

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB329288

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and private individuals or enterprises eligible to obtain export-controlled technical data in accordance with DoDD 5230.25 19 MAR 2007. Controlling DoD office is Office of Naval Research, Attn: Code 312, 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217-5560.

AUTHORITY

ONR ltr dtd 20 Jun 2016

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 19 MAR 2007		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The National Nanotechnology Initiative: Potential Impact on DoD				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Southern California				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM202134, GOMACTech-07 Government Microcircuit Applications and Critical Technology Conference: Countering Terror with Transitional Technologies., The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 4	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

The National Nanotechnology Initiative: Potential Impact on DoD

Dr. James S. Murday

University of Southern California
murday@usc.edu

I. Introduction

Nanoscience involves materials where some critical property is attributable to a structure with at least one dimension limited to the nanometer size scale, $\sim 1 - 100$ nanometers¹. Below that size the disciplines of Chemistry and Atomic/Molecular Physics have already provided detailed scientific understanding. Above that size scale, in the last 50 years Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science have provided detailed scientific understanding of microstructures. So the nanoscale is the last “size” frontier for materials science. When the size of the structure is nanometer, one can expect “surprises” – new materials behavior that may be technologically exploitable.

Why is nanotechnology the current rage? First, beginning in 1980, the discovery and development of proximal probes – scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy, atomic force microscopy/spectroscopy, near-field microscopy/spectroscopy – have provided tools for measurement and manipulation of individual nanosized structures. Those tools needed 10-15 years for reliable commercial instruments to come onto the market; there has been a rapid increase in refereed nanoscience publications beginning in the early 1990s. The properties of the individual nanostructures can now be observed, rather than the ensemble averaged values. In turn, those properties can be understood in terms of composition / structure, and with that understanding comes the possibility for control.

Second, the disciplines of biology, chemistry, materials, and physics have all reached a point where nanostructures are of interest – chemistry building up from simpler molecules,

physics/materials working down from microstructures, and biology sorting out from very complex systems into simpler subsystems. Finally, there are several economic engines driving the interest with information technology (electronics), biotechnology (pharmaceuticals and healthcare), and materials the more certain beneficiaries. Various organizations have estimated the potential commercial impact of “nano-inside” – products enabled by nanostructures – as more than \$1T/yr. In addition to the civilian markets, there will clearly be security applications for nanostructured materials. This projected market has contributed to the roughly uniform geographical distribution across the globe of science articles published in 2005. The fact that there is rough global equivalence in the nanoscience/nanotechnology investments raises some interesting problems for both the US economic and security paradigms.

II. Nanostructure-Enabled Technology for Defense

Nanostructures might be expected to provide innovative properties affecting almost all technologies dependent on materials. The DOD was early to recognize this potential. It was funding the Ultrasubmicron Electronics Research (USER) program already in the late 1970s; that program could have been labeled nanotechnology. By 1997, the DOD had created a Strategic Research Objective in nanoscience. So, with NSF, DOD was well positioned to take a leadership role in the late 1990s toward the formation of the U.S. National Nanotechnology Initiative. As is evident from Table 1, DOD is a major contributor to the $\sim$ \$1B/yr NNI funding in FY07.

Table 1. Estimated DOD Nanoscience Funding (\$M)

	FY01		FY05		FY07
	6.1	6.2/6.3	6.1	6.2/6.3	
Air Force	9	3	33	14	60
Army	8	4	29	5	30
Navy	46	3	35	0	30
DARPA	34	20	47	120	210
CBDP					9
DDR&E	24	2	5	0	5
Total	153		325	345	

The implications for nanotechnology in defense have been addressed in a number of places^{2,3,4,5,6}. Amongst the areas the National Nanotechnology Initiative has identified for significant technology impact⁷, several are particularly important for the defense implications: Information technology - Nano-Electronics, Photonics and Magnetics; Energy Conversion and Storage; and Nanostructured Materials by Design.

IIA. The Next Generation of Information Technology Devices

The military has been and will continue to be an important consumer of high performance information technology devices. By roughly 2015, further miniaturization in Si will slow or stop due to physics limitations at the small size scale, growing fabrication costs, and heat dissipation problems⁸. The Nanoelectronics Research Initiative⁹ has been created by the semiconductor industry to examine “Beyond CMOS” alternatives. The NRI goal is the demonstration of novel computing devices with critical dimensions below 10 nanometers and to exercise them in simple computer circuits with the objective of enabling the industry to extend Moore's Law far beyond the limits of CMOS. Integrated, nanostructured systems offer the potential to sustain the revolution in information technology devices rivaling that of silicon-based microelectronics in the last 30 years. But realizing that potential will not be easy.

Emerging Technology Example: Non-volatile, high density memory

Nanostructures hold promise for the development on non-volatile, radiation hard, high density (terabit/cm²) memory with nanosecond read/write times. The IBM “Millipede” uses an array of microcantilevers to create a pattern of nano-indentations in a deformable polymer storage medium¹⁰. Researchers at Nantero in the US and in Korea are developing a carbon-nanotube based memory concepts¹¹. Freescale (as well as IBM) has a GMR memory on the market with 4 Mbits; researchers at NRL are developing a next generation GMR memory that may reach terabit densities¹².

II.B. Energy Conversion and Storage

Inexpensive energy underlies economic prosperity and is crucial to many military applications. A nation's ability to develop new energy sources within its own borders can reduce the dependency on international energy sources and also reduce the current reliance on finite oil reserves. A key challenge is to understand how deliberate tailoring of materials at the nanoscale can lead to innovations in energy conversion, storage and conservation¹³. There are many possibilities. The conversion of chemical or solar energy into electricity necessitates rapid, high-efficiency conversion of chemical or light (photon) energy into electrical energy. Nanostructured materials offer several important advantages toward this goal. A deeper understanding of the physics of phonon and electron transport in nanostructured materials may facilitate production of practical all-solid-state and environmentally clean thermoelectric energy-conversion devices. Nanostructures may also

enhance the controlled release of chemical energy toward specific goals such as higher efficiency combustion, and greater thrust in rocket propulsion.

Emerging Technology Example: Rapid rechargeable battery electrodes

The energy and power densities of batteries depend critically on the efficiency of charge transport – both electrons and ions. Nanostructures offer new approaches to the control of that transport. Altair is working with nano-sized lithium titanium oxide with the goal of three times the power of existing Li ion batteries and recharge times measured in minutes¹⁴. Toshiba has announced a Li-ion battery anode based on nanomaterials that can be recharged in only a minute. A123 has a nanophosphate technology touted to eliminate thermal runaway or fire¹⁵.

IIC. Nanostructured Materials by Design

Military platforms are always pushing the state-of-art for materials performance. Nanotechnology involves structures with a limited number of atoms or molecules – larger than traditionally handled by chemistry and smaller than traditionally handled by materials science or solid state physics. This departure from traditional materials can fundamentally change the way nanostructured materials behave. A Chemical Research Council sponsored Vision 2020 workshop has identified the challenges/opportunities facing the goal of nanostructured materials by design¹⁶. By gaining understanding and control at the nanoscale, materials scientists will be able to develop novel, high performance, affordable and environmentally benign materials. Modeling and simulation, aided by the expected continuation of advances in computational power, will play a major role in the realization of this vision. However, creating reliable, cost effective, hierarchically assembled nanostructured materials will not be easy. The problems can be illustrated by carbon nanotube composites where there has been considerable work, but limited results¹⁷.

Emerging Technology Example: Nanoclay Composites

The early (1993) demonstration of nanoclay – polyimide composites with promising new

properties¹⁸ has been followed (albeit somewhat slowly for the same reasons cited above for CNT composites) with additional successes. A number of automotive manufacturers are now utilizing nanoclay composites¹⁹.

III. Summary and Conclusions

There are an appreciable, and rapidly growing, number of science papers addressing the discovery of nanostructure fabrication and properties, and their incorporation into nanostructured materials and devices. The impact of technologies, commercially enabled through the use of nanostructures, is just now beginning to emerge. There will be clear impact on military technologies. The United States will have to pay careful attention to the worldwide efforts in nanoscience / nanotechnology if it is to retain the technological edge it has enjoyed for the past fifty years.

REFERENCES

- ¹ National Nanotechnology Initiative: the Initiative and its Implementation Plan. July 2000
<http://www.nano.gov/html/res/nni2.pdf>
- ² “Implications of Emerging Micro and Nanotechnologies,” Air Force Science and Technology Board, National Academy of Sciences, December 30, 2002 ISBN: 030908623X
- ³ “Nanotechnology and Homeland Security,” Ratner, D., and Ratner, M., (Prentice Hall, 2004), ISBN 0-13-145307-6
- ⁴ “Defense Applications of Nanomaterials,” Miziolek, A.W., Karna, S.P., Mauro, J.M., and Vaia, R.A., Eds., (ACS Symposium Series 89, 2004) ISBN 0-8412-3806-7
- ⁵ “Science and Technology of Nanostructures in the Department of Defense,” Murday, J.S., Journal of Nanoparticle Research 1, 501-505 (1999)
- ⁶ “DOD Researchers Provide a Look Inside Nanotechnology,” AMPTIAC Quarterly Vol 6, No 1, Spring 2002
- ⁷ The National Nanotechnology Initiative Strategic Plan
http://www.nano.gov/NNI_Strategic_Plan_2004.pdf
- ⁸ ITRS Roadmap

⁹ White Paper: NRI Motivation, Vision and Proposed Plan,

<http://www.src.org/nri/default.asp?bhcp=1>

¹⁰ “Millipede - A MEMS-based Scanning-Probe Data-Storage System,” Eleftheriou E, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 39, 938 (2003)

¹¹ “Electromechanical Analysis of Suspended Carbon Nanotubes for Memory Applications,”

Kang, J., Kong, S.C., and Hwang, J., Nanotechnology 17 (9), 2127-2134 (2006); “Carbon Nanotube-based Nonvolatile Random Access Memory for Molecular Computing,” Rueckes, T., Kim, K., Joselevich, E., Tseng, G.Y., Cheung, C.L., and Lieber, C.M., Science 289 (5476), 94-97 (2000)

¹² “Ultrahigh Density Vertical Magnetoresistive Random Access Memory,” Zhu, J.G., Zheng, Y.F., and Prinz, G.A., Journal of Applied Physics 87 (9), 6668-6673 (2000).

¹³ “Nanoscience Research for Energy Needs,” Report of the National Nanotechnology Initiative Grand Challenge Workshop, Mar 16-18 2004; http://www.nano.gov/nni_energy_rpt.pdf

¹⁴ “Li Insertion into $\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$ p(spinel) - Charge Capability vs. Particle Size in Thin-Film Electrodes,” Kavan, L., Prochazka, J., Spitler, T.M., Kalbac, M., Zukalova, M.T., Drezen, T., and Gratzel, M., Journal of the Electrochemical Society 150 (7), A1000-A1007 (2003)

¹⁵ “Microstructural Modeling and Design of Rechargeable Lithium-Ion Batteries,” Garcia, R.E., Chiang, Y.M., Carter, W.C., Limthongkul, P., and Bishop, C.M., Journal of the Electrochemical Society 152 (1), A255-A263 (2005)

¹⁶ Chemical Industry R&D Roadmap for Nanomaterials by Design: From Fundamentals to Function, Dec 2003
<http://www.chemicalvision2020.org/nanomaterialsroadmap.html>

¹⁷ Breuer, O. and Sundararaj, U., Polymer Composites 25(6), 630 (2004); Thostenson, E.T., Li, C., and Chou, T-W, Composites Science and Technology 65, 491 (2005); Lau, K.T., Gu, C., and Hui, D., Composites Part B-Engineering 37(6), 425-436 (2006)

¹⁸ “Synthesis and Properties of Polyimide Clay Hybrid,” Yano, K., Usuki, A., Okada, A., Kurauchi, T., and Kamigaito, O., J Polymer Science Part A – Polymer Chemistry 31(10), 2493-2498 (1993)

¹⁹ “TPA Based Nanocomposites. Part 1. Morphology and Mechanical Properties,” Lee, H.S., Fasulo P.D., Rodgers, W.R., and Paul, D.R., Polymer 46A(25), 11673-11689 (2005).



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
875 NORTH RANDOLPH STREET
SUITE 1425
ARLINGTON VA 22203-1995

IN REPLY REFER TO

5513
Ser 043/
20 Jun 16

From: Director, Security Division
To: Defense Technical Information Center

Subj: CHANGE OF DISTRIBUTION AUTHORIZATION REQUEST

1. Request the distribution statement on the document titled, "The National Nanotechnology Initiative: Potential Impact on DoD," Accession Number# ADB329288 be changed to read: "Approved for public release; distribution unlimited" effective immediately.

2. Any further questions please contact me at 703-696-1499.


DERRICK R. SHACK