D

AD D009047

BEST COPIES AVAILABLE

Serial No. 323,835

Filing Date: 23 Nov 81

Inventor(s): Charles M. DeSantis , et al

Title: Lossy Matching For Broadbanding Low Profile Small Antennas

Classification: Class Subclass

NOTICE

The Government owned invention described herein is available for licensing. Inquiries and requests for license information should be addressed to:

Chief, Intellectual Property Division
Office of The Judge Advocate General
Department of the Army
Washington, D. C. 20310

LEVEL II

409900

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Divisional Application of
CHARLES M. DeSANTIS et al
Serial No: ~~Not assigned~~ 323,835
Filed: ~~Not assigned~~ 23 NOV 81
For: LOSSY MATCHING FOR BROADBANDING LOW PROFILE SMALL ANTENNAS
Docket: 2579

GROUP ART UNIT 256
EXAMINER: Eli Lieberman

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Please amend the above identified application as follows:

In the title:

Change "Small Broadband Antennas Using Lossy Matching Networks" to "Lossy Matching For Broadbanding Low Profile Small Antennas".

In the specification:

On page 1, line 6, change "operation" to "use". Delete lines 23-25 and replace with:

"This application is a divisional of application Serial No. 142,917 filed April 23, 1980 for Small Broadband Antennas Using Lossy Matching Networks by Charles M. DeSantis et al.

The invention described herein may be manufactured, used and licensed by or for the Government for Governmental purposes without the payment to me of any royalties thereon."

On page 2, delete lines 20-23.

On page 3, line 7, insert the serial number "129,969" and filing date "March 13, 1980".

On page 6, line 4, delete "by the Army, the AS-1729".

On page 10, line 9, delete period after "required".

In lines 27-29, delete "by General Dynamics Co." and "by Cincinnatti Electric Corporation".

On page 11, line 30, change "Consider" to "In". In line 31, change "The" to "the". In line 32, change "the circled element of the" to "a series input".

On page 12, line 1, delete "shown at the top of the Figure". In line 16, change "gread" to "great".

On page 15, line 28, delete "Army" and "AS-2731/GRC", and delete the comma after "antenna".

In the claims:

Cancel claims 1-7, 10 and 11 without prejudice.

Amend claims 8, 9 as follows:

In claims 8, 9 in line 1, change "3" to "12, 13 or 14".

Add claims 12-27 as follows"

12. Apparatus for increasing the radiation power and range of an HF to VHF capacitive disc top load small antenna, while broadbanding the operational bandwidth of the antenna, comprising a matching circuit in series with the feedline to the antenna which circuit comprises resistance as well as reactive elements, the reactive portion of the cicuit selected specifically so that the antenna's decreasing negative reactive creasing positive reactive losses over the applicable operational bandwidth of the antenna the uncancelled resistance effectively matching the radiational resistance of the antenna.

13. The apparatus of claim 12 wherein the antenna is against a ground plane and is base fed, the matching circuit being located beneath the ground plane, electrically in series with the signal feed line and its output connected to the base of the antenna mast, the feed line cable being electrically grounded to the ground plane.

14. The apparatus of claim 12 wherein the antenna is against a ground plane and is top-fed, the matching circuit be-

ing located at the junction of the capacitive top disc and antenna mast, electrically in series with the signal feed line which is positioned inside the mast, said line carrying a feed signal up to the said junction from a location beneath the ground plane, the feed line cable being electrically grounded to the ground plane, the output of said matching circuit connected at a point on the capacitive disc, the top-feeding serving to raise the dipole moment of the antenna.

15. The apparatus of claim 14 wherein the said point on the disc is selected to optimize the said range of the antenna by trial determination of the optimal point.

16. The apparatus of claims 12, 13, 14 or 15 wherein the matching circuit is made from a parallel R-L network, the parameters of which are selected so that reactance losses will effectively cancel those of the antenna over the applicable frequency range and so that resistance will be effectively matched with radiational resistance of the antenna with frequency.

17. The apparatus of claims 12, 13, 14 or 15 wherein the matching circuit is made from a series R-C network, the parameters of which are selected so that reactance losses will effectively cancel those of the antenna over the applicable frequency range and so that resistance will be effectively matched with radiational resistance of the antenna with frequency.

18. The apparatus of claims 12, 13, 14 or 15 wherein the matching circuit is made form a combinatorial R-L-C network, the parameters of which are selected so that reactance losses will effectively cancel those of the antenna over the applicable frequency range and so that resistance will be effectively matched with radiational resistance of the antenna with frequency.

19. The apparatus of claims 12, 13, 14, 15 wherein a slender whip extension is attached to the capacitive top load

disc and extending thereabove, therefrom, for increasing the radiational power and range of said antenna while having only negligible effect upon the input impedance of the said antenna so extended.

20. The apparatus of claim 16 wherein the said antenna is a small looped or folded antenna.

21. The apparatus of claim 17 wherein the said antenna is a small looped or folded antenna.

22. The apparatus of claim 18 wherein the said antenna is a small looped or folded antenna.

23. The apparatus of claim 19 wherein the said antenna is a small looped or folded antenna.

24. The apparatus of claim 13 wherein a slender whip extension is attached atop the antenna mast for increasing the radiational power and range of said antenna while having only a negligible effect upon the input impedance of the said antenna so extended, and wherein the capacitive disc is replaced by a capacitor electrically connected between the junction of the top of the antenna mast at the bottom of the whip and a point along the height of the whip which location is adjusted so as to better improve the range of the antenna.

25. The apparatus of claim 24 wherein the said point along the whip is selected by trial to optimize the radiated power and range of said antenna.

26. The apparatus of claim 24 wherein the feed signal cable line is helically wound as a cable choke about a rod of magnetic material at a location between where the line is originally fed and the beginning of where the matching circuit is series connected to the feed line.

27. A method of increasing the radiation power and range of an HF to VHF capacitive disc top load small antenna,

while broadbanding the operational bandwidth of the antenna, comprising the introduction of a matching circuit in series with the feed line to the antenna which circuit introduces resistive as well as reactive losses, the reactive portion of the circuit selected specifically so that the antenna decreasing negative reactive loss is essentially cancelled by the matching circuit's decreasing positive reactive loss over the applicable operational bandwidth of the antenna, the uncancelled resistive effectively cancelling the radiational resistance of the antenna.

REMARKS

The Examiner should be informed that there are allowable linking claims 12, 27 present which cover all embodiments herein, namely Figures 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15; no further restriction requirement is warranted. All the antennas shown are united by the concept of capacitive top-loading as a claim limitation and the claimed addition of series matching circuits containing resistors, as well as reactive L and/or C elements. Such matching circuit is a novel, ingenious development which allows the broadbanding of the antenna. What this means is that no band switching is needed in the matching circuits over a 3:1 frequency range in the HF to VHF frequency band, which is quite an achievment, and most desirable for these military applications. Resistance as an element in matching circuits has typically been dreaded and avoided by circuit designers because it cuts the radiated power down drastically. Only Applicants have thought of offsetting the effective resistance of the matching network, which losses might devour the power to the antenna, by carefully designing a reactive matching network whose reactive component would be offset by the antenna's opposing reactance, and where the reactances have about the same frequency response so as to continue cancelling one another over a 3:1 range!

All the antennae are the small HF-VHF type, having capacitive disc top loads, with exception of Figure 13 which although not as small as the others, is still smaller than a "large" type, meaning smaller than a half wavelength dipole. Figure 13 still belongs with these other antennae even according to size and certainly according to concepts of capacitive top loading (by capacitor) and (reactive) matching networks according to Applicants' discoveries.

The whip feature of Figure 12 (and Figure 13), is claimed as a dependent claim in 19, e.g., and 24, 25. Applicants have discoverd that the whip, when added to small antennae such as in any of the embodiment shown in these drawings, would double the transmission power and range (by about 3db) without introducing noticable radiational resistance losses as might have been expected! This discovery is linked to all the other embodiments in this invention in that it too is another improvement which Applicants have discovered to increase the radiated power and range of these type small antennae, while broadening (or not degrading) the bandwidth at the same time, and still maintaining a small sized low profile antenna which is badly needed in these military type operations. All the embodiments are certainly united conceptually, and in a patent perspective are united by an allowable linking claim, 12 and/or 27, e.g. No further restriction requirements are therefore expected or even necessary. Applicants attempt to avoid unnecessary expense to the government where possible, in not filing of a multitude of divisional cases. The Examiner's cooperation is requested in avoiding/minimizing restriction requirements when, where ever possible in these cases.

Figures 6, 10, 13, and 14 are united in the sense that they all are base fed, having Applicants new matching circuit below ground plane, in line with the base feeding, and are covered therefore by claim 13. Figures 11, 12 and 15 on the other hand are united in the sense that they are all top fed, and as such are covered by claim 14. In still another sense, Figures 12 and 13 are united in that they both exemplify a whip extension feature, though not covered by a single claim. Except for Figure 14, all the matching networks employed in these embodiments are of the R-L as opposed to R-C type, and are therefore covered in claim 16, e.g. However, all the embodiments, whatever the variations shown, are covered by linking claims 12 or 27, which are allowable claims.

That linking claims 12, 27 are allowable over the known art, may be seen by considering the many limitations in these claims. Applicants have considered the art cited by this Examiner in parent case S.N. 142,917 when drafting the within claims. The Examiner will note the within claims are limited to small antennae, with capacitive disc top loads in most cases, and with a very special type of matching. The antennae shown in the art in the parent case do not even relate to the modern small top loaded antennae in the higher VHF frequency ranges, e.g.

In view of the above remarks, claims 8, 9, 12-27 are allowable and such early favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully,

Nov. 13, 1981
DATE

Michael C. Sachs
MICHAEL C. SACHS
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 29,262

10 Nov 1981
[illegible]

Michael C. Sachs

Nov 10, 1981

Docket No. 1901

~~SMALL [illegible] ANTENNAS USING LOSSY MATCHING NETWORKS~~

ABSTRACT

A low-profile survivable antenna suitable for military use is described. Despite its small size, which might be one tenth of a wavelength, the antenna has reasonable transmission range for these applications. Very little operator attention is needed in operation, since a special matching circuit within the antenna network enables effective impedance matching, over a 3:1 frequency range, without necessity of switching to different matching circuits over different frequency bands. By including resistive components along with other passive inductive or capacitive elements, the reactance of the single matching circuit is made to effectively compensate the antenna's impedance over the entire frequency range. The impedance of the circuit has a decreasing positive reactance which compensates for the decreasing negative reactance, with frequency, of the antenna. Although the transmission efficiency of the matched antenna network is somewhat diminished by resistive losses, it is still satisfactory, and band switching with this matching circuit is completely eliminated. By including a slender whip screwed into the top, the range can be doubled with no further changes. The matching techniques to be described are most easily realized in the HF through VHF range (1-200 MHz).

The invention described herein may be manufactured and used by or for the Government for governmental purposes without the payment of any royalties thereon or therefor.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to antennas with special application to small, top-loaded antennas used in the military for example, for tanks, jeeps, trucks, vans, tactical command centers, helicopters and various aircraft. A serious problem exists for impedance matching these antennas over a wide frequency range. At some frequencies the antenna exhibits a complex impedance with positive imaginary part~~s~~, while at other frequencies it behaves as a negative imaginary component. To cancel out the imaginary-going portions of the complex impedance, it has been possible to construct compensating circuits to be switched on for use with the antenna. However, these compensators are useful only over a narrow range of frequencies, and a large number of different compensators is needed, each for a particular frequency band. It is noted that the switching array might have as many as 10 positions and needs considerable attention to adjust for whatever frequency happens to be in use.

AGB 17 Jul 81 [illegible]

This invention poses a solution to the desire for a single compensation circuit which would have the correct cancellation properties at any frequency over a very wide frequency range, 3:1, e.g. The invention makes use of a novel combination of passive circuit elements which will have the correct theoretical characteristics for these frequencies.

It is expected that, in the near future, a low-profile, survivable antenna will be required to be provided on armored vehicles as a back-up to the standard VHF antennas (AS-1729 and AS-2731) presently being used.

The major factors to be considered in the selection of the design approach to be followed are communication range and physical size of the antenna. At the present time, a height no greater than 24" and a range of at least 6 km with an RF input power level of 2w, appear to be the design goals. The discovery of the desirable impedance properties of some simple, two-element passive networks, should be useful for a wide class of antennas, from low-profile to half-wavelength dipoles. Due to its broad bandwidth, the antenna is well suited for spread spectrum, FFH, and SNAP applications.

Reference is made to the following related application: "Compact Monopole Antenna With Structured Top Load" by Donn V. Campbell, John R. Wills, and Charles M. DeSantis, Serial Number __________, filed _________.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention makes use of R, L and C elements arranged in numerous embodiments such as the series R and C circuit used in parallel with the antenna or the parallel R and L circuit used as a series element with the antenna. Other combinations of resistors with passive L and C elements are envisioned but only those circuits whose imaginary component of immitance is a constant or a decreasing function of frequency, however, are useful, since they have the needed theoretical characteristics to match the antenna over the proposed wide band of frequencies. Various physical arrangements are shown varying the location of the matching circuit and driving source. In one embodiment for instance, the antenna is top-loaded with driven base while in another it is grounded-base and top driven. The addition of a breakaway whip device to the top of the antenna and its effect of approximately doubling the transmission range is noted. The matching needed for various antennas is shown such as for the small folded type antenna, the dipole antenna with base isolation, and the various monopole antenna configurations.

OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, it is one object of this invention to provide a single circuit for matching an antenna over a broad band of frequencies, without necessity of band switching.

It is a further object of this invention to improve the transmission range of a small antenna device by providing a slender whip extension to its length.

A still further objective of this invention is to provide a matching circuit for a small antenna device which may be constructed from ordinary passive elements and yet which is capable of matching the antenna over a broad, 3:1 frequency range.

The foregoing and other objects and advantages of the invention will appear from the following description. In the description reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and in which there is shown by way of illustration and not of limitation a preferred embodiment. Such description does not represent the full scope of the invention, but rather the invention may be employed in different arrangements.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Figure 1A illustrates a parallel resistor-inductor circuit embodiment used to match the antenna device over a broad range of frequencies;

Figure 1B shows a series resistor-capacitor circuit embodiment used to match the antenna device over a broad range of frequencies;

Figure 2 shows, as a function of frequency, the resistive or conductive portion of the complex impedance or admittance of the circuit of either Figure 1A or 1B;

Figure 3 shows, as a function of frequency, the reactance or susceptance portion of the complex impedance or admittance of the circuit of either Figure 1A or 1B;

Figure 4 illustrates a schematic of a grounded-base, top-loaded antenna;

Figure 5 illustrates a schematic of a top-loaded base-driven antenna;

Figure 6 illustrates a base-driven small antenna with wide-band matching circuit;

Figure 7 illustrates the input impedance of the matched antenna as a function of frequency on the VHF band;

Figure 8 illustrates the required impedance variation of the first element of an "L" matching circuit as a function of frequency for broadband operation as well as the realizable variation for a simple passive element;

Figure 9 illustrates the complex impedance of a parallel resistor-inductor matching circuit as a function of frequency;

Figure 10 illustrates a top-loaded base-fed antenna with parallel resistor-inductor matching circuit;

Figure 11 shows a top-fed grounded-base antenna with parallel resistor-inductor matching circuit;

Figure 12 illustrates a top-loaded low-profile survivable antenna with breakaway whip;

Figure 13 illustrates a dipole antenna with base isolation and having a parallel resistor-inductor matching circuit;

Figure 14 shows a top-loaded, folded antenna with series resistor-capacitor matching circuit;

Figure 15 shows a top-loaded, folded antenna, with parallel resistor-inductor matching circuit; and

Figure 16 illustrates the transmission efficiency as a function of frequency, presence or absence of breakaway whip, and antenna disc size.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Impedance matching of a small dipole or monopole antenna over a broad frequency range (e.g. 3:1), is ordinarily done through multiple matching circuits, each for a different band of frequencies.

In one VHF antenna in use by the Army, the AS-1729, 10 bands are needed to cover the 30-76 MHz range, and a multi-position switch is employed to connect the appropriate circuit to the antenna for the desired frequency sub-band. The complexity of the circuitry, the switch, and the need in most cases for remote control make the design very costly and difficult to adjust and maintain and vulnerable to damage. However, there does not seem to be an alternative if maximum efficiency is the primary goal, because an antenna that is $<\lambda/2$ at all operating frequencies will have an impedance variation which cannot be matched (using L-C circuits only) over a 2:1 or 3:1 frequency range in a single band.

One other characteristic of the antenna involves the current distribution along the radiating element. If the antenna is $<\lambda/2$, the current distribution will tend to be linear. The shorter the antenna, the smaller the maximum amplitude of this current becomes for a given driving voltage. The effect of this on the impedance is a reduction in the real part and an increase in the negative imaginary part, and, hence, the antenna becomes a poorer radiating element.

If a capacitive disc is added at the ends of the short antenna, the current distribution tends to improve, to become more constant over the length of the antenna, as the frequency is varied. This effect is very beneficial in reducing the range of variation with frequency of the input impedance. In addition, the radiation efficiency of the antenna will improve substantially. The impedance variation, however, is still too large to accomplish single band coverage using L-networks only.

Note that everything which has been said about the dipole applies equally to the monopole antenna (half of a dipole) fed or driven against a ground plane. Some of the configurations to be described are monopole antennas.

To sum up, what is needed for broadband operation of an antenna, particularly a short antenna, is a network which compensates, over a broad frequency range, for the antenna reactance and transforms the antenna resistance to that of the generator or load (receiver) connected to the antenna. In most cases, the compensating reactance (or susceptance) must decrease with frequency, a variation opposite to that produced with a simple capacitor or inductor.

The input or feedpoint impedance of a small monopole antenna is characterized by a large negative reactance and a very small resistance. To resonate the antenna, the oppositely-signed, equal-magnitude, reactance is needed. Over a broad frequency range, this compensating reactance must decrease with frequency. Provided that resistive loss is allowed in the matching network, it has been found that the simple networks shown, for example in Figures 1a and 1b possess very desirable reactance (susceptance) characteristics for matching and loading small antennas.

In particular, the impedance of the R/L circuit is:

$$Z = R.\left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha^2}\right) + jL.\left(\frac{\omega\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}\right)$$

where

R = resistance in ohms.

L = inductance in henries,

$\omega = 2\pi f$, where f = frequency in Hertz, and

$\alpha \triangleq R/\omega L$.

Plots of the terms in parentheses in the impedance equation as a function of frequency are shown in Figures 2 and 3 with the ratio R/L as the parameter. The maximum change (decrease) in the reactive component occurs for the parameter range from 25π to 35π. In this range, the real component is a slowly increasing function with frequency. In a short monopole antenna, the R/L circuit at low frequencies compensates for some of the reactance of the antenna while adding a small resistance to aid in matching. At the high frequency end of the band, the inductive reactance of the R/L circuit is minimized, which is desirable, since the electrical size of the antenna is increasing with frequency and the required reactive compensation is decreasing. Although the resistive component has increased, the radiation resistance of the antenna is also increasing with frequency, so that the radiation efficiency is not severely degraded, i.e., it is nearly matched.

For the R-C circuit shown in Figure 1b, the same considerations apply in a discussion of the circuits' admittance variation, i.e.,

$$Y = G.\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^2}\right) + jC.\left(\frac{\omega\delta}{1+\delta^2}\right)$$

where

G = conductance in mhos
C = capacitance in farads, and
$\delta \triangleq G/\omega C$.

The R-C circuit would be especially useful in small antennas, such as loop antennas and small folded antennas. The curves of Figures 2 and 3 are still applicable. (Note that $\alpha = \delta$ numerically.)

Figures 4 and 5 illustrate conceptually a grounded-base top-driven top-loaded antenna and a base-driven, top-loaded antenna.

As an example of the use of the R/L network to load a small antenna, reference is made to the antenna shown in Figure 6. The antenna is only 18" tall; it is fed at the base of the vertical element, and has a 14" diameter, metal top disc. Figure 7 shows input impedance of the matched antenna in Figure 6 as a function of frequency in the VHF band. As part of the matching to a VSWR within 3:1 over the 30 to 88 MHz band, a section of high impedance coaxial line and a single element parallel L network were also added. Only one band was needed, and the radiation efficiency of the antenna was not completely sacrificed for the sake of bandwidth. If it is possible to include a switch, which requires operator intervention of course, a two or four band antenna could be designed with the networks optimized for each band. However, the gain in efficiency is a very slowly increasing function with the number of bands, and so the added complexity, manufacturing costs, and alignment difficulties associated with bandswitched antennas might be too unattractive when compared to the improvement achieved.

The basic antenna is a top-loaded, vertical monopole. The top loading is provided by a disc, and the RF drive can be applied either at the base of the vertical element or, alternatively, at the junction of the vertical element and the top disc.

The top load structure of this invention comprises a disc ~~[illegible]~~ made in one embodiment of aluminum. The top load is typically 1/8" thick, though other thicknesses, of armour plating, might be chosen to withstand battle conditions. The vertical element is typically a hollow steel tube, though other types might be used. The dielectric material may be fiberglass, teflon, lucolux, or KEVLAR materials, for example. The height of the antenna might be as low as $1/20\lambda$(of a wavelength). It is noteworthy how so short an antenna (perhaps 18") may replace what for

CDS [illegible]

this frequency range and required transmission range, is being accomplished by a large, 6 to 10 foot antenna, being both bulky and vulnerable to damage. The antenna's height may further be reduced by broadening the diameter of the vertical element. The effective impedance of the antenna, being understood as change in displacement current with respect to ground, is thereby increased. The height might be shortened without increasing the diameter of the vertical element, but more stringent matching circuits would then be required. and transmission range would be sacrificed. One way to shorten the antenna for these frequencies has been shown; that is by provision of the top load structure and base plane. A further improvement in range for the same sized antenna is achieved by feeding the antenna at the junction of the top loaded structure and vertical element or better by feeding the antenna on the extremities of the top load element itself. The feed line is coaxial cable which might be standard RG-58, flexible or rigid, which in one embodiment is fed through the hollow vertical member to reach the top load. The matching circuit and associated elements are typically mounted in a grounded metal case into which an input connector is installed. The input signal which must be accommodated typically has an impedance of 50Ω. The matching circuit of this invention, also to be especially noted, needs no tuning over the entire 3:1 approximate band. This is quite beneficial for the needs of military personnel. Two types of commercially known-small broadband antennas come to mind, but it is to be noted that each depends on some tuning. Noted are a Continuously-Tuned Capacitive Top-Loaded Monopole Antenna by Cincinnati Electric Corporation and a Continuously-Tuned Inductive Folded Monopole by General Dynamics Co. Although these devices might not depend on operator intervention for tuning purposes as with this invention, the devices nevertheless depend upon an intricate automatic adjustment done internally. The input impedance of the antenna is continuously monitored over frequency and other changes, and matching is tuned automatically for errors. The involved automatic correction subsystems are completely eliminated by this invention which inexpensive by comparison, requires only simple resistors, capacitors, and/or inductors. The simple matching network avoids all the monitoring and correctional circuitry and is hence more reliable, simple and inexpensive of maintenance and construction.

Models of ~~both~~ antennas with both types of feed have been ~~are~~ constructed with the following physical dimensions:

Height = 18"

Disc Diameter = 14" or 16"

Diameter of Vertical Element = 3"

In matching, the R-C circuit is equally useful to a wide class of antennas, particularly loops and short folded antennas. It is emphasized that the reverse slope reactance and susceptance characteristics are producible in a wide variety of circuits consisting of R, L's, and C's in combination. The two element networks discussed in this disclosure seem to have the most useful variations for small antennas; but the other circuits may have greatest utility for larger antennas where the imaginary part of the impedance changes sign once (or several times) over the desired frequency range. However, attention is only focused on those R-L-C circuits which do display either a decreasing positive reactance with frequency and/or decreasing positive susceptance with frequency.

Applying RLC circuits containing Resistors to Antennas

Consider the reactance vs. frequency curves shown in Figure 8. The curves marked R = 3, 1, or 0.33 represent the required reactance variation of the circled element of the L-network

CDS 18 Dec 79
MWB 16 Jan 80
WPC 23 Jan 80
CDS 11 Jan 8[illegible]
MWB 16 Jan
WPC 23 Jan 80

monopole antenna to within a VSWR = 3:1 over the 30-80 MHZ frequency range. The curve marked "series L" is the variation in reactance to be expected from a practical coil. It is easily seen that the instantaneous bandwidth achievable using this practical coil is extremely small, being just that resulting from the intersection of the two sets of curves. (The second element of the L-network does not restrict the achievable band-width.)

Figure 9 shows the variation with the frequency of an R/L circuit consisting of six 560Ω, 2W carbon resistors (in parallel) and an air-core coil of ~0.34 μh inductance, carefully measured on a Wayne-kerr Admittance bridge. It is essentially as predicted by the curves in Figures 2 and 3. This is the R/L network that was used in the antenna shown in Figure 6. It is worth noting, once more, that this simple R/L circuit possesses a decreasing inductive reactance with frequency, and that this feature is a gread aid in matching the antenna with frequency.

Referring again to Figure 9, it will be seen that the reactance variation shown in Figure 8 more closely approaches the required variation. In practice, the comparison is even better because the resistance added by the R/L network (as seen in Figure 9) tends to "flatten" the required reactance variation. (This "flattening" is caused by a reduced demand on the L-network for large transformation-ratios). The L-Network, of course, is only one way in which to exploit the desirable features of the R/L and R-C networks.

Practical, Broadband Antennas of Reduced Size

A possible and realizable antenna is shown in Figure 10, a top loaded monopole antenna fed at its base. A version of this antenna was constructed with the following dimensions and component values:

D = 14"
H = 18"
ℓ = 0.2λ at 70 MHz
Z_{01} = 75 ohms
L1= 0.34μh
R1= 100Ω
L2= 0.29μh
C1= (variable pf. for final adj.)

From the measured impedance of this antenna, it was observed that the antenna is matched to within a 3:1 VSWR over the 30-88 MHz range in <u>one</u> band. A second version of this antenna is shown in Figure 11. In this case, the feedpoint is raised to the junction between the disc and vertical post. This arrangement provides a measure of mechanical integrity in a hostile environment. In a single band impedance matching is achieved for an antenna with the following parameters and components.

D = 16"
H = 18"
ℓ = 0.25λ@ 70 MHz
Z_{01}= 75 ohms
L1= 0.34μh
R1= 96Ω
L2= 0.18μh
C1= 47pf. (variable for final adj.)

An interesting and unique feature of these antennas is that by adding a 4.5' to 6' whip section to the top of the antenna, the useful communication range can be <u>doubled</u> with <u>no changes required in the matching circuitry</u>. A prototype of such an antenna (which was range tested) is shown in Figure 12. This particular model has only a 14" disc top load and is tuned in one band. It is designed for ruggedness. The break-away whip feature insures continuous communications, i.e., if the whip is destroyed, the antenna continues to operate as a low-profile antenna. To return the extended range performance, a new whip is simply screwed in.

The antennas discussed so far have been small compared to a wavelength, i.e. 0.1 λ or less in the operating frequency range. The R-L and R-C as well as other networks with the reverse impedance characteristic are also useful for somewhat larger antennas of the type shown in Figure 13. This antenna is essentially a dipole antenna with a device called a cable choke at its base. The cable choke serves to isolate the antenna from its mounting platform so that radiation patterns of the antenna will be independent of mounting. The design procedure for these chokes is known in the literature. Note, however, that the core material of the choke is ferrite. Usually, a Q2 ferrite core material is used in the VHF range, but a successful choke for the VHF range has also been made using Q1 material. A particular set of dimensions yielding a <u>one band</u> VHF antenna are as follows:

H1 = 42"
H2 = 28"
C1 = 10 p f (This capacitor may be removed if the antenn
upper section is lengthened.
L1 = 0.34 μ h
R1 = 30 ohms
Z_{01} = 125 ohms
ℓ = 0.12 λ @ 30 MHz
Z_{02} = 75 ohms
Core Material = "Q1"

Other arrangements of the network elements are possible, of course The R/L network could be placed at the feed point or loading at other points along the antenna using these reverse characteristic networks. The antennas just described are only some of the possible configurations which benefit from using the reverse characteristic networks. For example, consider the configuration of Figure 14. This is a small folded antenna with a top load matched over a broad band of frequencies using an R-C element and a simple C.

Another possible folded antenna configuration is shown in Figure 15. In this design, the R/L network is connected between the two vertical elements of the folded antenna. These vertical elements are, in turn, terminated in top discs (or sections of top discs). The purpose of the R/L network, in this case, is to provide the proper reactance, over a broad frequency range, to insure that the currents in the vertical elements remain in phase with one another (or nearly so). The added resistance simplifies the matching requirements. The top discs aid in reducing the required compensating reactance.

The above few exemplary embodiments have been presented to show the utility of the R/L and R-C networks for loading and/or matching small antennas to sources or sinks over a broad frequency range.

The efficiency of these antennas (in the VHF range) should be given very accurately by the following equation:

$$N\ (\%) = \frac{R_A}{R_A + R_L} \times 100$$

where RA = radiation resistance of the basic antenna; and R_L includes the loss of the added resistance element in the R/L network, and the losses in the coils, capacitors, transmission lines, and conductors. In Figure 16 the efficiency is compared, at three frequencies, to a standard Army VHF antenna, the AS 2731/GRC. Range measurements are shown below the efficiency curves, with and without the breakaway whip section.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:



FIG. 1a PARALLEL R/L CIRCUIT, IN SERIES



FIG. 1b SERIES R/C CIRCUIT, IN PARALLEL



FIG. 2 SERIES RESISTANCE (R–L NETWORK)



FIG. 3 SERIES REACTANCE, R/L NETWORK



FIG. 4 GROUNDED-BASE, TOP-LOADED ANTENNA



FIG. 5 TOP-LOADED, BASE-DRIVEN ANTENNA



FIG. 6 BASE DRIVEN, WIDEBAND MATCHED ANTENNA

FIG. 8 REACTANCE OF SERIES INPUT L-NETWORK





FIG. 7 INPUT IMPEDANCE OF MATCHED ANTENNA AT VHF.



FIG. 9 MEASURED IMPEDANCE vs FREQUENCY



FIG. 10 TOP-LOADED BASE-FED ANTENNA



FIG. 12 LOW-PROFILE, BROADBAND, SURVIVABLE ANTENNA WITH BREAKAWAY WHIP.

FIG. 11 GROUND BASE TOP-LOADED MONOPOLE





FIG. 13 DIPOLE ANTENNA WITH BASE ISOLATION



FIG. 14 TOP-LOADED, FOLDED ANTENNA WITH "L"-NETWORK AND REVERSE CHARACTERISTIC NETWORKS.



FIG. 15 FOLDED ANTENNA, DISC TOP AND R/L LOADED.



FIG. 16 EFFICIENCY OF SURVIVABLE, LOW PROFILE, ARMOR ANTENNA.