

UNCLASSIFIED

Defense Technical Information Center Compilation Part Notice

ADP010732

TITLE: Sikorsky SSC-A09 Data [Nominally Two
Dimensional]

DISTRIBUTION: Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

This paper is part of the following report:

TITLE: Verification and Validation Data for
Computational Unsteady Aerodynamics [Donnees de
verification et de valadation pour
l'aerodynamique instationnaire numerique]

To order the complete compilation report, use: ADA390566

The component part is provided here to allow users access to individually authored sections of proceedings, annals, symposia, ect. However, the component should be considered within the context of the overall compilation report and not as a stand-alone technical report.

The following component part numbers comprise the compilation report:

ADP010704 thru ADP010735

UNCLASSIFIED

22E(1) SIKORSKY SSC-A09 DATA (NOMINALLY TWO DIMENSIONAL)

INTRODUCTION

The tests described were carried out in the University of Glasgow's 'Handley Page' wind tunnel, which is a closed-return, low-speed type with a 2.13m x 1.61m octagonal working section (Fig 3). The model span and chord were 1.61m and 0.55m respectively, and its construction was of a fibreglass skin filled with an epoxy foam bonded to an aluminium spar. The model was pitched about the quarter chord by a linear hydraulic actuator and crank mechanism. The actuator was a Unidyne 907/1 type with a dynamic thrust of 6.1kN controlled by a MOOG 76 series 450 servo valve. Thirty five Kulite 093-5 PSI G ultra-miniature pressure transducers were installed below the skin in a removable pod at the centre-span of the model. The transducers were of the vented gauge type with one side open, via tubes, to ambient pressure outside the tunnel. Each transducer was fitted with a temperature compensation module to minimize changes in the zero-offset and sensitivity. Model incidence was determined using an angular potentiometer geared to the model's main spar. This provided feedback to the hydraulic actuator control system and the angle of incidence signal for the data recording system. The model incidence waveform was provided by a PC fitted with an ANALOGUE DEVICES RT 1815 input/ output board. The dynamic pressure in the working section was determined by measuring the difference between the static pressure in the working section, just upstream of the model leading edge, and the static pressure in the settling chamber. These pressure tapings were connected to a Furness FC012 micromanometer which provided an analogue signal for the data acquisition module.

The model was tested with a view to an investigation of the dynamic stall vortex convection speed anomaly (ref 2, 4 and 10). The model was instrumented with 35 pressure transducers placed asymmetrically over the upper and lower surfaces at the mid-span of the model. A particularly high resolution around the leading edge was chosen. Two motion types were considered, namely ramp-up and ramp-down. The model was rotated about the quarter chord point. For the ramp-tests the model was pitched over a preset arc at a constant pitch rate. At low pitch rates excellent ramp-profiles were obtained, but at higher pitch rates acceleration and deceleration of the model produced non-linearities. For ramp tests each test case was performed 5 times, and the data were phase averaged to produce the results presented here.

FORMULARY

1 General Description of model

1.1	Designation	Model 15
1.2	Type	Nominally two-dimensional
1.3	Derivation	Not applicable
1.4	Additional remarks	None
1.5	References	6

2 Model Geometry

2.1	Planform	Nominally two-dimensional
2.2	Aspect ratio	2.93
2.3	Leading edge sweep	None
2.4	Trailing edge sweep	None
2.5	Taper ratio	No Taper
2.6	Twist	No Twist
2.7	Wing centreline chord	0.55m
2.8	Semi-span of model	0.805m
2.9	Area of planform	0.8855m ² gross wing area
2.10	Location of reference sections and definition of profiles	Sikorsky SSC-A09 profile: 9%c thick, lightly cambered with 0.7%c leading edge radius (see table 2).
2.11	Lofting procedure between reference sections	Constant section
2.12	Form of wing-body junction	None
2.13	Form of wing tip	Not applicable
2.14	Control surface details	None
2.15	Additional remarks	None
2.16	References	6, 7

3 Wind Tunnel

3.1	Designation	University of Glasgow 'Handley-Page'
3.2	Type of tunnel	Closed section, closed return, atmospheric
3.3	Test section dimensions	2.13m (width) x 1.61m (height) x 2.8m (length)
3.4	Type of roof and floor	Closed – vented at downstream end of working section
3.5	Type of side walls	Closed - vented at downstream end of working section
3.6	Ventilation geometry	60 rectangular slots (0.028m x 0.055m) on floor, roof and walls downstream of working section. 13 rectangular slots (0.028m x 0.105m) at same section on angled surfaces.
3.7	Thickness of side wall boundary layer	Unknown
3.8	Thickness of boundary layers at roof and floor	Unknown
3.9	Method of measuring velocity	Working section and settling chamber static pressure tapings related to wind tunnel speed calibration
3.10	Flow angularity	Not available
3.11	Uniformity of velocity over test section	Dynamic pressure constant to within 1% over a 1.5m ² reference plane normal to the flow axis in the working section
3.12	Sources and levels of noise or turbulence in empty tunnel	Not available
3.13	Tunnel resonances	Not available
3.14	Additional remarks	None
3.15	References on tunnel	8

4 Model Motion Actuation

4.1	General description	Four motion types: Static, Linear Ramp Up, Linear Ramp Down and Sinusoidal. All incidence variations about quarter chord. Actuation is via Unidyne 907/1 type with a dynamic thrust of 6.1kN controlled by a MOOG 76 series 450 servo valve.
4.2	Natural frequencies and normal modes of model and support system	Not available

5 Test Conditions

5.1	Model planform area/tunnel area	0.258
5.2	Model span/tunnel height	0.756
5.3	Blockage	Function of angle of attack 2.3% - 16.6%
5.4	Position of model in tunnel	Vertical on tunnel centre-line. Mounted through floor. (see Fig. 3)
5.5	Range of velocities	45 m/s to 55 m/s
5.6	Range of tunnel total pressure	Approximately 102.5kPa to 103kPa
5.7	Range of tunnel total temperature	Approximately 293K to 306K
5.8	Range of model steady or mean incidence	-5° to 42°
5.9	Definition of model incidence	Deviation of chord line from tunnel centreline
5.10	Position of transition, if free	Not available
5.11	Position and type of trip, if transition fixed	None
5.12	Flow instabilities during tests	Not available
5.13	Changes to mean shape of model due to steady aerodynamic load	Not available
5.14	Additional remarks	None
5.15	References describing tests	6

6 Measurements and Observations

6.1	Steady pressures for the mean conditions	No
-----	--	----

6.2	Steady pressures for small changes from the mean conditions	No
6.3	Quasi-steady pressures	No
6.4	Unsteady pressures	Yes
6.5	Steady section forces for the mean conditions by integration of pressures	Yes
6.6	Steady section forces for small changes from the mean conditions by integration	No
6.7	Quasi-steady section forces by integration	No
6.8	Unsteady section forces by integration	Yes
6.9	Measurement of actual motion at points of model	No
6.10	Observation or measurement of boundary layer properties	No
6.11	Visualisation of surface flow	No
6.12	Visualisation of shock wave movements	No
6.13	Additional remarks	None

7 Instrumentation

7.1	Steady pressure	
7.1.1	Position of orifices spanwise and chordwise	Chordwise only. See Table 3.
7.1.2	Type of measuring system	Thirty five Kulite 093-5 PSI G ultra-miniature pressure transducers mounted close to wing surface connected to 200 parallel channel data acquisition system.
7.2	Unsteady pressure	
7.2.1	Position of orifices spanwise and chordwise	Chordwise only. See Table 3.
7.2.2	Diameter of orifices	1.0mm
7.2.3	Type of measuring system	Thirty five Kulite 093-5 PSI G ultra-miniature pressure transducers mounted close to wing surface connected to 200 parallel channel data acquisition system.
7.2.4	Type of transducers	Kulite CJQH-187 differential
7.2.5	Principle and accuracy of calibration	Steady state sensitivity from applied reference and calibration procedures. Accuracy as stated by manufacturer.
7.3	Model motion	
7.3.1	Method of measuring motion reference coordinate	Quarter chord location specified by manufacture
7.3.2	Method of determining spatial mode of motion	Feedback from potentiometer geared to shaft.
7.3.3	Accuracy of measured motion	0.1°
7.4	Processing of unsteady measurements	
7.4.1	Method of acquiring and processing measurements	35 individual Kulite sensors mounted close to wing surface connected to 200 parallel channel Bakker Electronics BE256 sample and hold modules. Signal conditioning modules on each individual channel. Gain and offset removal automatic. Acquired data downloaded to PC.
7.4.2	Type of analysis	Phase averaging of cycles. Five cycles for ramp function tests.
7.4.3	Unsteady pressure quantities obtained and accuracies achieved	Basic unsteady pressure signal. Cycle repeatability variable depending on amplitude and reduced pitch rate.
7.4.4	Method of integration to obtain forces	Trapezoidal rule
7.5	Additional remarks	None
7.6	References on techniques	None

8 Data presentation

8.1	Test cases for which data could be made available	Two motion types: Linear Ramp Up and Linear Ramp Down. Tests cover a range of reduced pitch rate. In total 54 test cases. All incidence variations about quarter chord.
8.2	Test cases for which data are included in this document	One motion type: Linear Ramp Up. Three test cases as detailed in Table 4. A series of plots are also presented which are illustrative of the data supplied in electronic form. Figure 4 shows a sample upper surface pressure distribution, C_n , C_m and incidence history.
8.3	Steady pressures	None
8.4	Quasi-steady or steady perturbation pressures	No
8.5	Unsteady pressures	For all dynamic cases
8.6	Steady forces or moments	None
8.7	Quasi-steady or unsteady perturbation forces	No
8.8	Unsteady forces and moments	For all dynamic cases
8.9	Other forms in which data could be made available	None
8.10	Reference giving other representations of data	N/A

9 Comments on data

9.1	Accuracy	
9.1.1	Mach number	$\pm 0.5\%$
9.1.2	Steady incidence	$\pm 0.1^\circ$
9.1.3	Reduced frequency	$\pm 0.5\%$
9.1.4	Steady pressure coefficients	$\pm 0.5\%$
9.1.5	Steady pressure derivatives	Not estimated
9.1.6	Unsteady pressure coefficients	$\pm 0.5\%$
9.2	Sensitivity to small changes of parameter	N/A
9.3	Non-linearities	N/A
9.4	Influence of tunnel total pressure	Not examined
9.5	Effects on data of uncertainty, or variation, in mode of model motion	N/A
9.6	Wall interference corrections	None
9.7	Other relevant tests on same model	None
9.8	Relevant tests on other models of nominally the same shapes	None
9.9	Any remarks relevant to comparison between experiment and theory	None
9.10	Additional remarks	The electronic data supplied with this report comprises three file types. The first type of file contains the aerofoil co-ordinates. There is only one file of this type, and it is identified by the name ssca09_coords.dat. The second type contains the transducer coordinates. There is only one file of this type and it is identified by the name ssca09_xducers.dat. The last file type contains pressure data, and three examples are provided (described in table 4) The first 128 parameters are the run information data (described in table 5), and the remaining parameters are 1024 blocks each comprising the dynamic pressure, pressure coefficients (35 values) and angle of incidence. A MATLAB program to read in the data is listed in appendix A. The pressure transducer locations correspond to the order contained in the file ssca09_xducers.dat, which is the same as in table 3.
9.11	References on discussion of data	3, 6

10 Personal contact for further information

Dr. R.B. Green
Department of Aerospace Engineering
University of Glasgow
Glasgow
G12 8QQ
U.K.
Tel. +44 141 330 4312
Email: richardg@aero.gla.ac.uk