

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
ADB804981	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	restricted
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	
FROM: Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; AUG 1943. Other requests shall be referred to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.	
AUTHORITY	
NACA list dtd 28 Sep 1945; NASA TR Server website	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Reproduction Quality Notice

This document is part of the Air Technical Index [ATI] collection. The ATI collection is over 50 years old and was imaged from roll film. The collection has deteriorated over time and is in poor condition. DTIC has reproduced the best available copy utilizing the most current imaging technology. ATI documents that are partially legible have been included in the DTIC collection due to their historical value.

If you are dissatisfied with this document, please feel free to contact our Directorate of User Services at [703] 767-9066/9068 or DSN 427-9066/9068.

**Do Not Return This Document
To DTIC**

Reproduced by
AIR DOCUMENTS DIVISION



HEADQUARTERS AIR MATERIEL COMMAND

WRIGHT FIELD, DAYTON, OHIO

The
U.S. GOVERNMENT

IS ABSOLVED

FROM ANY LITIGATION WHICH MAY

ENSUE FROM THE CONTRACTORS IN -

FRINGING ON THE FOREIGN PATENT

RIGHTS WHICH MAY BE INVOLVED.

REEL - C

337

A.T.I.

8 4 6 3

RESTRICTED


15216/372

RESTRICTED

TECHNICAL NOTES

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESTRICTED



No. 903

ATI No. **8463**

A METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE COLUMN CURVE
FROM TESTS OF COLUMNS WITH EQUAL RESTRAINTS
AGAINST ROTATION ON THE ENDS

By Eugene E. Lundquist, Carl A. Rossman,
and John C. Houbolt

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory
Langley Field, Va.

CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT

This document contains classified information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, USC 50:81 and 82. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. Information so classified may be imparted only to persons in the military and naval services of the United States, appropriate civilian officers and employees of the Federal Government who have a legitimate interest therein, and to United States citizens of known loyalty and discretion the of necessity must be informed thereof.

DECLASSIFIED
AUG 8 1947

Washington
August 1945

FILE COPY

Return to
WRIGHT FIELD LIBRARY
Dayton, Ohio

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 903

A METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE COLUMN CURVE
FROM TESTS OF COLUMNS WITH EQUAL RESTRAINTS
AGAINST ROTATION ON THE ENDS

By Eugene E. Lundquist, Carl A. Rossman,
and John C. Houbolt

SUMMARY

The results are presented of a theoretical study for the determination of the column curve from tests of column specimens having ends equally restrained against rotation. The theory of this problem is studied and a curve is shown relating the fixity coefficient c to the critical load, the length of the column, and the magnitude of the elastic restraint. A method of using this curve for the determination of the column curve for columns with pin ends from tests of columns with elastically restrained ends is presented. The results of the method as applied to a series of tests on thin-strip columns of stainless steel are also given.

INTRODUCTION

It is fairly common practice for engineers to assume that a given fixture or restraining member at the end of a column provides a column fixity coefficient c that is constant for columns of different lengths and sizes of column cross sections. In reality, however, the fixity coefficient depends upon both the dimensions of the column and the restraint offered by the restraining member; therefore, a more correct procedure, theoretically, would be to assume that a given fixture or restraining member at the end of the column provides, rather than a given fixity coefficient, a restraining stiffness against rotation that is independent of the dimensions of the column. A method for determining the column curve for thin sheet

material that is based upon considerations of the restraining stiffness and that shows promise of successful development involves mounting each end of a small column specimen in special end fixtures of the general type shown in figure 1. The specimen with end fixtures attached is then loaded by axially compressing the assembly until the specimen fails by instability as an ordinary column.

The special end fixtures on the column specimen in figure 1 tend to produce a condition approaching that of completely fixed ends. Actually, the elasticity of the clamping fixtures and of the specimen does not permit completely fixed ends and, as a consequence, the column fixity coefficient c which is 1 for pin ends and 4 for fixed ends, will be slightly less than 4.

The method of analyzing test data to obtain the column curve for any thin sheet material, tested in the manner described, is presented herein. Column curves that have been determined in this manner for a series of stainless-steel specimens are also presented.

DETERMINATION OF COLUMN CURVE FOR $c = 1$

The column curve - that is, usually plotted for any material is the curve for pin-ended columns, $c = 1$. In order to obtain the column curve from tests employing the end fixtures shown in figure 1, the value of the column fixity coefficient c must be determined. This coefficient c can be determined by use of the curve of figure 2 provided the length of the column L , the experimental critical buckling load of the column P_{cr} , and the restraining stiffness of the end fixtures M (assumed to be the same at each end), are known. The curve shown in figure 2 is the graph of the equation

$$\frac{P_{cr}L}{M} = -\pi\sqrt{c} \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{c}\right)$$

which has been determined through consideration of the stability of a column having ends equally restrained against rotation and is a special case of the general solution given by equation (A-13) in the appendix.

After the value of c has been found, the effective value of the slenderness ratio L/ρ for the fixity coefficient $c = 1$ can be determined by use of the following relation:

$$\left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{\text{exp}}$$

where

$\left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{\text{eff}}$ effective slenderness ratio for $c = 1$

$\left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{\text{exp}}$ actual slenderness ratio of tested column

and

ρ radius of gyration of column

The column curve for pin ends is obtained by plotting

P_{cr}/A against $\left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{\text{eff}}$ for the specimens tested, where

A is the cross-sectional area of the specimen.

EVALUATION OF RESTRAINT m

Before the fixity coefficient c can be evaluated by the procedure given in the foregoing section, the value of the restraint m of each end fixture must be known. In the case of the end fixture of figure 1, the specimen is so narrow compared to the width of the fixture that probably only a part of the fixture is elastically restraining the rotation of the end of the specimen. It is, therefore, likely that the restraint m depends on the width of the specimen and should be evaluated for each different width. The value of m for any group of column specimens of the same width and thickness can be determined from the test data for the longer specimens of the group, because the critical compressive stress for these specimens is low and lies within the elastic range where

the effective modulus $\bar{E}$ is equal to Young's modulus E . For these longer test specimens, all quantities in the Euler column formula are known except c . Therefore

$$c = \frac{P_{cr} L^2}{\pi^2 EI} \quad (1)$$

The value of $\frac{P_{cr} L}{m}$ corresponding to this value of c may be found from the graph of figure 2; then m can be computed because P_{cr} and L are known. When m has been determined, the evaluation of c for the columns of shorter lengths can be made as described in the preceding section.

In order to retain the degree of accuracy required in computations, the curve of figure 2 should be plotted to an enlarged scale. As an aid in preparing such a curve, a set of coordinates of the curve is given in table 1.

RESULTS OF TESTS OF STAINLESS-STEEL COLUMNS OF THIN SHEET MATERIAL

The method for determining the column curve as described in this report has been applied to eight series - designated A, B, C, etc. - of stainless-steel column specimens. The heat treatment and condition of loading for each series is given in table 2. The chemical analysis for each series is as follows:

Chemical element	Percentage composition			
	Series			
	A, B, C, and D	E	F	G and H
Fe	71.925	72.238	27.009	} Not available
C	.08	.11	.04	
Mn	.63	1.63	1.40	
P	.016	.024	.014	
S	.009	.008	.007	
Si	.45	.45	43.00	
Ni	8.71	7.35	10.13	
Cr	18.16	18.16	18.00	
Cu	.02	.02	.02	
Ti			.38	

The column tests were made of flat strips with the type of fixture shown in figure 1. The specimens were loaded in a standard 100,000-pound-capacity hydraulic testing machine. The heads of the testing machine were set parallel and the specimens were centered to produce as nearly an axial load condition as possible. The testing machine was of the two-screw type and the column specimens were so positioned that failure would occur in the plane of the screws of the machine, as the stiffness of the testing machine is greatest in this plane.

The values of E were obtained from stress-strain tests of column specimens from each series of columns tested. A tensile modulus was used in the analysis of several series because it was not possible to determine the compressive modulus. A summary of the values of E and of restraint values m found for the different series is given in table 2.

Table 3 shows the computations necessary to analyze series A by the method outlined previously in this report; the column curve for series A is shown in figure 3. Graphs of the results of the tests, in which critical stress has been plotted against slenderness ratio for $c = 1$, are shown in figures 3 to 10.

EFFECT OF ERRORS IN E ON DERIVED COLUMN CURVE

The application of the procedures outlined in this report to the data obtained from the tests of the stain-
less-steel columns revealed that the accuracy of the re-
sulting column curve depended on the choice of the value
of E used in the evaluation of the restraint m . A
study was therefore made to determine the effect that
errors in E would have on the final column curve. The
results of this study revealed that (see fig. 11):

1. At any value of $(L/p)_{eff}$, the stress given by a
derived column curve based upon a value of E smaller
than the correct value will be in error on the conserva-
tive or low side as compared with the curve derived by
using the correct value of E . For a given percentage
error in E , a low value of E will give, over the most
practical range of $(L/p)_{eff}$, a smaller percentage error
in column stress than will a correspondingly high value
of E .

2. The maximum percentage error in stress given by
a column curve derived by using an incorrect value of
 E is greater than the percentage error in E (see
fig. 11). It is therefore important that the compression
modulus for the material of the column specimens be ac-
curately known if a column curve is derived by the method
given in this report.

3. If the correct value of E is unknown and a com-
monly accepted value of E is assumed for use in the com-
putations, the analysis should reveal the presence of any
unreasonably large errors in this choice of E . If the
value of E is too large, the derived column curve will
lie above the Euler curve for this value of E in the
range of intermediate column lengths (see fig. 12). If
the value of E used in the evaluation of m from the
test data for the longer specimens of the group is suffi-
ciently less than the correct value of E , the computed
value of the fixity coefficient, as given by equation (1),
will be greater than 4.

Consideration of the foregoing facts indicates that, if the value of E is uncertain but is known to lie within a small range, the lowest value in that range would be the most suitable value to select for use in computing the column curve of the material.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Langley Field, Va., June 10, 1943.

APPENDIX

THE STABILITY OF A COLUMN HAVING ELASTICALLY RESTRAINED ENDS

Timoshenko has obtained the expressions for the rotations θ_a and θ_b at the ends of a member subjected to compressive forces P and end moments M_a and M_b (shown in fig. 13) by solving the differential equation expressing the equilibrium of the bent member. These expressions, given as equation (25) on page 13 of reference 1, are:

$$\theta_a = \frac{M_a LG}{3EI} + \frac{M_b LF}{6EI} \quad (A-1)$$

$$\theta_b = \frac{M_b LG}{3EI} + \frac{M_a LF}{6EI} \quad (A-2)$$

where

$$F = \phi(u) = \frac{3}{u} \left(\frac{1}{\sin 2u} - \frac{1}{2u} \right) \quad (A-3)$$

$$G = \psi(u) = \frac{3}{2u} \left(\frac{1}{2u} - \frac{1}{\tan 2u} \right) \quad (A-4)$$

$$u = \frac{kL}{2} \quad (A-5)$$

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}} \quad (A-6)$$

In the foregoing equations the symbols have the following meanings:

- θ_a, θ_b rotations of the tangents to deflection curve at the left and right ends, respectively, of the member; positive as shown in figure 13
- M_a, M_b applied moments at the left and right ends, respectively, of the member as shown in figure 13
- L length of the member
- E effective modulus of elasticity
- I moment of inertia of the column cross section
- P axial load acting on the member

If the moments M_a and M_b in equations (A-1) and (A-2) arise as a result of rotation of the restraining elements that oppose the deflection of the member when it bends and if, in addition, the restraining moments are proportional to the rotations of the restraining elements, respectively, the end moments acting on the member can be written

$$M_a = -m_a \theta_a \quad (A-7)$$

$$M_b = -m_b \theta_b \quad (A-8)$$

where m_a and m_b are the moments necessary to rotate the restraining elements at the left and right ends of the column, respectively, through one radian.

Substitution of these values of M_a and M_b in equations (A-1) and (A-2) gives

$$\left(1 + \frac{G\epsilon_a}{3}\right)\theta_a + \left(\frac{F\epsilon_b}{6}\right)\theta_b = 0 \quad (A-9)$$

$$\left(\frac{P\epsilon_a}{6}\right)\theta_a + \left(1 + \frac{G\epsilon_b}{3}\right)\theta_b = 0 \quad (A-10)$$

where

$$\epsilon_a = \frac{m_a L}{EI} \quad (A-11)$$

$$\epsilon_b = \frac{m_b L}{EI} \quad (A-12)$$

The quantities ϵ_a and ϵ_b are the "restraint coefficient" at the left and right ends, respectively, of the compression member.

Equations (A-9) and (A-10) are linear simultaneous equations which can be satisfied by taking $\theta_a = \theta_b = 0$. The deflection at each point on the member is then zero and the straight form of equilibrium of the member is obtained. The buckled form of equilibrium of the member becomes possible only if equations (A-9) and (A-10) yield for θ_a and θ_b solutions different from zero, which requires that the determinant of these equations become zero, or

$$\left(1 + \frac{G}{3}\epsilon_a\right)\left(1 + \frac{G}{3}\epsilon_b\right) - \left(\frac{P}{6}\epsilon_a\right)\left(\frac{P}{6}\epsilon_b\right) = 0 \quad (A-13)$$

The value of P that satisfies this equation for neutral stability of a member elastically restrained against rotation at each end is the critical load P_{cr} .

When the restraints at the ends of the column are equal, $m_a = m_b = m$ and $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = \epsilon$. For this case equation (A-13) reduces to

$$\left(1 + \frac{G}{3}\epsilon\right)^2 - \left(\frac{P}{6}\epsilon\right)^2 = 0 \quad (A-14)$$

This equation cannot be solved directly for P_{cr} , the critical value of the load P , because P occurs in

transcendental expressions F and G . If P is regarded as known, however, equation (A-14) yields as solutions for ϵ two values

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{\frac{F}{6} - \frac{G}{3}} \quad (\text{A-15})$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{-1}{\frac{F}{6} + \frac{G}{3}} \quad (\text{A-16})$$

which describe two mathematically possible buckling configurations. The buckled shape that corresponds to each of these equations may be obtained by substituting the value of ϵ in either equation (A-9) or equation (A-10). From this substitution of ϵ as given by equation (A-15) it is found that $\theta_a = -\theta_b$, which by the aid of equations (A-7) and (A-8) shows that $M_a = -M_b$. This type of buckling is represented by the bent member of figure 14(a). Similarly, by substitution of the value of ϵ as given by equation (A-16) in either equation (A-9) or (A-10), it is found that $\theta_a = \theta_b$, which again by the aid of equations (A-7) and (A-8) shows that $M_a = M_b$. This type of buckling is illustrated by figure 14(b).

The type of buckling shown in figure 14(b) will occur at a lower load than the type shown in figure 14(a) because of the longer wave length involved. A column with equal elastic restraints resisting rotation at its ends will therefore adopt the buckling configuration shown in figure 14(b) at a load $P = P_{cr}$ that satisfies equation (A-16).

When the values of F and G as given by equations (A-5) and (A-4) are substituted in equation (A-16), the following relation is obtained:

$$\epsilon = \frac{-2u}{\tan \frac{2u}{2}} \quad (\text{A-17})$$

From equations (A-5) and (A-6)

$$2u = \sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}} L \quad (A-18)$$

By use of the relationship expressed by the Euler column formula,

$$P_{cr} = \frac{c\pi^2 EI}{L^2} \quad (A-19)$$

equation (A-18) becomes

$$2u = \pi\sqrt{c} \quad (A-20)$$

Equation (A-17) may therefore be expressed as

$$c = \frac{-\pi\sqrt{c}}{\tan \frac{\pi\sqrt{c}}{2}} \quad (A-21)$$

This expression for the elastic restraint at the ends of a column in terms of the fixity coefficient is shown graphically in figure 15 in order to show that the variation in the fixity coefficient is small when the restraint is large.

If the equations (A-11) or (A-12), which define c , are solved for EI/L when $m_a = m_b = m$ and this value is substituted in equation (A-19), the Euler column formula can be written

$$P_{cr} = \frac{c\pi^2}{L} \frac{m}{c} \quad (A-22)$$

Substitution of the value of c as given by equation (A-21) in equation (A-22) gives

$$P_{cr} = - \frac{m\pi\sqrt{c} \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{c}\right)}{L}$$

Multiplication of both sides of this equation by L/m results in the equation

$$\frac{P_{cr}L}{m} = -\pi\sqrt{c} \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{c}\right) \quad (A-23)$$

Equation (A-23) relates the fixity coefficient c to the critical load, the length of the column, and the magnitude of the elastic restraint. This equation is represented graphically in figure 2.

REFERENCE

1. Timoshenko, S.: Theory of Elastic Stability. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1936.

TABLE 1.- VALUES OF $\frac{P_{cr}L}{m}$ AND CORRESPONDING VALUES OF c

c	$\frac{P_{cr}L}{m}$	c	$\frac{P_{cr}L}{m}$	c	$\frac{P_{cr}L}{m}$
1.00	∞	2.68	3.29718	3.64	0.87342
1.10	42.8911	2.72	3.18358	3.66	.82544
1.20	22.7811	2.76	3.07200	3.68	.77740
1.30	16.0036	2.80	2.96222	3.70	.72930
1.40	12.5572	2.84	2.85420	3.72	.68120
1.50	10.4425	2.88	2.74758	3.74	.63301
1.60	8.9920	2.92	2.64247	3.76	.58486
1.70	7.9206	2.96	2.53852	3.78	.53658
1.80	7.0853	3.00	2.43581	3.80	.48816
1.84	6.79802	3.04	2.33406	3.81	.46401
1.88	6.53224	3.08	2.23322	3.82	.43979
1.92	6.28489	3.12	2.13326	3.83	.41554
1.96	6.05359	3.16	2.03401	3.84	.39128
2.00	5.83651	3.20	1.93544	3.85	.36704
2.04	5.63180	3.24	1.83740	3.86	.34269
2.08	5.43821	3.28	1.73980	3.87	.31839
2.12	5.25432	3.32	1.64271	3.88	.29406
2.16	5.07920	3.36	1.54594	3.89	.26966
2.20	4.91177	3.40	1.44946	3.90	.24534
2.24	4.75145	3.42	1.40135	3.91	.22095
2.28	4.59737	3.44	1.35326	3.92	.19645
2.32	4.44916	3.46	1.30518	3.93	.17202
2.36	4.30697	3.48	1.25712	3.94	.14753
2.40	4.16748	3.50	1.20918	3.95	.12304
2.44	4.03316	3.52	1.16117	3.96	.098491
2.48	3.90272	3.54	1.11321	3.97	.073821
2.52	3.77577	3.56	1.06525	3.98	.049343
2.56	3.65200	3.58	1.01731	3.99	.024617
2.60	3.53117	3.60	.96936	4.00	.000000
2.64	3.41288	3.62	.92144		

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF PROPERTIES OF STAINLESS-STEEL COLUMNS

[All specimens were supplied by Fleetings, Inc., series A, B, C, D from roll No. 4160; E from roll No. 2346, heat No. 7189; F from roll No. 639, heat No. 7223; and G and H are type 322 "W"]

Series	Grain	Heat treatment	Hardness description	Ultimate stress (lb/sq in.)	Yield stress (lb/sq in.)	Elongation (percent)	Hardness (Rockwell number)	E (lb/sq in.)		m (lb-in.)
								Tensile	Compressive	
A	Longitudinal	Not heat-treated	Full hard	185,000 to 186,500	148,200 to 149,600	9.5 to 10.0	C-38	25.10 × 10 ⁶	26.3 × 10 ⁶	27.85 × 10 ⁶
B	Longitudinal	400° F for 72 hr	Full hard	-----	-----	-----	-----	26.12	-----	-----
C	Transverse	Not heat-treated	Full hard	185,900 to 187,800	148,200 to 150,000	10.0	C-38	27.35	-----	-----
D	Transverse	200° C for 72 hr	Full hard	-----	-----	-----	-----	28.85	-----	-----
E	Longitudinal	-----	1/2 hard	166,800	-----	26.0	C-34	22.87	-----	540
F	Longitudinal	-----	Annealed	83,200	-----	65.0	E-48	26.73	-----	∞
G	Transverse	900° F for 1 hr	Full hard	-----	-----	-----	-----	30.76	29.0	1200
H	Longitudinal	900° F for 1 hr	Full hard	-----	-----	-----	-----	29.18	29.17	440

*These values of E were used to determine m and are the values used in the analysis of the test data.

TABLE 3
ANALYSIS OF TEST DATA OBTAINED FROM SERIES A OF STAINLESS-STEEL COLUMN SPECIMENS

Evaluation of $\bar{m}$											
L (in.)	b (in.)	t (in.)	P_{cr} (lb)	$\bar{m}$ (lb/sq in.)	I (in. ⁴)	$e = \frac{P_{cr} L^2}{P_{cr} L^2}$	$\frac{P_{cr} L^2}{m}$ (From fig. 2)	$\frac{P_{cr} L^2}{m}$ (lb-in.)	L/p	$(L/p)_{eff}$	$\frac{P_{cr}}{A}$ (lb/sq in.)
2.4506	0.31998	0.02997	118.61	25.86×10^6	0.71758×10^{-6}	3.8973	0.2590	290.41	283.0	143.5	12,359
2.1832	0.31966	0.03001	146.17	26.86	.72046	3.7644	.5741	316.94	251.7	129.7	15,123
1.9110	0.31978	0.03000	167.54	26.86	.71961	3.7310	.6546	336.59	170.4	114.1	19,549
										Ave. = 740	
Evaluation of $(L/p)_{eff}$											
L (in.)	b (in.)	t (in.)	ρ (in.)	A (sq in.)	P_{cr} (lb)	$\frac{P_{cr} L^2}{m}$	$\frac{e}{m}$ (From fig. 2)	$\sqrt{e}$	L/p	$(L/p)_{eff}$	$\frac{P_{cr}}{A}$ (lb/sq in.)
2.4506	0.32000	0.03000	0.00866	0.009589	118.51	0.2590	3.8973	1.9716	283.0	143.5	12,359
2.1832	0.3199	0.0300	0.00867	0.009549	146.17	.5741	3.7644	1.9402	251.7	129.7	15,123
1.9110	0.3198	0.0300	0.00867	0.009518	167.54	.6546	3.7310	1.9316	170.4	114.1	19,549
1.4779	0.3202	0.0300	0.00867	0.009518	300.34	.8998	3.764	1.9378	120.4	87.9	31,258
1.1767	0.3197	0.0298	0.00861	0.009527	414.34	.6698	3.729	1.9311	136.6	70.8	43,492
1.1767	0.3192	0.0300	0.00866	0.009540	446.34	.7097	3.708	1.9256	135.9	70.6	46,698
1.0263	0.3202	0.0298	0.00861	0.009548	507.94	.7043	3.7105	1.9253	119.2	61.9	63,221
1.0272	0.3204	0.0298	0.00861	0.009545	527.97	.7339	3.6975	1.9239	119.3	62.1	69,511
8943	0.3203	0.0297	0.00858	0.009611	631.64	.7580	3.6896	1.9201	103.0	53.7	86,430
6972	0.3198	0.0297	0.00858	0.009496	978.84	.6940	3.715	1.9264	103.4	53.6	80,943
7402	0.3204	0.0298	0.00861	0.009532	702.84	.7030	3.711	1.9264	85.9	44.8	79,000
5909	0.3198	0.0298	0.00861	0.009531	755.34	.7580	3.699	1.9207	86.0	44.6	73,577
5164	0.3203	0.0298	0.00861	0.009531	869.72	.6945	3.715	1.9274	68.6	35.6	91,250
4413	0.3194	0.0300	0.00866	0.009577	1029.04	.7042	3.7105	1.9243	69.6	31.0	105,150
3658	0.3203	0.0298	0.00866	0.009565	1111.09	.6634	3.7276	1.9307	51.0	26.4	116,150
3196	0.3203	0.0298	0.00861	0.009516	1246.54	.6160	3.747	1.9387	42.8	22.0	136,680
2911	0.3201	0.0298	0.00860	0.009530	1412.04	.6564	3.7745	1.9458	33.8	17.4	146,170

16

NACA Technical Note No. 903

Fig. 1




Figure 1.- Column and end fixtures assembly.




Figure 2.- Relationship of $P_{cr}L/m$ and c for columns equally elastically restrained against rotation at each end.

(17)




Figure 3.- Column curve for full-hard stainless steel, 0.030 inch thick, not heat-treated; loaded with grain. Series A.




Figure 4.- Column curve for full-hard stainless steel, 0.030 inch thick, heat-treated; loaded with grain. Series B.




Figure 5.- Column curve for full-hard stainless steel, 0.030 inch thick, not heat-treated; loaded across grain. Series C.




Figure 6.- Column curve for full-hard stainless steel, 0.030 inch thick, heat-treated; loaded across grain. Series D.




Figure 7.- Column curve for 1/2-hard stainless steel, 0.030 inch thick, not heat-treated; loaded with grain. Series E.

22




Figure 8.- Column curve for annealed stainless steel, 0.030 inch thick; loaded with grain. Series I.

23




Figure 9.- Column curves for full-hard stainless "W" steel, 0.030 inch thick, heat-treated; loaded across grain. Series G.

24




Figure 10.- Column curve for full-hard stainless "W" steel, 0.030 inch thick, heat-treated, loaded with grain. Series H.

25




Figure 11.- Relative error in column curves obtained by using different values of E in analyzing series G of the stainless-steel column tests.




Figure 12.-- Comparison of derived column curve with Euler curve for different values of E. Series C of the stainless-steel column tests.

28




Figure 13.- Bending of a compressed bar with couples on the ends. (From reference 1.)




Figure 14.- Buckling configurations of a compressed bar with elastically restrained ends.




Fig. 15

Figure 15.-- Relationship of mL/EI and c for columns equally elastically restrained against rotation at each end.

29

REEL - C

337

A.T.I.

8463

RESTRICTED

ATI- 8463

REVISION

(None)

ORIG. AGENCY NO.

TN-84

PUBLISHING AGENCY NO.

TITLE: A Method for Determining the Column Curve from Tests of Columns with Equal Restraints Against Rotation on the Ends
 AUTHOR(S): Lundquist, E. E.; Rossman, Carl A.
 ORIGINATING AGENCY: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C.
 PUBLISHED BY: (Same)

DATE
Aug '43DOC. CLASS.
Restr.COUNTRY
U.S.LANGUAGE
Eng.PAGES
29ILLUSTRATIONS
tables, graphs, drwgs

ABSTRACT:

** Tensile Stress*

Theory of problem is studied and a curve is shown relating the fixity coefficient to the critical load, the length of the column, and the magnitude of the elastic restraint. A method of using this curve for the determination of the column curve for columns with pin ends from tests of columns with elastically restrained ends is presented. The results of the method as applied to a series of tests on thin strip columns of stainless steel are also given.

Profile

Columns (Supports)



AD-B804 981

DISTRIBUTION: Request copies of this report only from Originating Agency

DIVISION: Stress Analysis and Structures (7)
 SECTION: Structural Theory and Analysis Methods (2)

SUBJECT HEADINGS: Columns - Stresses (23596)

ATI SHEET NO.: R-7-2-11

Air Documents Division, Intelligence Department
 Air Material Command

AIR TECHNICAL INDEX
RESTRICTED

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
 Dayton, Ohio

Classification cancelled

Date 6 1949

Classification cancelled per authority
of List NACA dd. 28 Sept 1945
George R. Jordan, USCO. 29 Apr 1949

RESTRICTED

TITLE: A Method for Determining the Column Curve from Tests of Columns with Equal Restraints Against Rotation on the Ends

AUTHOR(S): Lundquist, E. E.; Rossman, Carl A.

ORIGINATING AGENCY: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C.

PUBLISHED BY: (Same)

ATI- 8463

REVISION

(None)

ORIG. AGENCY NO.

TN-903

PUBLISHING AGENCY NO.

DATE	DOC. CLASS.	COUNTRY	LANGUAGE	PAGES	ILLUSTRATIONS
Aug '43	Restr.	U.S.	Eng.	29	tables, graphs, drwgs

ABSTRACT:

Theory of problem is studied and a curve is shown relating the fixity coefficient to the critical load, the length of the column, and the magnitude of the elastic restraint. A method of using this curve for the determination of the column curve for columns with pin ends from tests of columns with elastically restrained ends is presented. The results of the method as applied to a series of tests on thin strip columns of stainless steel are also given.

DISTRIBUTION: Request copies of this report only from Originating Agency

DIVISION: Stress Analysis and Structures (7)

SECTION: Structural Theory and Analysis
Methods (3)

SUBJECT HEADINGS: Columns - Stresses (33586)

ATI SHEET NO.: R-7-2-11

Air Development Division, Intelligence Department
Air Historical Command

ALL TECHNICAL INDEX
RESTRICTED

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio