDL letter dated 1 November 1976 (FEM/P.M. Wagner/52663).
- 4.1.3 Conduct dynamometer tests of two assemblies each configuration to AF Drawing 65-D-1542H.
- 4.1.4 Supply one assembly each configuration to AFFDL for their evaluation.
- 4.2 By mutual agreement, AFFDL and the contractor select the optimum RTT assembly, then manufacture and supply to AFFDL four optimum assemblies for static and dynamic laboratory tests.
- 4.3 By mutual agreement, between AFFDL and the contractor, manufacture and supply AFFDL six RTT assemblies for flight tests.

#### SECTION V TEST RESULTS - CONTRACTOR FACILITY

- 5.1 Static Tests - Measurements were taken and a hydrostatic burst was conducted on the first construction check

assembly, Table 1. During the hydrostatic burst, the tread band failed at 800 psi. The specification burst pressure for this tire assembly is 560 psi minimum (160 psi rated pressure times a 3.5 burst factor). A second burst test was conducted on the same carcass, but without a tread band. The carcass failed at 600 psi, which is considered exceptional for this single component. Inflated Profile, Tire Footprint and Load-Deflection Curve are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

- 5.2 Dynamic Tests - This phase of contract F33615-76-C-3060 was to evaluate two carcass coat compounds; one being the present standard material used for aircraft tires, the second an alternate high quality material. Also, an alternate tread band construction was to be evaluated.

The alternate tread band construction failed early during the dynamic indoor tests. A return to previously employed tread band constructions was indicated before further tests were justified.

The alternate carcass coat material did not perform well; one carcass failed at 41 TTO cycles, and the second carcass failed at 31 TTO cycles.

Two carcasses using the standard aircraft tire carcass coat material completed the 310 dynamic test cycles as required by Air Force drawing 65-D-1542H. Refer to Table 2.

TABLE 1


## STATIC LABORATORY TESTS

51.5x20-20/30PR

EC/RT

LABORATORY TEST

|                                                   |                         |                 |              |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Specifications - Carcass                          | B359                    |                 |              |
| - Tread Band                                      | B361                    |                 |              |
| Weight - Carcass                                  | 164 Lbs.                | } Total         | 283.5#Max.   |
| - Tread Band                                      | 89.5 Lbs.               |                 |              |
|                                                   |                         | Lbs.            |              |
| Inflated Section Width -<br>24 Hr. Growth         | 19.70"                  |                 | 21.10" Max.  |
| Inflated Section Width -<br>Loaded 46,500@160 PSI | 22.23"                  |                 |              |
| Deflection @ 46,500 Lbs. -<br>160 PSI             | 3.75" (28%)             |                 | 31+3-4%      |
| Inflated Diameter                                 | 51.00"                  |                 | 50.6" Min.   |
| Inflated Tread Width -<br>To Radius @ Shoulder    | 13.60"                  |                 | 17.50" Max.  |
| Inflated Tread Radius -<br>(Calculated)           | 120.0"                  |                 |              |
| Hydrostatic Burst - 1st                           | 800 PSI (Tread Band)    |                 |              |
| - 2nd                                             | 600 PSI (Carcass-Crown) |                 | 560 PSI Min. |
| Footprint Data:                                   |                         |                 |              |
|                                                   | <u>Crown</u>            | <u>Shoulder</u> |              |
| Length                                            | 17.1"                   | 21.6"           |              |
| Area - Cir.                                       | 284 Sq.In.              |                 |              |
| - Actual                                          | 241 Sq.In.              |                 |              |



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 08-10-2001 BY 60322 UCBAW/SJS

[illegible]

Figure 1. Inflated Tire Profile

BEST AVAILABLE COPY




Figure 2. Loaded Tire Footprint




FIGURE 3

Table 2  
DYNAMIC LABORATORY TESTS  
51.5x20-20/30 PR  
EC/RT

PHASE 1

Experiment #1 - Test No. 1

|                              |                  | <u>No. Cycles</u> |                 |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| <u>Standard Carcass Coat</u> |                  | <u>Actual</u>     | <u>Required</u> |
| (a) Spec No.                 | B-359 #2 Carcass |                   |                 |
| Spec No.                     | B-361 Tread Band | "A" - 44          |                 |
| Failure - tread band         |                  |                   |                 |
| (b) Spec No.                 | B-359#2 Carcass  |                   |                 |
| Spec No.                     | B-391#1          | "A" - 81          |                 |
|                              |                  | "B" - 125         |                 |
|                              |                  | "C" - 60          |                 |
| TOTAL                        |                  | 310               | 310             |

Experiment #1 - Test No. 2

| <u>Standard Carcass Coat</u> |                     |           |     |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----|
| Spec No.                     | B-359#4 Carcass     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-361 Tread Band    | 6         |     |
| Failure - tread band         |                     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-359#4 Carcass     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-391#15 Tread Band | 21        |     |
| Failure - tread band         |                     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-359#4 Carcass     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-391#6 Tread Band  | 28        |     |
| Failure - tread band         |                     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-359#4 Carcass     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-391#10 Tread Band | 57        |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-359#4 Carcass     |           |     |
| Spec No.                     | B-391#9 Tread Band  | "A" - 13  |     |
|                              |                     | "B" - 125 |     |
|                              |                     | "C" - 60  |     |
| TOTAL                        |                     | 310       | 310 |

Experiment #2 - Test No. 1

| <u>Alternate Carcass Coat</u> |                    |    |     |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----|-----|
| Spec No.                      | B-360#2 Carcass    |    |     |
| Spec No.                      | B-391#3 Tread Band | 49 | 310 |
| Failure - carcass separation  |                    |    |     |

Experiment #2 - Test No. 2

|                                                 |                    |    |     |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----|-----|
| Spec No.                                        | B-360#3 Carcass    |    |     |
| Spec No.                                        | B-391#4 Tread Band | 31 | 310 |
| Failure - carcass separation - tread separation |                    |    |     |




FIGURE 4





FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6

SECTION VI  
OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS

- 6.1 Static Laboratory Tests - Table 1.
  - 6.1.1 All dimensions are within limits specified in the statement of work of Contract F33615-76-C-3060.
  - 6.1.2 Deflection at rated load and inflation is within specified limits.
  - 6.1.3 Hydrostatic burst was 42% above the required minimum.
- 6.2 Dynamic Tests - Table 2.
  - 6.2.1 Two carcasses, featuring standard carcass rubber coat compounds, completed the 310 test cycles specified in AF Drawing 65-D-1542H without visible or apparent failure. Analysis of cut sections indicated incipient separation in the lower sidewall area but to a lesser degree than previously encountered.

Chafing of the bead, in the rim flange area, was encountered.
  - 6.2.2 Premature failure of tread bands occurred due to separation of tread from cords. A retest of adhesion of tread to cord showed an acceptable level. Actual cause of tread separation could not be determined. New tread bands were fabricated which provided improved performance.
  - 6.2.3 Two carcasses, featuring an alternate rubber coat compound failed prematurely.
  - 6.2.4 In Experiment No. 1, Test No.2, five tread bands were used to complete the dynamometer test. No difficulty was encountered in tread band removal or replacement on the carcass.
- 6.3 Review - During the development efforts of the Replaceable Tread Aircraft Tire, many problems have been solved, including:
  - 6.3.1 Tread band breakage - solved by a construction change.
  - 6.3.2 Tread band splitting - circumferentially; solved by a construction change.
  - 6.3.3 Rubber chunking and stripping from the underside of the tread band; solved by a construction change.
  - 6.3.4 Chafing at the interface of the tread band and the carcass in the shoulder area; solved by alterations in aspect ratio, tread radius and rubber compound selection.
  - 6.3.5 Blisters (trapped air) in the carcass-shoulder area; solved by construction and technique change.

- 6.3.6 Trapped air between the curing bladder and the carcass; solved by construction and technique changes.
- 6.3.7 Bladder pinch-out resulting in a carcass defect; solved by bladder and technique changes.
- 6.3.8 The changes in equipment, constructions, and technique have resulted in improved performance from 1 to 7 dynamic test cycles to the completed 310 dynamic test cycles specified by Air Force drawing 65-D-1542H.
- 6.4 Recommendations - while good progress has been made in development of the EC/RT concept, there are certain conditions which require further investigation and improvement.
  - 6.4.1 Exposed cords in the carcass sidewall in the convolute area. Seven experiments have been made, intended to eliminate this condition, without success.
  - 6.4.2 Carcass separation, lower sidewall area.
  - 6.4.3 Chafing of the bead, rim flange area.
  - 6.4.4 Separation of rubber from cord in the shoulder area of the tread band.
- 6.5 The contractor recommends that this development effort be continued under a new contract to obtain a fully-qualified EC/RT assembly for the C-130 aircraft. Obtain new mold equipment at contractor expense of new design and concept to further improve or eliminate the few remaining problem areas in tire assembly performance and manufacture.
- 6.6 During the meeting of AFFDL on 20 April 1977, mutual agreement was reached as to constructions and carcass compound to be manufactured by the contractor for Phases II and III of contract F33615-76-C-3060. Such agreement includes (a) standard aircraft carcass coat compound, (b) tread band construction to provide maximum tread radius using existing mold equipment, (c) minimum sidewall rubber thickness.