

Serial Number 09/602,517
Filing Date 23 June 2000
Inventor Michael J. Josypenko

NOTICE

The above identified patent application is available for licensing. Requests for information should be addressed to:

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
CODE 00CC
ARLINGTON VA 22217-5660

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

20010626 054

1 Attorney Docket No. 79116

2

3 CAPACITIVELY LOADED QUADRIFILAR ANTENNA

4

5 STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENT INTEREST

6 The invention described herein may be manufactured and used
7 by or for the Government of the United States of America for
8 governmental purposes without the payment of any royalties
9 thereon or therefor.

10

11 CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

12 This patent application is related to a co-pending U.S.
13 Patent Serial No. 09/356,808, entitled Helix Antenna, filed 19
14 July 1999 by the inventor hereof and assigned to the assignee
15 hereof is incorporated herein by reference.

16

17 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

18 (1) Field of the Invention

19 This invention generally relates to antennas and more
20 specifically to quadrifilar antennas.

21 (2) Description of the Prior Art

22 Numerous communication networks utilize omnidirectional
23 antenna systems to establish communications between various
24 stations in the network. In some networks one or more stations
25 may be mobile while others may be fixed land-based or satellite
26 stations. Omnidirectional antenna systems, i.e., antenna systems

1 omni-directional above the azimuth, are preferred in such
2 applications because alternative highly directional antenna
3 systems become difficult to apply, particularly at a mobile
4 station that may communicate with both fixed land-based and
5 satellite stations. In such applications it is desirable to
6 provide an omnidirectional antenna system that is compact yet
7 characterized by a wide bandwidth and a good front-to-back ratio
8 with either horizontal or vertical polarization.

9 Some prior art omnidirectional antenna systems use an end
10 fed quadrifilar helix antenna for satellite communication and a
11 co-mounted dipole antenna for land based communications.
12 However, each antenna has a limited bandwidth. Collectively
13 their performances can be dependent upon antenna position
14 relative to a ground plane. The dipole antenna has no front-to-
15 back ratio and thus its performance can be severely degraded by
16 heavy reflections when the antenna is mounted on a ship,
17 particularly over low elevation angles. These co-mounted
18 antennas also have spatial requirements that can limit their use
19 in confined areas aboard ships or similar mobile stations. The
20 following patents disclose helical antennas that exhibit some,
21 but not all, of the previously described desirable
22 characteristics.

23 For example, United States Letters Patent 5,329,287 (1994)
24 to Strickland discloses a device for use in a helical antenna
25 having an antenna element wound about the periphery of a
26 dielectric support post, the post being in the form of a tube or

1 cylinder. The device has an electrically conductive member
2 electrically connected to one end of said antenna element. The
3 conductive member is of any appropriate shape or configuration
4 and is operable to increase the loading on the antenna whereby
5 standing waves on the antenna element are reduced and a more
6 uniform electrical current is produced along the antenna element.

7 United States Letters Patent Nos. 5,485,170 (1996) and
8 5,604,972 (1997) to McCarrick disclose a mobile satellite
9 communications system (SMAT) mast antenna with reduced frequency
10 scanning for mobile use in accessing stationary geosynchronous
11 and/or geostable satellites. The antenna includes a multi-turn
12 quadrifilar helix antenna that is fed in phase rotation at its
13 base and is provided with a pitch and/or diameter adjustment for
14 the helix elements, causing beam scanning in the elevation plane
15 while remaining relatively omni-directional in azimuth. The
16 antenna diameter and helical pitch are optimized to reduce the
17 frequency scanning effect, and a technique is disclosed for
18 aiming the antenna to compensate for any remaining frequency
19 scanning effect.

20 United States Letters Patent No. 5,701,130 (1997) to Thill
21 et al. discloses a self phased antenna element with a dielectric.
22 The antenna element has two pairs of arms in a crossed
23 relationship to transceive a signal at a resonant frequency. A
24 dielectric is disposed adjacent an arm to obtain a self phased
25 relationship in the arms at the resonant frequency. The arms can
26 form crossed loops or twisted crossed loops such as a quadrifilar

1 helix antenna element. A dielectric collar on arms of the same
2 loop causes currents to be equally spaced from one another. The
3 antenna size is reduced and a cross section of the antenna
4 element appears circular without degradation of a gain pattern
5 when the dielectric is used on a certain arm.

6 In United States Letters Patent No. 5,721,557 (1998) Wheeler
7 et al. disclose a nonsquinting end-fed quadrifilar helix antenna.

8 In essence this patent uses a limited series capacitive loading
9 along the antenna element length. The disclosed antenna is 4
10 wavelengths long and is an array. Each conductor of the antenna
11 is fed with a successively delayed phase representation of the
12 input signal to optimize transmission characteristics. Each of
13 the conductors is separated into a number, Z , of discrete
14 conductor portions by $Z-1$ capacitive discontinuities. The
15 addition of the capacitive discontinuities results in the
16 formation of the antenna array. The end result of the antenna
17 array is a quadrifilar helix antenna which is nonsquinting, that
18 is, the antenna radiates in a given direction independently of
19 frequency.

20 Quadrifilar helix antennas having a diameter of between 0.1
21 and 0.25 wavelengths are good candidates for satellite
22 communications since they have overhead cardoid shaped patterns
23 of circularly polarized signals and reasonable front-to-back
24 ratios. However, these antennas do have pattern limitations.
25 For a practical, useful impedance bandwidth, each antenna element
26 must be at least three-quarters wavelength long. For example, an

1 antenna with elements of that length and a diameter of 0.125
2 wavelengths can be constructed with a pitch angle of 65°. For
3 the higher pitch angle helix, i.e., greater than 50°, impedance
4 bandwidth increases with element length, but much more slowly
5 than, for example, a 40° helix which cuts in sharply near $\frac{3}{4}\lambda$ and
6 then is well matched forever. If the 65° helix is to be well
7 matched, e.g., near $\frac{3}{4}\lambda$, its impedance bandwidth, when translated
8 to a characteristic impedance, e.g., a feed Z_0 of 50ohms, is
9 about 12%. If the effective length of the antenna is greater
10 than three-quarters of a wavelength, the patterns start to
11 multilobe and split above the horizon with the severity of the
12 splitting in terms of the depth of the pattern nulls being
13 determined by antenna element pitch angle. The observed nulls
14 are less deep for sharper beam, lower pitch angle, helices.
15 However, for any quadrifilar helix, the pattern does tend to
16 flatten toward the horizon as frequency increases.

17 Stated differently, for all quadrifilar helix antennas,
18 increasing the pitch angle broadens the pattern toward the
19 horizon; lower pitch angles produce sharper overhead patterns.
20 Normally the broader patterns near the horizon are desired for
21 satellite communication so some flattening of overhead gain is
22 permissible since the distance to the satellite is generally less
23 overhead than near the horizon. While the impedance bandwidth
24 can be increased by allowing the antenna elements to become
25 longer as measured by wavelengths, this will also produce a
26 multilobing problem above the three-quarter wavelength distance.

1 As described in the prior art, there exists a family of
2 quadrifilar helices that are broadband impedance wise above a
3 certain "cut-in" frequency, and thus are useful for wideband
4 satellite communications including Demand Assigned Multiple
5 Access (DAMA) UHF functions in the range of 240 to 320 MHz and
6 for other satellite communications functions in the range of 320
7 to 410 MHz. Typically these antennas have (1) a pitch angle of
8 the elements on the helix cylindrical surface from 50 down to
9 roughly 20 degrees, (2) elements that are at least roughly $\frac{1}{4}$
10 wavelengths long, and (3) a "cut-in" frequency roughly
11 corresponding to a frequency at which a wavelength is twice the
12 length of one turn of the antenna element. This dependence
13 changes with pitch angle. Above the "cut-in" frequency, the
14 helix has an approximately flat VSWR around 2:1 or less (about
15 the Z_0 value of the antenna). Thus the antenna is broadband
16 impedance-wise above the cut-in frequency. The previous three
17 dimensions translate into a helix diameter of 0.1 to 0.2
18 wavelengths at the cut-in frequency.

19 For pitch angles of approximately 30° to 50°, such antennas
20 provide good cardoid shaped patterns for satellite
21 communications. Good circular polarization exists down to the
22 horizon since the antenna is greater than 1.5 wavelengths long (2
23 elements constitute one array of the dual array, quadrifilar
24 antenna) and is at least one turn. At the cut-in frequency,
25 lower angled helices have sharper patterns. As frequency
26 increases, patterns start to flatten overhead and spread out near

1 the horizon and small nulls start to form overhead. For a given
2 satellite band to be covered, a tradeoff can be chosen on how
3 sharp the pattern is allowed to be at the bottom of the band and
4 how much it can be spread out by the time the top of the band is
5 reached. This tradeoff is made by choosing where the band should
6 start relative to the cut-in frequency and the pitch angle.

7 For optimum front-to-back ratio performance, the bottom of
8 the band should start at the cut-in frequency. This is because,
9 for a given element thickness, backside radiation increases with
10 frequency (the front-to-back ratio decreases with frequency).
11 This decrease of front-to-back ratio with frequency limits the
12 antenna immunity to multipath nulling effects.

13 Other factors that influence the front-to-back ratio include
14 the method of feeding the antenna, the physical size of antenna
15 elements, the dielectric loading of the antenna elements and the
16 termination of the antenna elements. Looking first at antenna
17 feeding, the front-to-back ratio improves when an antenna is fed
18 in a "backfire mode" such that the antenna feed point is at the
19 top of a vertically oriented antenna, as opposed to a "forward
20 fire mode" when the feed point is at the bottom of the antenna.

21 Thinner elements increase the front-to-back ratio somewhat.

22 However, as the elements become thinner, the antenna
23 characteristic impedance Z_0 , and thus input impedance to the
24 antenna increases and introduces a requirement for impedance
25 matching. Alternatively, lower impedances can be obtained by
26 constructing an antenna with a partial overlap of the antenna

1 elements to increase capacitance. However, a loss of impedance
2 bandwidth starts to occur since such capacitance is non-
3 radiating; that is, no radiation can occur from the overlapped
4 areas of the antenna.

5 Increasing the dielectric loading of the helix elements
6 decreases the front-to-back ratio. Wide flat elements found in
7 many helix antennas produce a pronounced loading since one side
8 of each antenna element touches the dielectric. If the gap
9 between adjacent elements is small, the field is strongly
10 concentrated in the gap and any dielectric in the gap will load
11 the antenna strongly. Quadrifilar helix antennas can terminate
12 with open or shorted ends remote from the feed point. It has
13 been found that antennas with open ends have a slightly higher
14 front-to-back ratio than do antennas with shorted ends.

15 My above-identified pending United States Letters Patent
16 Serial No. 09/356,808 discloses an antenna having four constant-
17 width antenna elements wrapped about the periphery of a
18 cylindrical support. This construction provides a broadband
19 antenna with a bandwidth of 240 to at least 400 MHz and with an
20 input impedance of 100 ohms, which matches the impedance of the
21 antenna's feed network. This antenna also exhibits a good front-
22 to-back ratio in both open-ended and shorted configurations. In
23 this antenna, each antenna element has a width corresponding to
24 about 95% of the available width for that element. However, it
25 was found that this antenna requires a tradeoff between the
26 pattern shapes in the transmit and receive bands. It became

1 necessary to allow patterns at lower receive frequencies to
2 become sharper overhead than desired. At higher transmit
3 frequencies, it became necessary to accept overhead patterns that
4 were flatter overhead than desired. At even higher frequencies,
5 small to moderate nulls were observed in the patterns because the
6 element lengths were becoming long enough electrically for
7 multilobing to begin.

8 Thus, there is a need for a quadrifilar helix antenna that
9 will produce a more constant pattern shape over a range of
10 frequencies. In particular, there is a need for an antenna that
11 produces a stable pattern over an extended frequency band with a
12 good impedance match over that band.

13

14 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15 Therefore it is an object of this invention to provide a
16 broadband unidirectional hemispherical coverage radio frequency
17 antenna.

18 Another object of this invention is to provide a broadband
19 unidirectional hemispherical coverage antenna with good front-to-
20 back ratio over a range of frequencies.

21 Still another object of this invention is to provide a
22 broadband unidirectional hemispherical coverage antenna that
23 operates with a circular polarization and that exhibits a good
24 front-to-back ratio.

1 Still another object of this invention is to provide a
2 broadband unidirectional hemispherical coverage antenna that
3 provides an essentially constant radiation pattern over a range
4 of frequencies.

5 Yet another object of this invention is to provide a
6 broadband unidirectional hemispherical coverage antenna in the
7 form of a quadrifilar helix antenna that operates over a wide
8 frequency band with essentially constant impedance and an
9 essentially constant pattern shape.

10 In accordance with one aspect of this invention, a
11 quadrifilar helix antenna comprises a cylindrical support
12 extending along an antenna axis. A plurality of antenna elements
13 are wrapped helically about the cylindrical support and along the
14 antenna axis. Each of the antenna elements includes a plurality
15 of series connected capacitors.

16 In accordance with another aspect of this invention, a
17 quadrifilar helix antenna includes a cylindrical support
18 extending along an antenna axis and a plurality of dielectric
19 strips wrapped helically about the cylindrical support from a
20 feed end to a remote end. A plurality of conductive elements are
21 spaced along the opposite sides of the dielectric strip. Each
22 conductive element on one side is offset with respect to a
23 corresponding conductive element on the other side thereby to
24 partially overlap with respect to at least one of the conductive
25 elements on the other side. An overlapped area of a pair of
26 spaced conductors constitutes a capacitor. This defines an

1 antenna element formed as a plurality of series connected
2 capacitors.

4 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 The appended claims particularly point out and distinctly
6 claim the subject matter of this invention. The various objects,
7 advantages and novel features of this invention will be more
8 fully apparent from a reading of the following detailed
9 description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in
10 which like reference numerals refer to like parts, and in which:

11 FIG. 1 is a perspective view of one embodiment of a
12 quadrifilar helix antenna constructed in accordance with this
13 invention;

14 FIG. 2 is a side schematic view of an antenna element in an
15 unwrapped state for the antenna shown in FIG. 1;

16 FIG. 3 is a top schematic view of the antenna element shown
17 in FIG. 2;

18 FIGS. 4A and 4B are Smith charts for depicting measured
19 antenna impedances for a standard helical antenna and an antenna
20 constructed in accordance with this invention, respectively;

21 FIG. 5 compares the VSWR of a standard helical antenna and
22 an antenna constructed in accordance with this invention about
23 the respective characteristic impedance of each antenna; and

24 FIGS. 6A through 6L compare the antenna performance for a

25

1 standard helical antenna and an antenna constructed in accordance
2 with this invention.

3 4 DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

5 In FIG. 1, a quadrifilar helix antenna 10 constructed in
6 accordance with this invention includes a cylindrical insulated
7 core 11. Four antenna elements 12, 13, 14 and 15 wrap helically
8 about the core 11 and extend from a feed or first end portion 16
9 to a remote, unfed or second end portion 17. The antenna element
10 12 and identical antenna elements 13, 14 and 15 are wrapped as
11 spaced helices about the core 11.

12 Still referring to FIG. 1, a plurality of conductive paths
13 20 through 23 extend from central feedpoints 24, supported on the
14 end portion 16, to each of the helically wrapped elements 12
15 through 15, respectively. The signals applied to these
16 feedpoints are in phase quadrature. In one form, an RF signal at
17 an rf frequency is applied to a 90° power splitter with a dump
18 port terminated in a characteristic impedance, Z_0 . The two
19 outputs of the 90° power splitter connect to the inputs of two
20 180° degree power splitters thereby to provide the quadrature
21 phase relationship among the signals on adjacent ones of the
22 antenna elements 12 through 15. It is known that swapping the
23 output cables of the 90° power splitter will cause the antenna to
24 transfer between backfire and forward radiation modes.

..

1 In order to understand the operation of this invention it
2 will be helpful to understand the operation of a cylindrical
3 monopole formed by distributing exponentially a capacitive load
4 along the length of the monopole. Such an antenna is described
5 in "Broadband Characteristics of Cylindrical Antenna with
6 Exponentially Tapered Capacitive Loading" IEEE Antennas and
7 Propagation, March, 1969. In that monopole antenna 39
8 cylindrical disk capacitors are inserted into and distributed
9 evenly along the monopole with capacitive impedance loading
10 increasing toward the unfed end of the monopole. The purpose of
11 increased loading is to taper the current along the length of the
12 monopole, so to effectively keep the radiation length of the
13 monopole below a multilobing length of three-quarter wavelengths.
14 The thicknesses of the dielectrical disks of the capacitors are
15 given as:

$$t_n = A(e^{\alpha n} - 1) \quad (1)$$

17 where t_n is the capacitor dielectric thickness, n is the
18 capacitor number ranging from $n=1$ for the capacitor closest to
19 the feed end of the monopole to $n=39$ for the capacitor closest to
20 the unfed end of the monopole. In this paper A is a constant of
21 12.5 and α is a rate of exponentiation and was established at
22 0.8. Each capacitor had a radius r_c , equal to the monopole
23 radius which was 0.5". The monopole had a height h which for a
24 600 MHz antenna was 10" for one-half wave.

Such a monopole construction is not readily adapted to a quadrifilar helix antenna. However, the antenna constructed in accordance with this invention equates, with frequency scaling, the cylindrical shaped capacitance of the monopole to square shaped capacitors used on a helix. In addition the number of capacitors are changed.

Thus, the equation for the area of a square capacitor as a function of the area of a cylindrical capacitor becomes:

$$A_{sc} = \frac{A_{cc} t_{sh}}{t_n} F^2 \frac{n_c}{39} \quad (2)$$

where A_{sc} represents the area of a square capacitor. A_{cc} is the area of a capacitor having a radius of r_c , t_{sh} is the thickness of the square capacitor, t_n is derived from Equation (1), F is a size scaling factor that was selected to be 5 and n_c represents the number of capacitors on the helix (39 being the number of capacitors on the original monopole). With a quadrifilar antenna having an element length starting near three-quarters of a wavelength and a pitch angle of 66° , the antenna was found to start at the bottom of the band with rather broad patterns well suited for satellite communications. However, the pattern started to flatten out and null or form multiple lobes overhead at about 300 MHz.

The size scaling factor of 5 was chosen to reduce the cut-in frequency of the monopole antenna (600 MHz) to 120 MHz for the quadrifilar helix at SATCOM frequencies, far below a desired cut-

1 in frequency of 240 MHz. This is because in a bifilar helical
2 antenna when the two antenna elements are folded from a dipole
3 into a bifilar helix, much low frequency impedance match is loss.
4 In addition, the number of capacitors was reduced to 19
5 resulting in 20 element segments using an antenna modeling rule
6 which states that an antenna element can be modeled with segments
7 of maximum length of approximately one-eighth wavelength with no
8 change in antenna performance. With an element length of 50
9 inches over 20 segments, the length of a segment is one-eighth
10 wavelength at 590.6 MHz, which is beyond the intended frequency
11 use of antennas constructed in accordance with this invention.

12 Now referring to FIGS. 2 and 3, each of the antenna elements
13 12 through 15 in FIG. 1 has an identical structure so only
14 antenna element 12 is depicted in detail, this element being
15 shown in an unwound state. The antenna element comprises a
16 constant width Mylar sheet 30 having a plurality of spaced, metal
17 or conductive segments 31 alternately distributed on opposite
18 sides of the Mylar tape, such that segments 31(1),
19 31(3)...31(19) are distributed along one side of the Mylar sheet
20 30, the top side in FIG. 2, while segments 31(2),
21 31(4)...31(20) are distributed along the other side of the Mylar
22 sheet 30, in FIG. 2. The segments are of the same length with
23 the exception of segment 31(1), which is shorter than 31(2) for
24 reasons as will be discussed later. The widths of segments 31
25 become smaller starting from a maximum width at segment 31(2) to
26 a minimum width at segment 31(20). Thus, the cross-sectional
27 areas of each of the segments 31 change from a maximum area for

1 segment 31(2) to a minimum segment area for segment 31 (20). The
2 elements on one side of the sheet 30 are offset along the length
3 of the sheet 30 with respect to the elements on the other side of
4 the sheet 30. As a result, the intermediate elements 31(2)
5 through 31(19) overlap portions of two adjacent elements on the
6 opposite side of the tape. For example, element 31(5) overlaps
7 portions of element 31(4) and 31(6). This construction then
8 forms a capacitor at each overlapping portion. A capacitor C_1 is
9 formed in the area of overlap of the elements 31(1) and 31(2); a
10 second capacitor C_2 , by the overlap between the elements 31(2)
11 and 31(3). These areas of overlap are depicted by the shaded
12 squares C_1 through C_{19} in FIG. 3. Consequently in the antenna
13 element 12 shown in FIGS. 2 and 3, nineteen capacitive elements
14 are formed, shown as C_1 through C_{19} in FIGS. 2 and 3. Moreover,
15 the capacitors have areas that decrease corresponding to the
16 decreasing areas of segments 31 so that the capacitor C_1 has a
17 maximum value while the capacitor C_{19} has a minimum value.

18 The overlapping areas, or capacitors, have a square
19 configuration, thus the spacing of segments 31 is such that the
20 centerlines of the capacitors C_1 through C_{19} are equally spaced
21 along sheet 30. As segments 31(1) and 31(20) each form only a
22 single capacitor, their lengths can be shorter than segments
23 31(2) through 31(19). Further in accordance with this invention,
24 the antenna element 31(1) connects to the conductive path in FIG.
25 1 and becomes the fed end while the capacitor C_{19} is located on
26 the unfed end. As will now be apparent the capacitors C_1 through
27 C_{19} are connected in series so that when mounted on a core and

1 wrapped helically, the antenna element 12 is formed as a
2 plurality of series connected capacitors wrapped helically on the
3 cylindrical support and along the antenna axis. Each capacitor
4 includes a dielectric and substantially square, overlapping areas
5 formed by metal layers on opposite sides of the dielectric, such
6 that the areas of square overlap diminish from a maximum at the
7 feed end of the antenna to a minimum at the remote or unfed end
8 of the antenna.

9 The area of overlap is given by:

$$\text{Overlap} = 2 * A_{sc}$$

10
11
12 Using just this area A_{sc} without a multiplier gave an
13 impedance whose cut-in frequency was too high. Doubling the
14 value of A_{sc} reduced impedance loading on the antenna and
15 therefore reduced cut-in frequency. The following table defines
16 a standard helical antenna and an antenna constructed in
17 accordance with this invention utilizing capacitive loading:

Parameter	Standard Antenna	Capacitively Loaded Antenna
Mode of operation	Forward fire	Forward fire
Impedance at antenna end	Open	Open
Antenna input impedance Z_0	300ohms	175ohms
Helix cylinder diameter	5.5"	5.5"
Cylinder length	30"	>30"
Cylinder material	1/16" fiberglass	1/16" fiberglass
Helix element material (thickness)	Copper tape (0.003")	Copper tape (0.005") on Mylar sheet (0.005")
Helix element width	2.44"	Varied
Helix element thickness	0.003"	0.011"
Helix element length	25"	50"
Pitch angle	66.64°	66.64°

Although the helix element length in an antenna constructed in accordance with this invention is twice the length of a normal unloaded element, in the capacitive case the exact electrical end of the element is hard to define. At low frequencies the capacitors at the unfed ends of the elements have very high impedances and thus electrically the element appreciably shorter.

FIGS. 4A and 4B are Smith chart impedances of the standard antenna and an antenna constructed in accordance with this invention respectively. Comparing the impedance plots 40 of FIGS. 4A for the standard antenna and 41 of FIG. 4B for the antenna of this invention shows that an antenna constructed in accordance with this invention cuts in at a somewhat lower frequency and that its broadband match above the cut-in frequency is better than the standard antenna. It is hypothesized that part of the better match results because the Mylar capacitors introduce some undesirable losses into the antenna. As a qualitative test, when the antenna was energized with 100 watts of input power, capacitors near the open end of the antenna became warm and rough estimates indicate 1 dB loss due to losses in the capacitors.

FIG. 5 depicts the VSWR about the antenna Z_0 as a function of frequency represented by graph 42 for an antenna constructed in accordance with this invention. Graph 43 depicts the VSWR about the antenna Z_0 for the above-identified standard antenna. As will be apparent the VSWR is lower at all frequencies than the standard antenna and in the normal operating range is less than one-half the VSWR encountered with the standard antenna.

FIGS. 6A through 6H provide pattern comparisons at different frequencies. In each of these figures the standard antenna is represented by Graph 44 and an antenna constructed in accordance with this invention by a Graph 45. Gain comparisons can be made if the mismatch loss between the feed Z_0 of 100 ohms and the antenna impedance is taken into account. In a final configuration, a matching transformer would be required to match the antenna Z_0 to 50 ohms (or 100 ohms if the antenna is fed with 180° power splitters). An examination of the graphs notes that at about 300 MHz multilobing starts to occur. Overhead splitting 46 and lobes 47 begin to form in FIG. 6D and become more pronounced in FIGS. 6E and 6F as frequency increases. In the range from 320 MHz through 480 MHz, an antenna constructed in accordance with this invention provides more even gain in the vertical direction, although some multilobing begins to occur at about 360 MHz. However, the pattern variation and pattern bandwidth in the vertical direction is greatly improved.

Thus a quadrifilar helix constructed in accordance with this invention using antenna elements formed as a plurality of series connected capacitors produces an antenna that has an improved broadband impedance match and greatly increased cardoid shaped pattern bandwidth. While this antenna has been depicted in terms of a specific arrangement of series capacitors, including spacings and relative capacitance values, it will be apparent that a number of different variations could also be included other than the structures shown in FIGS. 2 and 3. In addition,

1 materials used for the dielectric sheet and conductive segments
2 may be varied. For example, the dielectric sheet may be formed
3 of Teflon[®] or other similar plastic material, and the conductive
4 segments may be formed of other low loss metals, such as
5 aluminum, silver, or gold. Consequently, it is the intent
6 , to cover all such variations and modifications as
7 come under the true spirit and scope of this invention.

1 Attorney Docket No. 79116

2

3 CAPACITIVELY LOADED QUADRIFILAR HELIX ANTENNA

4

5 ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

6 A quadrifilar helix antenna is provided having a feedpoint
7 for the antenna connecting to individual helical antenna
8 elements. Each antenna element comprises a plurality of series
9 connected capacitors with a maximum capacitor value at a feed end
10 and a minimum capacitor value at a remote or unfed end.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3





FIG. 4A






FIG. 5




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 6C




FIG. 6D




FIG. 6E




FIG. 6F




FIG. 6G




FIG. 6H