

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
ADB814300	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	confidential
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	
FROM: Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; 30 JUN 1942. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Systems Command, Washington, DC 20330. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.	
AUTHORITY	
JPL publications no. 38 dtd Jan 1955 per USAF; AFSC/DOOS ltr dtd 1 Apr 1992	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Reproduction Quality Notice

This document is part of the Air Technical Index [ATI] collection. The ATI collection is over 50 years old and was imaged from roll film. The collection has deteriorated over time and is in poor condition. DTIC has reproduced the best available copy utilizing the most current imaging technology. ATI documents that are partially legible have been included in the DTIC collection due to their historical value.

If you are dissatisfied with this document, please feel free to contact our Directorate of User Services at [703] 767-9066/9068 or DSN 427-9066/9068.

**Do Not Return This Document
To DTIC**

Reproduced by
AIR DOCUMENTS DIVISION



HEADQUARTERS AIR MATERIEL COMMAND
WRIGHT FIELD, DAYTON, OHIO

574
660

The
U.S. GOVERNMENT

IS ABSOLVED

FROM ANY LITIGATION WHICH MAY

ENSUE FROM THE CONTRACTORS IN -

FRINGING ON THE FOREIGN PATENT

RIGHTS WHICH MAY BE INVOLVED.

17
527
656

REEL - C

153

A.T.I.

6 5 7 7

TSDIN FORM NO. 72
1 APR. '47

INTELLIGENCE T-2
SPECIAL ORDER SHEET

WF-O-24 JUN 47 20M

1. REFERENCE NUMBER	B.I.D.	I.P.	A.T.I.	T.D.U.
			6577	
TITLE <u>Take-Off and Flight Performance of an A-20A Airplane as Affected by Auxiliary Propulsion Supplied by Liquid Propellant Jet Units</u>				
AUTHOR(S) <u>Malina, F. J.</u>			DATE	
ORIG. AGENCY <u>California Institute of Technology</u>			O.A. NO. <u>R-1-12</u>	

2. SECURITY	U	R	C	S	T	S	INTELLIGENCE	SOURCE	A	B	C	D	E	F
PRIORITY	1	2	3	DISCARD	CODE			INFO.	1	2	3	4	5	6

3. SUB. HEADINGS:
ABSTRACT:

PREPARED BY: _____ DATE: _____

4. ☐ RETURN TO A.D.D. FOR CATALOGING ☐ RETAINED BY ANALYSIS DIVISION
☐ MICROFILM AND DISTRIBUTION

Air Documents Division, T-2
AMC, Wright Field
Microfilm No.
RC 153.F 6577

5. ☒ LOANED DOCUMENT. DO NOT MARK. HANDLE WITH CARE.

☐ 2. DISTRIBUTION LIMITED TO:

☐ 3. LIMITED DISTRIBUTION BY:

☐ 4. SEND ORIGINAL TO: TSRFR -1

☐ 5. MICROFILM AND SEND TO: TSREF

☐ 6. PHOTOSTAT AND SEND TO:

☐ 7. 4 BY 5 AND SEND TO:

☐ 8.

☐ 9. FORWARD TO ANALYSIS DIVISION.

BY: A.D. DATE: 7/23/47



GALCIT REPORT NO. 12
Project No. 1

CONFIDENTIAL

PROJECT No. 1234
This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act U.S.C. 561 and 562. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

GUGGENHEIM AERONAUTICS LABORATORY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

AIR CORPS JET PROPULSION RESEARCH

GALCIT Project No. 1

Report No. 12

TAKE-OFF AND FLIGHT PERFORMANCE OF

AN A-20A AIRPLANE AS AFFECTED BY

AUXILIARY PROPULSION SUPPLIED BY

LIQUID PROPELLANT JET UNITS

F. J. Malina

California Institute of Technology
June 30, 1942

CONFIDENTIAL

PROJECT No. 1234
This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act U.S.C. 561 and 562. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

AIR CORPS JET PROPULSION RESEARCH

GALCIT Project No. 1

Report No. 12

A

CONFIDENTIAL

PROJECT No. 12121
This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act U.S.C. 8031 and 802. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

TAKE-OFF AND FLIGHT PERFORMANCE OF
AN A-20A AIRPLANE AS AFFECTED BY
AUXILIARY PROPULSION SUPPLIED BY
LIQUID PROPELLANT JET UNITS

F. J. Malina

Th. von Karman

Th. von Karman
Director, Guggenheim
Aeronautical Laboratory

Copy No. 48

F. J. Malina

F. J. Malina
Chief Engineer, Air Corps
Jet Propulsion Research Project

CONFIDENTIAL

PROJECT No. 12121
This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act U.S.C. 8031 and 802. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

California Institute of Technology

June 30, 1942

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Flight Test Personnel.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
I. Introduction and Summary.....	1
II. Description of the A-20A Airplane.....	6
III. Description of the Jet Installation.....	9
IV. Flight Test Procedure and Descriptions of Tests.....	14
V. Discussion of the Results of the Tests.....	27
VI. Comparison of Experimental Results with Theoretical Predictions of Effect of Auxiliary Jet Thrust.....	33
Conclusion.....	42
References.....	46
Figures.....	47
Appendix A. Space Time Records of Take-off Performance of A-20A Airplane with and without Assistance from Jet Propulsion.....	i-A
Appendix B. Breakdown of the Gross Weight of the Airplane for each Flight.....	i-B

FLIGHT TEST PERSONNEL

MATERIEL CENTER, ARMY AIR FORCES

AIRCRAFT LABORATORY

Major P. H. Dane.....Test Pilot
M. G. Cassell.....Installation Inspector
L. A. Brady.....Crew Chief
A. C. Leedding.....Observer

AIR CORPS JET PROPULSION RESEARCH PROJECT

Dr. Th. von Karman.....Technical Director
Dr. C. B. Millikan.....Consultant on Aerodynamics
Dr. F. J. Malina.....Chief Engineer
Dr. M. Summerfield.....Project Engineer
W. B. Powell.....Research Engineer
E. G. Crofut.....Designer
B. H. Forman.....Chief Mechanic, Jet
Operator in Flight Tests
R. Terbeck.....Assist. Chief Mechanic
W. H. Wheeler.....Mechanic
A. Richardson.....Mechanic
W. Gibson.....Mechanic
L. Gaul.....Mechanic's Helper
W. C. Stephenson.....Mechanic's Helper
H. Farrell.....Mechanic's Helper
Al Richardson.....Mechanic's Helper
G. Emerson.....Photographer

CIVIL AERONAUTICS ADMINISTRATION

FLIGHT ENGINEERING AND FACTORY INSPECTION DIVISION

E. N. Fales.....Aeronautical Engineer
J. Matulaitis.....Aeronautical Engineer
N. H. Rubin.....Assoc. Aeronautical Engineer

GALCIT Proj. No. 1
Rep. No. 12

iv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The flight tests were greatly assisted by the personnel and facilities made available by the Commanding Officer of the Murco Bombing and Gunnery Range Detachment.

The major portion of the jet equipment was installed at the Lockheed Airport, Burbank, California and the Pacific Airmotive Corp. kindly permitted the use of some of their equipment.

GALCIT Proj. No. 1
Rep. No. 12

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1.

PROJECT No. 12121
This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act U.S.C. Title 18, Sec. 793 and 794, the transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

During August, 1941, the Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research Project successfully completed a series of flight tests with the Ecoupe, a light airplane, equipped with six 25 lb. thrust solid propellant jet units. The results of the tests showed that auxiliary jet propulsion could, with great advantage, improve aircraft take-off performance, and also flight performance for short periods of time. (Cf. Ref. 1)

The solid propellant jet units used in these flight tests were later found to have unsatisfactory storage qualities, necessitating further research before larger units of this type could be recommended for service applications.

Paralleling the solid propellant research, work was being carried out by the Project on jet units of the liquid propellant type. The engineering development of the liquid jet unit culminated rapidly when a suitable oxidizer and fuel were discovered. The Materiel Center chose the A-20A airplane for the flight tests analyzed in this report. The development of the liquid propellant installation and its operation during the flight tests are described in Reference 2.

The results of the A-20A flight tests indicate that the take-off characteristics of an aircraft can be greatly improved even though the airplane has not been originally built to incorporate auxiliary jet propulsion equipment. In new types the provision for such equipment will permit greater freedom in the design of the aircraft for optimum performance, especially as regards range

CONFIDENTIAL

PROJECT No. 12121
This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act U.S.C. Title 18, Sec. 793 and 794, the transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

and useful load carrying capacity.

V. J. Martin in Reference 3 states that rapid advances in the design of high speed airplanes with high wing and power loadings have made the problem of take-off performance one of major importance.

Propellers chosen to give maximum efficiency at normal cruising or high speed are, as a result of such selection, usually very inefficient in the take-off region. Power loadings are kept as high as possible to increase range and payload, resulting in lower thrust-weight ratios. High speed performance necessitates high wing loadings to reduce parasite drag, with the result that take-off speeds are increased. These and other factors tend to increase the take-off distance to an extent such that they become critical in design, often determining the limiting values for power and wing loadings, and propeller diameters.

In the opinion of Dr. G. P. Thomson of England and also of the author, recent developments in the methods of assisting the take-off of conventional aircraft have made it possible to design future aircraft to fulfill efficiently their main purpose--to fly. Take-off prerequisites are no longer critical and need not hinder the airplane designer. Landing of the aircraft is thus the only remaining shackle that the ground imposes on the designer.

In the A-20A flight tests two jet units each supplying 1000 lb. thrust for 24 seconds were installed. If greater reductions in take-off distance or larger overloads are desired, jet units delivering higher thrust can be installed. Preliminary experiments have already been made by the Project with a single jet unit giving 2000 lb. thrust.

The flight tests analyzed in this report furnished information

on the following problems:

1. Effect of auxiliary jet propulsion on the reduction of take-off run and distance to clear 50 ft. with and without overload.
2. Effect of auxiliary jet propulsion on high speed at 5,000 and 10,000 ft. altitude.
3. Effect of jet thrust on stability and control.
4. Effect of blast from the jet units on parts of the airplane.
5. Reliability of the jet installation.

In Table I the salient points of the flight tests are summarized.

TABLE I.

ITEM	A-20A Without Jet Thrust	A-20A with 2000 lb. Jet Thrust	Percent Reduction %
Take-off distance for 17,500 lb. gross weight, ft.	1540	1080	29.9
Take-off time for 17,500 lb. gross weight, sec.	18.5	13.5	27.0
Distance to clear 50 ft. for 17,500 lb. gross weight, ft.	2680	1810	32.5
Time to clear 50 ft. for 17,500 lb. gross weight, sec.	25.1	17.7	29.5
Take-off distance for 20,000 lb. gross weight, ft.	2320	1570	32.2
Take-off time for 20,000 lb. gross weight, sec.	25.1	16.2	33.1
Distance to clear 50 ft. for 20,000 lb. gross weight, ft.	3950	2680	32.2
Time to clear 50 ft. for 20,000 lb. gross weight, sec.	33.3	22.8	31.5
Maximum indicated airspeed at 5,000 ft., m.p.h.	252	300	19.0 (Increase)
Maximum indicated airspeed at 10,000 ft., m.p.h.	239	280	17.2 (Increase)

On the basis of the flight tests the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The reduction in take-off distance and time due to auxiliary jet thrust agrees with theoretically predicted values very closely. The reduction in distance to clear a height of 50 ft. is not as large as expected and further flight tests with a service type jet installation are recommended.
2. The flight tests indicate that large increases in maximum speed can be obtained by means of auxiliary jet propulsion. Comprehensive flight tests with a jet installation delivering thrust for a period of approximately one minute are justified.
3. The use of twin jet units in the A-20A nacells cones caused no difficulty with stability and control. Control of the airplane was satisfactory even when only one jet unit was delivering thrust. The pilot remarked that the airplane handled much easier than normally during the take-off phases when the jet units were operating.
4. The blast from the jet units caused no adverse effects after the fabric covering of the elevators was treated with fire-resistant paint and aluminized varnish. Recent experiments at the Project indicate that the jet flame can be effectively reduced by the addition of certain chemicals to the oxidizer so that treatment of the fabric on the A-20A elevators could be dispensed with.
5. During the flight tests 44 successive jet motor runs were made without any misfires or explosions. On the basis of the experience gained during the flight tests and following

recommendations of the Materiel Center a service type 1000 lb. thrust, 25 second jet unit can be designed. The service type unit is expected to have a value of at least 3.2 for the ratio of jet thrust to full weight of the jet unit and a value of 6 when the jet unit is empty. The ratio of impulse delivered to the full weight of the jet installation would have a value of 80 lb. sec. per lb., which is as high as has been so far obtained with the best unrestrained-burning type of solid propellant jet unit.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE A-20A AIRPLANE

When the development of the 1000 lb. thrust liquid propellant jet unit had reached the stage for installation on an aircraft the Materiel Center made a study of existing service type airplanes to determine which would be most suitable. The A-20A airplane was chosen, as it promised to be the most easily adaptable to an experimental jet propulsion installation.

The A-20A airplane shown in Figs. 1 and 2 is a high performance bi-motor, mid-wing monoplane with a tricycle landing gear and a single vertical surface. The entire airplane is duralumin clad with the exception of the control surfaces, which are covered with doped fabric.

The airplane normally carries a crew of three consisting of a pilot, bombardier, and gunner. A bomb bay divided into a forward and aft section occupies the central part of the fuselage.

The following information on the characteristics and performance of the airplane was furnished by the Materiel Center:

Airplane

Design gross weight.....	18,605 lb.
Weight empty.....	14,005 lb.
Wing span.....	61 ft. 4 in.
Length.....	47 ft. 7 in.
Wing area.....	465 sq. ft.
Normal gasoline capacity.....	400 gallons
Maximum gasoline capacity.....	500 gallons
Maximum oil capacity.....	46 gallons

Engines

Wright R-2600-3.

Take-off power rating--1600 h.p. at 2400 r.p.m.

Propellers

Hamilton Standard 3-blade constant speed.

Propeller diameter--11 ft. 3 in.

Distance to take-off over 50 ft. obstacle--2330 ft.

(Note: This figure is based on manufacturers guaranteed estimate.)

The A-20A had a number of design characteristics that made it very favorable for flight tests to determine the effect of auxiliary jet propulsion on airplane performance. The tricycle type landing gear assured directional stability during the ground run. Each nacelle cone provided sufficient unused volume for the installation of a 1000 lb. thrust jet unit and furthermore permitted the jet thrust to act along the line of propeller thrust.

The thrust axis when projected rearward cleared the horizontal tail surface by a sufficient amount to allow the jet blast, if necessary, to extend beyond the tail. In Figs. 3 and 4 are drawn a side and top view of the A-20A together with outlines of the actual jet blasts.

In the fore section of the bomb bay two commercial nitrogen tanks for supplying propellant feed pressure were mounted. In the aft section of the bomb bay a separate hydraulic system was installed, since it was not considered advisable to use the system already on the airplane.

The rear cockpit, normally occupied by a gunner, was converted into a jet operator's compartment. From his seat the jet operator

GALCIT Proj. No. 1
Rep. No. 12

could observe the operation of the jet units in the nacelle cones and also the jet blasts.

In line with the above disposition of the jet propulsion equipment the Aircraft Laboratory of the Materiel Center designed and built suitable reinforcement for the engine nacelle structure. As a basis for the design of the reinforcement the following assumptions were made:

- a. The thrust axis of the jet motor coincides with the thrust axis of the airplane.
- b. The horizontal position of the center of gravity of the nacelle installation to be located one third the distance from the base of the engine nacelle tail cone to the tip of the same.
- c. Weight of each nacelle installation, 500 lb.

In addition to the engine nacelle reinforcement the Aircraft Laboratory provided the main supporting structure for the nacelle jet installation, altered the nacelle cone covering, provided mounting brackets for the nitrogen tanks, ran lines between the nacelle cone firewall and the jet operator's compartment, and mounted a panel for the jet operator's instruments.

After the above revisions had been completed the airplane was flown by Major P. H. Dane from Wright Field to Lockheed Airport, Burbank, California. At Burbank the Project installed the jet propulsion equipment in 10 days, whereupon the airplane was flown to the U. S. Air Forces Bombing and Gunnery Range, Muroc, California.

III. DESCRIPTION OF THE JET INSTALLATION

The 1000 lb. thrust liquid propellant jet unit designed for installation on the A-20A airplane is the culmination of three years of development work carried out by the Liquid Propellant Section of the Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research Project at the California Institute of Technology.

The development period was spent in investigating a number of special problems that arose from the specifications set up by the Army Air Forces Materiel Center for a jet unit suitable for installation on aircraft to (1) improve take-off performance, (2) increase the maximum speed for a period of the order of one minute, and (3) increase the rate of climb for a similar period of time.

The specifications included the following points:

- a. The replacement of liquid oxygen by an oxidizer that is more easily transported and handled.
- b. The use of a fuel that is most advantageous for the jet unit as long as it is easily handled and available in large quantities.
- c. The choice of a propellant supply system that would necessitate the least possible alteration to existing aircraft.
- d. The design of the jet unit to emphasize lightness in weight and compactness.
- e. The restriction of the size of the exhaust jet to a minimum possible.
- f. The control of the jet unit to be automatic to the extent that operation of the unit is feasible by the pilot from the cockpit.

A suitable oxidizer for replacing liquid oxygen was found by J. W. Parsons in 1939 and preliminary experiments with the oxidizer are described in Reference 4. At first extensive research was carried out with gasoline as a fuel. (Reference 5) The ignition of this fuel with the oxidizer presented a serious problem. Then, when in an installation designed for light weight an unstable type of oscillation appeared during the combustion process, after ignition was accomplished, the gasoline was replaced, at the suggestion of the author, by a new fuel. This fuel ignites spontaneously with the oxidizer, in an appropriately designed jet motor, thus eliminating the necessity of an auxiliary ignition system. The rapid rate of burning of the new fuel with the oxidizer does not give rise to the unstable oscillation which occurred with gasoline.

The design of the jet unit was developed under the direction of Dr. M. Summerfield who also supervised the design of the A-20A jet unit installation which was carried out by W. B. Powell and E. U. Crofut. A detailed description of the above work is reported in Reference 2.

Since this report is concerned primarily with the flight tests of the A-20A airplane the jet propulsion installation will be discussed here only briefly.

The jet propulsion equipment was constructed at the Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research Project. Two identical jet units characterized by the following performance specifications were built:

Rated thrust.....	1000 lb.
Duration of rated thrust.....	.24 seconds.
Propellant consumption.....	5.76 lb. per sec.
Propellant feed pressure.....	50 lb. per square inch.
Chamber pressure.....	300 lb. per square inch.

In Fig. 8 a photograph of the jet motor is shown and in Fig. 9 a typical curve of thrust delivered as function of time.

Since the main purpose of the projected flight tests was to gain experience with the operation of a liquid propellant type of jet unit on an airplane, effort was directed toward achieving safety and reliability rather than lightness and ease of servicing. In fact, by the time the airplane was ready for the super-performance tests it had become a rudimentary flying jet laboratory.

The jet installation consisted of these component parts:

1. Two jet motors: one located in each nacelle cone.
2. Four propellant tanks; two located in each nacelle cone.
3. Two remotely operated propellant throttle valves; one located in each nacelle cone.
4. A pair of carbon tetrachloride fire extinguishing sprinklers in each nacelle cone.
5. Two commercial 200 cu. ft. nitrogen tanks in the forward bomb bay.
6. A nitrogen pressure regulator in the rear gunner's cockpit.
7. Hydraulic system for operating the propellant throttle valves.
8. Signal system between the pilot and jet operator.

A complete circuit diagram of the installation is drawn in Fig. 10. Two views of the uncovered jet unit mounted in the nacelle cone are shown in Figs. 11 and 12 and with the nacelle cone cover in place in Fig. 13. An excellent idea of the size of the nacelle jet installation can be obtained by referring to Fig. 14.

Views of the mounted nitrogen tanks, the hydraulic system, and the jet operators compartment are shown respectively in Figs. 15, 16, and 17.

The weights of the component parts of the jet installation are itemized in the following breakdown:

2 jet motors.....	180 lb.
4 propellant tanks.....	142
2 commercial nitrogen tanks.....	257
Valves, controls, and lines.....	69
Complete jet units, empty.....	648 lb.
Propellants.....	288
Nitrogen.....	36
Complete jet units, full.....	972 lb.
Instruments, auxiliary hydraulic system, fire extinguisher systems, armor plate, shock absorbers, nacelle reinforcement, and miscellaneous structure.....	450 lb.
Total weight of experimental installation.....	1422 lb.

As previously stated the above weights are much larger than necessary in a service type of installation. For example, since the completion of the flight tests the weight of the jet motor has been reduced from 90 lb. to approximately 25 lb. or 50 lb. for two jet motors compared to the 180 lb. weight of the experimental motors.

A service type of jet installation for the A-20A airplane, delivering 2000 lb. thrust for 25 seconds, is in the design stage at present, and has all the equipment in the space available in the nacelle cones with the exception of the pilot control. The estimated weight of this design is 300 lb. empty, 625 lb. with propellant and nitrogen tanks full. This corresponds to a thrust to weight ratio ($2000 \div 625$) of 3.2 and an impulse to weight ratio ($2000 \times 25 \div 625$) of 80 lb. sec. per lb.

The experimental jet installation used in the A-20A flight tests was completely satisfactory from the point of view of reliability. A total of 44 successive jet motor runs were made without any misfires or explosions. During the preliminary experiments on the airplane faulty check valves interfered with the propellant flow in the right jet unit and the lack of a vent hole in the hydraulic reservoir caused lack of synchronization in the starts of the two jet units. After these mechanical difficulties were discovered the operation of the jet equipment was as planned.

IV. FLIGHT TEST PROCEDURE AND DESCRIPTION OF TESTS

The flight tests of the A-20A airplane were carried out at Muroc Dry Lake, U. S. Air Forces Bombing and Gunnery Range, Muroc, California, during the period April 7 to April 24, 1942. A special take-off course was laid out on the lake bed at the north end of the lake. A stripe approximately 3 feet wide and 12,000 ft. long was marked with road oil to assist the pilot in keeping a straight path during the take-off run.

Take-off performance with and without auxiliary jet propulsion was recorded in cameras set up by the Flight Engineering and Factory Inspection Division of the Civil Aeronautics Administration. A description of the personnel, equipment used, and the results of the analysis of the film exposed are given in Army Air Forces Report No. 3 entitled "Space Time Records of Take-off Performance of A-20A Airplane With and Without Assistance from Jet Propulsion." A copy of this report is reproduced in Appendix A of this report.

In order to obtain an immediate estimate of the take-off performance the take-off distance was observed visually and the take-off time was clocked with a stop-watch. The results of these observations are tabulated in Table II.

These Tables also include a complete list of tests that were carried out on the airplane with jet units installed. A discussion of each test, from the point of view of the effect of the jet unit installation on the airplane, will be discussed later in this section. The development of the jet units at the Air Corps Jet Propulsion

TABLE II (PART 1)
FIELD DATA ON THE A-20A FLIGHT TESTS

TEST NO.	DATE	TIME OF DAY	PURPOSE OF TEST	GROSS WEIGHT LB.	JET THRUST LB.	PRESSURE ALTITUDE FT.	ENGINE MANIFOLD PRESSURE IN. HG.	PROPELLER R.P.M.	INDICATED TAKE-OFF SPEED M.P.H.	TAKE-OFF DIST. FT.	TAKE-OFF TIME SEC.
1	9/14/42	3:30 pm	5 sec. jet test. Airplane stationary. props. on.								
2	10/14/42	4:00 pm	Same as Test No. 1. props. off.								
3	11/14/42		Same as Test No. 2								
4	11/14/42		Static thrust of props.								
5	13/4/42	1:40 pm	Same as Test No. 1.								
6	13/4/42	3:10 pm	Simulated yaw test. on ground.								
7	13/4/42	4:30 pm	Taxi run with jets.								
8	14/4/42	5:00 pm	Take-off. jets on war of ground run.								
9	15/4/42	4:40 pm	Yaw test in flight.								
10	15/4/42	6:45 pm	Take-off. with jets.	19,504	2,000	2,500				1,320	13.6
11	17/4/42	9:50 am	Take-off. without jets	17,908		2,060			100	1,490	17.6
12	18/4/42	10:10 am	Take-off. with jets.	17,560	2,000	2,060			95	1,200	13.6
13	18/4/42	10:35 am	Take-off without jets	17,464		2,060			100	1,490	17.0

TABLE II (PART 2)
FIELD DATA ON THE A-20A FLIGHT TESTS

TEST NO.	DATE	TIME OF DAY	PURPOSE OF TEST	GROSS WEIGHT LB.	JET THRUST LB.	PRESSURE ALTITUDE FT.	ENGINE MANIFOLD PRESSURE In. Hg.	PROPELLER R.P.M.	INDICATED TAKE-OFF SPEED M.P.H.	TAKE-OFF DIST. FT.	TAKE-OFF TIME SEC.
14	13/4/42	3:05 pm	Take-off, without jets	17,674		2,060			100	1,425	17.6
15	13/4/42	6:10 pm	Take-off, without jets	17,908		2,060				1,500	17.6
16	18/4/42	6:18 pm	High speed with jets at 5000 ft.	17,908	2,000	5,000	39. rose to 40	2,200			
17	19/4/42	3:07 pm	Take-off, with jets	17,908	2,000	2,200	Throttle	slipped		1,195	
18	21/4/42	7:10 am	Take-off, with jets	17,908	2,000	2,340	41	2,450	100	1,190	13.8
19	21/4/42	9:00 am	Take-off, with jets	17,830	2,000	2,340	41	2,450	100	1,100	13.4
20	21/4/42	9:20 am	Take-off, without jets	17,464		2,340	41	2,450	100	1,730	13.5
21	21/4/42	1:15 pm	Take-off, without jets	18,916		2,390	41	2,450	103	1,600	13.5
22	21/4/42	1:55 pm	Take-off, with jets	18,433	2,000	2,390	41	2,450	103	1,095	13.2
23	21/4/42	2:25 pm	Take-off, without jets	18,472		2,390	41	2,450	100	1,530	13.0
24	21/4/42	4:15 pm	Take-off, with jets	18,682	2,000	2,390	41	2,450	103	1,310	15.6
25	22/4/42	8:55 am	Take-off, without jets	18,916		2,370	41	2,450	103	1,930	20.6
26	22/4/42	9:10 am	Take-off, without jets	18,433		2,370	41	2,450	104	1,733	19.2
27	22/4/42	9:45 am	Take-off, with jets	18,760	2,000	2,370	41	2,450	105	1,160	13.6

TABLE II (PART 3)
FIELD DATA ON THE A-20A FLIGHT TESTS

TEST NO.	DATE	TIME OF DAY	PURPOSE OF TEST	GROSS WEIGHT LB.	JET THRUST LB.	PRESSURE ALTITUDE FT.	ENGINE MANIFOLD PRESSURE In. Hg.	PROPELLER P.P.H.	INDICATED TAKE-OFF SPEED M.P.H.	TAKE-OFF DIST. FT.	TAKE-OFF TIME SEC.
28	22/4/42	9:40 am	Take-off, without jets	18,394		2,370	41	2,450	105	1,865	20.0
29	22/4/42	12:00 am	Take-off, without jets	18,604		2,370	41	2,450	105	1,705	
30	23/4/42	1:20 pm	Take-off, without jets	19,891		2,340	41	2,450	107.5	2,245	22.4
31	23/4/42	1:55 pm	Take-off, with jets	19,813	2,000	2,340	41	2,450	107.5	1,480	15.8
32	23/4/42	2:05 pm	Take-off, without jets	19,447		2,340	41	2,450	107.5	2,270	23.0
33	23/4/42	4:00 pm	Take-off, with jets	19,657	2,000	2,360	41	2,450	107.5	1,470	15.5
34	23/4/42	4:05 pm	Take-off, without jets	19,291		2,360	41	2,450	107.5	2,070	21.0
35	23/4/42	5:50 pm	Take-off, with jets	19,969	2,000	2,360	41	2,450	107.5	1,430	15.5
36	23/4/42	6:05 pm	Take-off, without jets	19,603		2,360	41	2,450	107.5	2,240	22.0
37	24/4/42	9:15 am	Take-off, with jets	17,530	2,000	2,200	41	2,450	100	1,010	11.3
38	24/4/42	11:10 am	High speed with jets at 10,000 ft.	17,917	2,000	10,000	34	2,300	100		

Research Project and a critique of the operation of the jet units during the flight tests can be found in Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research Project, Report No. 13 (Reference 2). A comprehensive record of these flight tests was obtained by means of motion pictures in color, and a film is available for projection from a 16 mm. projector.

In addition to the take-off distance and take-off time the following data are given in Table II: Gross weight, jet thrust, duration of jet thrust, engine manifold pressure, propeller r.p.m., and take-off velocity.

The flight test program called for a bracketing procedure consisting of an unassisted take-off before and after each assisted take-off. This procedure was followed in most cases. A greater number of take-offs was not carried out due to the limited time available for the flight tests.

The gross weight of the airplane was determined for each take-off according to the method described in Appendix B. Although direct weighing for each test was not possible it is estimated that the gross weight is accurate to within $\pm 0.5\%$.

It will be assumed, on the basis of test stand experiments at the Project, that each jet unit delivered 1000 lb. thrust for a period of 24 seconds.

The engine manifold pressure, propeller r.p.m., and take-off velocity were observed visually by the pilot and are therefore approximate data only.

The static thrust delivered by the propellers was measured (Figs. 18 and 19) by means of a tension gage borrowed from the Structural Research Department of the Lockheed Aircraft Co. It

was found that at an engine manifold pressure of 42"Hg and 2500 r.p.m. the propellers delivered an average static thrust of 5925 lb.

A discussion, in chronological order, of the tests carried out follows:

April 9, 1942

Test No. 1: For the first operation of the jet units the propellant tanks were filled for a 5 second test. The nacelle cones covering the jet installations were left off. The airplane was held stationary and the engines were run at half throttle. (Fig. 20) The left jet unit operated satisfactorily. The right jet unit ran for 25 seconds at reduced thrust and was finally stopped by the jet operator. The start of the right unit lagged the left unit by approximately 4 seconds. The right jet unit was inspected and it was suspected that metal chips found in the propellant injector restricted the flow.

April 10

Test No. 2: Test No. 1 was repeated without the engines running. Again the right jet unit functioned improperly. There was an indication from the smoky jet blast that the mixture ratio of the right unit fluctuated during the run. The right unit was carefully inspected, but no mechanical fault could be found that caused the faulty operation.

April 11

Test No. 3: Test No. 2 was repeated and again the right jet unit failed to deliver its rated thrust. Both units started together and the left unit ran satisfactorily.

To discover the cause of the low propellant flow in the right unit the propellant tanks in both units were filled with water and a run simulated. (Cf. Fig. 21) The flow of water from the right

unit was found to be at a rate much lower than from the left unit. This indicated that the check valves in the right unit were restricting the flow. Upon removing the check valves it was found that the ballsprings were not of the specified strength and under the feed pressure had compressed to such an extent that the flow of each propellant was throttled. It was decided to completely remove the check valves in the propellant lines in both jet units.

Test No. 4: The static thrust delivered by the airplane propellers was measured. Ropes were attached to the landing gear and to a ring which was anchored to an International Harvester Roustabout. At a manifold pressure of 42"Hg and 2500 r.p.m. an average static thrust of 5925 lb. was recorded.

April 13

Test No. 5: Test No. 3 was repeated, and with the faulty check valves removed both jet units operated satisfactorily. The propellant mixture ratio was slightly rich in both jet units.

Test No. 6: In preparation for a flight to determine the yawing effect of 1000 lb. thrust delivered by one of the jet units a simulated ground test was made with the airplane held stationary and the engines at full throttle. The right unit was run for approximately 10 seconds and stopped. Then both units were started and the right unit ran approximately 15 seconds while the left ran the full duration of 24 seconds. In this way an eccentric thrust loading was obtained first on the right side and then on the left side. The pilot was able to hold the plane in position with the brakes. He found that a low frequency vibration was noticeable when the jet units were operating.

April 14

Test No. 7: The airplane, with the nacelle cone coverings installed, was flown from the Muroc landing field to the lake bed course. The jet units were checked and a taxi run was made with the jet units operating. (Cf. Fig. 22) The airplane reached a ground speed of 135 m.p.h. in 20 seconds, the jet thrust was stopped, and the airplane brought to a halt. When the airplane reached take-off speed the pilot lifted the wheels off the ground and settled down again and continued the taxi run. The jet units operated satisfactorily and the pilot had no adverse comments.

Test No. 8: The jet units had the remainder of the propellants amounting to approximately 5 seconds of operation, left over from Test No. 7. It was decided in the take-off of the airplane for the flight to the hanger to operate the jet units until the propellants were exhausted. Both jet units operated satisfactorily. On checking the airplane in the hanger it was found that the cloth covered elevators were wrinkled. The elevators were sprayed with a new coat of dope which stretched out the wrinkles. The cause of the wrinkling was traced to heat radiated from the luminescent jet blast.

April 15

Test No. 9: A flight was made in the air to determine the yawing effect of jet thrust delivered by one jet unit to simulate the condition that might arise if one unit failed during the climb after take-off. (Cf. Fig. 23) The right jet unit was started alone when the airplane was in a climbing attitude at an indicated air speed of 150 m.p.h. After the right unit ran approximately 8 seconds the left unit was turned on. Since each unit had a propellant load

for 24 seconds of jet thrust the left unit ran alone 8 seconds after the right unit stopped. The pilot found that the yawing moment produced by a single jet unit could be easily counterbalanced by the rudder. The right jet unit was started at an altitude of 7500 ft. The airplane reached an altitude of 9000 ft. during the period the jet thrust acted, at a rate of climb of 1900 ft. per second, approximately double of that obtained without auxiliary jet thrust. In the climb the indicated air speed was held to 150 m.p.h., corresponding to an engine manifold pressure of 27" Hg and 1900 r.p.m.

The pilot found that the weight of the jet unit installation caused the airplane to have a stability between neutral and slightly unstable. Very little jet thrust vibration was noticed by the pilot and the jet operator.

On checking the elevators no wrinkling was observed.

Test No. 10: The first take-off with auxiliary jet propulsion is shown in Fig. 24. Both jet units started together and functioned properly. Pilot remarked that airplane handled easier during take-off with jet thrust than without. First camera record of flight tests was taken by the G.A.A.

On checking the elevator surfaces it was found that the fabric had wrinkled again. A coat of dope was sprayed on and the wrinkles stretched out. The airplane was flown to the hangar and the tail surfaces were painted with two coats of fire-resistant paint and then a coat of aluminized varnish. In Fig. 25 the wrinkled elevator surface is shown and in Fig. 26 the elevator after treatment. No further wrinkling was encountered during the flight tests after the treatment was applied.

April 18

Test No. 11: Test to determine take-off performance in the 15,000 lb. gross weight condition without auxiliary jet propulsion. (Cf. Fig. 27) This gross weight was obtained by draining the main gasoline tanks.

Test No. 12: Same as Test No. 11 with auxiliary jet propulsion. (Cf. Figs. 28, 29, 30, and 31) The left jet unit lagged the right unit approximately 4 seconds in starting. Airplane yawed slightly when brakes released.

Test No. 13: Same as Test No. 11.

Test No. 14: Same as Test No. 11.

Test No. 15: Same as Test No. 11.

Test No. 16: The airplane was flown to 5000 ft. to determine the effect of auxiliary jet thrust on the maximum speed of the airplane. At an engine manifold pressure of 39"Hg and 2200 r.p.m. the airplane had an indicated air speed of 252 m.p.h. The air temperature was 23°C. The jet units were then turned on. When the jet thrust ceased the air speed indicator read 300 m.p.h. and the engine manifold pressure had risen to 40"Hg. The speed returned to 252 m.p.h. in from 6 to 8 seconds.

The left jet unit lagged the right unit approximately 4 seconds in starting. The elevator surfaces showed no wrinkles.

April 19

Test No. 17: Same as Test No. 13. The left jet unit again lagged approximately 4 seconds in starting. The brakes were released before the left jet unit started operating causing the airplane to yaw slightly to the left. After Test No. 16 the left airplane engine developed trouble. It was found necessary to put in new spark plugs and repair the points. During the repair of

the engine the throttle was loosened and during Test No. 17 slipped from full throttle position so that this test is not considered reliable for take-off performance comparisons.

The lack of synchronization between the starting of the two jet units was becoming seriously objectionable. In checking the hydraulic system used to open the propellant throttle valves it was found that no vent had been provided in the oil reservoir. When the accumulator was pumped the reduction in pressure in the reservoir caused air to be sucked into the hydraulic system through the hand pump.

After a vent was provided and the hydraulic system bled the starting of the two jet units was synchronized to within less than 1 second. No further difficulty with synchronization was experienced during the remainder of the flight tests.

April 21

Test No. 18: Same as Test No. 12. Both jet units ran satisfactorily.

Test No. 19: Same as Test No. 18. Both jet units ran satisfactorily.

Test No. 20: Same as Test No. 11.

Test No. 21: Test to determine take-off performance for the 19,000 lb. gross weight condition without auxiliary jet propulsion. This gross weight was obtained by filling 164 gallons of gasoline in the main gas tanks.

Test No. 22: Same as Test No. 21 with auxiliary jet propulsion. Both jet units ran satisfactorily.

Test No. 23: Same as Test No. 21.

Test No. 24: Same as Test No. 22.

April 22

Test No. 25: Same as Test No. 21.

Test No. 26: Same as Test No. 21.

Test No. 27: Same as Test No. 22. Both jet units operated satisfactorily.

Test No. 28: Same as Test No. 21.

Test No. 29: Same as Test No. 21.

April 23

Test No. 30: Test to determine take-off performance for the 20,000 lb. gross weight condition without auxiliary jet thrust. This gross weight was obtained by filling the main gasoline tanks full, by hanging bags of lead shot in the forward bomb bay and by carrying Wm. Terbeck as a passenger in the forward bombardier's seat.

Test No. 31: Same as Test No. 30 with auxiliary jet propulsion. Both jet units operated satisfactorily.

Test No. 32: Same as Test No. 30.

Test No. 33: Same as Test No. 31. Both jet units operated satisfactorily.

Test No. 34: Same as Test No. 30.

Test No. 35: Same as Test No. 31. Both jet units operated satisfactorily.

Test No. 36: Same as Test No. 30.

April 24

Test No. 37: Same as Test No. 11 for the 18,000 lb. gross weight condition with auxiliary jet propulsion. Both jet units operated satisfactorily.

Test No. 38: The airplane was flown to 10,000 ft. to determine the effect of auxiliary jet thrust on the maximum speed of the airplane. (Cf. Fig. 32) At an engine manifold pressure of 34"Hg.

GALCIT Proj. No. 1
Rep. No. 12

Page 26.

and 2300 P.p.m. the airplane had an indicated air speed of 239 m.p.h. The air temperature was 80°C. The jet units were then turned on. When the jet thrust ceased the air speed indicator read 280 m.p.h. W. B. Powell operated the jet units and E. O. Crofut observed the air speed indicator in the bombardier's compartment.

V. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS OF THE TESTS

The data on the flight tests as obtained from the C.A.A. film analysis and by observation at the field are summarized in tabular form on Page 4 of Appendix A. This table includes, for each run, the observed ground speeds, distances, times of run, wind speed and direction, outside air temperature, pressure altitude, and airplane weight.

Since a comparison of take-off performance of the A-20A with and without auxiliary jet propulsion is of primary interest the flight test data will be analyzed as observed, corrections being made only to reduce the data to zero wind velocity. The wind corrections for the take-off distance and take-off time were obtained from Figs. 33 and 34 respectively. The figures are reproduced from Reference 3.

The air borne distances required to clear a 50 ft. obstacle have been corrected by adding the product of head-wind speed and the air borne time and by subtracting the product of the tail-wind speed and the air borne time. The variation of the wind velocity in the 50 ft. layer has been neglected. The air speed at take-off is the algebraic sum of the ground speed and the corresponding wind speed.

The wind speed and wind direction fluctuated considerably during each take-off and thereby contributed to the experimental scatter that appears in the plotted results.

The changes in gross weight of the airplane due to the

consumption of gasoline and the jet propellants during each take-off have been neglected. The jet propellants caused a maximum of 1.6% reduction in gross weight at the time they were exhausted.

The flight test data containing the corrections discussed above are summarized in Table III.

The variation of take-off air speed with gross weight is plotted in Fig. 35 for the airplane with and without auxiliary jet propulsion. The experimental scatter is seen to be quite large. Furthermore, all the experimental results consistently show a greater scatter for the case of no jet thrust. Perhaps this can be accounted for by the improvement in take-off characteristics of the airplane brought about by the availability of 2000 lb. additional thrust from the jet units.

The distance traversed in the ground run, the horizontal component of the air borne path to clear 50 feet, and the total distance from the point the brakes were released to the point 50 feet was cleared are plotted against the airplane gross weight in Figs. 36, 37, and 38 respectively. Distances required with and without auxiliary jet thrust are included in these figures.

The take-off time, air borne time required to clear 50 feet, and the total time required to clear 50 feet from the point the brakes were released are plotted against the airplane gross weight in Figs. 39, 40, and 41 respectively. Times required with and without auxiliary jet propulsion are included in these figures.

On the basis of the faired curves in the above figures comparative results showing the effect of auxiliary jet thrust are given in Tables IV, V, and VI.

The percent reduction, due to the auxiliary jet thrust, in

TABLE III
SUMMARY OF CORRECTED FLIGHT TEST DATA

[illegible]

TABLE IV

GROSS WT. LB.	TAKE-OFF AIR SPEED M.P.H.	WITHOUT JET		WITH JET		REDUCTION DUE TO JET	
		TAKE-OFF DIST. FT.	TAKE-OFF TIME SEC.	TAKE-OFF DIST. FT.	TAKE-OFF TIME SEC.	TAKE-OFF DIST. %	TAKE-OFF TIME %
17,500	108.1	1540	18.5	1080	13.5	29.9	27.0
18,000	110.3	1670	19.3	1150	13.9	31.1	28.0
19,000	114.8	1960	21.6	1330	15.0	32.1	30.5
20,000	120.0	2320	25.1	1570	16.8	32.3	33.1

TABLE V

GROSS WT. LB.	WITHOUT JET		WITH JET		REDUCTION DUE TO JET	
	AIR BORNE DIST. TO CLEAR 50 FT., FT.	AIR BORNE TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT., SEC.	AIR BORNE DIST. TO CLEAR 50 FT., FT.	AIR BORNE TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT., SEC.	AIR BORNE DIST. TO CLEAR 50 FT., %	AIR BORNE TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT., %
17,500	1140	6.6	750	4.2	34.2	36.4
18,000	1220	6.8	810	4.4	33.6	35.3
19,000	1410	7.3	950	5.0	32.6	31.5
20,000	1630	8.3	1120	6.0	31.3	27.8

TABLE VI

GROSS WT. LB.	WITHOUT JET		WITH JET		REDUCTION DUE TO JET	
	TOTAL DIST. TO CLEAR 50 FT., FT.	TOTAL TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT., SEC.	TOTAL DIST. TO CLEAR 50 FT., FT.	TOTAL TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT., SEC.	TOTAL DIST. TO CLEAR 50 FT., %	TOTAL TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT., %
17,500	2680	25.1	1810	17.7	32.5	29.5
18,000	2900	26.2	1960	18.4	32.4	29.8
19,000	3370	28.9	2280	20.0	32.3	30.8
20,000	3950	33.3	2680	22.8	32.2	31.5

the various distances and times tabulated above is plotted against the airplane gross weight in Figs. 42 and 43.

The C.A.A. equipment for recording the A-20A airplane take-off performance made available data on the horizontal and vertical position of the airplane at $1/8$ second intervals from the start of the ground run until a height of approximately 50 ft. was reached. From these data the flight path of the airplane after it left the ground and also the variation of the velocity and acceleration with distance can be plotted.

In Figs. 44, 45, and 46 typical flight paths have been plotted for three airplane gross weight conditions with and without auxiliary jet propulsion. The tests plotted in each figure have been chosen for approximately the same airplane gross weights.

For the same tests the variation of air speed and acceleration with distance from the starting point have been plotted in Figs. 47, 48, and 49, and Figs. 50, 51, and 52 respectively.

The flight path curves are seen to be considerably steeper when the jet thrust was acting, however, the acceleration curves show that the excess thrust available was not entirely used to increase the rate of climb of the airplane. An airplane before reaching a steady climbing flight after taking-off passes through a transition phase or "flare." The "flare" is ended when the optimum climbing angle is reached and thereafter the acceleration of the airplane should have a zero value. A study of the flight path curves and acceleration curves shows that the airplane was put into a steady climb before the excess thrust available for lifting was absorbed. Three reasons can be given for not obtaining the optimum angle of climb to clear 50 ft. (1) Since the primary purpose of the flight

tests was a study of the operation of the jet installation a detailed schedule for obtaining the optimum performance out of the airplane was not prepared. (2) It was believed advisable, because of the experimental nature of the flight tests, to fly the airplane well above stalling speed to give the pilot an opportunity to level out in case one of the jet units failed. (3) A study of the C.A.A. film showed that in the jet assisted take-offs the landing gear was not completely retracted, with but few exceptions, until the 50 ft. height had been exceeded. In the unassisted take-off the gear was usually retracted by the time a height of 40 ft. had been reached.

Three flights were made in the air to determine the effect of auxiliary jet propulsion on the performance of the airplanes. The first, Test No. 9, was carried out to check the ability of the vertical control surfaces to balance the yaw produced by one jet unit alone delivering 1000 lb. thrust. The others, Tests No. 16 and 33, were made to observe the increase in maximum speed at 5000 ft. and 10,000 ft. resulting from 2000 lb. jet thrust acting for 24 seconds. The results of these tests have been described in Part IV.

At 5000 ft. the indicated air speed increased from 252 m.p.h. to 300 m.p.h. This corresponds to an increase of 19% in maximum speed. At 10,000 ft. the indicated air speed increased from 239 m.p.h. to 280 m.p.h. or 17.2%.

The airplane did not reach the maximum speed possible by the addition of 2000 lb. thrust due to the fact that the duration of the jet thrust was limited to 24 seconds. It can be seen that the airplane was still accelerating when the jet thrust ceased from the plot of indicated air speed against time in Fig. 53 for the flight at 10,000 ft.

VI. COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS WITH THEORETICAL
PREDICTIONS OF EFFECT OF AUXILIARY JET THRUST

An aerodynamic analysis of take-off and initial climb of aircraft as affected by auxiliary jet propulsion has been carried out by Millikan and Stewart in Reference 6. The analysis is based on the studies of land plane take-off made by E. P. Hartman in N.A.C.A., T.N. 557. A simpler method for predicting only the effect of a constant auxiliary thrust on the reduction of ground run of aircraft using the same basic assumptions has been proposed by Fischer and Coffman. This method consists of taking the fundamental equation of motion given in Reference 6 and replacing the excess thrust delivered by the propeller, which normally varies during the take-off run, by an average value. The average excess thrust is taken as the ratio of the kinetic energy of the airplane at take-off to the ground run distance. A chart prepared according to this assumption is reproduced in Fig. 54.

In the following discussion these notations will be used:

- A = Initial accelerating thrust for the normal airplane, lb.
- B = Thrust decrement coefficient for the normal airplane.
- C_1 = Static thrust of propeller, lb.
- F = Thrust delivered by jet units, lb.
- g = Acceleration due to gravity, ft. per sec.²
- h = Altitude, ft.
- S_0 = Take-off distance for normal airplane, ft.
- S_c = Horizontal distance to climb to height, h , for normal airplane.

t = Time, sec.

V = Velocity, ft. per sec.

V_{TO} = Take-off velocity for normal airplane, ft. per sec.

W = Normal airplane gross weight, lb.

$\alpha = r/A$

$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2}{A}} V_{TO}$

μ = Coefficient of rolling friction.

()' = Refers to jet assisted take-off.

()_w = Refers to condition with overload.

()_o = Refers to climb after take-off.

1. Effect of Auxiliary Jet Propulsion

on Take-off Distance

From Reference 6 the take-off distance for any load condition with auxiliary jet propulsion is given by:

$$S'_{ow} = -\beta \frac{W}{2gB} \log \left(1 - \frac{\beta \lambda^2}{1 + \alpha} \right) \quad (1)$$

and the relative saving in distance due to the auxiliary thrust can be determined from the relation:

$$\frac{S_{ow} - S'_{ow}}{S_{ow}} = 1 - \frac{\log \left(1 - \frac{\beta \lambda^2}{1 + \alpha} \right)}{\log (1 - \beta \lambda^2)} \quad (2)$$

CALCIT Proj. No. 1
Rep. No. 12

According to the Fischer-Coffman approximate method the take-off distance for any load condition with auxiliary jet propulsion is given by:

$$S_{a\omega}' = \frac{S_{0\omega}}{\frac{2g}{\beta W} \frac{S_{a\omega}}{V_{ra\omega}} F + 1} \quad (3)$$

and the relative saving in distance has the form:

$$\frac{S_{a\omega} - S_{a\omega}'}{S_{0\omega}} = 1 - \frac{1}{\frac{2g}{\beta W} \frac{S_{a\omega}}{V_{ra\omega}} F + 1} \quad (4)$$

The performance factors B and λ in equation (1) can be determined for the normal A-20A on the basis of the experimentally measured take-off distances. The normal gross weight will be taken as 17,500 lb.

$$B = 0.09$$

$$\lambda = 0.635$$

The factor A , the initial accelerating thrust for the normal airplane, is defined as the static propeller thrust minus the ground friction. (It is assumed that $\mu = 0.02$)

$$A = C_1 - \mu W = 5925 - 0.02 \times 17,500 = 5575 \text{ lb.}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{F}{A} = \frac{2000}{5575} = 0.36$$

In Table VII the percent reduction due to auxiliary jet thrust in take-off distance for different gross weights is compared with the values calculated from equations (2) and (4).

TABLE VII

GROSS WEIGHT LB.	EXPERIMENTAL REDUCTION IN TAKE-OFF DIST.	MILLIKAN- STEWART METHOD %	FISCHER-COFFMAN APPROXIMATE METHOD %
17,500	29.9	31.3	30.3
18,000	31.1	32.0	31.2
19,000	32.1	32.5	31.9
20,000	32.3	33.0	32.6

From Table VII it can be seen that the percent reduction obtained in the flight tests agrees exceedingly well with both methods of predicting the effect of auxiliary jet thrust. The advantage of the Millikan-Stewart method lies in the possibility of calculating the reduction in take-off run due to auxiliary jet thrust for any overload condition when the normal take-off distance is known for only one gross weight. The approximate calculation, on the other hand, required a knowledge of the take-off distance for each overload condition before the corresponding reduction due to auxiliary jet thrust can be determined. Furthermore, the Fischer-Coffman method is suitable only for predicting the reduction in take-off distance.

2. Effect of Auxiliary Jet Thrust on Take-off Time

From Reference 6 the take-off time for any load condition with auxiliary jet propulsion is given by:

$$t'_{0w} = \frac{\beta W}{2g \sqrt{(1+\alpha)BA}} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{1+\alpha} \frac{B}{A}} V_{To}}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{1+\alpha} \frac{B}{A}} V_{To}} \right) \quad (5)$$

and the relative saving in time by:

$$\frac{t_{0w} - t'_{0w}}{t_{0w}} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{BA}}{\sqrt{(1+\alpha)BA}} \frac{\log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{1+\alpha} \frac{B}{A}} V_{To}}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{1+\alpha} \frac{B}{A}} V_{To}} \right)}{\log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{A}} V_{To}}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{A}} V_{To}} \right)} \quad (6)$$

In Table VIII the experimentally observed percent reduction due to auxiliary jet thrust in take-off time for different gross weights is compared with the values calculated from equation (6). The factors in equation (6) have the same numerical values as before.

TABLE VIII

GROSS WEIGHT, LB.	EXPERIMENTAL REDUCTION IN TAKE-OFF TIME, %	MILLIKAN-STEWART METHOD, %
17,500	27.0	30.2
18,000	28.0	30.4
19,000	30.5	30.7
20,000	33.1	31.0

The reduction in take-off time obtained experimentally agrees with the calculated results very closely.

3. Effect of Auxiliary Jet Thrust on
Distance to Climb to a Height h

From Reference 6 the horizontal distance required to climb to a height h with auxiliary jet propulsion is given by the relation:

$$S'_{c_w} = \frac{\beta W h}{A} \frac{1}{(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2) + \alpha} \quad (7)$$

The factors ϵ_w and λ_c are defined and discussed in Reference 6. The value of the term $(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2)$ can be determined from the experimentally measured horizontal distance required to climb to 50 ft. for the case of the normal airplane for each gross weight condition. The values obtained are shown in Table IX.

TABLE IX

GROSS WEIGHT, LB.	$(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2)$
17,500	0.157
18,000	0.135
19,000	0.122
20,000	0.111

The relative saving in horizontal distance required, achieved through the use of jet thrust, is given by:

$$\frac{S_{c_w} - S'_{c_w}}{S_{c_w}} = 1 - \frac{(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2)}{(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2) + \alpha} \quad (8)$$

In Table X the percent reduction in the climbing distance required to clear 50 ft. obtained from equation (8) is compared with the results of the flight tests.

TABLE X

GROSS WEIGHT, LB.	EXPERIMENTAL REDUCTION IN HORIZONTAL DISTANCE TO CLEAR 50 FT. %	MILLIKAN-STEWART METHOD, %
17,500	34.2	69.6
18,000	33.6	72.1
19,000	32.6	74.7
20,000	31.3	76.4

The experimentally determined reduction in air borne distance to clear 50 ft. with jet thrust is seen in the table to be much smaller than theoretical analysis indicates should be expected. The experimental reduction is not as large as possible since the excess thrust made available by the jet units was not utilized completely for increasing the angle of climb. The probable reasons for not absorbing the excess thrust have been mentioned in connection with the discussion of the flight path curves.

On the other hand, the reduction predicted by the theoretical analysis is definitely optimistic. In the Millikan-Stewart analysis the transition phase from horizontal motion to flight in a steady climb was neglected. This assumption is valid only when, with auxiliary jet thrust, the horizontal distance traversed during the "flare" is small compared to the horizontal distance covered in a steady climb.

4. Effect of Auxiliary Jet Thrust on
the Time to Climb to a Height h

From Reference 6 the time required to climb to a height h with auxiliary jet propulsion is given by the relation:

$$t'_{cw} = \frac{\sqrt{B}Wh}{AV_{T0}} \frac{1}{(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2) + \alpha} \quad (9)$$

The relation for the relative saving in time is identical to equation (8).

$$\frac{t_{cw} - t'_{cw}}{t_{cw}} = 1 - \frac{(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2)}{(1 - \epsilon_w \lambda_c^2) + \alpha} \quad (10)$$

The percent reduction in time to clear a height of 50 ft. with auxiliary jet propulsion obtained in the flight tests is compared with the values calculated from equation (10) in Table XI.

TABLE XI

GROSS WEIGHT, LB.	EXPERIMENTAL REDUCTION IN TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT., %	MILLIKAN-STEWART METHOD, %
17,500	36.4	69.6
18,000	35.3	72.1
19,000	31.5	74.7
20,000	27.8	76.4

The remarks made in connection with the discrepancy of the

flight test results with the calculated reduction in horizontal distance required to clear 50 ft. apply also to the discrepancy apparent in Table XI.

5. Effect of Auxiliary Jet Thrust on the Total
Distance Required to Reach a Height of 50 ft.

To compare the effect of jet thrust on the total distance required from the beginning of the ground run to the point 50 ft. was cleared with the theoretically predicted value the unassisted distances given in Tables IV and V are reduced in accordance with the percentages given in Tables VII and X. The results are compared in Table XII.

TABLE XII

GROSS WEIGHT, LB.	EXPERIMENTAL REDUCTION IN TOTAL DISTANCE, %	MILLIKAN-STEWART METHOD, %
17,500	32.5	47.6
18,000	32.4	48.9
19,000	32.3	50.0
20,000	32.2	50.8

Since the reduction in take-off ground run obtained in the flight tests agrees with the calculated results (Cf. Table VII) the discrepancy in the reduction of total distance required to clear 50 ft. can be accounted for by the much larger distance taken during the air borne portion of the take-off as is apparent in Table X.

6. Effect of Auxiliary Jet Thrust on the Total
Time Required to Reach a Height of 50 ft.

Using a procedure similar to that described for the values given in Table XII the results shown in Table XIII were obtained.

TABLE XIII

GROSS WEIGHT, LB.	EXPERIMENTAL REDUCTION IN TOTAL TIME, %	MILLIKAN-STEWART METHOD, %
17,500	29.5	40.8
18,000	29.8	41.3
19,000	30.8	41.8
20,000	31.5	42.2

The discrepancy between the flight tests and the calculated results in Table XIII can be accounted for by the much longer time taken during the air borne portion of the take-off to clear 50 ft. as is apparent in Table XI.

CONCLUSION

The flight tests of the A-20A airplane described and analyzed in this report successfully accomplished the objectives that had been set up when the investigations at the Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research Project indicated that a 1000 lb. thrust liquid propellant jet unit was ready for attachment on a service type aircraft. As pointed out in Part III the primary purpose of the flight tests was to obtain information on problems of installation and operation

of jet units on aircraft. In conjunction with these studies performance data were obtained so that the effect of auxiliary jet propulsion could be determined.

The analysis of the flight test data in Part VI shows that the reduction in take-off distance and time agrees with theoretically predicted values very closely. The experimental reduction in air borne distance to clear a height of 50 ft., however, was only approximately one half the amount that theory indicated should be expected. The probable reasons for this discrepancy have been discussed in Part V. Additional flight tests are necessary to determine the optimum reduction in distance to clear 50 ft. that can be obtained from auxiliary jet propulsion. Flight tests for this purpose should be made with service type jet units incorporating improvements based on the experience already gained.

Auxiliary jet propulsion when used to improve the take-off performance of aircraft can either reduce the distance required during the take-off phases for the normal gross weight condition, or hold the normal take-off distance when large overloads have to be carried. The tactical situation will determine for which purpose the advantages of jet propulsion should be used.

When jet propulsion equipment is utilized only during the take-off phase of aircraft flight the question arises as to the penalty that might be paid in carrying the empty weight of the equipment. At the present stage of development the ratio of jet thrust to empty weight has a value of approximately 6.0. For the case of the A-20A the installation of jet equipment for 2000 lb. thrust would necessitate carrying a dead weight of 335 lb.

It has also been proposed to design the jet equipment to fit

inside a streamlined body that could be attached externally to the aircraft and then dropped when the propellants were exhausted. In this way the empty dead weight would not hinder the flight performance of the aircraft. Furthermore, the enemy can be prevented from capturing the jet equipment on shot down aircraft and learning the design secrets. The disadvantages of the droppable type of installation lie in the greater effort that will probably be expended in servicing and maintenance and in the reduction of excess thrust delivered by the jet units due to drag of the externally mounted body.

For the case of the assisted take-off of heavily loaded aircraft the weight of a jet installation can easily be over-emphasized. This can be pointed out most clearly by means of the curves drawn in Figs. 55 and 56. In Fig. 56 the influence of ϕ , the ratio of jet thrust to the full weight of the jet installation, on the useful overload that can be lifted within the normal take-off distance is shown for a particular case. It is apparent that the useful overload increases rapidly at first as ϕ increases, however, after ϕ reaches a value of 5 the gain in additional overload is small.

The advantage of using auxiliary jet thrust supplied by an installation whose $\phi = 3$ when full and $\phi = 6$ when empty is also clear. For the case considered the airplane would take-off in the normal distance with a useful overload of 4000 lb. at the cost of carrying an empty weight of 670. The necessity of dropping the empty jet installation must be guided by the effect of its weight on the flight performance of the airplane.

Due to the limited time available for the A-20A flight tests comprehensive studies of the effect of auxiliary jet thrust on

high speed and rate of climb were not made. Tests should be carried out with an installation delivering jet thrust for a period of the order of one minute so that equilibrium flight conditions can be reached.

During the flight tests, heat radiated from the jet blasts caused the fabric covering on the elevators to loosen and wrinkle. This difficulty was eliminated by treating the surfaces with special paints. The difficulty could probably be overcome by directing the jet blast downward. In this connection it is understood that in England the jet has been directed downward with beneficial results also on the take-off performance of the aircraft. An analysis of the effect of the jet angle on the take-off performance should be undertaken.

REFERENCES

1. Malina, F. J. and Parsons, J. W., "Results of Flight Tests of the Ercoupe Airplane with Auxiliary Jet Propulsion Supplied by Solid Propellant Jet Units," Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research, GALCIT Project No. 1, Report No. 9, 1941.
2. Summerfield, M., Powell, W. B., and Crofut, E. G., "Development of a Liquid Propellant Jet Unit and Its Operation on an A-20A Airplane," Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research, GALCIT Project No. 1, Report No. 13, 1942.
3. Martin, V. J., "Airplane Take-off Performance," California Institute of Technology, Masters Thesis, 1936.
4. Malina, F. J., Parsons, J. W., and Forman, E. S., "Final Report for 1939-40," Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research, GALCIT Project No. 1, Report No. 3, 1940.
5. Summerfield, M. and Forman, E., "Progress Report on the Development of a Liquid-Propellant Jet Motor," Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research, GALCIT Project No. 1, Report No. 10, (Preliminary), 1941.
6. Millikan, C. B. and Stewart, H. J., "Aerodynamic Analysis of Take-off and Initial Climb as Affected by Auxiliary Jet Propulsion," Air Corps Jet Propulsion Research, GALCIT Project No. 1, Report No. 5, 1941.



Fig. 1 -- Front quarter view of the A-20A airplane.





Fig. 2 -- Rear quarter view of the A-20A airplane.

REPORT NO. 12

PAGE 48
FIG 3

		E.G. MAY 3 1952		TOLERANCES - 0.10 OR 1/16 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED			
		1" = 1"		SCALE			
MATERIAL GUGGENHEIM AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY		FINISH	HEAT TREAT	DRAFTSMAN	CHECKED	APPROVED APPROXIMATE J. L. HILLER	DRAWING NO. 1-236-11-21

CONFIDENTIAL



Fig. 5 -- Major P. H. Dane, pilot, and B. H. Forman, jet operator, during the flight tests.



Fig. 6 -- Personnel in charge of flight tests, from right to left: Dr. Th. von Karman, Major P. H. Dane, W. B. Powell, Dr. F. J. Malina, and Dr. M. Summerfield.



Fig. 7 -- Flight test personnel.




Fig. 8 -- Rear quarter view of the 1000 lb.
thrust, 24 second jet motor.

GALCIT PROJ. NO. 1.
REP. NO. 13

PAGE 52
FIG. 9

TYPICAL THRUST - TIME RECORD OF THE JET UNIT
USED IN THE A-20A INSTALLATION.






Fig. 11 -- End view of the jet unit
installed on the airplane.




Fig. 12 -- Side view of the jet unit installed
on the airplane.



Fig. 13 -- Side view of jet unit installed in the nacelle cone with the cover in place.



Fig. 14 -- Rear view of the A-20A airplane showing exhaust nozzles protruding from the nacelle tail cones.



Fig. 15 -- Nitrogen tanks mounted in the forward bomb bay.




Fig. 16 -- Hydraulic system in rear bomb bay for operating the jet propellant throttle valves.




Fig. 17 -- Jet installation controls and instrument panel in rear gunner's cockpit.



Fig. 18 -- Setup for measuring the static thrust of the airplane propellers.



Fig. 19 -- View of gage used to measure static thrust of propellers.




Fig. 20 -- First operation of the jet units with the airplane held stationary.



Fig. 21 -- Water test to determine cause of low rate of propellant flow from right jet unit.



Fig. 22 -- View of the A-20A with jet units operating at the start of the taxi run.



Fig. 23 — View of yaw test in flight.



Fig. 24 — A-20A about to leave ground on first take-off assisted by auxiliary jet propulsion.



Fig. 25 -- Elevator fabric loosened by radiant heat from jet blast.




Fig. 26 -- Elevator after heat resistant treatment applied.



Fig. 27 -- A-20A taking-off without auxiliary
jet propulsion.



Fig. 28 -- Assisted take-off during ground run.



Fig. 29 -- Assisted take-off during ground run.
Side view showing size of jet blast.



Fig. 30 -- A-20A at start of climb assisted by
jet thrust.



Fig. 31 — Front view of A-20A in climb assisted by jet thrust.






Fig. 32 — Test to determine effect of auxiliary jet thrust on high speed at 10,000 ft.


GALCIT PROJ. NO. 1
REP. NO. 12.




V_w = WIND VELOCITY
 V_{T0} = TAKE-OFF VELOCITY
 S_w = TAKE OFF DISTANCE WITH WIND
 S_0 = " " " WITHOUT "
 λ IS DEFINED IN PART VI


EFFECT OF WIND ON TAKE-OFF DISTANCE









RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
PASADENA, CALIF.






REPRODUCED FROM GALCIT REPORT NO. 12, 1928
BY THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
WASHINGTON, D. C.



VARIATION OF AIR BORNE TIME TO CLEAR 50 FT.
WITH GROSS WEIGHT, WITH AND WITHOUT
AUXILIARY JET THRUST.


AIR BORNE TIME REQUIRED TO CLEAR 50' OBSTACLE, SEC.

20
15
10
5
0





17,000 18,000 19,000 20,000 GROSS WEIGHT, LBS.


AIRSEC. ON. Y. P. 00 83202 A 33903A
 (Cont. of AIRSEC. ON. Y. P. 00 83202 A 33903A)





EXPERIMENTAL PERCENT REDUCTION IN VARIOUS DISTANCES
DUE TO AUXILIARY JET PROPULSION PLOTTED AS FUNCTION
OF GROSS WEIGHT.















2
A
SA
AL
21



GALCIT PROJ. NO. 1
REP. NO. 12

PAGE 81
FIG. 49






FIGURE 49
VARIATION OF AIR SPEED WITH DISTANCE FROM THE STARTING POINT FOR THE THIRD HEIGHT CONDITION
AUG. 19, 1935

Address: 1000
St. Louis, MO 63101
Phone: 314-241-1000




VARIAION OF ACCELERATION WITH DISTANCE FROM THE
STARTING POINT FOR THE SECOND WEIGHT CONDITION


X - WITHOUT JET, $M=18,006 \text{ LB}$, TEST No. 25
O - WITH JET, $M=18,838 \text{ LB}$, TEST No. 22




VARIAION OF ACCELERATION WITH DISTANCE FROM THE
STARTING POINT FOR THE THIRD WEIGHT CONDITION


X - WITHOUT JET, W = 19.89 LB., TEST No. 30
O - WITH JET, W = 19.969 LB., TEST No. 35






THE EFFECT OF CONSTANT AUXILIARY THRUST ON LANDPLANE TAKE-OFF





GILCIT PROJ NO 1
REP NO 12

PAGE 85
FIG 56



APPENDIX A

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
CIVIL AERONAUTICS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.

MILITARY PROJECTS SERIES
ARMY AIR FORCES REPORT NO. 3

SPACE TIME RECORDS OF TAKE-OFF PERFORMANCE OF
A-70A AIRPLANE
WITH AND WITHOUT ASSISTANCE FROM JET PROPULSION

MAY 21, 1942

FLIGHT ENGINEERING & FACTORY INSPECTION DIVISION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		Page No.
Purpose.....		1-A
Location and Date of Tests.....		1-A
Equipment.....		1-A
Personnel Participating in Tests.....		2-A
Results.....		3-A

PART II		
Remarks Regarding Details of Film Analysis and Calculations.		
General.....		7-A
Take-Off Point.....		8-A
Elevations.....		10-A
Calculations.....		10-A
Film Analysis Work Sheets.....	11-A to 59-A	

REFERENCES

- (1) Military Projects Series Army Air Forces Report Number 2 - "Installation of Equipment for Recording Airplane Take-Off and Landing Characteristics at Muroc Bombing and Gunnery Range, Rogers Dry Lake, California."
- (2) Flight Engineering Report Number 4- "C. A. A. Equipment for Recording Airplane Take-Off and Landing Characteristics."

PART I

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to present the results (space time records) of the analysis of the film exposed, during 25 take-offs of the A-20-A airplane at Muroc Dry Lake, in the C.A.A. cameras for recording airplane take-off and landing performance, and to furnish the observed ground data recorded by the ground crew operating the camera equipment.

In addition to the space time records the actual ground speeds are also calculated for all portions of the runs analyzed.

LOCATION AND DATE OF TESTS

The test flights were made on the 12,000 foot test course at Muroc Dry Lake, U. S. Air Forces Bombing and Gunnery Range, Muroc, California (described in "Military Projects Series Army Air Forces Report No. 2") from April 15 to April 24, 1942. The film analysis was accomplished with the aid of the projector set-up located at the Santa Monica office of the Civil Aeronautics Administration during the period from April 27, 1942 to May 4, 1942. The calculations were made at the Santa Monica office and at the Arroyo Seco Rocket Camp of the California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California from May 5 to May 3, 1942.

EQUIPMENT

The distances, times, and airplane speeds listed in the tabulation, "Summary of Results", Page 4, were obtained photographically, using the Civil Aeronautics Administration motion picture camera equipment, projector set-up, and field layout described in C. A. A.

Flight Engineering Report No. 4, "C.A.A. Equipment for Recording Airplane Take-Off and Landing Characteristics." The procedure therein described was amplified to obtain two film records of each run by erecting a second camera opposite marker 49 in addition to the one opposite marker 50. Of the duplicate films thus obtained, only a single set was analyzed.

For wind velocities, a windmill-type anemometer was erected on a tripod with weather-vane head. Its location was 7 feet above the ground and at the number 50 marker, on the line of markers.

PERSONNEL PARTICIPATING IN TESTS

Major Paul Dane	Airplane Pilot	Wright Field, Dayton.
Mr. Joseph Matulaitis)		
Corporal Poll)	Anemometer	C.A.A., D.C.
Private Taylor)	Observers.	Muroc Lake
Mr. Elisha Fales)	Camera	Muroc Lake
Mr. Norman Rubin)	Operators.	C.A.A., D.C.
Dr. Frank Malina)	Take-Off	
Mr. E. G. Crofut)	Spotters.	
Mr. Richard Canright)		
Mr. Robert Turbeck)	Signalmen at	Cal. Inst. of
Mr. Art Richardson)	Airplane.	Technology
Mr. Beverly Forman)	Operators of Rocket	Pasadena, Cal.
Mr. Walter Powell)	Jets in Airplane.	Cal. Inst. of
Mr. Richard Canright)	Computers	Technology.
Mrs. Richard Canright)		Cal. Inst. of
Mrs. G. Keithley)	Secretaries	Technology
Miss Sallie Barnett)		Cal. Inst. of
Mr. Elisha Fales)	Analysis of Film	C.A.A., Santa
Mr. Norman Rubin)	& Prep. of Report	Monica, Cal.
		C.A.A., D.C.

RESULTS

The results obtained from the film analysis and the ground crew notes will be found summarized in tabular form on Page 4-A. This table includes, for each run, the observed ground speeds, distances, times of run, wind speed and direction, outside air temperature, pressure altitude, and airplane weight.

Plots of ground run and distance to clear a fifty foot obstacle against airplane weight may be found on Pages 5-A and 6-A respectively.

The data of Run 17 have not been entered on the graph, as the pilot reported after this run that the throttle was incorrectly set.

It should be noted that the summary table on Page 4-A and the data plotted on Pages 5-A and 6-A do not include the distances corrected for such variables as wind, outside air temperature and pressure altitude and that in all cases only observed data are shown in this report.

Typed copies of the film analysis work sheets for all 25 runs are included on Pages 11-A through 59-A. Each run is designated by a "Run" number which agrees with the run number used in the summary table.

It will be noted that all runs have been analyzed throughout in order to permit obtaining complete acceleration data. Although no plot is made of the latter results in this report, this basic information is furnished in order to permit further study of the accelerations obtained during the take-off run with and without assistance from the jet propulsion.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND OBSERVED DATA													
GENERAL INFORMATION													
Run No.	Airplane Weight	Pressure Altitude	Outside Air Temp.	Wind Velocity	Wind Direction	Time of Run	GROUND RUN DATA (observed)		AIR RUN DATA (observed)		TOTAL RUN** (observed)		
							Ground Speed at Take-off	Distance to Take-off Point	Time Airplane to Ground	Clearance		Time to End	Distance
	Lbs.	Ft.	° F.	M.P.H.	°	Secs.	M.P.H.	Ft.	Sec.	50' Ht. at 60° Ht.	Sec. Ft.		
10 A	19504	2500	74	6.3	10	14.96	116	1382	7.21	151	1453	22.17	2785
11 U	17908	2090	62	1.1	110	15.30	108	1432	6.01	124	1038	24.31	2530
12 A	17464	"	52	3.5	120	15.07	115	1212	6.82	181	1332	21.89	2544
13 U	17508	"	66	7.2	"	17.53	111	1499	7.29	185	1304	24.40	2535
14 A	17630	"	66	6.6	50	15.99	108	1236	4.40	194	831	18.48	2067
15 U	17464	2200	51	3.1	110	15.92	112	1153	6.40	186	1170	19.40	1966
16 A	17508	2340	53	6.2	100	18.93	116	1780	6.66	122	1013	20.05	2163
17 A	17630	"	53	3.3	30	14.31	105	1150	5.74	133	1354	23.67	3587
18 A	17464	2340	57	10.2	70	18.93	103	1553	10.74	137	864	20.19	3291
19 U	18916	"	58	8.0	100	15.65	118	1376	7.04	136	1146	26.89	2161
20 U	18938	"	69	9.1	70	20.33	110	1218	5.05	136	1482	28.90	3253
21 A	18472	2370	55	6.7	75	14.65	115	1318	7.80	136	1472	29.90	3571
22 U	18932	"	55	3.3	15	21.09	115	1761	8.32	149	1673	32.15	2610
23 U	18913	"	59	5.0	50	21.58	122	2293	4.95	145	1590	32.35	2500
24 U	18938	"	59	3.8	50	23.77	122	1537	8.44	150	1015	20.88	3356
25 U	18760	"	70	11.6	150	16.72	121	1486	5.21	134	1222	29.79	3565
26 U	18934	"	65	5.9	130	23.31	119	2083	6.84	151	1197	23.18	3691
27 U	18904	2340	67	7.7	160	22.95	119	1488	6.10	158	1403	31.22	1777
28 U	19610	"	70	3.4	80	17.09	122	2283	6.97	127	729	17.27	
29 U	19447	2260	71	8.0	170	24.25	107	1048	4.21				
30 A	19657	"	67	4.3	20	13.06							
31 A	19291	"	66	2.9									
32 A	19659	"	57										
33 A	19981	2200	57										
34 U	19603	"	57										
35 A	19603	"	57										
36 U	17850	"	57										
37 A													


90° to 90° indicates headwind. 90° to 180° indicates tail wind. 90° to 180° indicates start to clearance of 50-ft. obstacle.


** 90 to 90° indicates headwind. 90° to 180° indicates tail wind.

** Intire run from brake start to clearance of 50-ft. obstacle.

i Correct weight, 19,871 (F. J. M.)

ii Correct distance, 1.374 (F. J. M.)





PART II

REMARKS REGARDING DETAILS OF FILM ANALYSIS AND CALCULATIONSGeneral

For all the film analyses the airplane reference point for horizontal distances was the Rudder Trailing Edge, taken at the level of the top of the fuselage, and for vertical distances, the top of the tail. Since the "Flag Take-Off" (take-off point noted by ground crew) position referred to the wheels, the film reading of the "Flag Take-Off" as read and as typed may be corrected to the rudder trailing edge by adding 27 feet in the case of Runs 18 to 37 (East to West Runs) and subtracting 27 feet in the case of Runs 10 to 17 (West to East Runs). (Markers are numbered consecutively with the magnitude of the numbers increasing from West to East.)

All horizontal distances taken from the "left camera", which was set 100 feet away from the conventional position (Runs 30 to 34), read 100 feet higher than the figures appearing on the field markers. However, the distance values of any one run are consistent among themselves. (This 100 foot difference is due to the fact that the markers are so located that the 50 marker, or center of the course, is opposite the right camera station.)

In certain runs (20, 26, 28) the data did not record the exact start of the airplane, due to invisibility of the starter's signal. In these cases, the starting station and time were satisfactorily extrapolated backwards by fitting a parabola to the time-distance curve, and solving for the vertex of the parabola.

On certain films the airplane failed to reach 50-foot height within the established marker course. For films in which this gap was small, extrapolation of the climb curve was used. For the larger gaps (Runs 23, 30, 32, 36) the following expedient was adopted:

An extension scale board was calculated and laid out extending the scale board stations from Marker 27, the normal limit, to Marker 20, and with the film in the projector, landmarks, mirage reflections, and terrain elevations were then correlated with the last marker. The elevations and horizontal distances thus determined had an accuracy better than 5 and 10 feet respectively.

The weights tabulated in the summary table were based on an original weighing of the airplane at Wright Field before the installation in California of the Rocket Motors. Subsequent weights were estimated by the California Institute of Technology Rocket group, by allowing for additions of structure, equipment, fuel, and personnel carried by the airplane in each test.

The apparent airplane dimensions analyzed in the films for taxiing attitude (except as noted), at Marker #50 were:

Wheel Axle to Tail Reference Point, horizontal	= 27 feet
Bottom of Wheel " " " , vertical.....	= 18 feet
C. G. to " " " , horizontal.....	= 31 feet
C. G. " " " , vertical.....	= 9.5 feet
C. G. " Bottom of Wheel " , vertical.....	= 8.5 feet
C. G. " Tail Reference Point, vertical (flight attitude).....	= 7.5 feet

(The C. G. was assumed to be under the cockpit and at a level slightly lower than the wing.)

Take-Off Point

The take-off point used in Part I of this report is that determined from the "flag" position since the film analysis indicated that, because of ground mirage and the nature of the flight path of

the airplane during the period of breaking ground, the actual take-off point could not be definitely ascertained by photographic means.

It should be noted, however, that the "flag take-off" point is also not exact. Some idea of the probable magnitude of the difference between observed take-off points and the actual take-off point may be indicated by the following information obtained on Run No. 34. On this test the film indicated a take-off point somewhat closer (125 feet) to the starting point than the "flag take-off" point. The film records for Run No. 34 were examined with particular care and it is considered that the "film take-off" point so obtained is probably more nearly the correct location for the actual take-off. This instance is cited as an example of possible error by ground crew spotters and in order to furnish some indication of the probable accuracy of ground run distances shown in the summary table of Part I.

The above mentioned difficulties encountered in determining the exact take-off point are here recorded to provide information in order that the proper significance may be attached to ground run distances and it is suggested that the differences noted above in observed take-off distances are significant only in indicating that the reported take-off distance is not an infallible criterion for comparing ground run accelerations and that a more satisfactory comparison may be made by determining speeds attained at given distances along the flight path when the height of the airplane remains essentially unchanged.

Elevations

In the summarized data it has been assumed that the airplane has its landing gear retracted when clearing a 50-foot obstacle. For vertical elevations it was found that with the airplane in climbing attitude the film analysis reference point was 12 feet above the lowest point on the airplane. Therefore, on the work sheets, a reference-point elevation of 62 feet corresponds to clearing a 50-foot obstacle with wheels retracted.

The worksheet notes recording elevation of the airplane reference point include the 1/2-foot correction for apparent elevation of marker edge above ground level.

Calculations

Velocity calculations were based on intervals of five successive film readings. For the films having four exposures per second (all runs except 17, and 30 to 34) this corresponded to one second intervals.

PREPARED BY: Mr. Elisha N. Falee and
Mr. Norman N. Rubin

APPROVED BY

Charles F. Dyer
Chief, Flight Engineering and
Factory Inspection Division

FILM ANALYSIS

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 10
 LOCATION Maroo Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-15-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 8:45 P.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
0	3885	38.38		Start.
	5224			Take-off read from flag.
	3830	38.59		
	3809	39.90	9.7	
	75	40.41	16.1	
	85	.92	21.6	
	98	41.43	27.6	
	3913	.94	33.3	
	31	42.44	38.7	
	52	.93	44.7	
	75	43.42	50.5	
	4001	.91	57.1	
	30	44.40	63.5	
	64	.89	68.9	
	4100	45.39	75.0	
	30	.87	80.9	
	77	46.38	86.5	
	4221	.93	92.2	
	88	47.31	97.4	
	4314	.80	101.8	
	63	48.27	107.8	
	4418	.77	114.1	
	74	49.24	119.3	
	4533	.72	125.3	
	92	50.89	128.8	
	4656	.67	133.5	
	4720	51.18	139.3	
	88	.63	144.7	
	4858	52.10	152.1	
	94	.33	153.2	
	4931	.67	155.3	
	4967	52.81	156.4	
	5004	53.04	157.4	
	41	.27	160.6	
	73	.51	163.8	
	5113	.75	164.2	
	56	.98	166.3	
	97	54.22	171.0	
	5237	.46	170.2	
	77	.68	174.2	
	5318	.92	179.3	
	59	55.15	177.7	
	5402	.39	179.8	
	44	.62	183.0	

Take-off read from film.

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
18.5	5487	56.86	183.0	
19.5	5531	56.09	187.1	
19	74	.32	188.2	
19	5616	.56	189.2	
19.5	62	.79	190.3	
20	5707	57.02	190.3	
20	51	.26	193.5	
20.5	95	.48	197.3	
21	5842	.72	197.8	
21	87	.93	202.2	
21	5933	59.17	204.4	
22	81	.40	208.2	
23	6023	.63	206.6	
24	75	.93	205.4	
25.5	6123	59.09	207.6	
27.5	70	.22	208.6	
29	6219	.55	208.6	
32	69	.79	212.0	
34.5	6317	60.02	210.8	
37.5	6365	60.24	213.0	
42	6415	.48	218.7	
46.5	65	.71	216.1	
49.5	6516	.93	223.1	
53.5	66	61.17	220.9	Left jet off.
69.5	6613	.39	220.4	
83.5	66	.82	223.9	
67.5	5721	.86	218.1	
73	72	62.09	223.4	
75.5	6323	.33	222.5	
82.5	73	.56	222.3	Right jet off.
97.5	6930	.80	222.3	
92.5	81	63.03	217.0	
96	7032	.27		

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 23.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Fun 11.
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-18-42.
 TIME OF DAY ON TEST NO. 9:50 A.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	3965	9.42		Start.
	5484			Take-off from flag.
	3965.5	9.90	1.1	
	66	10.37	5.8	
	62.5	.85	9.2	
	78	11.33	14.7	
	83	.80	18.8	
	94	12.27	21.8	
	4005	.74	26.5	
	17	13.21	23.6	
	33	.69	35.1	
	50	14.16	40.7	
	71	.62	45.5	
	94	15.10	50.3	
	4118	.66	54.3	
	44	16.03	59.4	
	73	.50	63.5	
	4205	.57	69.3	
	36	17.45	73.2	
	75	.52	78.8	
	4312	18.40	82.0	
	61	.87	85.3	
	93	19.34	91.1	
	4437	.82	95.3	
	86	20.30	101.1	
	4534	.70	103.1	
	83	21.26	107.3	
	4635	.74	112.1	
	90	22.21	116.1	
	4747	.69	120.3	
	4806	23.16	123.8	
	66	.66	127.8	
	4929	24.14	132.8	
	95	.63	137.0	
	5061	25.10	141.1	
	5129	.58	144.5	
17.5	5200	26.06	148.4	
17.5	36	.30	150.7	
17	71	.64	152.1	
18	5307	.77	152.6	
16	43	27.30	155.3	
15.5	80	.25	155.8	
15.5	5417	.48	158.3	
15.5	55	.72	158.9	
15.5	93	.96	161.1	

Take-off read from film.

Sheet No. 23 (Supp.).

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
16	5681	28.20	161.1	
16.5	70	.48	163.8	
18	5808	.67	166.0	
18.5	47	.90	164.2	
19	87	29.14	167.4	
19	5728	.38	167.4	
20.5	67	.62	166.7	
20.5	5806	.85	168.8	
22	47	30.10	175.0	
24	88	.34	172.2	
28	6936	.58	171.9	
27.5	78	30.62	174.0	
29	6012	31.06	169.8	
31	55	.30	178.0	
33.5	98	.54	180.2	
35.5	6141	.76	179.2	
37.5	88	32.02	177.8	
39.5	6227	32.26	179.4	
43	6270	.81	176.2	
46	6318	.78	179.4	
49.5	57	.98	182.5	
53.5	6401	32.23	181.5	
57	45	.47	178.8	
61	89	.71	183.3	
66	6532	.96	182.6	
70.5	77	34.19	181.4	
75.5	6622	.44	185.4	
81.5	68	.68	182.5	
88	8710	.92	181.5	
95	84	35.16	179.2	
98.5	96	.40		

FILM ANALYSIS

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 12.
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-16-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 10:10 A.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	3961.5	30.83		Start.
	6200			Take-off read from flag.
	3961.5	30.36		Jets on.
	3962.5	31.30	2.1	
	63.5	.78	3.4	
	65	32.26	6.5	
	68	.74	10.9	
	75	33.22	16.6	
	84.5	.70	22.3	
	95	34.18	28.6	
	4012	.66	34.6	
	80	35.14	40.1	
	81	.62	44.3	
	72	36.10	46.5	
	97	.59	55.7	
	4125	37.06	63.0	
	55	.54	68.3	
	93	38.02	74.7	
	4230	.51	80.2	
	70	39.00	85.6	
	4315.5	.49	92.2	
	60	.97	99.0	
	4408	40.44	104.4	
	60	.82	110.9	
	4516	41.42	116.9	
	74	.90	123.1	
	4636	42.39	130.1	
	4700	.87	136.1	
	67	43.35	142.0	
	4838	.84	148.9	
16.5	4910	44.32	151.3	
16	4947	44.56	152.4	
16	85	.81	155.1	
16.5	5023	45.06	158.3	
16.5	62	.30	162.9	
16.5	5101	.53	168.3	
16.5	43	.78	171.3	
16.5	85	46.02	172.4	
16.5	5227	.26	172.4	
17	70	.51	174.5	
18	5312	.76	174.0	
19	56	47.00	176.6	

Take-off read from film.

Sheet No. 24 (supp.)

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
21	5401	47.26	180.6	
22.5	45	.50	181.6	
23.5	89	.74	184.5	
25.5	5534	.98	185.6	
27.5	80	46.23	189.8	
29.5	5625	.47	189.6	
31	74	.72	192.6	
32.5	5720	.96	195.9	
33.5	87	49.20	196.9	
34.5	5816	.44	196.9	
37.5	85	.69	201.0	
38.5	5913	.94	203.0	
40	62	50.17	207.4	
41.5	6012	.42	206.2	
42.5	62	.66	205.1	
44	6115	.91	210.5	
45.5	65	51.16	212.4	
47	6216	.39	214.4	
49	68	.63	217.7	
51	6321	.83	219.8	
53.5	74	52.12	219.6	
55.5	6427	52.35	222.9	
60	31	.60	225.0	
64.5	6535	.34	221.6	
69	90	53.08	222.9	
73	6642	.32	222.9	Right jet off.
80	95	.56	221.9	Right jet on again.
84.5	6749	.80	224.7	Right jet off.
91	6803	54.04	226.5	
96	63	.29		
20.5	7750	58.10		Left jet off.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 25.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 13.
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-18-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 10:35 AM ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	3968	24.90		Start.
	5489			Take-off read from flag.
	3965.5	25.28	7.3	
	70	.76	12.6	
	76	26.23	17.0	
	87	.71	22.1	
	98	27.19	27.6	
	4012	.66	31.9	
	28	28.12	37.4	
	47	.58	41.7	
	68	29.06	46.5	
	90	.53	49.7	
	4113	.99	53.2	
	40	30.46	58.4	
	67	.92	63.8	
	58	31.38	69.5	
	4231	.84	72.6	
	66	32.30	76.9	
	4302	.78	80.7	
	41	33.24	84.5	
	82	.71	89.7	
	4424	34.17	94.1	
	68	.63	98.9	
	4616	35.10	103.2	
	66	.58	106.9	
	4617	36.04	111.2	
	70	.52	115.3	
	4725	.98	119.0	
	83	37.46	123.9	
	4842	.93	128.0	
	4903	38.40	131.1	
	4967	38.87	135.3	
	5032	39.36	139.5	
	99	.83	143.2	
18	5168	40.30	149.1	
18	5204	.53	149.8	
19	39	.77	153.2	
17.5	76	41.01	151.6	
18.5	5312	.24	156.8	
18.5	48	.48	155.8	
15	87	.72	156.3	
18.5	5424	.98	158.8	
18.5	62	42.20	160.0	
16	5502	.45	161.5	
17	38	.67	164.2	
18.5	79	.92	168.8	
17.5	5518	43.15	168.7	Takeoff read from film.

Sheet No. 25. (Supp.).

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
17.5	5659	43.38	160.6	
17.5	59	.83	163.5	
17	5740	.87	166.4	
17.5	81	44.11	172.2	
18	5824	.86	173.2	
18.5	88	.80	175.3	
18.5	5908	.84	174.2	
20	51	45.08	175.3	
20.5	93	.33	177.3	
21	6036	.87	178.5	
22	90	.81	179.4	
23.5	6124	46.08	180.4	
25.5	67	.30	178.8	
26.0	6211	.84	178.8	
26	86	.75	179.5	
30.5	9	47.04	180.6	
33.5	6343	47.28	181.4	
37	88	.62	183.3	
39	6431	.76	182.5	
40.5	75	48.00	182.6	
47	6520	.26	185.0	
49.5	85	.49	187.8	
53.5	6611	.73	187.8	
58.5	67	.67	190.6	
62	6700	49.21	190.6	
65	46	.44	186.5	
70.5	94	.69	190.5	
73.5	6838	.93	190.7	
78.5	51	50.16	184.4	
81	692.	.41	187.6	
86	71	.66	189.7	
89	7016	.89	186.5	
93	65	51.13	181.7	
97.5	7108	.37		

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 1.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 17.
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-18-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 3:05 PM ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
0	3940	42.40		Start.
	3941	42.95		Take-off read from flag.
	3942	43.30		
	3943	44.05	2.7	
	3945	.80	4.6	
	3947	45.17	8.4	
	3952	.73	13.3	
	3962	46.30	20.4	
	3975	.86	27.1	
	3993	47.42	33.2	
	4013	.98	38.9	
	4037	48.56	45.3	
	4033	49.11	52.2	
	4056	.67	58.3	
	4130	50.22	64.3	
	4167	.78	69.3	
	4207	51.35	75.7	
	4252	.92	82.2	
	4301	52.48	88.9	
	4352	53.03	96.0	
	4407	.60	101.8	
	4467	54.18	108.0	
	4530	.73	115.1	
	4596	55.29	120.4	
	4666	.85	125.4	
	4702	56.14	130.6	
	4759	.42	130.7	
	4777	.70	133.3	
	4815	.99	136.4	
	4854	57.28	138.6	
	4894.5	.66	141.0	
	4935	.84	144.7	
17.5	4956	.99	144.3	
17.0	4977	58.13	149.1	
15.5	4997	.27	150.0	
16.5	5020	.41	147.4	
16.5	5040	.55	153.6	
16.0	5061	.70	151.6	
16.0	5083	.83	155.4	
16.0	5105	.97	161.8	
16.0	5127	59.11	157.9	
16.0	5150	.25	155.2	

Sheet No. 1 (Supp.)

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
16.0	5173	.40	159.6	Take-off read from film.
16.5	5195	.55	156.9	
16.5	5218	.68	159.4	
17.0	5241	.83	164.6	
18.0	5334	60.41	163.5	
18.5	5358	.94	180.5	
19.0	5406	.83	172.4	
19.5	5454	61.11	169.3	
20.5	5503	.39	174.1	
21.5	5551	.68	173.5	
22.0	5601	.95	172.8	Right hand rocket off.
23.0	5650	62.24	175.4	
25.0	5700	.53	173.9	
25.0	5751	62.82	178.9	
26.0	5801	63.10	180.7	
26.5	5854	.38	184.1	
28.5	5906	.67	185.8	
32.0	5959	.95	185.8	
35.0	6011	64.23	186.7	
38.0	6064	.51	186.7	
41.5	6117	.80	188.5	Note: Throttle slipped part way closed during run.
45.5	6170	65.08	188.5	
48.0	6224	.36	187.7	
51.0	6277	.64	189.5	
53.5	6331	.94	188.7	
57.0	6386	66.22	190.5	
60.5	6441	.51	196.5	
62.5	6498	.80	198.2	
66.0	6555	67.08	200.9	
69.5	6612	.36	200.0	
75.0	6668	.64	201.8	Left hand rocket off.
77.5	6726	.94	199.1	
82.5	6785	68.22	200.0	
86.0	6841	.51		
91.5	6900	.80		Jets on at time 41.98.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 2.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 18.LOCATION Marac Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-21-42TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 7:10 A.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.*	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
0	6167 4904	3.03	0	Start Flag Jets on
18.0	6166 6165.5 6165 6165 6164 6161 6157 6146 6131 6110 6085 6053 6017 5978 5935 5885 5834 5778 5713 5653 5582 5508 5430 5348	3.35 3.35 .67 .98 4.30 .61 .92 5.24 .87 6.48 7.10 .70 8.32 .92 9.55 10.14 .74 11.32 .90 12.48 13.08 .67 14.26 .85 15.45	1.6 3.6 6.3 13.6 21.7 29.3 38.0 46.7 53.9 61.5 69.4 76.3 85.1 92.7 99.1 107.2 114.4 121.5 128.7 134.6 141.5	*True Elev. above ground, - 1' apparent elev. base. Line above ground has been added. Trailing edge and top of tail used as reference points for distance and height. - 16' above ground at take-off, 11' above lowest point at 50' obstacle.
17.5	5305	.75	144.6	
17.5	5263	16.04	148.7	
	5219	.32	154.4	
	5174	.62	156.0	
	5129	.89	156.4	
	5082	17.20	161.2	
16.5	5036	.49	161.9	
16.5	4987	.78	166.7	
15.5	4938	18.07	171.9	Take-off
16.6	4887	.37	172.6	
17.5	4836	.66	175.9	
19.5	4785	.95	178.3	
20.5	4734	19.23	179.8	
22.0	4682	.52	183.6	
24.0	4631	.30	185.8	
26.0	4577.5	20.08	188.5	
28.0	4524	20.36	189.5	
31.5	4469	.65	190.8	
35.0	4415	.94	192.2	
39.5	4360	21.22	192.2	

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
43.0	4303	21.51	199.1	
47.0	4248	.33	209.9	
52.5	4192	22.06	205.6	
58.0	4136	.34	208.2	
64.5	4077	.62	206.3	
70.5	4012	.50	206.5	
76.5	3963	23.17	206.3	
83.0	3908	.18	203.1	
90.0	3846	.74	203.1	
97.0	3788	24.01		
103.5	3777	26.74		

Both jets off.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 3.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Bm 19LOCATION Burns Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-21-42TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 9100 A.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks
0	6078.5	35.36		Start.
	4898			Take-off read from flag.
		35.65		Jets on.
	6075	36.20		
	6070	.77	14.1	
	6059	37.33	19.8	
	6047	.88	26.7	
	6031	38.42	32.7	
	6011	.98	39.1	
	5987	39.53	46.3	
	5961	40.08	53.5	
	5930	.60	60.9	
	5895	41.15	69.3	
	5856	.68	76.4	
	5812	42.23	84.0	
	5765	.76	91.0	
	5716	43.28	97.6	
	5663	.80	103.3	
	5606	44.34	109.8	
	5545	.89	115.3	
	5481	45.42	122.7	
	5415	.95	128.7	
	5347	46.45	134.9	
17.5	5312	46.72	138.5	
	5276	.98	139.6	
	5239	47.25	143.4	
	5199	.51	146.2	
	5160	.78	150.5	
	5121	48.04	151.0	
	5081	.30	153.8	
	5042	.55	156.7	
15.5	5000	.82	158.1	Take-off read from film.
15.0	4958	49.08	162.9	
15.5	4915	.35	164.5	
16.0	4871	.60	168.2	
17.0	4824	.89	171.0	
18.5	4778	50.15	170.6	
19.5	4732	.42	173.6	
21.0	4685	.69	173.6	
22.5	4640	.95	174.5	
25.0	4594	51.21	177.9	
27.5	4547	.48	179.0	
30.5	4500	.73	183.7	
34.5	4452	52.00	187.4	
37.5	4403	.25	187.6	
41.0	4354	.51	193.1	
45.0	4303	.78	190.5	
49.0	4255	53.02	194.2	

Sheet No. 3 (Supp.)

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks
54.0	4203	.30	194.2	
59.0	4152	.55	193.5	
63.5	4101	.82	197.1	
68.5	4043	54.09	198.1	
73.0	3996	54.35	200.0	
77.5	3944	.60	204.9	
81.5	3891	.87	203.8	
86.5	3837	55.12	205.7	
90.5	3784	.39		
95.0	3728	.65	79.0	
160.0	2940	59.23		Right jet off.
168.0	2825	.74		Left jet off.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 4.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 20.LOCATION Narva Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-21-42TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 9:20 A.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks
0	6040	8.05		Start. (See Note) Take-off read from flag.
	4263			
	6031	8.57	18.3	
	6021	9.09	23.2	
	6009	.60	27.5	
	5992	10.12	32.5	
	5974	.64	38.2	
	5954	11.15	41.8	
	5930	.67	46.6	
	5905	12.20	51.4	
	5877	.72	55.5	
	5846	13.25	61.1	
	5814	.76	65.7	
	5778	14.28	69.6	
	5741	.79	74.8	
	5702	15.32	79.0	
	5660	.82	83.3	
	5616	16.33	88.2	
	5571	.83	92.2	
	5523	17.35	96.6	
	5472	.86	100.5	
	5419	18.37	105.9	
	5365	.88	110.3	
	5308	19.38	114.8	
	5248	.89	119.8	
	5186	20.40	123.0	
	5123	.90	127.6	
	5057	21.42	131.2	
	4989	.92	135.0	
	4921	22.42	139.8	
	4849	.93	142.6	
	4776	23.43	145.8	
	4701	.94	149.7	
	4625	24.45	153.7	
17.5	4585	.70	155.9	
17.5	4545	.96	159.4	
17.5	4505	25.20	160.4	
17.0	4464	.46	164.6	
17.0	4423	.71	163.4	
17.0	4382	.95	165.0	
15.5	4340	26.21	164.4	
15.0	4299	.46	164.7	
15.0	4257	.72	164.3	
15.5	4214	.97	164.6	
16.0	4170	27.22	172.3	
16.5	4127	.76	172.8	
17.5	4083	.73	174.5	

Take-off read from film.

Sheet No. 4 (Supn.)

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
18.0	4036	28.00	178.2	
19.0	3992	.24	179.2	
20.5	3947	.49	183.7	
22.0	3902	.74	181.0	
23.0	3856	.98	182.0	
24.5	3811	29.24	184.0	
26.5	3765	.49	183.2	
29.0	3718	.74	186.1	
31.5	3671	.99	188.1	
33.5	3623	30.25	190.1	
35.5	3575	.50	190.1	
37.5	3526	.75	193.0	
39.5	3479	31.00	193.0	
42.0	3430	.25	192.0	
44.5	3382	.50	196.0	
47.5	3334	31.75	194.0	
49.5	3285	.99	196.0	
55.0	3236	32.25	198.0	
57.5	3186	.50	199.0	
61.0	3136	.75	201.0	
65.0	3084	33.00	201.0	
67.5	3033	.26	202.0	
72.5	2981	.52	200.0	
75.5	2930	.77	201.0	
80.5	2880	34.02	205.0	
82.5	2830	.27	206.0	
88.5	2776	.52		
91.0	2724	.77		

Camera Started late,
Start calculated as 6050
feet, 6.58 seconds.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 5.

AIRPLANE A-20AAIRPLANE IDENT. Run 21.LOCATION Muroc Dry LakeDATE OF TEST 4-21-42TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 1:15 P.M.ANALYZED BY

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
0	6047	21.28		Start.
	4402			Take-off read from flag.
	6045	21.82		
	6042	22.37		
	6036	.90	13.9	
	6027	23.45	18.7	
	6015	.98	23.3	
	6002	24.51	28.3	
	5985	25.05	32.7	
	5967	.57	37.8	
	5946	26.09	41.8	
	5923	.60	46.4	
	5899	27.13	50.7	
	5870	.66	54.5	
	5840	28.18	59.8	
	5809	.69	63.5	
	5774	29.22	67.4	
	5736	.77	67.9	
	5695	30.33	76.7	
	5651	.87	81.2	
	5606	31.41	85.6	
	5559	.95	89.4	
	5510	32.49	93.5	
	5458	33.03	96.7	
	5405	.56	101.4	
	5351	34.10	105.2	
	5293	.63	108.8	
	5234	35.16	112.6	
	5171	.71	116.4	
	5109	36.25	120.3	
	5045	.76	124.4	
	4979	37.28	128.7	
	4911	.80	131.4	
	4840	38.34	134.8	
	4769	.86	137.6	
	4732	39.12	134.1	
	4696	.38	141.3	
	4659	.65	142.7	
	4622	.90	146.1	
	4585	40.15	148.0	
	4547	.40	147.6	
	4508	.67	149.5	
	4470	.93	149.0	
	4431	41.18	151.0	

Take-off read from film.

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
15.5	4392	.44	153.5	
16.0	4354	.69	152.9	
16.5	4315	.94	159.4	
18.0	4275	42.20	154.4	
18.5	4231	.45	155.3	
19.5	4195	.72	157.8	
21.0	4155	.97	154.4	
23.5	4114	43.22	162.4	
25.5	4072	.48	161.8	
27.0	4031	.73	160.2	
29.5	3990	.99	161.8	
31.5	3949	44.25	162.1	
34.5	3907	.50	163.1	
36.5	3864	.76	165.0	
38.5	3822	45.02	163.8	
41.0	3779	.28	166.3	
43.0	3735	.55	170.2	
44.5	3691	.80	172.8	
46.5	3645	46.06	174.8	
48.0	3601	.31	176.5	
50.5	3555	.57	175.5	
52.0	3511	.82	174.0	
53.5	3466	47.08	174.8	
56.5	3420	.35	175.7	
58.0	3375	.60	175.7	
62.0	3330	.85	177.2	
63.5	3285	48.11	177.5	
68.0	3241	.36	179.4	
70.5	3194	.62	183.2	
73.0	3147	.87	185.1	
76.0	3100	49.12	183.0	
77.0	3054	.37	187.0	
79.5	3006	.62	187.0	
81.0	2960	.87	188.0	
82.0	2913	50.12	188.0	
85.0	2866	.37	190.0	
87.5	2818	.62	188.1	
90.5	2770	.87		
91.0	2723	51.13		

Sheet No. 6.

FILM ANALYSIS

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 22.
 LOCATION Ward's Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-21-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 1:55 p.m. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	6090.5	41.65		Start. Take-off read from flag. Jets on.
	4905	41.65		
	6080	42.23	9.3	
	6077	42.81	17.1	
	71	43.38	24.3	
	59	.95	31.7	
	41	44.51	39.0	
	22	45.07	45.2	
	00	.62	51.8	
	5972	46.13	59.3	
	42	.72	67.0	
	39	47.28	71.0	
	5969	.83	77.4	
	24	48.39	83.1	
	5783	.98	88.0	
	37	49.49	98.1	
	5687	50.02	101.4	
	34	.55	107.0	
	5674	51.09	112.7	
	20	.63	116.1	
	5455	52.16	125.4	
	5294	.68	130.0	
	29	53.20	135.9	
	5258	53.72	139.5	
	5221	53.99	141.9	
	5185	54.25	143.3	
	5148	54.52	147.1	
	5110	54.77	149.1	
13	5072	55.02	151.2	
15.5	5035	55.26	153.9	
15.5	4996	55.52	155.1	
15.5	4955	55.73	156.2	
15.5	4916	56.04	158.2	
15.5	4875	56.30	160.0	
15	4834	56.58	163.1	
17	4792	56.82	165.0	
17	4750	57.08	166.7	
19	4707	57.33	168.6	
21	4654	.56	171.3	
23	4622	.84	172.3	
24.5	4579	58.10	174.3	
25.5	4534	.54		
27.5				

Take-off read from film.

Sheet No. 6 (Supp.)

Height	Int.	Time	Vol.	Remarks
ft.	ft.	sec.	cu. ft.	
31.5	1458	52.50	175.0	
32.5	60	.55	175.5	
33.5	4209	52.10	175.4	
34	18	.55	185.4	
35.5	57	.53	175.0	
36.5	100	.57	185.4	
37.5	14	50.15	187.5	
38	157	.55	187.5	
39	30	.54	185.5	
40	1071	50.50	191.1	
41	11	51.15	190.1	
42	3574	.45	195.0	
43.5	10	.35	190.0	
44.5	3872	.51	197.1	
45.5	14	52.10	197.1	
46.5	3073	.48	195.1	
47.5	21	.50	199.0	
48	3670	.52	200.0	
49	51	53.10	201.0	
50.5	3571	.43	201.9	
51	15	.50		
51.5	3430	.50		

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 7.

AIRPLANE A-20-AAIRPLANE IDENT. Run 25.LOCATION Manana Bay LakeDATE OF TEST 4-21-42TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 2:05 P.M.ANALYZED BY

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	6062	47.23		Start.
	4492			Take-off read from flag.
	6068	47.78		
	54	48.32	6.0	
	47	.86	14.4	
	39	49.41	19.6	
	27	.94	25.0	
	12	50.47	30.8	
	6953	51.02	36.0	
	73	.55	41.3	
	50	52.08	46.7	
	24	.60	51.9	
	6695	53.12	54.8	
	64	.55	58.8	
	35	54.18	62.1	
	00	.71	68.0	
	5764	55.23	72.0	
	26	.74	75.2	
	5636	56.25	78.7	
	45	.77	83.1	
	01	57.30	87.9	
	5554	.81	91.7	
	05	58.31	97.0	
	6457	58.82	100.5	
	04	59.53	103.4	
	5342	.88	105.2	
	5291	60.38	112.7	
	32	.98	116.8	
	5174	61.37	120.8	
	12	.88	123.0	
	5047	62.40	126.7	
	4991	.92	130.2	
	13	63.43	134.3	
	4945	.93	137.3	
17.5	4844	63.93	137.3	
16.5	09	64.18	138.6	
16.5	4773	.44	138.6	
15.5	37	.68	142.2	
16	01	.86	142.6	
16	4864	65.20	144.6	
15.5	26	.46	146.1	
15.5	4560	.71	148.6	
15.5	53	.87	148.6	
16.5	14	66.22	150.0	Take-off read from film.

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
15.6	4477	68.48	149.7	
16	37	.74	152.0	
16.0	4398	.99	153.4	
16.6	50	67.24	155.0	
16.5	19	.61	160.0	
17.5	4278	.78	155.3	
19	39	68.01	155.4	
19	4198	.27	158.4	
20.5	58	.52	158.3	
22	18	.77	151.4	
22.5	4070	69.04	160.2	
23.5	36	.28	162.1	
24	8908	.65	163.7	
25.6	61	.80	162.5	
26.5	06	70.06	168.6	
27.5	3865	.32	171.6	
27.5	21	.67	173.3	
28.5	3776	.82	173.3	
28.5	34	71.07	172.3	
28.5	3890	71.33	170.6	
30.0	3647	.58	173.8	
31	02	.64	173.8	
32	3505	72.10	177.7	
33	11	.36	178.6	
34	3464	.61	178.4	
34.0	18	.87	182.2	
35	3373	73.12	181.2	
35.5	27	.37	181.2	
37	3281	.62	184.0	
38.5	35	.88	183.0	
41	3189	74.12	181.6	
42	40	.35	182.6	
44	3094	.65	180.0	
45.5	47	.51	180.8	
48	00	75.17	184.5	
49	2952	.43	187.4	
51	04	.68	187.4	
53	2854	.94	180.4	
54.5	07	76.20	180.2	
58	2768	.45	188	
60.5	10	.70	192	
63.5	2662	.96	191	
67.0	2613	77.22	185	
70.	256	.46		
72.5	2516	.75		

Run beyond markers.

Sheet No. 8.

FILM ANALYSIS

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. 24
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-21-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 4:15 PM ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
13.5	6074	53.63		Start
	6074	53.10		Jets on.
	4671			Take-off read from flag.
	6070	59.26	3.8	
	64	.82	15.5	
	54	60.39	21.3	
	39	.94	24.6	
	22	61.51	30.1	
	09	62.06	35.7	
	5986	.65	42.0	
	57	63.24	50.4	
	25	.82	57.9	
	5892	64.38	63.6	
	54	.93	69.8	
	14	65.49	77.0	
	5770	66.04	83.0	
	21	.60	90.0	
	5689	67.16	96.4	
	15	.70	101.8	
	5553	68.24	108.8	
	5499	.78	114.4	
	36	69.30	120.6	
	5369	.85	127.4	
	5300	70.38	131.5	
	5229	.90	138.4	
	5156	71.43	142.9	
	5077	71.97	148.1	
13	37	72.23	151.6	
13	4947	.50	154.3	
17.5	55	.76	156.2	
17.5	15	73.02	161.2	
17.5	4873	.28	161.5	
17.5	31	.53	166.0	
17.5	4737	.80	168.9	
16.5	41	74.05	170.2	
16	4099	.31	173.1	
16	54	.57	175.2	
15.75	27	.84	174.5	
16.5	4560	75.10	177.4	Take-off read from film

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
17.5	4514	75.37	184.8	
18	4466	.63	181.7	
20.5	13	.89	186.4	
22.5	4371	76.14	183.2	
25.5	22	.40	183.3	
27.5	4274	.65	183.5	
30	26	.91	189.3	
33.5	4175	77.13	190.4	
36.5	27	.42	194.2	
40.5	4076	.69	196.1	
44	25	.94	193.3	
48.5	3975	78.20	198.0	
53.5	26	.46	197.1	
58.5	3874	.71	200.0	
64.5	23	.97	202.0	
70.5	3773	79.21	202.0	
75.5	22	.47	201.0	
82	3670	.72	201.0	
87.5	20	.98	202.9	
94.5	3566	80.24		
108.5	15	.49		
122	3359	81.28		Right jet off.
131.6	3254	81.78		Left jet off.

Sheet No. 9.

FILM ANALYSIS

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 28.
 LOCATION Norco Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-22-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 8:55 A.M. ANALYSED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
0	0070.8	56.15	18.9	Start.
	4087			Take-off road at flag.
	8070	46.70	3.2	
	87	47.28	8.8	
	50	.78	16.6	
	49	48.38	20.8	
	36	.90	26.2	
	23	49.61	30.0	
	00	50.12	36.4	
	5078	.70	40.8	
	61	51.30	46.9	
	23	.90	51.7	
	5888	52.51	57.0	
	58	53.12	62.1	
	13	.72	67.1	
	5774	54.30	75.7	
	29	.82	76.2	
	5675	55.47	81.3	
	34	56.07	85.6	
	5588	.88	87.1	
	28	57.23	95.3	
	5472	.80	100.4	
	13	58.35	104.3	
	5350	59.37	109.4	
	5286	59.66	113.6	
	17	60.13	118.8	
	5150	.70	123.6	
	5078	61.28	126.1	
	06	.32	130.8	
	4932	62.39	134.6	
	4857	.54	139.1	
	4783	63.47	143.4	
	00	64.02	149.5	
	4618	.58	149.1	
	4531	65.12	153.7	
	4452	.67	157.9	
16	4408	75.94	158.8	
16	4366	76.20	159.3	
16.5	4321	.47	165.1	
16.5	4277	.74	164.8	
16.5	4233	77.00	165.7	
16.5	4187	.29	166.7	
16.5	4142	.58	167.0	

Sheet No. 9 (Supp.)

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
15.5	4097	77.82	168.6	
16.0	4051	78.05	168.4	
16.5	4005	.86	169.4	Take-off read at film.
17.5	3959	.83	172.9	
18.0	3914	.80	175.5	
19.0	3866	78.16	177.4	
20.5	3819	.42	182.1	
21.5	3771	.86	178.4	
22.5	3721	.86	181.8	
24.5	3674	80.23	183.2	
25.5	3625	.49	181.3	
27.0	3575	.76	183.2	
28.0	3527	81.03	184.1	
29.0	5478	.30	188.0	
30.5	3428	.56	189.6	
31.5	3378	.83	193.3	
33.5	3326	82.09	193.3	
35.5	3275	.85	193.3	
38.5	3225	.61	194.2	
40.0	3175	.87	193.3	
42.5	3124	83.13	195.2	
45.5	3072	.40	197.1	
48.0	3020	83.66	195.2	
51.0	2970	.01	195.2	Run beyond markers.
53.0	2918	84.18	197.1	
57.0	2867	.45	193.1	
60.0	2815	.71	200.0	
63.5	2763	.97		
68.0	2708	85.23		

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 10.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. 1011 26.
 LOCATION Maroon Bay Lake DATE OF TEST 4-22-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 9:30 A.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	6323	46.66		First frame - start not caught by camera.
	4260			Take-off read from flag.
	6005	44.12	33.0	
	5988	.97	38.2	
	62	45.22	44.7	
	39	.75	49.1	
	09	46.27	62.6	
	5982	.81	68.1	
	50	47.37	60.6	
	14	.90	67.0	
	5778	48.43	72.3	
	33	.96	76.4	
	5686	49.80	81.5	
	46	50.70	85.3	
	5593	.70	90.6	
	40	51.39	95.7	
	5433	.86	100.4	
	24	52.42	105.3	
	3362	53.00	109.2	
	00	.56	113.7	
	5234	54.13	118.2	
	5166	.69	123.0	
	5096	55.25	127.1	
	5022	55.82	130.9	
	4948	56.38	134.1	
	4874	.92	138.6	
	4797	57.46	142.7	
17.5	4756	.76	144.6	
17.6	4717	58.03	148.2	
17.0	4675	.31	149.1	
16.5	4634	.50	151.0	
16.6	4592	.86	151.6	
16.0	4550	59.13	152.7	
16.0	4508	.41	154.1	
16.8	4466	.60	155.0	
15.5	4424	.95	157.4	
15.6	4381	60.22	157.8	
15.6	4338	.49	159.1	
15.5	4294	.77	160.9	
15.5	4249	61.06	163.3	Take-off read from film.
16.0	4204	.32	165.7	
17.0	4160	.58	167.0	
16.5	4116	.86	167.0	

Sheet No. 10 (Supp.)

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
20.0	4072	62.11	195.4	
21.0	4027	.28	197.0	
22.0	3983	.66	198.2	
23.5	3928	.91	199.2	
25.0	3892	63.18	170.6	
26.5	3846	.45	172.6	
27.5	3791	.74	173.4	
30.0	3750	64.00	175.9	
31.5	3703	.27	178.1	
33.5	3650	.65	180.0	
35.5	3610	.79	180.8	
37.5	3561	65.06	180.0	
40.0	3515	.31	182.9	
42.0	3467	65.88	178.5	
45.0	3418	.84	180.7	
47.5	3370	66.12	165.2	
51.0	3318	.40	183.8	
53.0	3267	.66	186.9	
57.5	3216	.93	186.6	
61.0	3170	67.13	187.6	
62.0	3120	.45	190.4	
66.0	3070	.71	192.3	
69.5	3020	.97	190.4	
70.5	2970	68.23	185.5	
73.0	2922	.49	192.4	
76.5	2871	.76	191.5	
77.0	2818	69.02	194.3	
79.5	2767	.29	196.2	
81.5	2716	.55	195.2	
84.5	2666	.81		
88.0	2615	70.08		

Start calculated as distance 6066,
time 40.47.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 11.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. # Run 27.
 LOCATION Maroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-22-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 9:45 A.M. ANALYSED BY _____

Height ft.	Dist. ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
0	6083	17.71		Start.
	4838			Take-off read from flag.
	6083	17.71		Jets on.
	6082	18.22	4.2	
	78	.91	10.5	
	69	19.60	15.0	
	53	20.08	23.2	
	47	.66	28.7	
	24	21.24	38.1	
	03	.90	46.0	
	5976	22.35	51.8	
	44	.90	60.1	
	03	23.48	66.8	
	5869	24.03	72.8	
	27	.58	80.5	
	5792	25.13	86.8	
	31	.58	93.2	
	5678	25.23	99.5	
	22	.78	105.0	
	5564	27.32	111.5	
	02	.96	115.5	
	6436	28.40	122.5	
	5388	.96	129.0	
	5297	29.60	133.8	
17.5	5222	30.08	140.8	
17.5	5185	.30	144.0	
17.5	5147	.98	146.3	
17.5	5107	.93	149.1	
17.5	5068	31.09	151.4	
17.0	5027	.36	154.2	
16.5	4985	.63	158.5	
16.5	4942	.90	160.4	
15.6	4900	32.15	161.3	Take-off read from film.
15.5	4857	.42	162.9	
15.0	4814	.69	162.6	
15.5	4771	.55	164.2	
15.0	4726	33.22	169.2	
15.0	4683	.48	172.1	
20.6	4639	.73	174.5	
22.0	4592	.99	178.6	
23.5	4546	34.25	177.9	
25.5	4499	.51	180.8	
28.0	4453	.77	181.7	

Sheet No. 11 (Supp.).

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
30.5	4406	55.02	180.0	
33.0	4557	.29	187.4	
35.5	4510	.56	184.8	
38.5	4260	.80	188.5	
42.5	4212	36.07	191.3	
46.5	4161	.33	189.8	
49.5	4111	.00	194.3	
53.0	4059	.85	197.1	
57.5	4008	37.12	200.0	
60.5	3958	37.37	201.0	
63.5	3908	.63	202.9	
67.5	3854	.88	201.0	
71.5	3801	38.14	204.9	
76.5	3749	.40	202.9	
81.0	3696	.65	203.9	
86.5	3645	.91	205.5	
92.0	3591	39.17		
95.5	3557	.43		
115.5	3574	40.20		Right jet off.
139.5	3155	41.20		Left jet off.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 12.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 28.
 LOCATION Mares Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4/22/42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 9:40 a.m. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
0	5071	31.98		Start. (See Note) Take-off read from flag.
	4135			
	5063	32.53	17.6	
	52	33.06	22.4	
	39	.60	27.4	
	23	34.12	32.9	
	05	.65	37.3	
	5983	35.16	41.4	
	61	.69	46.0	
	36	36.22	49.6	
	08	.76	55.5	
	5376	37.32	60.8	
	40	.87	66.9	
	01	38.44	71.6	
	5758	39.00	78.9	
	15	.87	81.2	
	5667	40.12	86.2	
	20	.87	90.5	
	5668	41.23	94.1	
	16	.78	99.1	
	5460	42.32	104.6	
	02	.87	108.8	
	5341	43.40	113.1	
	5280	.94	117.4	
	16	44.46	120.6	
	5162	45.00	125.2	
	5082	.56	129.4	
	12	46.08	134.0	
	4941	.60	137.6	
	4868	47.12	140.6	
	4793	.66	144.3	
	4714	48.20	144.6	
	4635	.72	151.2	
	4560	49.25	153.3	
	14	.61	158.4	
17		.76	164.1	
17	4474	50.02	167.7	
17	33	.23	161.6	
16.5	4391	.66	161.0	
16.5	50	.60	161.0	
16	06	51.07	168.0	
16	4294	.33	165.4	
16	22	.58	168.9	
16.5	4177	.84	172.6	
16.5	34	52.10	171.6	
17	4090			

Camera started late.
 Start calculated as 5080
 distance - 30.57 time.

Take-off read from film.

Sheet No. 12 (Supp.).

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
18	46	.36	173.6	
18.5	02	.60	174.6	
19	3387	.96	172.4	
19	12	53.12	174.3	
18.5	3665	.40	176.2	
19.5	19	.68	178.1	
19.6	3772	.91	181.6	
19.6	28	54.17	180.0	
21	3678	.43	183.5	
21.5	30	.70	194.6	
22	3583	.94	189.1	
23	36	55.20	192.0	
24	3487	.44	191.2	
25.5	35	.70	194.1	
27.5	3388	.96	189.4	
29	39	56.21	190.4	
30.5	3290	.48	193.1	
32	40	.74	191.3	
34	3191	58.38	195.1	
36	40	37.25	196.1	
38.5	3091	.60	196.1	
41	40	.78	198.0	
44	2987	58.01	198.0	
46.5	40	.86	200.0	
49	2889	.62	200.0	
51	38	.77	199.0	
55.5	2787	60.02	200.0	
59.5	37	.28	198.1	
63	2687	.53		
66.5	34	.80		

FILM ANALYSIS

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 29.
 LOCATION Euro Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-27-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 12:00 A.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	6082	4.40		Start.
	4296			Take-off read from flag.
	6080	4.55	3.6	
	6078	5.50	6.4	
	6076	6.04	9.2	
	6060	.58	14.0	
	6060	7.12	17.8	
	6048	.65	20.8	
	6037	4.18	24.3	
	6024	.70	27.1	
	6009	8.22	32.2	
	5991	.75	37.7	
	5970	10.26	42.5	
	5946	.77	47.8	
	5921	11.29	51.9	
	5892	.82	56.3	
	5862	12.34	60.8	
	5829	.85	65.6	
	5796	13.36	70.0	
	5768	.87	73.2	
	5720	14.37	77.6	
	5679	.90	81.4	
	5636	15.41	85.2	
	5592	.91	89.7	
	5542	16.46	94.6	
	5494	17.05	98.7	
	5428	.84	102.9	
	5366	18.20	107.0	
	5305	.77	111.7	
	5241	19.32	115.7	
	5176	.87	120.4	
	5108	20.43	124.1	
	5039	20.98	128.0	
	4968	21.62	131.3	
	4897	22.05	136.3	
	4823	.80	139.4	
	4746	23.13	141.9	
	4669	.88	146.0	
	4589	.85	147.2	
	4550	24.22	149.5	
	4509	.70	149.5	
	4469	.75	151.4	
		25.02	154.2	

16.0
 16.0
 16.6
 16.6
 16.6

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks
15.5	4427	25.29	153.7	Take-off read from film.
15.5	4385	.56	158.5	
15.5	4343	.83	157.5	
15.5	4301	26.08	160.0	
16.0	4260	.35	161.0	
16.0	4217	.31	159.3	
16.0	4174	.38	164.6	
17.0	4129	27.16	162.4	
17.0	4084	.42	165.7	
17.0	4040	.70	168.2	
17.5	3995	.56	168.2	
20.0	3949	28.23	170.8	
20.5	3904	.49	172.4	
21.0	3859	.76	173.1	
21.5	3814	29.01	173.1	
22.5	3769	.27	175.0	
23.0	3724	.52	174.3	
23.5	3677	.80	177.1	
24.5	3631	30.06	180.0	
25.5	3583	.32	182.0	
27.0	3536	.58	185.6	
28.5	3486	30.85	185.6	
30.5	3438	31.10	183.8	
32.0	3390	.36	184.6	
34.0	3342	.63	188.6	
36.0	3293	.89	183.0	
38.5	3246	32.14	164.6	
43.0	3196	.42	185.6	
46.5	3150	.67	182.1	
49.5	3100	.93	186.7	
52.0	3052	33.20	185.8	
54.5	3000	.47	186.8	
56.5	2953	.73	186.7	
59.5	2902	.99	187.4	
62.0	2856	34.25	187.4	
64.0	2807	.50	183.2	
67.5	2760	.76	192.2	
71.5	2710	35.01	186.1	
74.5	2660	.27		

PIRE ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 13.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 30.
 LOCATION Marco Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-23-42
 TIME OF DAY ON TEST NO. 1:20 P.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	3170.5	9.35		Start. Take-off read from flag.
3846				
6168		9.91	9.8	
8164		10.48	10.2	
6157		11.06	14.1	
6142		.61	18.5	
6136		12.18	24.3	
6121		.75	29.3	
6102		13.31	33.9	
6082		.86	39.2	
6060		14.42	42.8	
6034		.97	47.7	
6007		15.53	53.4	
5976		16.08	57.5	
5942		.55	63.2	
5905		17.18	67.4	
5868		.73	71.5	
5827		18.29	76.5	
5783		.84	81.3	
5736		19.39	86.6	
5688		.93	91.3	
5637		20.48	95.0	
5584		21.02	98.6	
5523		.58	103.2	
5471		22.13	106.8	
5411		.67	111.0	
5350		23.21	115.2	
5286		.76	117.9	
5221		24.30	122.6	
5164		.85	126.3	
5094		25.38	130.0	
5012		.53	134.7	
4935		26.47	137.2	
4863		27.01	140.8	
4785		.56	145.2	
4706		28.11	147.7	
4624		.64	151.1	
4541		29.15	154.3	
4464		.75	157.0	
4367		30.50	161.6	
4277		.55	165.3	
4185		31.39	168.6	
4140		.67	170.3	
4022		.84	170.3	

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
16.0	4046	32.22	174.5	
16.0	3996	.50	173.9	
16.0	3948	.77	176.6	
16.0	3899	33.05	180.0	
16.0	3849	.33	180.2	
16.5	3825	.47	181.9	Take-off read from film.
16.5	3788	.60	182.0	
17.0	3748	.88	185.8	
18.0	3697	34.18	185.5	
17.5	3646	.43	186.6	
18.0	3594	.70	189.1	
18.0	3541	.89	190.9	
18.5	3489	35.26	191.9	
18.5	3435	.53	192.8	
19.0	3391	.81	196.4	
20.0	3327	36.10	198.8	
20.0	3271	.37	198.4	
21.5	3218	.65	199.1	
23.0	3163	.92	200.0	
24.0	3108	37.20	201.8	
24.5	3051	.47	203.6	
25.5	2996	.75	204.6	
27.0	2939	38.02	206.4	
29.0	2883	.50	211.0	
31.0	2824	.67	209.1	
32.5	2766	.84	211.8	
34.5	2709	39.12	209.0	
36.0	2650	.40		Ran beyond markers.
38.5	2592	.68		
41.0	2553	.84	214	
45.5	01	40.10	211	
47.0	2446	.35	207	
49.5	2395	.61	214	
53.5	42	.86	217	
57.0	2285	41.11	217	
59.5	29	.35	220	
63.5	2180	.60	217	
67.5	24	.65		

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 14.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 31.
 LOCATION Maroo Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-23-42
 TIME OF DAY ON TEST NO. 1:55 P.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	6185	24.93		Start.
	4621			Take-off read from flag.
	6185	24.93		Jets on.
	6178	26.18	8.3	
	6170	.74	15.0	
	6166	27.31	25.0	
	6141	.37	31.3	
	6122	28.42	36.5	
	6100	.98	43.0	
	6075	29.53	50.0	
	6048	30.08	57.0	
	6011	.64	64.5	
	5974	31.19	70.0	
	5933	.73	77.2	
	5890	32.28	83.6	
	5842	.83	89.5	
	5790	33.39	96.4	
	5736	.93	102.3	
	5675	34.43	108.2	
	5617	35.03	113.6	
	5553	.58	119.0	
	5486	36.13	124.4	
	5415	.69	130.3	
	5342	37.24	136.4	
	5266	.79	141.9	
	5183	38.33	146.3	
	5103	.89	151.6	
	5013	39.45	156.8	
16.6	4930	40.00	162.7	
16.6	4885	.26	166.3	
16.6	4838	.56	169.7	
16.6	4792	.82	169.4	
16.6	4745	41.09	174.3	
16.6	4697	.37	175.5	
16.0	4672	.51	175.9	Take-off read from film.
17.0	4648	.64	175.7	
17.5	4599	.92	175.1	
18.0	4550	42.20	180.2	
18.5	4500	.47	183.2	
20.0	4443	.75	186.4	
21.0	4392	43.02	191.7	
22.5	4346	.30	191.7	
24.0	4291	.56	189.9	

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
26.5	4239	43.84	199.1	
28.5	4185	44.11	195.5	
30.5	4130	.38	200.9	
32.5	4076	.66	201.3	
35.0	4020	.93	200.0	
35.5	3965	45.20	204.5	
43.5	3908	.49	204.5	
48.0	3851	.78	207.3	
52.5	3795	46.03	211.1	
56.5	3737	.30	213.0	
61.0	3680	.57	212.8	
65.5	3621	.84	212.8	
70.5	3563	47.12	215.6	
74.5	3505	.39	215.6	
78.5	3445	.65	216.5	
82.0	3384	.54	216.5	
87.5	3327	48.21	216.5	
91.0	3269	.49	219.3	
97.0	3208	.75	224.1	
100.0	3147	49.03		
76.5	3475	47.53		Both jets off.
		47.80		Left jet on again.
		48.21		Left jet off.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 15.

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 32.
 LOCATION Marco Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-23-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 1:55 AM ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
0	6180	54.85		Start. Take-off road from flag.
	5928		6.3	
	6177	55.41	10.2	
	6173	.97	14.2	
	6166	56.54	18.1	
	6157	57.10	22.7	
	6145	.86	27.8	
	6132	58.23	33.1'	
	6115	.79	38.4	
	6094	59.36	44.5	
	6070	.92	49.6	
	6043	60.49	54.0	
	6014	61.06	58.7	
	5982	.82	63.3	
	5948	62.18	67.7	
	5911	.74	73.0	
	5871	63.32	77.9	
	5829	.83	83.1	
	5783	64.44	88.1	
	5735	6500	91.6	
	5684	.57	96.5	
	5630	66.14	100.9	
	5575	.71	105.3	
	5516	67.27	110.2	
	5455	.84	113.3	
	5392	68.40	117.8	
	5327	.96	121.4	
	5260	69.53	125.9	
	5190	70.09	129.9	
	5120	.64	140.9	
	4991	72.33	145.1	
	4811	.85	149.3	
	4728	73.46	152.9	
	4644	74.01	155.8	
	4553	.56	159.8	
	4470	75.12	163.1	
	4379	.69	166.7	
	4296	76.25	170.2	
	4191	76.81	171.8	
	4143	77.09	172.6	
17.0	4095	71.37	174.3	
17.0	4045	.65	175.4	
16.5	3996	.94		

Sheet No. 18 (Supp.).

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks.
18.5	3946	78.22	175.0	Take-off read from film.
18.5	3898	.81	179.6	
18.0	3870	.65	179.6	
18.2	3818	.93	183.7	
18.0	3768	79.21	182.3	
18.0	3715	.49	182.8	
18.5	3664	.78	186.6	
17.0	3612	80.06	185.5	
17.5	3555	.33	190.2	
17.8	3505	.62	191.2	
17.5	3451	.90	191.2	Run beyond markers.
17.5	3396	81.19	193.0	
17.5	3341	.47	193.4	
17.5	3285	.76	196.6	
18.5	3230	82.04	197.3	
19.0	3175	82.32	199.1	
20.0	3118	.60	201.8	
22.0	3060	.89	200.9	
23.0	3004	83.13	204.5	
25.0	2948	.45	207.1	
28.5	2899	.72	207.9	
31.5	2829	84.01	212.4	
35.0	2767	.30	210.5	
37.0	2708	.58	222	
42.5	2640	.89	224	
44	2580	85.16	221	
47.5	2520	.43	217	
49	2463	.68	217	
52	2408	.94	213	
56	2352	86.21	215	
59.5	2296	.46	218	
64	2239	.73	215	
68.5	2184	.98	215	
74	2130	87.23		

FLIGHT DATA

AIRCRAFT: 44-1384 ALTITUDE: 10000 TIME: 35
 LOCATION: W. Lake DATE OF TEST: 1-22-42
 NAME OF PILOT: W. J. ... NAME OF ...: ...

Height ft.	1st sec.	2nd sec.	3rd sec.	4th sec.	5th sec.
100	10.00				
200	10.00				
300	10.00				
400	10.00				
500	10.00				
600	10.00				
700	10.00				
800	10.00				
900	10.00				
1000	10.00				
1100	10.00				
1200	10.00				
1300	10.00				
1400	10.00				
1500	10.00				
1600	10.00				
1700	10.00				
1800	10.00				
1900	10.00				
2000	10.00				
2100	10.00				
2200	10.00				
2300	10.00				
2400	10.00				
2500	10.00				
2600	10.00				
2700	10.00				
2800	10.00				
2900	10.00				
3000	10.00				
3100	10.00				
3200	10.00				
3300	10.00				
3400	10.00				
3500	10.00				
3600	10.00				
3700	10.00				
3800	10.00				
3900	10.00				
4000	10.00				
4100	10.00				
4200	10.00				
4300	10.00				
4400	10.00				
4500	10.00				
4600	10.00				
4700	10.00				
4800	10.00				
4900	10.00				
5000	10.00				
5100	10.00				
5200	10.00				
5300	10.00				
5400	10.00				
5500	10.00				
5600	10.00				
5700	10.00				
5800	10.00				
5900	10.00				
6000	10.00				
6100	10.00				
6200	10.00				
6300	10.00				
6400	10.00				
6500	10.00				
6600	10.00				
6700	10.00				
6800	10.00				
6900	10.00				
7000	10.00				
7100	10.00				
7200	10.00				
7300	10.00				
7400	10.00				
7500	10.00				
7600	10.00				
7700	10.00				
7800	10.00				
7900	10.00				
8000	10.00				
8100	10.00				
8200	10.00				
8300	10.00				
8400	10.00				
8500	10.00				
8600	10.00				
8700	10.00				
8800	10.00				
8900	10.00				
9000	10.00				
9100	10.00				
9200	10.00				
9300	10.00				
9400	10.00				
9500	10.00				
9600	10.00				
9700	10.00				
9800	10.00				
9900	10.00				
10000	10.00				

CAPT.
 W. J. ...
 DATA

... from film.

Page 52-4

Sheet No. 16. (Supp.).

Height Ft.	Dist. ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. ft./Sec.	Remarks.
29	4187	.73	195.8	
31.5	32	69.00	193.8	
33	4077	.29	195.6	
35	22	.56	197.5	
38	3566	.86	200.0	
40.5	09	70.13	204.5	
44.5	3653	.41	205.4	
46	3736	.69	205.4	
52	38	.97	206.3	
57.5	3681	71.24	205.4	
62.5	22	.53	205.9	
67	3665	.51	207.1	
71.5	3505	72.08	206.2	Right jet off.
75.5	3447	.37	207.1	
85.5	3389	.64		Left jet off.
92.5		72.92		
96	3329			

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet 17

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 34
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-21-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 4105 PM ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
6150.5		17.74		Start
4036				Take-off read from flag.
6152		18.32	4.8	
49		.82	8.6	
42		19.46	12.7	
35		20.02	14.0	
23		.60	22.0	
10		21.16	23.1	
6012		.73	32.7	
71		22.30	34.5	
49		.86	43.4	
23		23.42	47.6	
5994		.99	52.4	
61		24.55	56.6	
31		25.11	61.2	
5295		.62	66.2	
52		26.23	70.2	
15		.30	75.0	
5773		27.36	79.6	
27		.92	83.6	
578		28.48	81.4	
27		29.05	92.9	
5574		.61	96.0	
17		30.18	101.3	
5460		.73	105.4	
5392		31.30	109.4	
35		.87	114.2	
5271		32.42	118.3	
3		.98	122.4	
5134		33.54	126.3	
5062		34.10	129.3	
5038		34.66	133.6	
10		35.23	137.2	
4872		.81	141.2	
4752		36.36	145.0	
4669		.92	148.1	
4585		37.47	152.2	
40		38.03	156.1	
4411		.6	159.1	
15.2		39.17	162.2	
15.5		.54	165.6	
15.		.72	167.7	

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks
				Take-off read from film.
15.5	4132	40.01	167.0	
15.5	58	.15	165.5	
15.5	35	.23	167.0	
16	4088	.56	171.8	
16	40	.24	171.2	
17	3993	41.11	172.1	
17.5	45	.39	173.9	
19	3897	.67	175.0	
20	47	.95	175.2	
20.5	3777	42.23	177.9	
22	47	.52	177.0	
23.5	3696	.80	177.9	
24.5	47	43.08	185.3	
25.5	3596	.36	179.5	
26.5	45	.63	183.8	
27.5	3495	.92	183.9	
29	43	44.19	184.8	
30.5	339	.48	189.2	
33	38	.75	190.1	
34.5	3285	45.03	191.3	
37	32	.30	188.4	
38.5	3179	45.53	192.7	
40	3127	.87	190.2	
43.5	3073	46.13	192.9	
46.5	13	.42	198.2	
49.5	2963	.70	193.4	
51.5	09	.97	199.1	
57.5	2856	47.25	196.4	
62	00	.52	198.2	
66	2747	.80		

TIME ANALYSIS

AIRPLANE A-20-AAIRPLANE IDENT. Run 15

Sheet No. 19.

LOCATION Marine Dry LakeDATE OF TEST 4-27-42TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 5150 PM

ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
6075		18.47		
4561				
6075		18.47		Start.
6074.5		19.05	1.7	Take-off read from film.
6073		19.63	6.1	Jets on.
6068		20.20	10.8	
6061		.75	17.0	
6050		21.31	23.2	
6035		.86	29.7	
6017		22.40	35.3	
5996		.94	41.7	
5972		23.43	47.7	
5945		24.02	54.4	
5914		.56	60.8	
5878		25.11	67.1	
5840		.65	74.3	
5800		26.18	79.3	
5755		.70	85.0	
5709		27.24	91.5	
5659		.78	95.8	
5606		28.30	101.9	
5551		.83	108.6	
5494		29.35	112.8	
5432		.87	119.1	
5363		30.41	124.4	
5302		.92	129.3	
5234		31.44	135.9	
5163		.95	140.4	
5088		32.47	145.7	
5010		33.00	150.7	
4931		.52	155.3	
4848		34.04	161.1	
4807		34.30	163.5	
4765		.55	166.3	
4720		.62	168.6	
4675		35.08	171.4	
4630		.35	172.4	
4585		.68	173.6	
4539		.47	176.2	
4491		36.14	177.4	
4445		.40	181.0	Take-off read from film.
16.5				
16.5				
16.				
16				
15.5				
15.5				
15.5				
15.5				
16				
16.5				

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
17	4397	36.66	183.7	
17.5	4349	.92	185.7	
18	4300	37.18	190.4	
19.5	4250	.45	190.6	
20.5	4199	.70	193.4	
20.5	4147	.98	193.1	
22.5	4095	38.24	195.3	
24	4042	.50	199.0	
24	3989	.77	200.0	
25	3936	39.03	201.9	
31	3885	.23	204.8	
33.5	3830	.55	206.7	
36	3777	.21	210.6	
39	3721	40.05	209.5	
42	3666	.33	213.3	
46.5	3610	.60	218.4	
50.5	3553	.86	217.1	
54.5	3496	41.11	219.0	
58.5	3438	.38	219.8	
62.5	3380	.65	218.7	Right jet off.
67	3320	.22	217.8	
70.5	3262	42.14	223.8	
74.5	3205	.45	226.0	
78	3145	42.70	227.9	
81.5	3085	.76	236.3	
85	3025	43.22	232.0	Left jet off.
89.5	2964	.47	233.3	
94	2906	.73		

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 20.

AIRPLANE 4-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 36.
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-23-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 4:06 P.M. ANALYZED BY _____

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks.
0	6066.5	47.03		Start.
	3761			Take-off read from flag.
	6066.5	47.55	2.4	
	6064	48.38	6.8	
	6059	.60	10.7	
	6052	49.13	15.3	
	6043	.65	20.1	
	6031	50.17	25.0	
	6017	.82	29.6	
	6000	51.21	33.8	
	5981	.75	38.9	
	5960	52.27	42.9	
	5935	.80	47.1	
	5910	53.31	49.5	
	5882	.85	54.7	
	5855	54.39	59.3	
	5819	.92	64.6	
	5793	55.45	70.4	
	5745	.97	73.4	
	5705	56.52	76.3	
	5662	57.03	80.6	
	5619	.60	85.4	
	5572	58.12	89.6	
	5523	.65	94.8	
	5472	59.18	97.7	
	5418	.72	101.4	
	5344	60.25	106.2	
	5303	.77	109.5	
	5260	61.29	113.3	
	5188	.82	117.6	
	5126	62.35	120.9	
	5061	.87	124.9	
	4996	63.40	128.4	
	4927	.91	132.1	
	4858	64.43	136.6	
	4785	.96	139.6	
	4711	65.48	142.2	
	4636	66.01	146.6	
	4555	.54	150.7	
	4478	67.07	153.3	
	4393	.60	156.9	
	4308	68.15	159.9	
	4219	.70	162.3	
	4128	69.28	166.5	

Sheet No. 20.

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft./Sec.	Remarks
16.0	4082	.84	168.4	
16.0	4036	.80	170.9	
16.5	3989	70.09	173.4	
16.5	3940	.88	173.6	
16.5	3893	.83	177.1	
16.5	3845	.90	176.1	
16.5	3796	71.17	176.4	
16.5	3746	.45	178.9	
16.5	3699	.73	178.9	
16.0	3650	.99	184.1	
16.5	3601	72.26	185.0	
16.5	3551	.52	188.8	
20.5	3501	.30	190.7	
21.5	3446	73.06	192.7	
23.0	3396	.34	196.3	
23.5	3341	.61	196.3	
25.0	3289	.68	198.1	
27.0	3236	74.14	197.2	
28.5	3183	.41	199.1	
31.0	3130	.68	200.9	
32.5	3076	74.95	200.9	
34.5	3019	75.22	204.6	
36.0	2964	.50	209.3	
38.0	2907	.77	209.3	
40.0	2850	76.03	214.2	
42.5	2793	.30	215.1	
45.0	2737	.56	215.1	
48.0	2679	.83	216	
50.5	2620	77.09	217	
53.0	2562	.37	221	
55.5	2503	.64	213	
57.5	2442	.90	216	
61.0	2390	78.17	220	
63.5	2339	.45	220	
67.0	2270	.70	226	
70.0	2207	.97	231	
72.0	2150	79.23	229	
76.5	2087	.50		

Take-off road from film.

Ran beyond markers.

FILM ANALYSIS

Sheet No. 21

AIRPLANE A-20-A AIRPLANE IDENT. Run 37.
 LOCATION Muroc Dry Lake DATE OF TEST 4-24-42
 TIME OF DAY OR TEST NO. 9:15 AM. ANALYZED

Height Ft.	Dist. Ft.	Time Sec.	Vel. Ft/Sec.	Remarks
0	6064	33.36		Start.
	4989			Take-off read from film.
	6064	33.36	6.3	Jets on.
	6062.5	34.08	15.4	
	6055	.73	24.9	
	6041	35.51	34.6	
	6020	36.22	44.4	
	5991	.95	53.7	
	5956	37.65	63.0	
	5914	38.37	72.2	
	5866	39.09	80.9	
	5812	.77	84.4	
	5751	40.80	97.9	
	5686	41.17	106.0	
	5613	.91	114.2	
	5536	42.61	122.3	
	5453	43.30	131.2	
	5365	44.00	134.7	
17.5	5313	.35	139.3	
17.0	5270	.70	142.1	
17.5	5221	45.05	145.7	
17.5	5170	.40	149.6	
17.0	5119	.75	152.5	
15.5	5066	46.10	154.4	
15.5	5013	.44	159.4	
16.0	4958	.79	163.5	
17.5	4902	47.12	166.7	
20.0	4846	.48	170.8	
22.5	4789	.81	172.3	
26.0	4730	48.16	175.6	
29.0	4672	.50	177.0	
33.5	4612	.85	181.2	
38.0	4553	49.18	182.1	
43.5	4493	.51	183.0	
49.5	4433	.85	184.4	
55.0	4371	50.18	187.3	
59.5	4309	.52	189.6	
66.0	4246	.86	190.4	
72.0	4184	51.20	197.0	
80.0	4120	.52	198.5	
86.5	4055	.86		
93.0	3990			
100.5	3924	52.21		
222.0	3116	.52		
227.5	3047	56.77		

Take-off read from film.

Right jet off.
 Last frame - Left jet still on.

APPENDIX 2

Breakdown of the Gross Weight of
the Airplane For Each Flight

The gross weight of the A-20A airplane used in the flight tests was determined by weighing at Wright Field. At the time of weighing the airplane was fully fueled, had a crew of two on board, and carried the jet propulsion equipment installed by the Aircraft Laboratory (Of. Part II of this report). The gross weight was found to be 18,304 lbs. Since weighing facilities were not available at Muroc, the weights of the airplane for each test had to be calculated. In the following weight breakdowns the weight of one gallon of gasoline will be taken to be 6 pounds.

The main gasoline tanks held 1513 lb. and the auxiliary tanks 648 lb. The jet equipment installed by the Project weighed approximately 912 lb. Thus, with the gasoline tanks empty and all jet equipment aboard the gross weight of the airplane was $18,304 - 1513 - 634 + 912 = 17,014$ lbs.

To minimize time between flights the airplane gasoline tanks were not refilled after each flight. To determine the amount of gasoline used during one circuit, including a take-off and return to the starting line, the circuit was repeated several times and the auxiliary tanks refilled. It was found that one circuit required approximately 13 gallons or 78 lb. of gasoline.

The weight breakdown for each test is tabulated in Table 1-3.

TABLE I-B (PART 1)
WEIGHT BREAKDOWN OF THE A-20A FOR EACH TEST

TEST NO.	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Airplane weight, fuel tanks empty, lb	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014
Main gasoline tanks	1,518									
Auxiliary gasoline tanks, full	634									
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 1 circuit		606				606	606	606	606	528
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 2 circuits			528							
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 3 circuits				450						
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 4 circuits					372					
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 5 circuits						283	283	283	283	283
Jet Propellants	283	283	283							
Overload in form of lead shot										
Passenger plus parachute										
TOTAL WEIGHT	19,504	17,903	17,860	17,864	17,674	17,903	17,903	17,903	17,903	17,830

61

TABLE I-B (PART 2)

WEIGHT BREAKDOWN OF THE A-20A FOR EACH TEST

TEST NO.	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Airplane weight, fuel tanks empty, lb	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014
Main gasoline tanks		1,008	1,008	1,008	1,008	1,008	1,008	1,008	1,008	1,008
Auxiliary gasoline tanks, full						606				
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 1 circuit										
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 2 circuits							528	450		
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 3 circuits	450			450					372	
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 4 circuits					372					294
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 5 circuits		288			288		288	288		288
Jet Propellants										
Overload in form of lead shot										
Passenger plus parachute										
TOTAL WEIGHT	17,464	18,916	18,838	18,472	18,682	18,916	18,838	18,760	18,394	18,604

63

TABLE 1-B (PART 3)
WING BREAKDOWN OF THE A-20A FOR EACH TEST

TEST NO.	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
Airplane weight, fuel tanks empty, lb	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014	17,014
Main gasoline tanks	1,518	1,518	1,518	1,518	1,518	1,518	1,518		
Auxiliary gasoline tanks, full						684			
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 1 circuit	606						606		
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 2 circuits		528						528	
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 3 circuits			450						450
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 4 circuits				372					
Auxiliary gasoline tanks less 5 circuits					294				
Jet Propellants	283	283		283		283		283	283
Overload in form of lead shot	240	240	240	240	240	240	240		
Passenger plus parachute	225	225	225	225	225	225	225		165
TOTAL WEIGHT	19,691	19,813	19,447	19,657	19,291	19,969	19,603	17,830	17,917

REEL - C

153

A.T.I.

6 5 7 7

CADO CONTROL NO:

US CLASSIFICATION:

ATI NO:

OA NO:

6577

5591

Confid'l

AR-82

R-1-12

TITLE:

Corrected Copy (1)

Take-Off and Flight Performances of an A-20A Airplane
as Affected by Auxilliary Propulsion Supplied by
Liquid Propellant Jet Units

AUTHOR(S):

Melina

ORIGINATING AGENCY:

California Institute for Technology

FOREIGN TITLES:

PUBLISHED

PUBLISHING NO:

TRANSLATED BY:

TRANSLATION NO:

PREVIOUSLY CATALOGED AS:

WF-L-19 AUG 69 450M

CADO FORM NO. SC - LIBRARY CARD

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TITLE: Take-Off and Flight Performance of an A-20A Airplane as Affected by Auxiliary Propulsion Supplied by Liquid Propellant Jet Units

AUTHOR(S) : Malina, F. J.

ORIG. AGENCY : California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif.

PUBLISHED BY : (Not published)

ATI- 10189

CLASS

(None)

ORIG. AGENCY NO.

R-12

PUBLISHING AGENCY NO.

(None)

DATE	DOC. CLASS.	COUNTRY	LANGUAGE	PAGES	ILLUSTRATIONS
June '42	Conf'd '1	U.S.	English	151	photos, tables, diagrs, graphs

ABSTRACT:

Tests were conducted on jet units to determine the effect on reduction of take-off run, distance to clear 50-ft obstacle, high speed, on stability and control, of blast on parts of the plane, and reliability. The jet motors and four propellant tanks were mounted in the rear of the engine nacelle cone, controls were in rear gunner's cockpit. The two jet units each supplied 1000 lb of thrust for 24 sec. The test showed: theoretically predicted values agreed, large increase in maximum speed, no difficulty with stability and control, no effect on parts after treatment, and reliability. Test performance are given in charts and graphs.

DISTRIBUTION: Copies of this report obtainable from CADO.

DIVISION: Power Plants, Rocket (4)

SECTION: Design and Description (12)

SUBJECT HEADINGS:

Jet assist take-off units (89775)

ATI SHEET NO.:

Control Air Documents Office
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio

AIR TECH
CONFIDENTIAL

071550
UNCLASSIFIED

28 APR 42

Classification cancelled
or changed to

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

Get Publishing Tab. Publications
AUTH # 38, dtd Jan 56

By

md

Signature and Grade

Date OCT 11 1955

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;

Distribution Unlimited

● Stmt-17, dret'd by AFSC
DOOS ltr dtd 1 Apr 92...

23* Aircraft

P01/02
P2/09

Takeoff

Performance Tests

* Liquid Propellants

25 A-20A Aircraft

~~Copy 2~~