

UNCLASSIFIED

Defense Technical Information Center
Compilation Part Notice

ADP010543

TITLE: Computing Search Time in Visual Images
Using the Fuzzy Logic Approach

DISTRIBUTION: Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

This paper is part of the following report:

TITLE: Search and Target Acquisition

To order the complete compilation report, use: ADA388367

The component part is provided here to allow users access to individually authored sections of proceedings, annals, symposia, ect. However, the component should be considered within the context of the overall compilation report and not as a stand-alone technical report.

The following component part numbers comprise the compilation report:

ADP010531 thru ADP010556

UNCLASSIFIED

COMPUTING SEARCH TIME IN VISUAL IMAGES USING THE FUZZY LOGIC APPROACH

Thomas J. Meitzler ^a, Euijung Sohn ^a, Harpreet Singh ^b and Abdelakrim Elgarhi ^b

^a US Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command
Research, Development and Engineering Center (TARDEC)
Warren, MI

E-mail: meitzlet@tacom.army.mil

^b Wayne State University
Electrical and Computer Engineering Department
Detroit, MI

Email: hsingh@ece.eng.wayne.edu

1. ABSTRACT

The mean search time of observers looking for targets in visual scenes with clutter is computed using the Fuzzy Logic Approach (FLA). The FLA is presented by the authors as a robust method for the computation of search times and or probabilities of detection for signature management decisions in any part of the electromagnetic or acoustic spectrum. The Mamdani/Assilian and Sugeno models have been investigated and are compared. A 44 visual image data set from TNO is used to build and validate the fuzzy logic model for search time. The input parameters are the: local luminance, range, aspect, width, wavelet edge points and the single output is search time. The Mamdani/Assilian model gave predicted mean search times from data not used in the training set that had a 0.957 correlation to the field search times. The data set is reduced using a clustering method then modeled using the FLA and results are compared to experiment.

Keywords: Target acquisition, fuzzy logic, visual models

2. INTRODUCTION

It has been three decades since Prof. L. A. Zadeh first proposed fuzzy set theory (logic) [1]. Following Mamdani and Assilian's pioneering work in applying the fuzzy logic approach to a steam plant in 1974 [2], the FLA has been finding a rapidly growing number of applications. These applications include, transportation (subways, helicopters, elevators, traffic control, and air control for highway tunnels), automobiles (engines, brakes, transmission and cruise control systems), washing machines, dryers, refrigerators, vacuum cleaners, TVs, VCRs, video cameras, and other industries including steel, chemical, power generation, aerospace, medical diagnosis systems, information technology, decision support and data analysis [3, 4, 5, 6, 7].

Although fuzzy logic can encode expert knowledge directly and easily using rules with linguistic labels, it usually takes some time to design and adjust the membership functions, which quantitatively define these linguistic labels. Neural network learning techniques can, in some cases, automate this process and substantially reduce development time. To enable a system to deal with cognitive uncertainties in a manner more like

humans, researchers have incorporated the concept of fuzzy logic into the neural network modeling approach. The integration of these two techniques yields the Neuro-Fuzzy Approach (NFA) [8]. The NFA has potential to capture the benefits of both the fuzzy and the neural network methods into a single model. Target acquisition models, based on the theory of signal detection or the emulation of human early vision, are not mature enough to robustly model, from a first principal approach without any laboratory calibration, the human detection of targets in cluttered scenes. This is because our awareness of the visual world is a result of the perception, not merely detection, of the spatio-temporal, spectra-photometric stimuli that is transmitted onto the photoreceptors on the retina [8]. The computational processes involved with perceptual vision can be considered as the process of linking generalized ideas, such as clutter or edge metrics [10], to retinal early vision data [9]. From a system theoretic point of view, perceptual vision involves the mapping of early vision data into one or more concepts, and then inferring a meaning of the data based on prior experience and knowledge. The authors think that the methods of fuzzy and neuro-fuzzy systems provide a robust alternative to complex models for predicting observed search times and detection probabilities for the vehicles in cluttered scenes that are typically modeled by defense department scientists. The fuzzy logic approaches have been used to calculate the search time of vehicles in different visual scenes within the commercially available MATLAB Fuzzy Logic Toolbox.

3. FUZZY MODELS AND WAVELETS

Fuzzy modeling of systems is an approach, which describes complex system behavior, based on fuzzy logic with fuzzy predicates using a descriptive language. Fuzzy logic models basically fall into two fundamentally different categories, which differ in their ability to represent different types of information. The first category includes linguistic models that are based on a collection of If-Then rules with vague predicates and use fuzzy reasoning. One of these reasoning mechanisms is based on the Mamdani and Assilian fuzzy inference method. Within this method, a scientist can design the membership functions manually and the output membership functions are continuous. The second method of fuzzy inference is based on the Takagi-Sugeno-Kang, or simply Sugeno's method. In the Sugeno method the membership functions are linear or constant.

darkest part of the target luminance, the surrounding area average luminance, edge points and the mean search time in seconds. The edge points were found using a wavelet program to compute the number of wavelet edge points over the whole image to give a measure of the clutter in the image.

Below in Fig. 7 is the Mamdani type FIS with the input parameters mentioned above and the search time as the single

output. Fig. 8 is the firing array for the various membership functions using the Mandani approach.

Sample Visual Images

Courtesy of Dr. Alex Toet of TNO




Fig. 1




Fig. 2



Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6

TABLE I Metrics for FIS construction

TARGET NO	distance	aspect	vert	area	target lum	Dark lum	Surround lum	Edgepts	SEARCH TIME
type	m	ass(sin)	pixels	(pixels)	scene	dark	grass	pts	search time(s)
1	4007	0.707	10	141	14	17	29	9571	14.6
1	2998	0.819	11	225	21	10	27	8927	15.2
2	3974	0.707	13	173	20	24	28	9138	12.4
3	5377	0.052	5	49	18	23	30	8970	29.8
2	1013	0.515	50	2708	19	5	34	8706	2.8
4	3052	0.000	11	100	12	18	30	8755	6.4
5	5188	0.407	9	76	18	23	28	9053	26.7
6	3679	0.122	10	96	12	20	26	8620	10.0
2	860	0.995	54	3425	9	1.5	40	8961	2.7
4	1951	0.848	16	332	15	11	27	8572	2.8
3	3992	0.788	11	154	20	19	26	9194	11.9
6	1041	0.743	24	1645	11	4	35	9074	2.5
7	2145	0.978	17	553	8	5	18	8280	3.7
3	1998	0.755	19	659	20	10	22	8739	8.1
2	4410	0.000	11	101	22	18	29	9404	12.4
1	2893	0.423	16	320	12	7	23	8670	2.5
5	1933	0.978	13	368	15	12	23	8606	4.8
1	1850	0.961	28	876	3	4	9	8464	2.8
8	1045	0.087	26	985	19	10	12	8613	12.3
2	1933	0.946	22	867	16	11	27	8376	2.8
7	4206	0.000	9	79	26	29	38	9506	15.1
1	5722	0.883	7	73	38	40	46	9044	25.6
4	4920	0.423	8	61	20	21	36	8618	12.1
6	4206	0.809	9	142	18	12	21	9152	8.0
5	2348	0.940	9	198	18	21	30	8504	5.5
1	3992	0.875	11	217	15	14	26	9078	7.8
9	4410	0.956	11	247	16	8	19	9397	9.6
8	2321	0.829	15	458	22	21	47	8365	5.1
5	3661	0.755	9	84	17	25	23	8807	7.5
3	3670	0.000	13	192	14	15	27	8483	6.1
7	1671	1.000	19	893	15	13	31	8959	3.5
4	4345	0.809	8	63	15	12	20	9021	12.3
2	3662	0.574	10	203	26	25	44	8702	5.4
5	633	0.707	50	4403	20	5	39	8741	2.5
3	492	0.070	57	3045	20	16	23	8992	2.2
4	1497	0.777	16	560	10	7	20	9014	5.8
5	1041	0.999	33	1613	17	5	32	8486	2.6
1	2891	0.985	19	486	12	12	35	9021	12.1
7	5147	0.934	5	81	18	27	34	9075	34.9
6	1648	0.588	18	648	23	7	37	9070	2.7
8	948	0.731	35	1463	18	5	38	8790	3.7
7	3662	0.407	12	188	19	25	39	8524	5.8
6	2900	0.000	17	340	20	10	49	8791	4.1
2	5136	0.000	10	79	25	16	27	8941	10.6




Fig. 7. Mamdani Fuzzy Logic Identification System for computing visual search times




Fig. 8 Firing diagrams for the Mamdani FIS to predict search times

5. RESULTS

Fig. 9 shows the correlation of laboratory search times to FLA predicted search times using the Mamdani approach with membership functions we designed and achieved a 0.957 correlation of model predicted search times to experimental search times. Fig. 10 is the output of the ANFIS model of the data, which gave a 0.60 correlation to the data. We also tried using the Mamdani FIS , with the 0.957 correlation to experiment, on another data set of visual imagery [14]. The FIS from one data set can be used to model another data set, if and only if, the metrics used to describe the various data sets are similar.

These results are indicative of the power of using the FLA to model highly complex data, for which there would be many interrelated equations if one tried to model the detection problem in the conventional standard algorithm based method.




Fig. 9 Graph of search times from Mamdani FLA model and the laboratory




Fig. 10 Chart showing the comparison of experimental search times to ANFIS FLA predicted search times

Clustering was also used to model the visual metrics and responses. For a large dataset, it will be desirable to reduce the

number of input vectors to a small number to reduce the number of rules and membership functions that need to be constructed. Clustering was used to obtain the means of the 7 input vectors. The center of the clusters was used in the construction of the membership functions. The correlation results are shown below in Table 2. Clusters were made of 15, 18 and 20 data points. The FLA with clustering was used to predict search time for the 22 points not used in obtaining the clusters and for the entire data set of 44 images.

TABLE 2 System Evaluation Using Cluster Centers

Cluster	Correlation for 22 points which are not used for clustering.	Correlation for 44 points
fcm15	0.83	0.85
f18	0.75	0.82
fc20	0.82	0.88

It is expected that increasing the number of cluster centers and the number of rules will improve the correlation. This is not the case when the number of clusters was increased from 15 to 18. The reason for this is due to the random operations used in clusters' center calculations. In other words, if we started from another clusters' center we may get better correlation. We used the cluster centers as the centers of membership functions, but chose initial values for the width. We can then tune the width manually to increase the correlation. It is clear that there needs to be an objective algorithm or technique to tune the width of the membership functions as ANFIS does. Below in Fig. 11 is a snapshot of the result of clustering the input variable distance over 15 cluster means.




Fig. 11 Clustering results using 15 clusters

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the FLA yields very satisfactory results. 0.97 correlation of laboratory or field data to model predicted data, and requires a fraction of the effort that goes into traditional algorithm based techniques of modeling target acquisition probabilities and search times. We expect that the fuzzy modeling approach could be used in the existing statistical decision theory modules of target acquisition models for any spectral regime.

Two fuzzy models have been used: namely the Mamdani and Sugeno models. This application of the FLA involved pictures, metrics, and experimental search times of images in the visual band. Future work will involve the application of the FLA to predict the Pd's of *moving* targets in visual and infrared cluttered scenes for military and commercial applications. Clustering of the input data was explored as a means to reduce the number of input vectors and membership functions. For large data sets, a saving of computational time and effort should be realized using this approach. The membership functions can be designed using experimental Pd's or search times collected in the TARDEC Visual Perception Laboratory (VPL). The TARDEC VPL is being used in a collaborative R&D project with auto companies on vehicle conspicuity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors extend thanks to Dr. Alex Toet of the TNO Human Factors Research Institute of the Netherlands for providing the visual images for study and conspicuity metrics used in their vision research and for the assistance of Mr. Deok Nam from the E.C.E. Dept. Wayne State University.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] L. Zadeh, "Fuzzy Sets", *Information and Control*, 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [2] E. Mamdani and S. Assilian, "Applications of fuzzy algorithms for control of simple dynamic plant", *Proc. Inst. Elec. Eng.*, Vol. 121, pp. 1585-1588, 1974.
- [3] T. Munakata, and Y. Jani, "Fuzzy Systems: An Overview", *Commun., ACM*, Vol. 37, No. 3, pp. 69-76, Mar. 1994.
- [4] R. Mizoguchi, and H. Motoda (eds.), "AI in Japan: Expert Systems Research in Japan", *IEEE Expert*, pp. 14-23, Aug. 1995.
- [5] E. Cox, *The Fuzzy Systems Handbook: A Practitioner's Guide to Building, Using, and Maintaining Fuzzy Systems*, AP Professional, 1994.
- [6] D. G. Schwartz, G. J. Klir, H. W. Lewis, and Y. Ezawa, "Applications of Fuzzy Sets and Approximate Reasoning", *IEEE Proc.*, Vol. 82, No. 4, pp. 482-498, Apr. 1994.
- [7] T. Terano, K. Asai, and M. Sugeno, *Fuzzy Systems and its Applications*, AP Professional, 1992.
- [8] J-S. R. Jang, "ANFIS: Adaptive-Neuro-Based Fuzzy Inference System", *IEEE Trans. Sys., Man, and Cyber.*, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 665-684, May/Jun. 1993.
- [9] M. Gupta and G. Knopf, "Fuzzy Logic in Vision Perception", *SPIE Vol. 1826, Robots and Computer Vision XI*, pp. 300-276, 1992.
- [10] S.R. Rotman, E.S. Gordon, and M.L. Kowalczyk, "Modeling human search and target acquisition performance:III. Target detection in the presence of obscurants," *Optical eng.*, Vol. 30, No.6, June 1991.
- [11] T.J. Meitzler, "Modern Approaches to the Computation of the Probability of Target Detection in Cluttered Environments", Ph.D. Thesis, Wayne State University, Dec. 1995.
- [12] T. Meitzler, L. Arefeh, H. Singh, and G. Gerhart, "The fuzzy logic approach to computing the probability of target detection in cluttered environments," *Optical Engineering*, vol. 35 No. 12, December 1996, pp. 3623-3636.
- [13] *Fuzzy Logic Toolbox*, for use with the MATLAB, the Math Works Inc., Jan. 1995.
- [14] Meitzler, T. Singh, H., Arefeh, L., Sohn, E., and Gerhart, G., "Predicting the Probability of target detection in static infrared and visual scenes using the fuzzy logic approach," *Opt. Eng.*, Vol. 37 (1), Jan. 1998.
- [15] S. Mallat and S. Zhong, "Characterization of signals from multiscale edges," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, Vol. 14, No.7, pp. 710-732, (1992).
- [16] Meitzler, T., Karlsen, R., Gerhart, G., Sohn, E., and Singh, H., "Wavelet transforms of cluttered images and their application to computing the probability of detection," *Optical Engineering*, 35(10), pp. 3019-3025, Oct. 1996.